

79 Elm Street • Hartford, CT 06106-5127

www.ct.gov/deep

Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

NPDES PERMIT issued to

Pfizer Inc. Eastern Point Road Groton, Connecticut 06340

Facility ID: 059-003

Receiving Stream: Thames River

Location Address:

Pfizer Inc. 445 Eastern Point Road Groton, Connecticut 06340

Permit ID: CT0000957

Permit Expires: MAY 21, 2019

Receiving Water Body ID: CT-E1_014-SB

SECTION 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS

- (A) This permit is re-issued in accordance with Section 22a-430 of Chapter 446k, Connecticut General Statutes ("CGS"), the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies ("RCSA") adopted thereunder, as amended, and Section 402(b) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), as amended, 33 USC 1251, et. seq., and pursuant to an approval dated September 26, 1973, by the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency for the State of Connecticut to administer a NPDES permit program.
- (B) PFIZER INC. ("Permittee") shall comply with all conditions of this permit including the following sections of the RCSA which have been adopted pursuant to Section 22a-430 of the CGS and are hereby incorporated into this permit. Your attention is especially drawn to the notification requirements of subsections (i)(2), (i)(3), (j)(1), (j)(6), (j)(9)(C), (j)(10)(C), (j)(11)(C), (D), (E), and (F), (k)(3) and (4) and (l)(2) of Section 22a-430-3.

Section 22a-430-3: General Conditions

- (a) Definitions
- (b) General
- (c) Inspection and Entry
- (d) Effect of a Permit
- (e) Duty
- (f) Proper Operation and Maintenance
- (g) Sludge Disposal
- (h) Duty to Mitigate
- (i) Facility Modifications; Notification
- (j) Monitoring, Records and Reporting Requirements
- (k) Bypass
- (*l*) Conditions Applicable to POTWs
- (m) Effluent Limitation Violations (Upsets)
- (n) Enforcement
- (o) Resource Conservation
- (p) Spill Prevention and Control
- (q) Instrumentation, Alarms, Flow Recorders
- (r) Equalization

Section 22a-430-4: Procedures and Criteria

- (a) Duty to Apply
- (b) Duty to Reapply
- (c) Application Requirements
- (d) Preliminary Review
- (e) Tentative Determination
- (f) Draft Permits, Fact Sheets
- (g) Public Notice, Notice of Hearing
- (h) Public Comments
- (i) Final Determination
- (j) Public Hearings
- (k) Submission of Plans and Specifications. Approval.
- (1) Establishing Effluent Limitations and Conditions
- (m) Case by Case Determinations
- (n) Permit issuance or renewal
- (o) Permit Transfer
- (p) Permit revocation, denial or modification
- (q) Variances
- (r) Secondary Treatment Requirements
- (s) Treatment Requirements for Metals and Cyanide
- (t) Discharges to POTWs Prohibitions
- (C) Violations of any of the terms, conditions, or limitations contained in this permit may subject the Permittee to enforcement action including, but not limited to, seeking penalties, injunctions and/or forfeitures pursuant to applicable sections of the CGS and RCSA.
- (D) Any false statement in any information submitted pursuant to this permit may be punishable as a criminal offense under Section 22a-438 or 22a-131a of the CGS or in accordance with Section 22a-6, under Section 53a-157b of the CGS.
- (E) The authorization to discharge under this permit may not be transferred without prior written approval of the Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection ("Commissioner"). To request such approval, the Permittee and proposed Transferee shall register such proposed transfer with the Commissioner, at least 30 days prior to the Transferee becoming legally responsible for creating or maintaining any discharge which is the subject of the permit transfer. Failure, by the Transferee, to obtain the Commissioner's approval prior to commencing such discharge(s) may subject the Transferee to enforcement action for discharging without a permit pursuant to applicable sections of the CGS and RCSA.
- (F) No provision of this permit and no action or inaction by the Commissioner shall be construed to constitute an assurance by the Commissioner that the actions taken by the Permittee pursuant to this permit will result in compliance or prevent or abate pollution.
- (G) Nothing in this permit shall relieve the Permittee of other obligations under applicable federal, state and local law.
- (H) An annual fee shall be paid for each year this permit is in effect as set forth in Section 22a-430-7 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.
- (I) This permitted discharge is consistent with the applicable goals and policies of the Connecticut Coastal Management Act (Section 22a-92 of the CGS).

SECTION 2: DEFINITIONS

- (A) The definitions of the terms used in this permit shall be the same as the definitions contained in Section 22a-423 of the CGS and Section 22a-430-3(a) and 22a-430-6 of the RCSA.
- (B) In addition to the above, the following definitions shall apply to this permit:

"---" in the limits column on the monitoring table means a limit is not specified but a value must be reported on the DMR.

"40 CFR" means Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

"Annual" in the context of any sampling frequency found in Section 5, shall mean the sample must be collected in the month of August.

"Average Monthly Limit" means the maximum allowable "Average Monthly Concentration" as defined in Section 22a-430-3(a) of the RCSA when expressed as a concentration (e.g., mg/l); otherwise, it means "Average Monthly Discharge Limitation" as defined in section 22a-430-3(a) of the RCSA.

"Daily Concentration" means the concentration of a substance as measured in a daily composite sample, or, the arithmetic average of all grab sample results defining a grab sample average.

"Daily Quantity" means the quantity of waste discharged during an operating day.

"Instantaneous Limit" means the highest allowable concentration of a substance as measured by a grab sample, or the highest allowable measurement of a parameter as obtained through instantaneous monitoring.

"In-stream Waste Concentration" (IWC) means the concentration of a discharge in the receiving water after mixing has occurred in the allocated zone of influence. It is the inverse of the dilution factor.

"LC" means Lethal Concentration

" LC_{50} " means the concentration lethal to 50 percent of the test organisms.

"Lowest Observed Effect Concentration" ("LOEC") means the lowest concentration of an effluent or toxicant that results in adverse effects on the test organisms.

"Maximum Daily Limit", means the maximum allowable "Daily Concentration" (defined above) when expressed as a concentration (e.g., mg/l); otherwise, it means the maximum allowable "Daily Quantity" as defined above, unless it is expressed as a flow quantity. If expressed as a flow quantity it means "Maximum Daily Flow" as defined in Section 22a-430-3(a) of the RCSA.

"No Observed Effect Concentration" ("NOEC") means the highest tested concentration of an effluent or toxicant at which no adverse effects are observed on the aquatic test organisms at a specific time of observation.

"Quarterly", in the context of a sampling frequency, means sampling is required in the months of February, May, August, and November.

"Range During Sampling" ("RDS"), as a sample type, means the maximum and minimum of all values recorded as a result of analyzing each grab sample of: 1) a Composite Sample or, 2) a Grab Sample Average. For those Permittees with continuous monitoring and recording pH meters, Range During Sampling means the maximum and minimum readings recorded with the continuous monitoring device during the Composite or Grab Sample Average sample collection.

"Semi-Annually" in the context of a sampling frequency, means the sample must be collected in the months of February and August.

"Weekly" in the context of sampling frequency means that at least one sample will be collected in any week commencing at 12:00 AM on Sunday and ending at 12:00 AM on the following Sunday, during which a discharge occurs.

SECTION 3: COMMISSIONER'S DECISION

- (A) The Commissioner has issued a final determination based on Application 201207927 for permit reissuance received on December 24, 2012, and the administrative record established in the processing of that application, and has found that:
 - (1) With respect to INTAKE 01H, the location, design, construction, and capacity of the cooling water intake structure reflects the best technology available for minimizing adverse environmental impact. This determination was made in accordance with Section 316(b) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1326(b), and CGS Section 22a-430. The Commissioner's decision is contingent upon the actions required to be undertaken by the Permittee as set forth in Section 10 of this permit.
 - (2) With respect to DSN 008-1, continuance of the existing system to treat the discharge will protect the waters of the state from pollution. The alternative thermal effluent limitations for DSN 008-1 were established consistent with Section 316(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1326(a), Subpart H of 40 CFR 125, and CGS Section 22a-430. These limitations will assure the protection and propagation of a balanced indigenous population of shellfish, fish and wildlife in and on the receiving water.
 - (3) With respect to DSN 009-1, continuance of the existing discharge will not cause pollution of the waters of the state.
- (B) The Commissioner hereby authorizes the Permittee to discharge in accordance with the provisions of this permit, the above referenced application, and all approvals issued by the Commissioner or the Commissioner's authorized agent for the discharges and/or activities authorized by, or associated with, this permit in accordance with the following:
 - (1) From the issuance of this permit through and including May 31, 2014, the Commissioner hereby authorizes the Permittee to discharge in accordance with the terms and conditions of Permit CT0000957, issued by the Commissioner to the Permittee on July 29, 2008, the previous application submitted by the Permittee on January 30, 1996, and all modifications and approvals issued by the Commissioner or the Commissioner's authorized agent for the discharge and/or activities authorized by, or associated with, Permit CT0000957, issued by the Commissioner to the Permittee on July 29, 2008.
 - (2) From June 1, 2014 until this permit expires or is modified or revoked, the Commissioner hereby authorizes the Permittee to discharge in accordance with the terms and conditions of Permit CT0000957, issued by the Commissioner to the Permittee on the date identified on the signature page of this permit, Application No. 201207927 received by the Department on December 24, 2012, and all modifications and approvals issued by the Commissioner or the Commissioner's authorized agent for the discharge and/or activities authorized by, or associated with, Permit CT0000957, issued by the Commissioner to the Permittee on the date identified on the signature page of this permit.
- (C) The Commissioner reserves the right to make appropriate revisions to the permit in order to establish any appropriate effluent limitations, schedules of compliance, or other provisions which may be authorized under the Federal Clean Water Act or the CGS or regulations adopted thereunder, as amended. The permit as modified or renewed under this paragraph may also contain any other requirements of the Federal Clean Water Act or CGS or regulations adopted thereunder which are then applicable.

SECTION 4: GENERAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

(A) No discharge shall contain, or cause in the receiving stream, a visible oil sheen or floating solids, or cause visible discoloration or foaming in the receiving stream.

- (B) No discharge shall cause acute or chronic toxicity in the receiving water body beyond any zone of influence specifically allocated to that discharge in this permit.
- (C) The temperature of any discharge shall not increase the temperature of the receiving stream above 83 °F, or in any case, raise the temperature of the receiving stream by more than 4 °F beyond any approved thermal zone of influence. The incremental temperature increase in coastal and marine waters during the period including July, August, and September is limited to 1.5 °F beyond any approved thermal zone of influence.

SECTION 5: SPECIFIC EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

- (A) The discharges shall not exceed and shall otherwise conform to the specific terms and conditions listed in the following tables. The discharges are restricted by, and shall be monitored in accordance with the following tables.
- (B) All samples shall be comprised of only the wastewater described in these tables. Samples shall be collected prior to combination with receiving waters or wastewater of any other type, and after all approved treatment units, if applicable. All samples collected shall be representative of the discharge during standard operating conditions.
- (C) In cases where limits and sample type are specified but sampling is not required by this permit, the limits specified shall apply to all samples which may be collected and analyzed by the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection ("Department") personnel, the Permittee, or other parties.

					Table A		and second states and second secon		
Intake-01H		****		****		Monitor	ing Location: 7		
Description: Saltwater intake							Ś		
Monitoring Location Descripti Source Water Thames River	ion: At the salt	water pumpii	ng intake strue	cture (Building	109)				
				FLOW/TI	ME BASED MONITO	RING	/LSNI	ANTANEOUS MOR	VITORING
PARAMETER	NET DMR CODE	SLIND	Average Monthly Limit	Maximum Daily Limit	Sample//Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or Measurement to be reported	Instantaneous limit or required range	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or measurement to be reported
Flow, Average Monthly ¹	00056	MGD	25.0	NA	Daily//Monthly	Intake Flow	NA	NR	NA NA
FIOW, MAXIMUM DAILY Temperature, Maximum	00011	wu ۲	NA	NA NA	NR	Alltake I 10W		Weekly	Grab
Footnotes:			T offer	TABLE A F	OOTNOTES AND RE	MARKS	Mavimum Daily I	Jow for each month	The measurement of
¹ The Permittee shall maintain total Intake Flow shall be an e	at the facility stimate based o	a record of the n best enginee	total Intake FI ring practices.	low for each day	and shall report the AV	srage Dauy Flow and the	Maximum Daily r	10W IOF CACH III011UL	I ITO INCASULCUICUL OL
² The first entry in this colun Frequency' is monthly. If the '	mn is the 'Sam 'Sample freque	ple Frequency ncy' is specifi	Y. If a 'Reported as monthly,	ting Frequency' or less frequent,	does not follow this er then the 'Reporting Free	try and the 'Sample Fre quency' is the same as the	quency' is more fi s'Sample Frequenc	requent than monthly. sy'.	y then the 'Reporting
Remarks:									
1. Abbreviations used for units	s are as follows	: MGD means	million gallon	s per day; °F me	ans degrees Fahrenheit.				
2. Debris collected on the intal	ke racks shall n	ot be re-introd	luced into the T	hames River.					
3. In the event of unusual inc Management and Compliance directed to the Permitting Dire incident, and any possible reas	cidents of large Assurance, We ector and shall i sons for the occ	e numbers of a ater Permitting include, at a m urrence.	schooling fish 3 and Enforcem inimum, the fo	being impinged tent Division sha llowing informa	over a short period of all be notified immediate tion: the species, size, al	time, the Department of ly and a written report of oproximate numbers, time	Energy and Envir the incident shall of occurrence, op	onmental Protection be filed within 5 day erating mode of the J	, Bureau of Materials s. The report shall be blant at the time of the
4. Flow shall be reported to 0.	1 MGD. Temp	crature shall b	e reported to 0.	.1 °F.					
5. Supplemental data shall be	provided, at 8 vided in any acc	ı minimum, fc entable format	or those monito as long as it co	oring parameters	i identified on Attachme mation identified on Atta	at B of this permit and achment B.	submitted consiste	ant with Section 8(A) of this permit. The

NPDES Permit No. CT0000957

Page 6 of 22

				Ë	able B						
Discharge Serial Number: 008		-				Monite	oring Location: 1				STRATES
Wastewater Description: Air Compresson	r Condensat	e; Air Cond	itioner Conder	isate; Backflow	Preventer Waste	water; Boiler Bleed	Off/Draining; B	oiler Blowdow	n; Boiler Labora	tory Testing	00
Wastewater; Boiler Washdown; Building Water: Non-Contact Cooling Water: Pu	g Maintenan ump Seal W(ce Wastewai tter; Resin	ter; Chilled Wo Regeneration	tter; Cooling T Wastewater; Re	ower Blowdown/D verse Osmosis Br	vaining; Dewatering ine; Sand Filter Bac	Wastewater; Fin kwash; Shell an	e Suppression id Tube Heat	Test Water; Hyd Exchanger Waste	rostatic Tesi water; Spill	22
Containment Stormwater; Steam Cleanin	ig and Powe	rwashing Wo	istewater; Stea	m Condensate;	Stormwater; Strai	ner Cleaning Wastew	ater; Water Soft	ener Regenerat	ion Wastewater	-	SUCCESSION OF
Monitoring Location Description: Basin ir	nstrument ti	ailer on the	west side of th	ie effluent basii	L			+			
Receiving Water: Thames River			vilution Factor	Ammonia, Cop	per, Nickel, Zinc):	46:1	In-Stream Waste	Concentration:	2.17%	and the second second	
	N. T. T.			TOW/TIME	SASED MONITO	RING	INSTANTA	NEOUS MON	UTORING	ileve ^{js} Sisyls fil	159
PARAMETER	DMR DMR CODE	STINU	Average Monthly Limit	Maximum Daily Limit	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or Measurement to be reported	Instantaneous limit or required	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or measurement to be reported	A muminiM Cheinical An V beinical V	Toxicity T
Acute Aquatic Toxicity ⁴ Americamysis bahia	TAA3E	%	NA	LC ₅₀ ≥100%	Semi-annually	Daily Composite	LC ₅₀ ≥33%	NR	Grab		
Acute Aquatic Toxicity ⁴ Cyprinodon variegates	TAA6A	%	NA	LC₅₀≥100%	Semi-annually	Daily Composite	LC ₅₀ ≥33%	NR	Grab		
Chronic Aquatic Toxicity (Survival) ⁵ Americamysis bahia	TOP3E	%	NA	-	Semi-annually	Daily Composite	NA	R	NA		
Chronic Aquatic Toxicity (Growth) ⁵ Americamysis bahia	TPP3E	%	NA		Semi-annually	Daily Composite	NA	Ä	NA		
Chronic Aquatic Toxicity (Fecundity) ⁵ Americamysis bahia	TVP3E	%	NA		Semi-annually	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA		
Chronic Aquatic Toxicity (Survival) ⁵ Cyprinodon variegates	TOP6A	%	NA		Semi-annually	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA		
Chronic Aquatic Toxicity (Growth) ⁵ Cyprinodon variegatus	TPP6A	%	NA		Semi-annually	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA		
Ammonia (as N)	00610	mg/L	1 1		Monthly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	>	50/20200
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	39100	µg/L	2.26	3.26	Monthly	Daily Composite	4.80	R	Grab	5 7	8222220122
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	39100	g/day	208	304	Monthly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA		
Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5-Day (BOD ₅)	85002	mg/L	3		Monthly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	2	2000 BOOR CONTRACTOR
Chlorine, Total Residual	50060	μg/L	44 97 99		Quarterly	Grab Sample Average	NA	NR	NA	20	
Chromium, Total	01034	mg/L	1		Quarterly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	0.005	
Copper, Total	01042	mg/L	***		Quarterly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	۲ 0.003	
Enterococci ⁷	61211	cfus/100ml	NA	NA	NR	NA	1	Quarterly	Grab		
Fecal coliform ⁸	74055	cfus/100ml	NA	NA	NR	NA NA		Quarterly	Grab		100.0000000
Flow, Average Monthly ¹	00056	MGD	25.0	NA	Continuous	Daily Flow	NA	NK MI	AN		
Flow, Maximum Daily	50047	MGD	NA	45.0	Continuous	Daily Flow	NA	AN di l	NA	×	
Flow Rate, Day of Sampling	74076	MGD	NA	45.0	Monthly	Daily Flow	NA 7500	NK	NA	· >	
Iron, Total Visitich Nitracon Total (ap ND	01045	hg/L	3000	5000	<u>Vuarterly</u> Monthly	Daily Composite	00C/	AR NR	NA	. >	0/12/00/12/02
Neldain murugeu, 1944 (as 19)	01051	mg/L			Quarterly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	0.005	

NPDES Permit No. CT0000957

Page 7 of 22

				L	able B					
Discharge Serial Number: 008					19.000.000.000	Monit	oring Location: 1			
Wastewater Description: Air Compresso Wastewater; Boiler Washdown; Building Water; Non-Contact Cooling Water; Pu Contrinuout Scorementer: Scores Contin	r Condensat g Maintenar ump Seal W	ie; Air Condi ice Wastewate ater; Resin R wwashino Wa	tioner Conder rr; Chilled W (egeneration) stowater: Ston	rsate; Backflov uter; Cooling 1 Wastewater; Re m Condensate:	v Preventer Waste ^c ower Blowdown/D everse Osmosis Bri Stormwater: Strai	water; Boiler Bleed raining; Dewatering ine; Sand Filter Bao ner Cleaning Wastew	Off/Draining; B Wastewater; Fii kwash; Shell an mter: Water Soft	oiler Blowdow re Suppression 1d Tube Heat J ener Regenerat	n; Boiler Labora Test Water; Hyd Exchanger Waste ion Wastewater	tory Testing rostatic Test water; Spill
Monitoring Location Description: Basin in	nstrument th	railer on the	west side of th	he effluent basi	U La	C	6	0		
Receiving Water: Thames River		Di	lution Factor	(Ammonia, Cop	pper, Nickel, Zinc):	46:1	In-Stream Waste	Concentration:	2.17%	
				ELOW/TIME	BASED MONITO	RING	INSTANTA	NEOUS MON	UTORING	clave Vels dri trt
PARAMETER	DMR CODE	STINU	Average Monthly Limit	Maximum Daily Limit	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or Measurement to be reported	Instantaneous limit or required range	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or measurement to be reported	AmminiM AmminiM M Equired M M Equired W
Nickel Total	01067	me/L		17. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1	Quarterly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	0.005
Nitrate (as N)	00620	mg/L		-	Monthly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	2
Nitrite (as N)	00615			-	Monthly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	>
Nitrogen, Total	00900	lbs/day	331		Monthly	Calculation ⁹	NA	NR	NA	
Oil and Grease, Total	00556	mg/L	1	5.0	Quarterly	Grab Sample Average	7.5	NR	Grab	>
Oxvgen. Dissofved	00300	J/gm	NA	NA	NR	NA		Quarterly	Grab	2
nH. Dav of Sampling	00400	SU	NA	NA	NR	NA	6.0-9.0	Monthly	RDS	>
nH. Minimum	61942	SU	NA	NA	NR	NA	6.0	Continuous	Continuous	
nH. Maximum	61941	SU	NA	NA	NR	NA	9.0	Continuous	Continuous	
Solids. Total Suspended	00530	mg/L	NA		Monthly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	2
Temperature, Maximum	00011	oF	NA	NA	NR	NA	90.0	Continuous	Continuous	>
Temperature Difference (Sample & Upstream)	00018	[H.	NA	32.1	Daily//Monthly	Calculation ¹⁰	NA	NR	NA	
Waste Heat Rejection Rate	00179	Btus/day	NA		Daily//Monthly	Calculation ¹¹	NA	NR	NA	
Zinc, Total	01092	mg/L	1	1	Quarterly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	0.010
			TAE	ILE B FOOTN	OTES AND REM	ARKS				
Footnotes:										
¹ For this parameter, the Permittee shall m month.	naintain at th	e facility a rec	ord of the tota	l Daily Flow fo	rr each day of disch	irge and shall report t	the Average Daily	γ Flow and the I	Maximum Daily F	low for each
² The first entry in this column is the 'S Frequency' is monthly. If the 'Sample free	Sample Freq quency' is sp	uency'. If a '] ecified as mo	Reporting Fre nthly, or less f	quency does n requent, then th	not follow this entrue 'Reporting Frequ	y and the 'Sample F ency' is the same as t	requency' is mor he 'Sample Frequ	e frequent than iency'.	monthly then th	e 'Reporting
³ Minimum Level refers to Paragraph (6)(A)(4) of this	permit.								

(CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)

Page 8 of 22

TABLE B FOOTNOTES AND REMARKS (CONTINUED)
⁴ The duration of the acute toxicity testing is 48 hours. The LC ₅₀ results for the acute toxicity testing shall be reported on the DMR.
⁵ The duration of the chronic toxicity testing is 7 days. The C-NOEC (Chronic-No Observed Effect Concentration) results for the lethal and specified sub-lethal conditions noted in this table shall be reported on the DMR. Supplemental data collected during the chronic toxicity event shall be provided for those parameters identified on Attachment A of this permit and such data shall be submitted consistent with Section 8(A) of this permit. The supplemental data can be provided in any acceptable format as long as it contains the information identified on Attachment A.
⁶ The noted permit limit is below the Minimum Level (ML). Therefore, compliance with this limit will be determined based on the ML. The Permittee shall conduct analysis for this parameter in accordance with a "sufficiently-sensitive" approved test method. If the measured value is less than the ML, the results shall be reported in accordance with Section 6(A)(6) and the results will be considered to be in compliance with the permit limit. If the measured value is greater or equal to the ML, the actual results obtained shall be reported on the DMR and these results will be violation of the permit limit.
⁷ Monitoring for <i>Enterococci</i> shall be conducted once per quarter from May 1^{st} to September 30^{th} . If less than five samples are collected in a month, the maximum value in that sample set shall be reported on the DMR. If five or more samples are collected in a month, the results of the geometric mean of those samples shall be reported on the DMR for that month.
⁸ Monitoring for Fecal coliform shall be conducted once per quarter from May 1 st to September 30 th . If less than five samples are collected in a month, the maximum value in that sample set shall be reported on the DMR. If five or more samples are collected in a month, the results of the geometric mean of those samples shall be reported on the DMR for that month.
⁹ Total Nitrogen concentration means the sum of the concentrations of: Ammonia Nitrogen + Organic Nitrogen + Nitrate Nitrogen + Nitrite Nitrogen. The concentration-based value shall be converted to lbs/day and reported on the DMR.
¹⁰ Temperature Difference (Sample & Upstream) is calculated as follows: Effluent Temperature (Maximum Daily) – Water Temperature @ NOAA Station 8461490 (Maximum Daily). The Permittee shall report the maximum value determined in a month on the DMR.
¹¹ Waste Heat Rejection Rate is calculated as follows: $Waste Heat Rejection Rate \left(\frac{Btus}{day}\right) = \left(1.0 \frac{Btus}{lb^{\circ p}}\right) * \left(Flow \frac{gailons}{daty}\right) * \left(8.34 \frac{lbs}{gailon}\right) * \left(AT,^{\circ}F\right)$
$\Delta T = Effluent Temperature - Intake TemperatureWhere: Effluent Temperature is the maximum temperature value of the effluent (DSN 008-1) in a 24-hour periodIntake Temperature is the temperature value of the intake water (INTAKE 01H) that was collected in the prior 7-day period$
Remarks:
1. Abbreviations used for units are as follows: MGD means million gallons per day; mg/L means milligrams per liter; μg/L means micrograms per liter; g/day means grams per day; lbs/day means pounds per day; °F means degrees Fahrenheit; SU means Standard Units; Btus/day means British thermal units per day; cfus/100 ml means colony forming units per 100 milliliters. Other abbreviations are as follows: NA means Not Applicable; NR means Not Reportable; RDS means Range During Sampling.
2. Flow shall be reported to 0.1 MGD. Temperature (Maximum and Difference) shall be reported to 0.1 °F. Dissolved Oxygen shall be reported to 0.1 mg/L. PH shall be reported to 0.1 Bu/day. Total Nitrogen shall be reported to 1 lb/day. All other values shall be reported to the level of precision/accuracy reported by the laboratory.
3. Supplemental data shall be provided, at a minimum, for those monitoring parameters identified on Attachment C of this permit and such data shall be submitted consistent with Section 8(A) of this permit. The supplemental data can be provided in any acceptable format as long as it contains the information identified on Attachment C.

		and the second secon				And a second of the second			
				L	able C				
Discharge Serial Number: 009			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Monitor	ing Location: 1		
Wastewater Description: Intake	structure scr	een backwash							
Monitoring Location Description	: Traveling se	creen outfall p	ipe						
Receiving Water: Thames River			Dilution Fa	ctor: None		II	n-Stream Waste Conc	centration: N/A	
	NET			FLOW/TIME B	ASED MONITORIN	KG	INSTAN	TANEOUS MON	ITORING
PARAMETER	DMR CODE	STINU	Average Monthly Limit	Maximum Daily Limit	Sample//Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or Measurement to be reported	Instantaneous limit or required range	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or measurement to be reported
Duration of Discharge	81381	days/month	NA		Daily//Monthly	Days of Flow	NA	NR	NA
Flow, Average Monthly ¹	00056	gpdg	300,000	NA	Daily//Monthly	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA
Flow, Maximum Daily ¹	50047	bdg	NA	600,000	Daily//Monthly	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA
Solids, Total Suspended	00530	mg/L	NA	NA	NR	NA		Annual	Grab
Footnotes:			ТА	BLE C FOOTN	OTES AND REMAI	RKS			
¹ For this parameter, the Permitte month. The measurement of tot	e shall mainta I Daily Flow s	in at the facility	y a record of the to nate based on best	tal Daily Flow fo engineering prac	or each day of discharg	ce and shall report the	e Average Daily Flow	v and the Maximum	Daily Flow for each
² The first entry in this column Frequency' is monthly. If the 'Ss	is the 'Samp mple frequend	le Frequency'. cy' is specified	If a 'Reporting Fr as monthly, or less	equency' does n frequent, then th	ot follow this entry a ne 'Reporting Frequen	und the 'Sample Free cy' is the same as the	quency' is more frec 'Sample Frequency'	quent than monthly	then the 'Reporting
<u>Remarks:</u>									
1. Abbreviations used for units a	re as follows:	gpd means gall	ons per day; mg/L 1	means milligram	s per liter		-		
2. Total Suspended Solids shall t	e reported to 1	the level of pre	cision/accuracy rep	orted by the labc	oratory.				

SECTION 6: SAMPLE COLLECTION, HANDLING AND ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES

(A) Chemical Analysis

- (1) Chemical analyses to determine compliance with limits and conditions established in this permit shall be performed using "sufficiently-sensitive" methods approved pursuant to 40 CFR 136 for the analysis of pollutants having approved methods under that part unless an alternative method has been approved in writing pursuant to 40 CFR 136.4 or as provided in Section 22a-430-3(j)(7) of the RCSA. Monitoring parameters which do not have approved methods of analysis defined in 40 CFR 136 shall be analyzed in accordance with "sufficiently-sensitive" methods specified in Section 6(A)(2) of this permit, unless an alternative method had been specifically approved in writing by the Commissioner.
- (2) The following test method shall be used to analyze the parameter identified below:

PARAMETER	METHOD OF ANALYSIS
Iron, Total	EPA Method 6020 & 1640 with chelation

- (3) All metals analyses identified in this permit shall refer to analyses for Total Recoverable Metal as defined in 40 CFR 136, unless otherwise specified.
- (4) The Minimum Levels specified in Table B represent the concentrations at which quantification must be achieved and verified during the chemical analyses for those noted parameters. Analyses for these parameters must include check standards within ten percent of the specified Minimum Level or calibration points equal to or less than the specified Minimum Level.
- (5) The value of each parameter for which monitoring is required under this permit shall be reported to the maximum level of accuracy and precision possible, consistent with the requirements of this section of the permit.
- (6) Effluent analyses for which quantification was verified during the analysis at or below the minimum levels specified in this section, and which indicate that a parameter was not detected, shall be reported as "less than x" where 'x' is the numerical value equivalent to the analytical method detection limit for that analysis.
- (7) Results of effluent analyses which indicate that a parameter was not present at a concentration greater than or equal to the Minimum Level specified for that analysis shall be considered equivalent to zero (0.0) for purposes of determining compliance with effluent limitations or conditions specified in this permit.

SECTION 7: TOXICITY MONITORING

(A) Acute Toxicity Monitoring: DSN 008-1 (Grab Samples Only): If instantaneous monitoring for acute aquatic toxicity is conducted, it shall be performed in accordance with the following:

(1) **TEST METHOD**: Acute aquatic toxicity monitoring shall be performed as prescribed in *Methods* for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms (EPA-821-R-02-012), or the most current version, with any exceptions or clarifications noted below.

(2) SAMPLE COLLECTION & HANDLING:

- (a) Grab samples shall be chilled immediately following collection. Samples shall be held at 4 °C until aquatic toxicity testing is initiated.
- (b) Effluent samples shall not be dechlorinated, filtered, or, modified in any way, prior to testing for aquatic toxicity unless specifically approved in writing by the Commissioner for monitoring at this facility.
- (c) Tests for aquatic toxicity shall be initiated within 36 hours of sample collection.

- (3) **TEST SPECIES & TEST DURATION**: Monitoring for acute aquatic toxicity shall be conducted as follows:
 - (a) For 48-hours utilizing neonatal *Americanysis bahia* (1-5 days old with no more than 24-hours range in age).
 - (b) For 48-hours utilizing larval *Cyprinodon variegatus* (1-14 days old with no more than 24-hours range in age).

(4) **TEST CONDITIONS**:

- (a) Tests for aquatic toxicity shall be conducted as prescribed for static non-renewal acute tests.
- (b) At a minimum, pH, specific conductance, salinity, alkalinity, hardness, and total residual chlorine shall be measured in the highest concentration of effluent test solution and in the dilution (control) water at the beginning of the test and at test termination. If total residual chlorine is not detected at test initiation, it does not need to be measured at test termination. Dissolved oxygen, pH, and temperature shall be measured in the control and all test concentrations at the beginning of the test, daily thereafter, and at test termination. Salinity shall be measured in each test concentration at the beginning of the test and at test termination.
- (c) For tests with saltwater organisms that require salinity adjustment of the effluent, chemical analysis of the parameters identified in Section 5, Table B under "Monitoring Required With Toxicity Test" shall be conducted on an aliquot of the effluent sample collected for Aquatic Toxicity testing and on an aliquot of the effluent following salinity adjustment. Both sets of results shall be reported on the Aquatic Toxicity Monitoring Report (ATMR).
- (d) Multi-concentration (definitive) testing, with LC_{50} as the endpoint, shall be conducted to determine compliance with limits on Aquatic Toxicity and shall incorporate, at a minimum, the following effluent concentrations: 100%, 75%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, and 6.25%.
- (e) Organisms shall not be fed during the tests.
- (f) Aquatic toxicity tests shall be conducted at a salinity of 28 ppt ± 2 ppt.
- (g) Sodium lauryl sulfate or sodium dodecyl sulfate shall be used as the reference toxicant.
- (h) Synthetic seawater for use as dilution water or controls shall be prepared with deionized water and artificial sea salts as described in EPA-821-R-02-012.
- (i) If the salinity of the source water is more that 5 ppt, or lower than the culture water used for rearing the organisms, a second set of controls matching the salinity of the culture water shall be added to the test series. Test validity shall be determined using the controls adjusted to match the source water salinity.
- (j) The actual effluent concentrations in definitive tests with saltwater organisms shall be used in calculating test results.
- (5) **TEST ACCEPTABILITY CRITERIA**: For the test result to be acceptable, control survival must equal or exceed 90%. If the laboratory control fails to meet the test acceptability criteria for either of the organisms at the end of the test period, then the test is considered invalid and the test must be repeated.

(B) Chronic (and Modified Acute) Toxicity Monitoring: DSN 008-1. The Permittee shall conduct chronic (and modified acute) toxicity testing semi-annually for DSN 008-1 in accordance with the following:

(1) **TEST METHOD**: Chronic (and modified acute) toxicity monitoring shall be performed as prescribed in *Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Marine and Estuarine Organisms*, EPA 821-R-02-014, or the most current version, with any exceptions or clarifications noted below or identified in Attachment D.

(2) SAMPLE COLLECTION & HANDLING:

- (a) Composite samples shall be chilled as they are collected. Samples shall be held at 4 °C until aquatic toxicity testing is initiated.
- (b) Effluent samples shall not be dechlorinated, filtered, or, modified in any way, prior to testing for Aquatic Toxicity unless specifically approved in writing by the Commissioner for monitoring at this facility.
- (c) Tests for aquatic toxicity shall be initiated within 36 hours of sample collection.
- (3) **TEST SPECIES & TEST DURATION**: Monitoring for aquatic toxicity to determine compliance with the chronic (and modified acute) toxicity limits/conditions shall be conducted as follows:
 - (a) For seven days utilizing neonatal *Americamysis bahia* (1-5 days old with no more than 24-hours range in age).
 - (b) For seven days utilizing larval *Cyprinodon variegatus* (1-14 days old with no more than 24-hours range in age).

Survival results of the first 48 hours for *Americanysis bahia* and the first 48 hours for *Cyprinodon variegatus*, shall be used for determining compliance with acute toxicity limits.

(4) **CHRONIC ENDPOINTS**:

- (a) *Americanysis bahia*: Survival, growth, and egg development (fecundity)
- (b) *Cyprinodon variegatus*: Larval survival and growth
- (5) **DILUTION WATER:** Thames River water collected immediately upstream of the area influenced by the discharge shall be used as site water control (0% effluent) and dilution water in the toxicity tests. The Permittee shall document the dilution water sampling location by providing USGS coordinates and/or a map of the location.

(6) **TEST CONDITIONS**:

- (a) Tests for Aquatic Toxicity shall be conducted as prescribed in the referenced test manual for static daily renewal tests and in accordance with Attachment D of the permit. Daily composite samples of the discharge and grab samples of the Thames River for use as site water control and dilution water shall be collected on: Day 1 of the test (for test initiation and renewal on Day 2 of the test); Day 3 of the test (for test solution renewal on Day 3 and Day 4 of the test); and on Day 5 of the test (for test solution renewal on Day 5, 6, and 7 of the test). Samples shall not be dechlorinated, pH or hardness adjusted, or chemically altered in any way.
- (b) Tests concentrations shall be comprised of: 100% effluent, 50% effluent, 25% effluent, 12.5% effluent, 6.25% effluent, 2.17% effluent (IWC% concentration), laboratory water control, and site dilution water.
- (c) Laboratory control water shall be adjusted to a salinity of 28 ppt ± 2 ppt.

- (7) **CHEMICAL ANALYSIS:** Each 100% effluent sample and each Thames River water sample used in the chronic toxicity test, shall, at a minimum, be analyzed for those parameters identified in Section 5, Table B under "Chemical Analysis Required With Toxicity Test" and the following parameters: specific conductance, alkalinity, hardness, and salinity. Analysis of the effluent shall be the same sample as the sample tested for aquatic toxicity.
- (8) TEST ACCEPTABILITY CRITERIA: Test acceptability criteria is summarized in Attachment D. If the laboratory control fails to meet test acceptability criteria for either of the test organisms at the end of the respective test periods, then the test is considered invalid, and the test must be repeated.
- (9) REPORTING: A report detailing the results of the chronic and modified acute toxicity monitoring shall be submitted no later than 60 days following the day sampling was concluded for that test. The report shall include a summary of the test results which includes, at a minimum, percent survival in each replicate test chamber and all supporting chemical/physical measurements performed in association with the toxicity test. Endpoints to be reported are: 48-hour LC₅₀ (survival), 7-day LC₅₀ (survival), 7-day C-NOEC (survival), 7-day C-LOEC (survival), 7-day C-LOEC (growth), 7-day C-LOEC (growth), 7 day C-LOEC (fecundity), 7-day C-LOEC (fecundity).

SECTION 8: REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

(A) The results of chemical analyses and any aquatic toxicity test required above shall be entered on the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR), provided by this office, and reported to the Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance (Attn: DMR Processing) at the following address. Except for continuous monitoring, any monitoring required more frequently than monthly shall be reported on an attachment to the DMR, and any additional monitoring conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 136 or other methods approved by the Commissioner shall also be included on the DMR, or as an attachment, if necessary. All aquatic toxicity reports shall also be included as an attachment to the DMR. The report shall also include a detailed explanation of any violations of the limitations specified. The DMR shall be received at this address by the last day of the month following the month in which samples are collected.

Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance Water Permitting and Enforcement Division (Attn: DMR Processing) Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection 79 Elm Street Hartford, CT 06106-5127

(B) Complete and accurate aquatic toxicity test data, including percent survival of test organisms in each replicate test chamber, LC₅₀ values and 95% confidence intervals for definitive test protocols, and all supporting chemical/physical measurements performed in association with any aquatic toxicity test, including measured daily flow and hours of operation for the 30 consecutive operating days prior to sample collection, shall be included in the Aquatic Toxicity Monitoring Report (ATMR) and sent to the Bureau of Water Protection and Land Reuse at the following address. The ATMR shall be received at this address in accordance with the timeframe identified in Section 7(B)(9) above:

Bureau of Water Protection and Land Reuse (Attn: Aquatic Toxicity) Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection 79 Elm St. Hartford, CT 06106-5127

- (C) If this permit requires monitoring of a discharge on a calendar basis (e.g., monthly, quarterly, etc.), but a discharge has not occurred within the frequency of sampling specified in the permit, the Permittee must submit the DMR and ATMR, as scheduled, indicating "NO DISCHARGE". For those Permittees whose required monitoring is discharge dependent (e.g., per batch), the minimum reporting frequency is monthly. Therefore, if there is no discharge during a calendar month for a batch discharge, a DMR must be submitted indicating such by the end of the following month.
- (D) NetDMR Reporting Requirements: Prior to one-hundred and eighty (180) days after the issuance of this permit, the Permittee may either submit monitoring data and other reports to the Department in hard copy

form or electronically using NetDMR, a web-based tool that allows Permittees to electronically submit discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) and other required reports through a secure internet connection. Unless otherwise approved in writing by the Commissioner, no later than one-hundred and eighty (180) days after the issuance of this permit, the Permittee shall begin reporting electronically using NetDMR. Specific requirements regarding subscription to NetDMR and submittal of data and reports in hard copy form and for submittal using NetDMR are described below:

- (1) Submittal of NetDMR Subscriber Agreement: On or before fifteen (15) days after the issuance of this permit, the Permittee and/or the person authorized to sign the Permittee's discharge monitoring reports ("Signatory Authority") as described in RCSA Section 22a-430-3(b)(2) shall contact the Department to initiate the NETDMR subscription process for electronic submission of Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) information. A copy of the NetDMR subscriber form is available on the Department's website. On or before ninety (90) days after issuance of this permit the Permittee shall submit a signed and notarized copy of the Connecticut DEEP NetDMR Subscriber Agreement to the Department.
- (2) Submittal of Reports Using NetDMR: Unless otherwise approved by the Commissioner, on or before one-hundred and eighty (180) days after issuance of this permit, the Permittee and/or the Signatory Authority shall electronically submit DMRs and reports required under this permit to the Department using NetDMR in satisfaction of the DMR submission requirement of Section 5(C) of this permit.

DMRs shall be submitted electronically to the Department no later than the 30th day of the month following the completed reporting period. All reports required under the permit, including any monitoring conducted more frequently than monthly or any additional monitoring conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 136, shall be submitted to the Department as an electronic attachment to the DMR in NetDMR. Once a Permittee begins submitting reports using NetDMR, it will no longer be required to submit hard copies of DMRs or other reports to the Department. The Permittee shall also electronically file any written report of non-compliance described in Section 6 of this permit as an attachment in NetDMR. NetDMR is accessed from: http://www.epa.gov/netdmr.

- (3) Submittal of NetDMR Opt-Out Requests: If the Permittee is able to demonstrate a reasonable basis, such as technical or administrative infeasibility, that precludes the use of NetDMR for electronically submitting DMRs and reports, the Commissioner may approve the submission of DMRs and other required reports in hard copy form ("opt-out request"). Opt-out requests must be submitted in writing to the Department for written approval on or before fifteen (15) days prior to the date a Permittee would be required under this permit to begin filing DMRs and other reports using NetDMR. This demonstration shall be valid for twelve (12) months from the date of the Department's approval and shall thereupon expire. At such time, DMRs and reports shall be submitted electronically to the Department using NetDMR unless the Permittee submits a renewed opt-out request and such request is approved by the Department.
- (4) All opt-out requests and requests for the NetDMR subscriber form should be sent to the following address or by email at: <u>deep.netdmr@ct.gov</u>

Attn: NetDMR Coordinator Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection 79 Elm Street Hartford, CT 06106-5127

SECTION 9: RECORDING AND REPORTING OF VIOLATIONS, ADDITIONAL TESTING REQUIREMENTS

(A) If any sample analysis indicates that an Aquatic Toxicity effluent limitation in Section 5 of this permit has been exceeded, or that the test was invalid, another sample of the effluent shall be collected and tested for Aquatic Toxicity and associated chemical parameters, as described above in Sections 5, 6, and 7, and the results reported to the Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance (Attn: DMR Processing), at the address listed above, within 30 days of the exceedance or invalid test. Results of all tests, whether valid or invalid, shall be reported.

- (B) If any two consecutive test results or any three test results in a twelve-month period indicates that an Aquatic Toxicity Limit has been exceeded, the Permittee shall immediately take all reasonable steps to eliminate toxicity wherever possible and shall submit a report to Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance (Attn: Aquatic Toxicity) for the review and approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Section 22a-430-3(j)(10)(c) of the RCSA describing proposed steps to eliminate the toxic impact of the discharge on the receiving water body. Such a report shall include a proposed time schedule to accomplish toxicity reduction and the Permittee shall comply with any schedule approved by the Commissioner.
- (C) The Permittee shall notify the Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance, Water Permitting and Enforcement Division, within 72 hours and in writing within thirty days of the discharge of any substance listed in the application but not listed in the permit if the concentration or quantity of that substance exceeds two times the level listed in the application.

SECTION 10: COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE

- (A) Requirements Associated with Section 316(a) and 316(b) of the CWA. By way of documentation dated September 26, 2011, December 21, 2011, January 9, 2012, and February 22, 2013, the Permittee provided the Department with an evaluation of those technologies and/or operational measures that represent the best technology available for minimizing the adverse environmental impact associated with its cooling water intake structure. This evaluation has been reviewed by Department staff and consistent with the requirements of Section 316(b) of the CWA, a determination has been made for this Permittee that closed-cycle cooling is the best technology available to minimize the adverse environmental impact associated with its cooling water intake structure. This 316(b) determination will result in a reduction/elimination of the thermal load associated with DSN 008-1, and consequently, this 316(b) determination as follows:
 - (1) Within ninety day of the issuance of this permit, the Permittee shall submit for the review and written approval of the Commissioner, a detailed plan and schedule for the implementation of the expansion/upgrades of the existing cooling tower ("project"). The proposed schedule shall represent the most expeditious time frame to complete the project required by this section of the permit.
 - (2) The Permittee shall perform the project in accordance with the approved plan and schedule.
 - (3) Within fifteen days of completion of the project, the Permittee shall certify in writing to the Commissioner that the project has been completed as approved and that the use of the cooling water intake structure at the site has been eliminated.
 - (4) Until such time as the project is completed, the Permittee shall use best efforts to ensure that the amount of cooling water that it withdraws into its cooling water intake structure is limited to the lowest amount feasible.
- (B) Until the project described in Section 10(A) is completed as approved, the Permittee shall submit to the Commissioner quarterly status reports on March 1st, June 1st, September 1st, and December 1st. Status reports shall include, but not be limited to, a detailed description of progress made by the Permittee in performing actions required by this section of the permit in accordance with the approved schedule including, but not limited to, development of engineering plans and specifications, construction activity, contract bidding, operational changes, preparation and submittal of permit applications, and any other actions specified per the applicable sections.
- (C) The Permittee shall use best efforts to submit to the Commissioner all documents required by this section of the permit in a complete and approvable form. If the Commissioner notifies the Permittee that any document or other action is deficient, and does not approve it with conditions or modifications, it is deemed disapproved, and the Permittee shall correct the deficiencies and re-submit it within the time specified by the Commissioner or, if no time is specified by the Commissioner, within thirty days of the Commissioner's notice of deficiencies. In approving any document or other action under this Compliance Schedule, the Commissioner may approve the document or other action as submitted or performed or with such

Supplemental Monitoring Data: Chronic Toxicity

		EFFLU	ENT SAMPLE R	ESULTS	THAMES	RIVER SAMPLE	RESULTS	
PARAMETER	UNITS	DATE ANALYZED	DATE ANALYZED	DATE ANALYZED	DATE ANALYZED	DATE ANALYZED	DATE ANALYZED	MINIMUM LEVEL
Alkalinity, Total	mg/L							
Ammonia (as N)	mg/L							
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	μg/L				-			
BOD ₅	mg/L							
Chlorine, Total Residual	μg/L							
Chromium, Total	mg/L							
Copper, Total	mg/L							
Hardness, Total	mg/L							
Iron, Total	μg/L							
Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	mg/L							
Lead, Total	mg/L							
Nickel, Total	mg/L							
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L							
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L							
Oil & Grease, Total	mg/L							
Oxygen, Dissolved	mg/L							
pH	SU							
Specific Conductance	μmhos							
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L							
Zinc, Total	mg/L							

Indicate the location where the Thames River sample was collected: (USGS coordinates):_____

.

Supplemental Monitoring Data: INTAKE 01H

Month:

DAV	FLOW	TEMPERATURE
DAY		
1	MGD states and sta	
2		
3		
4		······
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		1
19		
20		·
21		
22		
23	· · ·	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	[
29		
30		ļ
31		

Supplemental Monitoring Data: DSN 008-1

.<u>....</u>

Month:_____

DAY	FLOW	pH (min)	pH (max)	MAX DAILY TEMP	NOAA Station 8461490 TEMP	TEMP CHANGE IN RIVER	HEAT LOAD
	MGD	SU	SU	٥Ł	of the second of the second	°F 1116	Btus/day
1							
2		l					
3							
4							
5							
6							
0							
10							l
11							
12							
13							
14	<u> </u>						
15		<u> </u>					
16	<u></u>		<u>.</u>				
17							
18							
19	<u> </u>						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26				····		l	
27	L	[]			L		
28	L						l
29						[
30			ļ	ļ	ļ		
31							

	TABLE 1: Testing Protocols for DSN 008-1 for:				
Am	ericamysis bahia (48-hour acute and 7-day chronic tests)				
Tasting procedure	Acute: DEP standard toxicity test procedures, except as modified below.				
resung procedure	Chronic: EPA 821-R-02-014, except as modified below.				
Test type	Static renewal				
Salinity	28 ± 2 ppt				
	26 °C ± 1 °C. Test temperature must not deviate (i.e., maximum minus minimum temperature)				
1 emperature	by more than 3 °C during the test				
Light quality	Ambient laboratory illumination				
Light intensity	10-20μE/m ² /s (50-100 ft-c)				
Photoperiod	16-h light, 8-h darkness, with phase in/out period				
Test chamber	Glass or plastic (250 – 400 mL capacity) beakers				
Test solution volume	200 mL per replicate				
Renewal of test solutions	Daily				
No. of test organisms per					
chamber	5 per replicate test chamber				
No of replicate test chambers	10 (, 60,, 10 (, 10 (, 10 (, 10 (, 10				
per concentration	12 (per enfluent concentration), 12 (control water), 12 (dilution water)				
No. larvae per concentration	40				
Source of food	Newly hatched Artemia nauplii (less than 24-h old)				
Feeding regime	Feed 150 24h old nauplii per mysid daily, half after test solution renewal and half after 8-12 h				
Cleaning test chambers	Pipette excess food daily, immediately before test solution renewal and feeding.				
Aeration	None unless dissolved oxygen falls below 4.0 mg/l, then gently aerate all chambers.				
0	Laboratory control and Thames River water samples. Three separate collections must be				
Control/Dilution water	made on the following days: Day 1, Day 3, and Day 5.				
17 P.C 4	Composite sample collected at DSN 008-1. Three separate sample collections must be made				
Effluent Composite sample concercit at DSIV 00051. Three separate sample concertons must be made on the following days: Day 1, Day 3, and Day 5. Test duration Acute: 48 hours Chronic: 7 days					
Test duration Acute: 48 hours Chronic: 7 days Fundmeint Acute: Survival					
Test duration Chronic: 7 days Endpoint Acute: Survival					
Endpoint Acute: Survival Chronic: Survival, growth, and egg development					
Endpoint Chronic: Survival, growth, and egg development Acute: 90% survival in 48 hours.					
Acute: 90% survival in 48 hours.					
Test acceptability criteria					
a sector and a second	0.2 mg per surviving organism in controls is required. Fecundity may be used if 50% of the				
	females in the controls produce eggs.				
Mortality observations	Each test chamber is examined for mortality at 24-h intervals. Dead individuals are removed				
	and it any individuals are missing (via cannibalism) they are noted.				
	Dissolved oxygen, temperature, salinity and pH of the effluent and control test solutions are				
	measured at the beginning, at 24-n intervals, and at test termination. These parameters are				
	I incasured prior to and after test solution renewals. Because of possible narm of stress to the				
Physical- chemical	ubile conducting the test; instead dissolved ovugen and pH measurements are made in				
measurements of solutions in	separate surrogate chambers without test organisms, prenared from effluent and control water				
test chambers	The surrogate chambers are maintained similar to test chambers (i.e. daily solution renewals)				
	At the end of the chronic test after the number of live specimens has been determined				
	measure dissolved oxygen, temperature, salinity, and pH in all effluent and control test				
	chambers.				
Physical-chemical	The parameters identified in Table B under "Chemical Analysis Required With Toxicity Test"				
measurements of effluent	and the additional parameters identified in Section 7(B)(7) are measured in each sample of				
sample and control sample	DSN 008-1 and each Thames River sample.				
Reference toxicant	Sodium dodecyl sulfate with an acute endpoint (48 hours) and a chronic endpoint (7 days).				

	TABLE 2: Testing Protocols for DSN 008-1 for:
Cypr	inoaon variegatus (48-hour acute and 7-day chronic tests)
Testing procedure	Acute: DEP standard toxicity test procedures, except as modified below. Chronic: EPA 821-R-02-014, except as modified below.
Test type	Static renewal
Salinity	28 <u>+</u> 2 ppt
Temperature	26°C ± 1
Light quality	Ambient laboratory illumination
Photoperiod	16-h light, 8-h dark
Test chamber type	Glass or plastic (1000 mL capacity)
Test solution volume	750 mL per replicate
Renewal of test solutions	Daily
No. of test organisms per chamber	10 per replicate test chamber
No. of replicate test chambers	6 (ner effluent concentration) 6 (dilution water) 6 (lab control water)
per concentration	
Source of food	Newly hatched (less than 24-h old) Artemia nauplii. Concentrate Artemia nauplii with $a \le 150$ um sieve mesh and rinse with seawater.
Faading ragima	Feed once a day concentrated Artemia nauplii at a rate per replicate of 0.1 mL (2 drops) on
recumy regime	days 0-2 and 0.15 mL (3 drops) on days 3-6. Feed after test solution renewal.
Cleaning test chambers	Siphon excess food prior to test solution renewal.
Aeration	None, unless dissolved oxygen falls below 4.0 mg/l, then gently aerate all chambers
Control/Dilution water	Laboratory control and Thames River water samples. Three separate collections must be made on the following days: Day 1, Day 3, and Day 5.
Effluent	Composite sample collected at DSN 008-1. Three separate sample collections must be made on the following days: Day 1, Day 3, and Day 5.
Test duration	Acute: 48 hours
	Chronic: / days
Endpoint	Acute: Survival
	Chronic: Survival, growin
Toot opports bility suit and	Acute: 9070 SULVIVAL III 40 HOURS
теят ассертавнику стиета	0.6 mg ner surviving organism in controls is required
	Each test chamber is examined for mortality at 24-h intervals. Dead individuals are removed
Mortality observations	and if any individuals are missing they are noted.
	Dissolved oxygen, temperature, salinity and pH of the effluent and control test solutions are
	measured at the beginning, at 24-h intervals, and at test termination. These parameters are
	measured prior to and after test solution renewals. Because of possible harm or stress to the
Physical- chemical	test organisms with meter probes, these parameters are not measured in the test chambers
measurements of solutions in	while conducting the test; instead dissolved oxygen and pH measurements are made in
test chambers	separate surrogate chambers are maintained similar to tast chambers (i.e. doily solution renewals)
	At the end of the chronic test after the number of live specimens has been determined
	measure dissolved oxygen, temperature, salinity, and pH in all effluent and control test
	chambers.
Physical-chemical	The parameters identified in Table B under "Chemical Analysis Required With Toxicity Test"
measurements of effluent	and the additional parameters identified in Section 7(B)(7) are measured in each sample of
sample and control sample	DSN 008-1 and each Thames River sample.
Reference toxicant	Sodium dodecyl sulfate with an acute endpoint (48 hours) and a chronic endpoint (7 days).

conditions or modifications as the Commissioner deems necessary to carry out the purposes of this section of the permit. Nothing in this paragraph shall excuse noncompliance or delay.

- (D) <u>Dates</u>. The date of submission to the Commissioner of any document required by this section of the permit shall be the date such document is received by the Commissioner. The date of any notice by the Commissioner under this section of the permit, including but not limited to, notice of approval or disapproval of any document or other action, shall be the date such notice is personally delivered or the date three days after it is mailed by the Commissioner, whichever is earlier. Except as otherwise specified in this permit, the word "day" as used in this section of the permit means calendar day. Any document or action which is required by this section only of the permit, to be submitted, or performed, by a date which falls on, Saturday, Sunday, or, a legal Connecticut or federal holiday, shall be submitted or performed on or before the next day which is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal Connecticut or federal holiday.
- (E) Notification of noncompliance. In the event that the Permittee becomes aware that it did not or may not comply, or did not or may not comply on time, with any requirement of this section of the permit, except for final compliance dates, the Permittee shall immediately notify the Commissioner and shall take all reasonable steps to ensure that any noncompliance or delay is avoided or, if unavoidable, is minimized to the greatest extent possible. In so notifying the Commissioner, the Permittee shall state in writing the reasons for the noncompliance or delay and propose, for the review and written approval of the Commissioner, dates by which compliance will be achieved, and the Permittee shall comply with any dates that may be approved in writing by the Commissioner. Notification by the Permittee shall not excuse noncompliance or delay unless specifically so stated by the Commissioner in writing.
- (F) <u>Notice to Commissioner of changes</u>. Within fifteen days of the date the Permittee becomes aware of a change in any information submitted to the Commissioner under this section of the permit, or that any such information was inaccurate or misleading or that any relevant information was omitted, the Permittee shall submit the correct or omitted information to the Commissioner.
- (G) <u>Submission of documents.</u> Any document, other than a discharge monitoring report, required to be submitted to the Commissioner under this section of the permit shall, unless otherwise specified in writing by the Commissioner, be directed to:

Christine Gleason, Sanitary Engineer Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance Water Permitting and Enforcement Division 79 Elm Street Hartford, CT 06106-5127

This permit is hereby issued on

5/22/14

MM/CMG

MACKY MCCLEARY Deputy Commissioner

DISCHARGE CODE	WASTEWATER CATEGORY (per 22a-430-7)	MAXIMUM GPD	DSNs	ANNUAL FEE (per 22a-430-7)
1080000	Stormwater (Spill Containment Stormwater; Stormwater)		008-1	2,912.50
1060000	Water Production Wastewater (Resin Regeneration Wastewater; Reverse Osmosis Brine; Sand Filter Backwash; Water Softener Regeneration Wastewater)		008-1	660.00
	Miscellaneous (Air Compressor Condensate; Air Conditioner Condensate; Backflow Preventer Wastewater; Building Maintenance Wastewater; Chilled Water; Dewatering Wastewater; Fire Suppression Test Water; Pump Seal Water; Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger Wastewater; Steam Cleaning and Powerwashing; Steam Condensate; Strainer Cleaning Wastewater)		008-1	0
ba tu ter	Miscellaneous (Intake Structure Screen Backwash)		009-1	0
TOTAL				\$16,995.00

I. PERMIT APPLICATION

On December 24, 2012, the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection ("Department") received an application (Application 201207927) from Pfizer Inc. ("Pfizer", "Permittee", "Applicant") for the renewal of its NPDES permit, CT0000957. A Notice of Permit Application was published in *The Day* on December 26, 2012 consistent with the requirements of Section 22a-6g of the Connecticut General Statutes ("CGS"). On January 17, 2013, the application was determined to be timely and administratively sufficient. Pfizer's application seeks authorization for the following activities:

To withdraw the following:

INTAKE	PROPOSED AVERAGE MONTHLY INTAKE FLOW (gpd)	PROPOSED MAXIMUM DAILY INTAKE FLOW (gpd)	SOURCE WATER
01H	25,000,000	45,000,000	Thames River

DISCHARGE SERIAL NUMBER (DSN)	PROPOSED AVERAGE MONTHLY FLOW (gpd)	PROPOSED MAXIMUM DAILY FLOW (gpd)	PROPOSED WASTESTREAMS	TREATMENT TYPE	RECEIVING WATER
008-1	25,000,000	45,000,000	Air Compressor Condensate; Air Conditioner Condensate; Backflow Preventer Wastewater; Boiler Bleed Off/Draining; Boiler Blowdown; Boiler Laboratory Testing Wastewater; Boiler Washdown; Building Maintenance Wastewater; Chilled Water; Cooling Tower Blowdown/Draining; Dewatering Wastewater; Fire Suppression Test Water; Hydrostatic Test Water; Non- Contact Cooling Water; Pump Seal Water; Resin Regeneration Wastewater; Reverse Osmosis Brine; Sand Filter Backwash; Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger Wastewater; Spill Containment Stormwater; Steam Cleaning and Powerwashing Wastewater; Strainer Cleaning Wastewater; Strainer Cleaning Wastewater; Water Softener Regeneration Wastewater	Oil/Water Separation (select wastestreams); Equalization; pH adjustment	Thames River
009-1	300,000	600,000	Intake structure screen backwash	None	Thames River

To discharge the following:

PROPOSED CHANGES: 1) The wastestreams identified in the application that are proposed to be discharged are more specifically described in this application than as they appear in the existing permit; 2) Pfizer proposes to reduce the average monthly discharge flow associated with DSN 008-1 from 70 million gallons per day ("MGD") to 25 MGD and proposes to reduce the maximum daily discharge flow associated with DSN 008-1 from 70 MGD to 45 MGD.

II. SUMMARY OF MODIFICATIONS MADE TO THE EXISTING NPDES PERMIT

On July 29, 2008, Pfizer was issued a NPDES permit, CT0000957, for the authorization of the discharge of utilities-related wastewaters from its Groton facility into the Thames River. NPDES Permit CT0000957 includes two discharge points (DSN 008-1 and DSN 009-1) and one intake (INTAKE 01H). This permit was modified five times during its term. These modifications are as follows: 1) Modification 1 (August 7, 2008): A minor modification to correct a typographical error in Table A of the permit; 2) Modification 2 (October 23, 2008): A minor modification to clarify the effective date of the effluent limitations associated with Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate; 3) Modification 3 (April 16, 2009): A modification increasing the average monthly discharge flow of DSN 009-1 from 75,000 gpd to 300,000 gpd and the maximum daily discharge flow of DSN 009-1 from 75,000 gpd to 600,000 gpd; 4) Modification 4 (issued April 23, 2010): This modification: a) reduced the monitoring frequency of Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate from weekly to monthly; b) reduced the time frame associated with entrainment monitoring from two years to one year; c) included requirements to complete a study designed to evaluate the best technology available for minimizing the environmental impact associated with the operation of the cooling water intake structure; d) reduced the average monthly intake flow associated with INTAKE 01H from 30,000,000 gpd to 25,000,000 gpd and reduced the maximum daily intake flow associated with INTAKE 01H from 80,000,000 gpd to 45,000,000 gpd; 5) Modification 5 (April 16, 2009): A minor modification to clarify a reporting discrepancy in Table A of the permit.

III. STATUS OF SPECIAL CONDITIONS/COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES IN PERMIT

NPDES Permit CT0000957 includes four special conditions/compliance schedules:

<u>1: Section 10(A)</u>: Section 10(A) of the permit required the Permittee to undertake certain closure activities associated with the Biological Treatment System (BTS) that it had used to treat the wastewaters associated with its pharmaceutical manufacturing operations. Pfizer satisfied these requirements and documented closure of the former BTS in November 2008. The Department approved the requirements associated with Section 10(A) on January 9, 2009. [See Attachment 1].

<u>2: Section 10(B)(1)-(5)</u>: Subsections 1-5 of Section 10(B) of the permit required that Pfizer conduct a study to assess the impact of the operation of its intake structure on the fisheries resources in the Thames River. Specifically, Section 10(B) required that Pfizer conduct a one-year impingement study and a two-year entrainment study in order to accomplish the requirements set forth in this section. In October 2009, Pfizer requested a reduction in the duration of the entrainment study from two years to one year; this request was formalized in the permit modification that was issued on April 23, 2010. On August 19, 2010, the Department approved the impingement and entrainment reports associated with Section 10(B). [See Attachment 2].

3: Section 10(C): Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate has sporadically been detected in Pfizer's discharge, DSN 008-1, at levels in excess of the water quality criteria. Therefore, Section 10(C) of the permit required that Pfizer investigate any and all sources of Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate at its facility and then implement actions in order to achieve compliance with the water quality-based effluent limitations for DSN 008-1 for this pollutant. Pfizer conducted this investigation and determined that the source of the Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate appeared to be associated with the plastic components in the DSN 008-1 autosampler. Pfizer replaced these components with phthalate-free materials. Following this replacement, the level of Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate in DSN 008-1 was reduced to non-detectable levels. On November 20, 2008, the Department approved the actions taken by Pfizer to achieve compliance with the Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate effluent limitations in its permit. [See Attachment 3].

4: <u>Section 10(B)(6)-(9)</u>: The original requirements in Section 10(B) of the permit were expanded (as part of Modification 4) to include a requirement that Pfizer conduct a study to evaluate the best technology available for minimizing the environmental impact associated with the operation of its cooling water intake structure. Based on this study it has been determined that expansion/upgrading of the existing cooling tower is the best technology available. Implementation of this technology will allow Pfizer to eliminate the use of its cooling water intake structure. Pfizer expects to complete the work associated with the cooling tower expansion/upgrade by 2015 (4thQ). See Section XIX of the fact sheet for more details.

IV. GENERAL SITE INFORMATION

A. FEDERALLY-RECOGNIZED INDIAN LAND

As provided in the permit application, the site is not located on federally-recognized Indian land.

B. COASTAL AREA/COASTAL BOUNDARY

The activity is located within a coastal boundary as defined in CGS 22a-94(b). However, the application is for a renewed activity and therefore, a coastal consistency review is not required.

C. ENDANGERED, THREATENED, AND SPECIAL-CONCERN SPECIES

The natural diversity database maintained by the Department's Bureau of Natural Resources indicates that there are records of State-threatened *Alosa aestivalis* (blueback herring) in the vicinity of the site. Staff of the Department's Inland Fisheries Division has conducted an evaluation of the proposed activities identified in Application 201207927 and has determined that the continuance of DSN 008-1 and DSN 009-1 as well as the continued use of INTAKE 01H at the site would not be expected to impact the blueback herring. [See Attachment 4].

D. AQUIFER PROTECTION AREAS

The project site is not located within a town required to establish Aquifer Protection Areas.

E. CONSERVATION OR PRESERVATION RESTRICTION

As provided in the permit application, the property is not subject to a conservation or preservation restriction.

F. PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY WATERSHED

The site is not located within a public water supply watershed.

V. RECEIVING WATER INFORMATION

Pfizer discharges into the section of the Thames River identified as Waterbody Segment ID CT-E1_014-SB. This segment is classified as an "SB" water. Class SB waters are designated for: habitat for marine fish, other aquatic life, and wildlife; commercial shellfish harvesting; recreation; industrial water supply; and navigation. This waterbody segment is identified on the 2012 *Integrated Water Quality Report* as an impaired waterbody. There are two impaired designated uses associated with this waterbody: 1) an impairment to commercial shellfish harvesting (where authorized) caused by fecal coliform; 2) an impairment to the habitat for marine fish, other aquatic life, and wildlife, caused by low dissolved oxygen levels and impaired biological/habitat conditions. As of September 2013, Segment CT-E1_014-SB ("Estuary 11") was incorporated into the *Statewide Total Maximum Daily Load for Bacteria-Impaired Waters*, September 2012. In addition, this segment of the Thames River is also subject to *A Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis to Achieve Water Quality Standards for Dissolved Oxygen in Long Island Sound*, December 2000.

VI. NATURE OF BUSINESS GENERATING THE DISCHARGE

Pfizer is primarily engaged in pharmaceutical research operations at the site. The Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code for this activity, as provided by the applicant, is: 8731 (Commercial Physical and Biological Research).

4

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Pfizer operates a pharmaceutical research and development facility on Eastern Point Road in Groton. The facility is located on a total of 148 acres and consists of two campuses on opposite sides of Eastern Point The section of Pfizer known as East Campus is dedicated to pharmaceutical research and Road. development activities and contains hundreds of labs and related support operations. The section of Pfizer opposite East Campus and adjacent to the Thames River is known as West Campus and had historically been used for pharmaceutical manufacturing operations. In 2007, the pharmaceutical manufacturing operations ceased at the site. West Campus is now used to conduct limited research and development operations, pilot plant operations, and research and development associated with solid dose clinical trials. Any laboratory wastewaters associated with research and development that are generated on either East Campus or West Campus are collected, treated, and directed into the City of Groton's sewer system; these discharges are authorized by SPDES Permit SP0000083. The discharges associated with NPDES Permit CT0000957 are related to the facility's support/utilities operations only.

The support/utilities operations that occur at the facility include: power and steam generation and chilled water generation. Pfizer uses Thames River water as a source of non-contact cooling water for its power, steam, and chilled water generation operations. A Diversion Permit (3000-018-IND-RI) exists that allows for the withdrawal of up to 182.9 million gallons Thames River water per day for these activities. A summary of the support/utilities operations is as follows:

Power and Steam Generation: The Pfizer Utilities Team produces electricity and steam that is used to support operations at the Groton site. The co-generation system is capable of producing up to 35 MW/hr of electricity and 420,000 pounds/hour of steam. The powerhouse is located on West Campus in Buildings 101, 160, and 168. The three primary boilers in B101, as well as the Heat Recovery Steam Generation unit in B160, which are all fired with natural gas as the primary fuel and ultra-low sulfur diesel as an alternate fuel, generate steam which is used by the turbine generators to produce electricity. City water is used as make-up water to the boilers. The electricity generated from the system is primarily used for the Groton site, however, in times of peak demand, the electricity produced by Pfizer can be distributed off-site to Groton Utilities. The steam output from the generators is sent out to the east and west campuses for use in building heating and certain research-related applications and is then returned back to the powerhouse as condensate which is re-used in the boilers.

In periods of high power demand or during maintenance activities associated with the cogeneration turbines, Pfizer activates a fourth turbine generator ("4TG"). When 4TG is activated, water from the Thames River is used to provide cooling to the steam condensate unit associated with 4TG. It is estimated that 4TG operates approximately 35 days per year (i.e., 14 days of scheduled maintenance and 21 days during demand response with a typical 3 hour requirement). The amount of Thames River water used per month to operate 4TG is approximately 1 MGD. This represents approximately 10% of Thames River water used by Pfizer.

Chilled Water Generation: On the Pfizer site, chilled water is used for building air conditioning and process cooling. The water is chilled using either steam absorption (installed in 1992 and 2006) and/or electric chillers (installed in 2008). The electric chillers are generally used yearround, whereas the steam absorption chillers are generally used in periods of high electrical demand (i.e., summer) or periods of significant steam production (i.e., winter). Thames River water is used for once-through, non-contact cooling for the condenser-water side of the heat exchangers. The amount of Thames River water used per month to operate these units can be up to 10 MGD. This represents approximately 90% of the Thames River water used by Pfizer.

The non-contact cooling water associated with the above-noted support/utilities operations is conveyed into the West Equalizing Basin on West Campus and into the Thames River via discharge point DSN 008-1.

In addition to the non-contact cooling water, additional wastestreams associated with the support/utilities operations at the site are also conveyed into the West Equalizing Basin and discharged via DSN 008-1. These are as follows:

5

Fact Sheet for NPDES PERMIT CT0000957

VII.

WASTESTREAM	DESCRIPTION	CHEMICALS USED IN PROCESS
AIR COMPRESSOR CONDENSATE	Moisture from the ambient air that condenses during the compression cycle in oil-free compressors.	
AIR CONDITIONER CONDENSATE	Moisture from ambient air that condenses on the HVAC coils.	
BACKFLOW PREVENTER WASTEWATER	Backflow preventers are tested semi-annually. City water is used in the operation.	
BOILER BLEED OFF/DRAINING	Maintenance activities on the boilers periodically require that the contents of the boiler water tanks be drained.	NALCO NexGuard 22310 NALCO Surguard 1700
BOILER BLOWDOWN	Blowdown is manually released from the five boilers on-site and the heat recovery boiler on the co-generation turbine in order to maintain the proper chemistry in the system.	NALCO NexGuard 22310 NALCO Surguard 1700
BOILER LABORATORY TESTING WASTEWATER	Wastewater generated from performing analytical testing on the boiler feed water.	
BOILER WASHDOWN	Once per year the internal section of the boilers are washed down using city water following removal of all solid waste from the soot hopper.	
BUILDING MAINTENANCE WASTEWATER	City water is used to remove dust, dirt from the floors, walls, and other building structures.	
CHILLED WATER	Chilled water is infrequently discharged due to operating or maintenance needs.	NALCO Trac 107* NALCO 7320*
COOLING TOWER BLOWDOWN/DRAINING	Cooling towers are located in Buildings 101 and 160; Building 84 is a cooling tower. Periodically, blowdown is released from the cooling towers in order to maintain the proper chemistry in the system. Periodically, tower sumps, condenser water piping, and chilled water piping connected to the tower would be drained for maintenance or freeze protection.	Stabrex ST-70 Trasar 3DT294 NALCO 7320 NALCO 73551 NALSPERSE 73551* NALCO 71D5 Plus Trasar 3DTBR20
DEWATERING WASTEWATER	When the groundwater table rises, groundwater can enter the basements of Buildings 101 and 168.	
FIRE SUPPRESSION TEST WATER	Quarterly, Pfizer Fire Department tests the flows on the fire protection systems at the site. City water is used in the systems.	
HYDROSTATIC TEST WATER	City water is used periodically to hydrostatically test newly installed or repaired pipe lines or tanks. The pipes/tanks are cleaned prior to adding the city water for the test.	
PUMP SEAL WATER	City water is used to provide a seal on the various pumps used throughout the facility.	
RESIN REGENERATION WASTEWATER	Approximately once per month, the resin used to polish the steam condensate is regenerated with salt/water and a resin cleaner.	NALCO 4264
REVERSE OSMOSIS BRINE	A reverse osmosis unit is used to treat the city water make-up to the boiler. A continuous discharge of brine/non-permeate is associated with the operation of this system.	
SAND FILTER BACKWASH	Approximately once per day, the sand filters associated with the cooling tower systems in Buildings 84 and 160 are backwashed with city water/cooling tower water.	
SHELL AND TUBE HEAT EXCHANGER WASTEWATER	Shell and tube heat exchangers are used to generate site chilled water and typically do not generate wastewater with the exception of once-through cooling saltwater. However, leaks, as well as operation and maintenance activities, will generate some wastewater from the exchangers. In addition, wastewater is also generated when the heat exchangers are periodically cleaned with city water.	NALCO Trac 107
SPILL CONTAINMENT STORMWATER	Precipitation (rain or snow) collects in the secondary containment structures associated with exterior tanks. Prior to transfer, the collected stormwater is visually inspected for oil sheen and tested for pH.	
STEAM CLEANING AND POWER WASHING WASTEWATER	City water or steam is used to power wash air coils/fins, instrumentation, or seals. Power washing occurs after any chemicals/oils have been removed.	
STEAM CONDENSATE	Any steam condensate that is not returned for use as boiler feed water.	NALCO 8735 NALCO NexGuard 22310 NALCO Surgard 1700
STORMWATER	Stormwater collected from a West Campus parking area, from a section of road to the east of the south end of the West Basin, and from a roadway north of B168.	
STRAINER CLEANING WASTEWATER	City water is periodically used to clean the strainers associated with the salt water lines and the chilled water system.	

Fact Sheet for NPDES PERMIT CT0000957

WASTESTREAM	DESCRIPTION	CHEMICALS USED IN PROCESS
WATER SOFTENER	Approximately twice per week, the water softener used to treat the	
REGENERATION	city water make-up to the boiler is regenerated with a brine/salt	
WASTEWATER	water solution	
* Not presently used but will be used in	the fiture	

Not presently used, but will be used in the future

[See Attachments 5, 6, and 7 for the site map, location map, and line diagram].

VIII. THE ON-SITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM

As noted above, wastewaters from several different areas on-site are conveyed to the wastewater treatment system for treatment and discharge, including; once-through cooling waters which are piped directly into the system; utilities wastewaters from Buildings 101, 165, and 168 which are directed into the system through Pump Stations 2 & 4; stormwater from secondary containment areas and floor drain wastewater from Building 160 which are initially directed into an oil/water separator and are then conveyed into the treatment system. These wastestreams are collected in a 1,140,633 gallon equalization tank ("West Equalizing Basin"). The primary function of the West Equalizing Basin is to provide equalization for high temperature cooling waters. If necessary, pH adjustment can also take place in West Equalizing Basin, although this is generally unnecessary since 99% of the incoming wastewater is comprised of non-contact cooling water. Following equalization and pH adjustment, the wastewater is discharged from the West Equalizing Basin via DSN 008-1 into the Thames River through a submerged multiport diffuser.

IX. EFFLUENT QUALITY DATA

See Attachment 8 for a summary of DMR data from 2008 to 2013.

X. MONITORING/EFFLUENT VIOLATIONS

Based on a review of Pfizer's DMRs from August 2008 to August 2013, the following effluent violations were noted:

MONTH/YEAR	DSN	PARAMETER VIOLATED	TYPE OF LIMIT	PERMITTED LIMIT	REPORTED VALUE
August 2008	008-1	Oil and Grease	Maximum Daily	5.0 mg/L	5.42 mg/L
REASON: 🗌 Equi	ipment Rel	ated 🔲 Operator Error	🗌 Other 🖾 Unknown	1	
Driver indicates th	at one of	the grap samples taken	for Oil & Grease anal	vsis was either contami	nated during sample

grab samples taken for Oil & Grease analysis was collection or was the result of a laboratory error.

MONTH/YEAR	DSN	PARAMETER VIOLATED	TYPE OF LIMIT	PERMITTED LIMIT	REPORTED VALUE
November 2008	009-1	Flow	Maximum Daily	75,000 gpd	313,200 gpd
REASON: 🗌 Equ	ipment Re	lated 🔲 Operator Error	🛛 Other 🔲 Unknown	1	

The maximum daily flow limit for DSN 009-1 was exceeded on three days in November. The exceedences were caused because the intake screens required more frequent backwashing on those days as excess debris had built up on the screens as a result of inclement weather conditions. A permit modification for a flow increase for DSN 009-1 was received on January 23, 2009 and was issued on April 16, 2009.

MONTH/YEAR	DSN	PARAMETER VIOLATED	TYPE OF LIMIT	PERMITTED LIMIT	REPORTED VALUE		
December 2008	009-1	Flow	Maximum Daily	75,000 gpd	115,857 gpd		
REASON: 🗌 Equipment Related 🔲 Operator Error 🛛 Other 🗋 Unknown							
The maximum da	ly flow li	mit for DSN 009-1 was	exceeded on one day	in December. The exc	ceedence was caused		
because the intake	screens re	equired more frequent ba	ckwashing on that day	v as excess debris had bu	uilt up on the screens		
as a result of inclement weather conditions. A permit modification for a flow increase for DSN 009-1 was received on							
January 23, 2009 a	ind was is	sued on April 16, 2009.					

Fact Sheet for NPDES PERMIT CT0000957

7

MONTH/YEAR	DSN	PARAMETER VIOLATEÐ	TYPE OF LIMIT	PERMITTED LIMIT	REPORTED VALUE
February 2009	009-1	Flow	Maximum Daily	75,000 gpd	417,600 gpd
REASON: 🗌 Equ	iipment Re	lated 🔲 Operator Error	🛛 Other 🗌 Unknowr	n	
01 1		·		• 10 X (10)	

The maximum daily flow limit for DSN 009-1 was exceeded on five days in February. The exceedences were caused because the intake screens required more frequent backwashing on those days as excess debris had built up on the screens as a result of inclement weather conditions. A permit modification for a flow increase for DSN 009-1 was received on January 23, 2009 and was issued on April 16, 2009.

MONTH/YEAR	DSN	PARAMETER VIOLATED	TYPE OF LIMIT	PERMITTED LIMIT	REPORTED VALUE
May 2012	008-1	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	Average Monthly Maximum Daily	6 μg/L 12 μg/L	98.2 μg/L 98.2 μg/L
REASON: 🗖 Equ	ipment Re!	iated 🔲 Operator Error	🗌 Other 🖾 Unknowr	a	

Pfizer conducted an investigation of all process, operational, and sample collection issues related to the exceedence but was unable to identify any source of Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate. Pfizer concluded that the exceedence may have been caused by laboratory error or sample bottle contamination.

Based on a review of Pfizer's DMRs from August 2008 to March 2013, the following reporting violations were noted:

- *August 2008*: Quantification could not be verified at or below the minimum level of 10 μg/L. The value was reported at 25 μg/L.
- *September 2008*: One of the weekly samples for Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate was not collected over a 24-hour period.
- **December 2008**: Quantification could not be verified at or below the minimum level of 10 µg/L. The value was reported at 100 µg/L.
- *May 2013*: All of the required parameters were not collected in this month due to operator error. These samples were collected in June 2013.

XI. ENFORCEMENT & COMPLIANCE HISTORY

With respect to the wastewater regulations, there has been one action issued to the Permittee during this permit term: NOVWRIN13301 was issued on July 25, 2013 regarding the operation and maintenance of the wastewater treatment system. The issue was addressed when Pfizer applied for and received a RCSA 22a-430-3(i)(3) approval for a treatment system modification. The NOV was closed on August 8, 2013.

Nothing in the Permittee's compliance history precludes re-issuance of this permit or would require the imposition of any conditions to ensure compliance, as set forth in CGS Section 22a-6m.

XII. SPILL HISTORY (LAST FIVE YEARS):

In the last five years, Pfizer has had releases of chilled water, re-heat water, and ethylene/propylene glycol. These releases have generally occurred due to the failure of mechanical systems in the various buildings on-site (e.g., a rooftop chiller cracks in the cold weather releasing chilled water into the stormwater collection system).

XIII. EFFLUENT GUIDELINES

EPA's June 30, 1988 memorandum entitled "Guidance for NPDES Permits Issued to Electric Cogenerating Plants and Industrial Facilities with Electric Generating Plants" summarizes the applicability of 40 CFR 423 to a cogeneration plant or an industrial source with an on-site steam electric power generating facility.

In that memorandum, EPA states that the Part 423 requirements are specifically applicable under the following conditions:

- 1. At least 50 percent of the facility revenue is derived from the generation of electricity;
- 2. At least 50 percent of the fuel is oil, gas, coal, and/or nuclear
- 3. A steam-electric cycle is used, and
- 4. A discharge exists to waters of the United States or a POTW

The memo further states that if all of these conditions are not met, Part 423 requirements are not specifically applicable.

Pfizer does not meet Condition 1 of this test in that it does not derive at least 50 percent of its revenue from the cogeneration operations. Therefore, 40 CFR 423 does not apply to DSN 008-1.

None of the other Effluent Limitation Guidelines set forth in 40 CFR 405 - 471 apply to either DSN 008-1 or DSN 009-1.

XIV. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

RES	OURCES USED TO DRAFT PERMIT		DISCHARGE POINT(S)
	Federal Effluent Limitation Guideline (ELG)		
\boxtimes	Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (RCSA)	RCSA 22a-430-3 & 4	DSN 008-1 DSN 009-1
\boxtimes	Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)	40 CFR 122-125	DSN 008-1 DSN 009-1
	Performance Standards		
	Federal Development Document		
	Treatability Manual		
	Department File Information	Previous permit	
\square	Connecticut Water Quality Regulations	Water Quality Standards, October 10, 2013	DSN 008-1
	Antidegradation Policy		
	Coastal Management Consistency Review Form		
	Other	A Statewide Total Maximum Daily Load ("TMDL") Analysis for Bacteria-Impaired Waters, Estuary 11, September 2013 A Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis to Achieve Water Quality Standards for Dissolved Oxygen in Long Island Sound, December 2000 Technical Support Document for Water Quality-Based Toxics Control, 1991 ("TSD")	DSN 008-1

	BASIS FOR LIMITS, STANDARDS OR CONDITIONS	DISCHARGE POINT(S)
	Best Available Technology (BAT)	
	Best Practicable Technology (BPT)	
	Best Conventional Technology (BCT)	
	New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)	
\bowtie	Case-by-Case Determination using Best Professional Judgment (BPJ)	DSN 008-1 DSN 009-1
	Secondary Treatment	
\square	In order to meet in-stream water quality	DSN 008-1

Fact Sheet for NPDES PERMIT CT0000957

A. WASTESTREAMS AUTHORIZED FOR DISCHARGE UNDER DSN 008-1: Air Compressor Condensate; Air Conditioner Condensate; Backflow Preventer Wastewater; Boiler Bleed Off/Draining; Boiler Blowdown; Boiler Laboratory Testing Wastewater; Boiler Washdown; Building Maintenance Wastewater; Chilled Water; Cooling Tower Blowdown/Draining; Dewatering Wastewater; Fire Suppression Test Water; Hydrostatic Test Water; Non-Contact Cooling Water; Pump Seal Water; Resin Regeneration Wastewater; Reverse Osmosis Brine; Sand Filter Backwash; Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger Wastewater; Spill Containment Stormwater; Steam Cleaning and Powerwashing Wastewater; Steam Condensate; Stormwater; Strainer Cleaning Wastewater; Water Softener Regeneration Wastewater

B. POLLUTANTS OF CONCERN FOR DSN 008-1:

REASON FOR INCLUSION **IDENTIFIED AS** POLLUTANT POLLUTANT POLLUTANT WITH AN PRESENT IN THE **OTHERWISE EXPECTED** APPLICABLE TMDL **EFFLUENT THROUGH** TO BE PRESENT IN THE SAMPLING EFFLUENT Ammonia ∢ Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate 1 BOD₅ 1 1 Chlorine, Total Residual 1 Chromium 1 Copper Enterococci 1 Fecal coliform 1 1 Iron Kjeldahl Nitrogen 1 1 Lead 1 Nickel 1 Nitrate 1 Nitrite Nitrogen, Total 1 Oil & Grease 1 Temperature/Waste Heat ∢ Total Suspended Solids 1 Zinc 1

The following pollutants are included as monitoring pollutants in the permit for the reasons noted below:

C. BASIS FOR DSN 008-1 LIMITS:

Technology and water-quality based requirements are considered when developing permit limits. Technology-based limits represent the minimum level of control imposed under the Clean Water Act ("CWA"). Industry-specific technology-based limits are set forth in 40 CFR 405 – 471 (EPA's Effluent Limitation Guidelines). Water quality-based limits are required when any pollutant or pollutant parameter (conventional, non-conventional, toxic, and whole effluent toxicity) is or may be discharged at a level that causes, has reasonable potential to cause, or contributes to an excursion above any water quality criteria. Should both technology and water quality-based limits apply to a particular pollutant, the more stringent limit would apply.

D. TECHNOLOGY-BASED LIMITS FOR DSN-008-1:

As noted above, DSN 008-1 is not subject to 40 CFR 423 or any other ELG. Therefore, there are no technology-based limits associated with any ELG for this discharge.

E. MIXING ZONES FOR DSN 008-1:

• **Toxic Mixing Zone**: In 1986, a dye dilution study was conducted at Pfizer. The results of this study were evaluated under the proposed permit conditions in order to determine a mixing zone that is applicable to DSN 008-1. See Attachment 9 for detail.

• Thermal Mixing Zone: In 2013, Pfizer conducted a study to evaluate the thermal impact of DSN 008-1. Modeling was also conducted in order to determine the size and extent of the thermal plume in the receiving stream. See Attachment 10 for detail.

F. WATER QUALITY-BASED LIMITS FOR DSN 008-1:

As defined in the TSD, reasonable potential is where an effluent is projected or calculated to cause an excursion above a water quality standard based on a number of factors, including at a minimum, the four factors listed in 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii). A reasonable potential analysis was conducted for each parameter that could be expected to be in the discharge. [See Attachment 11 for the reasonable potential analysis.] This analysis indicates that reasonable potential exists for Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate to exceed the applicable water quality criteria. Therefore, consistent with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(iii), the permit will include water quality-based limits for this parameter.

G. CASE-BY-CASE LIMITS FOR DSN 008-1:

Case-by-case limits consistent with RCSA Section 22a-430-4(m) were developed for: Oil & Grease (based on a visual standard of 5 ppm) and Total Iron (based on the limits set forth in RCSA Section 22a-430-4(s)).

H. COMMENTS ON OTHER MONITORING PARAMETERS FOR DSN 008-1:

- Dissolved Oxygen: Section 22a-426-9(a)(1) of the *Water Quality Standards* sets forth Dissolved Oxygen criteria for Class SB waters. The Permittee shall determine, on a quarterly basis, the Dissolved Oxygen content of its discharge and that of the receiving water.
- Fecal Coliform & Enterococci: Pfizer's existing permit requires monitoring for both fecal coliform and Escherichia coli. Both of these parameters were included for

monitoring due to historic issues concerning bacteria content in DSN 008-1. As of September 2013, a Statewide bacteria TMDL for the Thames River segment that Pfizer discharges into became effective. While Pfizer is not specifically allocated a load in that continued TMDL, monitoring of DSN 008-1 for bacterial indicators is



recommended. Consistent with the subject TMDL and the existing *Water Quality Standards*, the bacterial indicator species for marine waters are: fecal coliform and *Enterococci*. Therefore, these two parameters will be included for monitoring in the permit.

- Temperature/Temperature Rise/Waste Heat: In July 2013, Pfizer conducted an evaluation of the impact of the thermal component of DSN 008-1 on the Thames River. The results of this study indicate that a maximum effluent temperature limit of 90 °F and a maximum temperature rise of 32.1 °F is protective of fisheries resources in the subject area. [See Attachment 10 for details.]
- Total Iron: EPA Method 200.7 had been used to analyze DSN 008-1 for Total Iron. However, it was determined that there were some possible matrix interferences associated with the use of this method. Since 2011, Pfizer has been using EPA Method 6020 to analyze DSN 008-1 for Total Iron and it has not experienced any interferences with the use of this method. Therefore, EPA Method 6020 will be the test method that Pfizer uses

for Total Iron testing under this permit. This is incorporated at Section 6(A)(2) of the permit because this test method is not included in 40 CFR 136.

Total Nitrogen: The TMDL, A Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis to Achieve Water Ouality Standards for Dissolved Oxygen in Long Island Sound, December 2000, assigns Total Nitrogen allocations, by zone, to certain facilities that discharge into Long Island Sound watershed basins. This TMDL is structured so that reductions to baseline

allocations occur in The reduction steps. schedule published in the TMDL is specified follows: a 25% as of reduction the baseline through 2008; a 47.6% reduction of the baseline from 2009 through 2013; and a final 63.5% reduction of the baseline by



2014. Pfizer's 2009 and 2014 stepdowns, as set forth in the referenced TMDL, were adjusted before the last permit term to reflect a value in line with the changes made to the facility since the original baseline values were determined. The adjusted 2014 stepdown is 331 lbs/day (average monthly). This will continue to be the limit in the permit.

I. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY:

Pfizer's existing permit requires semi-annual acute toxicity testing and semi-annual chronic toxicity testing for DSN 008-1 using *Mysidopsis bahia* and *Cyprinodon variegates*. The existing permit includes limits for acute toxicity (>90% Survival in 100% effluent) and requires monitoring only for chronic toxicity. Results of the acute and chronic toxicity conducted under the existing permit are as follows:

	AC (48 H	UTE OURS)		CHRONIC (7 DAYS)					
	M. bahia	C. variegates		M. bahia			C. variegates		
	% Survival in 100% effluent	% Survival in 100% effluent	% Sarvival in 100% effluent	SURVIVAL	GROWTH	FECUNDITY	% Survival In 100% effluent	SURVIVAL	GROWTH
	%	%	%	% C- NOEC	% C- NOEC	% C- NOEC	%	% C- NOEC	% C- NOEC
AUG 2008	100	98	90	100	100	46	98	100	100
FEB 2009	100	100	98	100	100	100	100	100	100
AUG 2009	97	100	82	100	100	100	100	100	100
FEB 2010	100	100	98	100	100	100	100	100	100
AUG 2010	98	98	92	100	<100	100	97	100	100
FEB 2011	93.3	98.3	88.3	100	100	100	98.3	100	100
AUG 2011	100	98	97	100	100	100	98	100	100
FEB 2012	96.7	98.3	90	100	100	50	98.3	100	100
AUG 2012	98.3	98.3	93.3	100	100	47	98.3	100	<100
FEB 2013	100	100	100	100	<100	15,3	100	100	100

As required, Pfizer shall continue to perform acute aquatic toxicity and chronic aquatic toxicity for DSN 008-1. The frequency shall remain semi-annual. An analysis of the receiving water in the vicinity of Pfizer's discharge indicates that the salinity values are generally higher than 20 ppt. Therefore, consistent with RCSA Section 22a-430-3(j)(7)(A)(iii)(c), the test species for the aquatic toxicity testing shall remain Mysidopsis bahia (now identified as Americamysis bahia) and *Cyprinodon variegates.* The only change with respect to the toxicity testing is the expression of the limits for acute toxicity which should be LC_{50} , consistent with other like discharges. Based on the semi-annual testing performed from 2008 until 2013, there is no reasonable potential that the discharge could contribute to chronic toxicity at the edge of the chronic mixing zone (i.e., at an IWC of 2.17%). Therefore, chronic toxicity shall continue to be monitoring only.

K. MONITORING FREQUENCY:

The *Monitoring Schedule* set forth in RCSA 22a-430-3 does not prescribe a frequency for DSN 008-1. Therefore, the monitoring frequency shall be based on a case-by-case basis.

L. WASTESTREAMS AUTHORIZED FOR DISCHARGE UNDER DSN 009-1:

DSN 009-1 consists of the discharge of intake screen backwash water only. Backwashing the screens is conducted using Thames River water only. Therefore, the only pollutant of concern that could be introduced into the Thames River from this operation is Total Suspended Solids.

M. BASIS FOR DSN 009-1 PARAMETERS, LIMITS, AND MONITORING FREQUENCIES:

There are no changes in the permit to any of the monitoring parameters or flows associated with DSN 009-1. Total Suspended Solids is the only parameter of concern associated with this discharge and it will continue to be a monitoring parameter.

N. WASTESTREAMS AUTHORIZED FOR DISCHARGE UNDER INTAKE 01H:

INTAKE 01H consists of Thames River intake water only.

O. BASIS FOR INTAKE 01H PARAMETERS, LIMITS, AND MONITORING FREQUENCIES:

The only monitoring parameters required for the intake include flow and temperature. The other monitoring parameters which are in the existing permit (e.g., metals, toxicity, etc.) are not required and are duplicative since the Thames River water is being tested for these parameters as part of the chronic toxicity testing.

XV. EXPRESSION OF EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

The DSN 008-1 discharge operates continuously. Therefore, the permit limits for DSN 008-1 are expressed as average monthly and maximum daily, unless impracticable. Limits based on water quality are expressed as both mass and concentration. There are no monitoring limits for DSN 009-1 and INTAKE 01H except for flow.

XVI. ANTI-BACKSLIDING

An antibacksliding analysis was conducted on the final effluent limitations. None of the effluent limitations are less stringent than the limits in the existing permit. Total Residual Chlorine is no longer limited since there is now no reasonable potential for that pollutant to exceed water quality criteria.

XVII. ANTIDEGRADATION

The renewed permit does not reflect any new or expanded discharges.

XVIII. SECTION 316(a) OF THE CWA

There is a thermal component associated with the DSN 008-1 discharge. Section 316(a) of the CWA and the implementing regulations at 40 CFR 125, Subpart H allow for the imposition of alternative limitations for control of the thermal component of a discharge in lieu of effluent limitations that would otherwise be required under Sections 301 or 306 of the CWA if the Permittee can demonstrate (by submission of a variance request) that the alternative limits will assure the protection and propagation of a balanced, indigenous population of shellfish, fish, and wildlife in and on the waterbody into which the discharge is made. A summary of the history of the studies/requests conducted relative to the thermal component of DSN 008-1 is summarized in Appendix 10.

XIX. **SECTION 316(b) OF THE CWA**

Pfizer operates a cooling water intake structure on the Thames River. The water withdrawn from the river is used by Pfizer in its support/utilities operations. All of the water withdrawn is used as cooling water. Consequently, the operation of the intake structure is subject to Section 316(b) of the CWA. Section 316(b) requires that the location, design, construction, and capacity of cooling water intake structures reflect the best technology available (BTA) for minimizing adverse environmental impact. The CWA does not describe either the technologies or the factors to be considered in establishing Section 316(b) requirements that reflect the best technology available for minimizing the adverse environmental impact. In April 2011, EPA proposed regulations entitled Cooling Water Intake Structures at Existing Facilities which would impose certain performance standards associated with the operation of a cooling water intake structure which, when implemented, would minimize its adverse environmental impact. To date, these regulations have not been finalized. Therefore, the BTA determination for Pfizer was made using Best Professional Judgment, considering site-specific factors.

As noted previously, Pfizer's permit was modified (by incorporation of Paragraphs 10(B)(6) through 10(B)(10)) to include a requirement that it evaluate its cooling water intake structure consistent with the provisions in Section 316(b) of the CWA. Pfizer undertook the requisite biological studies to evaluate the environmental impact of its cooling water intake structure in 2009. On September 26, 2011, Pfizer submitted a report entitled, BTA Evaluation, prepared by its consultant, Kleinschmidt Associates, in response to Paragraph 10(B)(7) of its permit. Supplemental information relative to the evaluation dated December 21, 2011, January 9, 2012, and February 22, 2013 was also provided to the Department. The information provided in these documents was used in making the site-specific BTA determination for Pfizer. A summary of these factors is as follows:

Site Make-up

Pfizer is located in a mixed residential/commercial/industrial area in Groton. The West Campus portion of

the property is adjacent to the Thames River and is approximately 60 acres in size. Pfizer uses once-through cooling water for its operations that is provided by a salt water intake structure located on the Thames River on the West Campus side of the property. West Campus had formerly been used for pharmaceutical manufacturing operations. When the manufacturing operations ceased, many of the buildings and related equipment associated with manufacturing were demolished. Consequently, West Campus now contains a large amount of open space.

Source Water Information

The Thames River is a 16-mile long tidal river that begins in Norwich at the confluence of the Yantic and Shetucket rivers and ends at Long Island Sound (LIS). Pfizer is located in the lower Thames River, approximately a mile from the mouth. The depth of the river in this area averages 16 feet (below MLLW) but extends up to 45 feet at the deepest location (in the navigation channel). The width of the river in the location of Pfizer's intake is approximately 4,000 feet. Portions of the LIS cable are located in the lower Thames River. Town and State-managed shellfish beds are located in proximity of Pfizer. State-threatened Alosa aestivalis (blueback herring) inhabit the Thames River. The segment of the Thames River that Pfizer discharges into is impaired for commercial shellfish harvesting and fish habitat. The salinity in the lower Thames River ranges from 26 to 30 ppt (surface to bottom) year-round. The mean tidal range in the lower Thames River is approximately 2.2 feet with a tidal cycle occurring every 12.5 hours/day. The average current measured in the area is 0.26 knots.

Cooling Water Intake Structure

Pfizer withdraws water from the Thames River for its operations from a single submerged shoreline intake

structure in Building 109 (known as INTAKE 01H in the NPDES Permit) located on the east side of the Thames River at 41° 19' 56 N (latitude) and 72° 4' 46 W (longitude). The intake structure consists of two sections: the original section ("pump house") was constructed in 1951; in 1972, an addition to the pump house was constructed. The pump house contains one low pressure pump and two high pressure pumps; the newerconstructed section contains one low pressure pump. The two low-pressure pumps are the primary pumps and provide 7,500 gallons per minute (gpm) and 3,500 gpm of water to the facility. These pumps



are routinely operated 24 hours/day on a year-round basis. At full capacity (i.e., operating at 24 hours/day), the low-pressure pumps would provide 10.8 MGD and 5 MGD of cooling water. As demand increases in July through September, one or both of the high-pressure pumps may be put into service. The two high-pressure pumps are each designed to provide 10,000 gpm of water to the facility (i.e., 14.4 MGD each). The total design intake flow (DIF) is 44.6 MGD.

There are currently three traveling screens in use in the pump house which are designed to divert fish and debris away from the intake system. A fourth traveling screen was removed from service in 2009. Traveling screens #1 and #2 (both by Envirex) are oriented perpendicular to the river. These screens are each nine feet wide and are equipped with 3/8-inch 304-stainless steel, 14-gauge mesh and with 6-inch high "lift trays" every 24 inches. These traveling screens are designed at a velocity of 1.86 feet per second (fps) at 60,000 gpm. Traveling screen #3 (by FMC) is oriented parallel to the river and is equipped with 1/4-inch 304-stainless steel, 14-gauge mesh and has 2-two inch high lift trays every 18 inches. This traveling screen is designed for a velocity of 1.82 fps at 30,000 gpm of pumping capacity. Periodically, the traveling screens are backwashed with Thames River water to remove solids build-up; this activity occurs more frequently during inclement weather. The wastewater associated with this operation is discharged via a concrete sluice back into the Thames River via DSN 009-1 in the NPDES Permit.

Recent Intake Water Reductions & Future Site Activities

Pfizer has experienced numerous changes to site conditions and operations in recent years that have significantly altered the size and scope of its activities. Prior to 2007, when manufacturing operations took place at the site, the average monthly intake flows were approximately 40-50 MGD. After manufacturing ceased at the facility, the average monthly intake flows were about 20-25 MGD. Since re-activation of the Building 84 cooling tower in May/June 2011, additional reductions in Thames River water usage have occurred. Given the direct relationship between intake water withdrawals and entrainment, it is assumed that reductions in entrainment have also occurred. Pfizer has no plans to make any changes to its existing site activities that would increase water usage in the next five years. A summary of the last five years of intake flows are as follows:

	20	08	20	09	20	<i>10</i>	20	11	20	12	2013			
MONTH	AVERAGE INTAKE FLOW (MGD)	MAXIMUM INTAKE FLOW (MGD)	AVERAGE INTAKE FLOW (MGD)	MAXIMUM INTAKE FLOW (MGD)	AVERAGE INTAKE FLOW (MGD)	MAXIMUM INTAKE FLOW (MOD)	AVERAGE INTAKE FLOW (MGD)	MAXIMUM INTAKE FLOW (MGD)	AVERAGE INTAKE FLOW (MGD)	MAXIMUM INTAKE FLOW (MGD)	AVERAGE INTAKE FLOW (MGD)	MAXIMUM INTAKE FLOW (MCD)		
JANUARY			10.83	13.89	11.01	15.29	5.74	8.77	5.00	6.11	5,44	11.57		
FEBRUARY	1		8,53	11.31	9,48	12.83	5.21	6.39	5,35	6.72	4.76	5.04		
MARCH			8.47	11.22	9.02	14.14	5.10	6.59	5.01	6.80	5.28	11.20		
APRIL			7.88	9.53	4.72	9.12	5,19	7.02	5.29	6.06	4.90	8.99		
MAY			8.43	12.61	7.01	14,57	5.93	9.40	5,45	7.32	4.87	8.31		
JUNE			9.70	[•] 15.55	11.04	25.09	7.26	11.18	5.80	10.82	6.30	10.52		
JULY			11.46	22,50	17,77	27,16	5,35	12,62	4.58	7.59	5,10	9.37		
AUGUST	6.19	12.23	16.21	24.47	19.63	24.23	3.73	6.17	5.47	8.69				
SEPTEMBER	4.51	9.78	15.85	22.50	13.66	21.69	3.99	10.59	4.84	5.84				
OCTOBER	5,92	9,89	6.97	15,56	5.95	14.41	5,95	8.76	5.49	7.37				
NOVEMBER	7.31	10.71	6.99	11.14	5.36	10.37	4.62	9.04	7.23	9,62				
DECEMBER	9.25	11.64	11.79	15.98	7.22	10.88	5.73	11.49	7.46	9.80	ļ			
			10.26		10.16		5.32		5.58					
Actual Intake Flow (AI	F):	7.83 MG	D											

Impingement Study

From February 2009 to January 2010, a study was conducted by Fuss and O'Neill and Aquatec Biological Sciences, Inc. to evaluate the extent of fish impingement associated with Pfizer's cooling water intake

structure. The results of this study are summarized in the document entitled, An Evaluation of Pfizer Inc. Intake Fish Impingement February 2009 to January 2010, June 2010. As part of this study, fish impingement was monitored at various frequencies (weekly to bimonthly) for a duration of one year. During this time, 41 samples were collected and only one fish was impinged during the entire study. During the study period, average through-screen velocities were



calculated to be between 0.05 to 0.19 fps; the maximum through-screen velocity was determined to be approximately 0.29 fps. The maximum (through-screen) design intake velocity is 0.52 fps. Due to the low impingement rate associated with its intake structure, Pfizer was not required to implement technologies or operational measures in order to address impingement.

Entrainment Study

From February 2009 to January 2010, a study was conducted by Fuss and O'Neill and Aquatec Biological Sciences Inc. to evaluate the extent of ichthyoplankton entrainment associated with Pfizer's cooling water intake structure. The results of this study are summarized in the document entitled, *An Evaluation of the Pfizer Inc. Saltwater Intake Ichthyoplankton Entrainment, February 2009 – January 2010*, July 2010. Samples for the entrainment study were taken at various frequencies (weekly to bi-monthly) for a duration of one year from both Pfizer's intake and from four locations in the Thames River. Results of this study and this concerned the absence of winter flounder larvae in Pfizer's intake, despite the fact that winter flounder were found in the four Thames River samples. The absence of winter flounder larvae in the intake was proposed to be attributable to either: reduced intake/velocity rates, thereby leading to a lower potential for winter flounder to be present in the water column, or the non-homogeneous distribution of winter flounder.

The entrainment study results associated with Pfizer's intake are as follows:

	FEB 2009	MAR 2009	APR 2009	MAY 2009	JUN 2009	JUL 2009	AUG 2009	SEPT 2009	OCT 2009	6007 J000	DEC 2009	JAN 2009
MIN MIN	6.36	6.39	6,34	6.65	5.24	4.73	8.94	11.31	4.02	4.94	6.67	5.51
WITHDRAWAL AVG	8.53	8.47	7.88	8.43	9.70	11.46	16.21	12.86	6.89	7.01	11.81	11.04
KATES (MGD) MAX	11.31	11.22	9.53	12.61	15,55	22.50	24.47	22.49	15.57	11.16	16.02	15.08

 SAMPLING EVENTS:
 4
 5
 4
 5
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2

# EGGS ENTRAINE	D IN PF.	ZER'S	INTA	KE/500	' <i>m</i> ':		Section 2005						TOTAL %
Cunner	0	0	22	112	4941	6933	4012	91	15	0	0	0	16,126 52
Tautog	0	0	9	266	3906	3645	583	0	0	0	0	0	\$;409 27
Bay anchovy	0	0	9	13	513	1024	15	0	0	0	0	0	1,574 5
Butterfish	0	0	0	0	134	954	192	0	0	0	0	0	1,280 4
Atlantic mackerel	0	18	4	0	873	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	895 3
Silver hake	0	0	8	0	214	543	92	0	0	0	0	0	857 3
Sea robins	0	0	4	0	385	370	15	0	0	0	0	0	
Morone	0	0	0	0	410	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	459 1
Hogchoker	0	0	0	0	20	30	5	0.	0	0	0	0	55 0
Windowpane flounder	0	10	0	0	0	0 .	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	10 0
Winter flounder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0
Atlantic tomcod	0	0	0	0		0 :	-0-	0	0	0	0	0	0 0
Four-beard rockling	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0
Menidia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	• • • •	0	0	0	0 0
Scup	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0
Unknown	0	0	22	224	229	55	15	10	5	0	0	0	560 2
	0	28	78	615	11625	13603	4929	101	20	0	0	0	30,999

#LARVAE ENTRAIN	ED IN F	FIZE	R'S INT	AKE/50	$0 m^3$:								TOTAL	%
Bay anchovy	0	0	. 0	0	0	Q.	2263	36	0	0	0	0	2,299	63
Atlantic menhaden		0	0	0	0	0	310	0	0	0	0	0	310	9
Cunner	0	0	0	0	0	55	10	0	0	0	0	ି ପ	65	2
Naked goby	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	30	<u>_1</u>
Butterfish	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	15	0
Rock gunnel	0	97. 11 820	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0
Grubby/little sculpin	S 5 S	0	A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
Tautog	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
Windowpane flounder	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1000 5 1000 10	0
Alosa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.	0	0
American sand lance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic cod	0	N 0	0 .	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	୍
Atlantic mackerel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic tomcod	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Four-beard rockling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lobster	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Menidia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.	0
Morone	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern pipefish	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0	0
Radiated shanny	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Scup	0	0	0	0	0	0	.0	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Summer flounder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Winter flounder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.	0
Yellow perch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5	11	4	5	0	60	2628	36	0	0	0	0	2,749	

NOTE: Adult equivalents of entrained tautog eggs and larvae were calculated using the methodology described by Horst. The equivalent adult losses (using an Age 3 Adult) were less than one.

Based on the data collected during the 2009/2010 entrainment study, annual estimates of eggs and larvae entrained are as follows:

SPECIES	NAME	EGGS ESTIMATED TO BE ENTRAINED ANNUALLY
Cunner	Tautogolabrus adspersus	10,307,078
Tautog	Tautoga onitis	4,837,752
Bay anchovy	Anchoa mitchilli	906,732
Butterfish	Peprilus triacanthus	812,052
Silver hake	Merluccius bilinearis	521,399
Atlantic mackerel	Scomber scombrus	456,102
Sea robins	Prinotus spp.	434,852
Morone	Morone spp,	240,135
Hogchoker	Trinectes maculates	32,748
Windowpane flounder	Scophthalmus aquosus	4,482
		18,553,332

SPECIES	NAME	LARVAE ESTIMATED TO BE ENTRAINED ANNUALLY
Bay anchovy	Anchoa mitchilli	1,965,698
Atlantic menhaden	Brevoortia tyrannus	265,919
Cunner	Tautogolabrus adspersus	41,934
Naked goby	Gobiosoma bosc	25,734
Tautog	Tautoga onitis	12,500
Rock gunnel	Pholis gunnellus	4,930
Grubby/little sculpin	Myoxocephalus aenaeus	1,667
		2,318,382

Fact Sheet for NPDES PERMIT CT0000957

17

Proposed Entrainment Mortality Control Technologies & Operational Measures

As part of its *BTA Evaluation*, the Permittee has proposed and evaluated certain technologies and operational measures designed to mitigate/eliminate the impact associated with its cooling water intake structure. The specific technologies that were evaluated are as follows:

- Closed-cycle cooling
 - Wet cooling using either municipal water make-up or reclaimed water make-up
 Drv cooling
 - Variable speed pumps
- Aquatic microfiltration barriers
- Cylindrical wedgewire screens
- Fine-mesh screens
- Relocation of the intake structure

The technologies evaluated involve either flow reduction, screening, or exclusion. Each of these technologies was evaluated in consideration of criteria applicable to site conditions at Pfizer. These criteria included: technical feasibility (i.e., is it technically feasible to construct, operate, and maintain the proposed technology at the site), projected entrainment reductions (i.e., what site-specific reductions in entrainment could be realized using the proposed technology at the site), system reliability (i.e., will installation of the technology still result in a reliable source of water to be provided to the cooling system while reducing entrainment); adverse effects associated with the proposed technology (i.e., what impacts/problems might be caused as a result of installing/operating the technology, including impacts concerning: water resources/supplies, noise, air, aesthetics, navigation, high energy demand, or complex permitting requirements).

A summary of the proposed technologies/operational measures is as follows:



VARIABLE-SPEED PUMPS

DESIGN & OPERATION

Pumps that operate in a variable-speed mode (versus a fixed-speed mode) allow for a facility to customize the amount of water that it withdraws, thereby enabling the facility to withdrawn only the water it needs instead of a fixed (maximum) amount of water.

TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

Conversion to the use of variable speed pumps at Pfizer will require the addition of variable frequency drives to the existing pumps or may possibly require the installation of new pumps. Completion of this work is technically feasible with no known constraints.

PROJECTED REDUCTION IN ENTRAINMENT

10%-30%, depending on operational and seasonal conditions.

RELIABILITY

Variable speed pumps are a proven technology that is used by varios industries. Installation of this technology will continue to allow Pfizer the ability to deliver power, steam, and chilled water in order to meet its needs. However, the use of variable speed pumps will only be effective if used during times when biological abundance in the waterbody is at the highest levels. However, this also coincides with times of peak cooling demand for Pfizer. Consequently, reduced intake flows at this time could adversely impact the efficiency of on-site operations.

POTENTIAL PROBLEMS AND MEANS TO MINIMIZE/ELIMINATE

PROBLEMS There are certain limitations associated with variable speed pumps/drives, including the following the extent to which the speed can be varied is limited to the pump's specific flow range; using less cooling water could result in decreased operational efficiency and a higher thermal component to the water.

DESIGN & OPERATION

An aquatic microfiltration barrier (AMB) is fabric barrier device which is installed around an intake structure, much like a curtain, so that aquatic organisms will be prevented from being drawn into the intake structure. Ounderboom® manufactures and installs an AMB known as Marine Life Exclusion SystemTM (MLES) which is designed to be used in coastal environments. The MLES is anchored in place in front of the intake structure and is. suspended by flotation billets. The MLES can also be equipped with an Automatic AiBurstTM Cleaning System which uses bursts of compressed air to clean off any sediment or organisms that may have gotten trapped onto the fabric barrier.

TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

The system would need to be anchored in front of Pfizer's intake structure. However, a portion of the LJS cable runs in front of Pfizer's intake structure. It's uncertain whether this may pose problems/complications in terms of anchoring the system in place. The expected service life of the AMB is unknown, as the technology is still being developed/tested.

PROJECTED REDUCTION IN ENTRAINMENT

AQUATIC FILTRATION BARRIER

62%-94% (as documented during the study at the Taunton Desalination Plant)

RELIABILITY

The Gunderboom® systems have only been used at a small number of coastal facilities and all have been tested in environments that would be considered less severe than the coastal conditions at Pfizer's site. The facilities that have used these systems have experienced operational issues including: tearing fabric, damage to the flottino billets, fouling of the fabric caused by colonization of microorganisms, reduced velocity through the fabric as a result of the colonization, and wave overtopping. It is possible that a significant amount of maintenance could be necessary in order to keep the system functioning properly, even if deployed on only a seasonal basis.

POTENTIAL PROBLEMS AND MEANS TO MINIMIZE/ELIMINATE PROBLEMS

There is a high possibility that the fabric could get fouled, particularly by blue mussels. Pfizer would need to ensure that routine maintenance was untaken to keep fouling to a minimum. The concerns about fabric tearing and wave overtopping cannot be minimized or eliminated. The AMB would end up being installed relatively close to the mavigation channel. It's not clear whether the vessel traffic in the channel could cause some issues/problems with the AMB.

DESIGN & OPERATION

A cylindrical wedgewire screen is a passive screening system designed to reduce entrainment by using physical exclusion and hydrodynamics. The design of the screen consists of wedge-shaped wires that are affixed to a cylindrical frame which is submerged in the waterbody in front of the intake. The effectiveness of the screens are dictated by the mesh size and the through-slot velocity. The cylindrical configuration of the screening device combined with the low through-screen velocities reduce the zone of influence of the water being withdrawn, thereby allowing organisms to escape the flow field and drift past the intake.

TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

The proposed system for Pfizer would consist of four screens each 5.5 feet in diameter with 0.5 mm slot widths. The screens are proposed to be manufactured from 316-stainless steel or from a copper-nickel alloy which would aid in minimizing biofouling. The screens must be installed submerged in the waterbody at a prescribed depth. There are no known issues associated with the installation of the screens. An air backwash system (HydroburstTh) would be installed to clean the screens.

PROJECTED REDUCTION IN ENTRAINMENT

MEINDRIGAL MEDICE

58%-72% (in an estuarine setting using slot widths of 0.5 to 1.0 mm)

RELIABILITY

No documentation was provided as to whether the screens are reliable in a marine setting like Pfizer's. While a 0.5 mm slot would reduce entrainment for the three major species of eggs and larvae that were identified during Pfizer's 2009/2010 entrainment study, this small slot size will result in the potential of clogging from debris, requiring routine cleaning, but should still allow Pfizer the ability to deliver power, steam, and chilled water in order to meet its needs while reducing entrainment.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MEANS TO MINIMIZE/ELIMINATE IMPACTS Construction of the system may create some disruption to the benthic environment, although this would not be expected to be significant.

DESIGN & OPERATION

Fine-mesh screens are designed to reduce cutrainment by physically excluding eggs, larvae, and juvenile forms of fish from entering the cooling water system. The degree of entrainment reduction is dependent on the size of the mesh used.

TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

The course mesh in Pfizer's existing traveling screens would be replaced by finemesh overlays (0.5 mm slot openings). Since the use of the finc-mesh screens will result in increased throughvelocities, screen installation of an upgraded fish handling and return system to address impinged organisms could be necessary also. The fine-mesh overlays are proposed to be used seasonally and would need to be installed during times of plant shutdowns.

PROJECTED REDUCTION IN ENTRAINMENT

up to 90%

A 0.5 mm slot would reduce entrainment for the three major species of eggs and larvae that were identified during the 2009/2010 entrainment study. The small slot size would tend to cause fouling and clogging requiring additional allow Pfizer the ability to deliver power, steam, and chilled water in order to meet its needs while reducing entrainment.

RELIABILITY

POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MEANS TO MINIMIZE/ELIMINATE IMPACTS

Use of fine-mesh screens would result in increased screen velocities and would likely result in increased impingement rates (i.e., as mesh sizes are reduced, through-screen velocities are increased, causing more organisms to become impinged on the screens). Nonetheless, the velocity rates would still be expected to be less than 0.5 fps.

DESIGN & OPERATION

The location of a cooling water intake structure would ideally be in an area of a waterbody where the lowest biological populations exist. In marine environments, the shoreline is generally an area of high biological activity where deeper, colder sections of a waterbody are less biologically productive. Therefore, by locating a facility's intake structure in an area with less biological activity (i.e., less eggs and larvae), less organisms are available to be entrained, thus limiting the effects of entrainment mortality

FEASIBILITY Relocation of the intake (either to a deeper section of the Thames River or into Long Island Sound) would require the installation of piping from Pfizer's facility to the desired area. The installation of the piping could be complex in terms of logistical and permitting issues. Also, a preliminary investigation, by way of a literature review, did not uncover an acceptable area in Long Island Sound. Therefore, additional studies would need to be undertaken to determine an appropriate area to relocate the intake.

TECHNICAL

PROJECTED REDUCTION IN ENTRAINMENT

RELOCATION OF TH

Unknown, contingent on area chosen Relocated intakes have been installed and used successfully at facilities located in marine environments. Assuming that an appropriate area can be located, a relocated intake would be expected to reduce entrainment mortality while allowing Pfizer the ability to deliver power, steam, and chilled water in order to meet its meeds.

RELIABILITY

POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MEANS TO MINIMIZE/ELIMINATE

IMPACTS Relocation of the intake into Long Island Sound could be a complex project and may disrupt the marine environment during construction. Biofouling may also occur over time; however; this could be addressed through periodic cleaning of the system.

Evaluation of the Technologies & Operational Measures

The results of the criteria evaluation for each of the proposed technologies/operational measures was considered in order to determine which of the proposed technologies/operational measures was the best. In making that determination, the following was considered:

TECHNOLOGY OR OPERATIONAL MEASURE	SUMMARY OF CRITERIA EVALUATION
CLOSED-CYCLE COOLING	The installation of closed-cycle cooling (either dry cooling or wet cooling) would eliminate the need to withdraw water from the Thames River, resulting in no entrainment impacts. The wet cooling system has the advantage of being more reliable in the summer months and has a reduced energy demand. The discharges (air and water) from a wet cooling system require evaluation.
VARIABLE-SPEED PUMPS	The use of variable-speed pumps could be effective at Pfizer if used during those times of high biological productivity (i.e., May through September). However, this time period coincides with periods of highest cooling demand and therefore using less cooling water at this time would likely have impacts to Pfizer's operations. In addition, Pfizer presently controls the amount of water drawn into its facility by use of either low-capacity or high-capacity pumps and by control valves. This configuration would be expected to generate the same level of water reduction as would be realized through the use of variable speed pumps.
AQUATIC MICROFILTRATION	AMBs are not proven technology yet and their use at Pfizer's site may not be technically feasible. Even assuming that the AMB is feasible, it would be challenging to keep the system at neak operating
BARRIERS	performance.
CYLINDRICAL WEDGEWIRE SCREENS	The use of cylindrical wedgewire screens (either 0.5 to 2 mm) would result in a reduction in entrainment mortality, but not as high as closed-cycle cooling. Also, additional information is required concerning how the system would perform in conditions like those at Pfizer.
FINE-MESH SCREENS	Replacing coarse-mesh screens with fine-mesh screens is projected to decrease entrainment of eggs and larvae. However, use of fine-mesh screens will increase through-screen velocities resulting in increased impingement rates. In addition, the use of fine-mesh screens increases the potential for eggs and larvae larger than the mesh size to become entrapped, resulting in higher impingement mortality rates.
RELOCATION OF THE INTAKE STRUCTURE	Relocation of the intake could be a complex project, especially if the target location was in Long Island Sound. Construction of the relocated intake has the potential to be a lengthy process also and could create some moderate disturbances to the marine environment. Additionally, an assessment is still required to determine a feasible location to site the intake.

Fact Sheet for NPDES PERMIT CT0000957

Selection of Best Technology Available

After evaluating all of the known and available alternatives, the Department has determined that in this case, closed-cycle cooling represents the best technology available for minimizing the adverse environmental impact associated with Pfizer's cooling water intake structure.

Pfizer has an existing cooling tower on-site (i.e., the Building 84 cooling tower) that it will upgrade in order to meet the requirements of Section 316(b) of the CWA. The two-cell, mechanical, draft cooling tower was custom-designed and manufactured by The Marley Cooling Tower Company (now SPX Cooling Technologies). It was built in 2001 and has a life expectancy in excess of 30 years. When manufacturing operations ceased in 2007, the tower was decommissioned. However, in 2011, Pfizer conducted an evaluation to determine its efficiency in meeting cooling water needs for the West Campus utilities operations. To date, Pfizer has made certain upgrades to the tower to ready it for increased use. Additional upgrades (i.e., re-activation of the second cell) are proposed to be undertaken so that all cooling water used at the facility for support/utilities operations will be re-circulated through this tower. The make-up water to the tower will be a municipal supply. Pfizer evaluated the use of the "gray water" as make-up water to the tower (i.e., the use of treated effluent from either the City of Groton's WPCF or the Town of Groton's WPCF) but this option had some potential problems associated with it (e.g., difficulty in making the tie-ins, pre-treatment would likely be required in order to prevent fouling of the cooling water system). Pfizer has conducted an analysis to evaluate the projected air emissions from the expanded unit. Based on information provided from Pfizer, the worst-case particulate emissions from the expanded cooling tower were calculated to be 0.145 lb/hr and 0.64 tons per year (TPY). These values were determined to be below the regulatory threshold of RCSA 22a-174-18 (65 lb/hr for particulate emissions) and RCSA 22a-174-3a (15 TPY for operating permits). The operation of the expanded tower is not expected to require any permitting, licenses, or additional approvals.

Upon completion of the cooling tower upgrade, the use of the intake structure will be eliminated. The remaining utilities wastestreams that comprise DSN 008-1 will continue to be discharged through DSN 008-1. Any modifications that may be necessary to the existing wastewater treatment system in order to treat these remaining wastestreams will be addressed through a permit modification once the plans are finalized. Any steps necessary to implement this BTA determination are being included as a special condition (i.e., Section 10) in the renewed permit.

XX. COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE

Section 10 of the permit requires that the Permittee develop and submit a plan for implementing the BTA project. This plan is subject to the review and approval of the Commissioner. Pfizer anticipates that the work associated with the BTA project will be completed by the end of 2015. The permit includes no impingement or entrainment requirements since completion of the BTA project will result in the elimination of the cooling water intake structure.

*******SECTION BELOW ADDED TO FACT SHEET AFTER PUBLIC NOTICE PERIOD ENDED******

XXI. NOTICE OF TENTATIVE DETERMINATION

On April 11, 2014, the Department published a Notice of Tentative Determination in *The Day* (of New London) indicating its intent to renew Pfizer's NPDES Permit No. CT0000957 (attached). During the 30-day comment period, no written comments were received.

Λ			DOCUMENT REVISION NOTES
M	REVISION	DATE	NOTES
UD	Revision I	May 13, 2014	- Added Section XXI concerning the Notice of Tentative Determination
	Original	March 2014	N/A: Original Fact Sheet

DOCUMENT REVISION NOTES

	ST DEPARTMI	FATE OF CONNI ENT OF ENVIRONME APPROVAL	ECTICUT NTAL PROTECTION	
			January 9, 2009	
Associa Pfizer li	vi. Constantine, P.E. ite Director, Environmenta nc.	l Health and Safety		
Groton	, CT 06340		ų	·
		Re:	Permit No. CT0000957 DEP/WPC 059-003 Town of Groton Thames River Watershed	
Dear M	r. Constantine:			
Docum paragra Departu submitu wastew Connec This ap Federal	entation from Pfizer Inc. (aph 10(A)(4) of Pfizer's NPC ment of Environmental Pr tal, which describes the vater treatment system, i titcut General Statutes, as a proval does not relieve yo I, State or Local laws or reg	("Pfizer") dated November 25, 20 DES Permit CT0000957, issued on J rotection's, Bureau of Materials A completion of all closure activiti is hereby approved in accordanc amended. ou of the obligation to obtain an gulations.	08, submitted pursuant to the seco uly 29, 2008, has been reviewed by Janagement and Compliance Assur- ies associated with Pfizer's former e with Sections 22a-424 and 22a-4 y other authorizations as may be re	nd part of staff of the ance. This biological 130 of the equired by
lf you h	ave any questions regardin	ng this matter, please contact Chris	stine Gleason at (860) 424-3278.	
		Sincerely,	•	
			- e- e	
		Oswald Ingl ese, Jr., Dire Bureau of Materials Ma Water Permitting and E	ctor nagement & Compliance Assurance nforcement Division	
c:	DMR Section Eric Watters, Pfizer			
	•-			
G:W/sterfin	alsecond Approval for 1024.00c	(Printed on Recycled Pap 79 Elm Street • Harford, CT 0 www.ct.gov/dep An Equal Opportunity Empl	er) 6106-5127 Iover	
			、	





Gleason, Christine

From: Johnson, Mark Tuesday, May 28, 2013 3:18 PM Gleason, Christine Subject: RE: Pfizer renewal 201207927 and NDDB 201206233

Chris-

Sent:

To:

Blueback herring are present in the Thames River system and thus the NDDB has a record for this occurrence. This species is anadromous, meaning the adults migrate from the ocean to spawn in freshwater. In Connecticut, adults enter coastal streams and rivers during May and June. Impingement of adults at the Pfizer intake is unlikely since the adults are channel oriented as they migrate upriver. Egg and larval development will occur in freshwater, so entrainment at the Pfizer intake is not an issue. The juveniles will migrate out in late summer/fall and could be susceptible to impingement as they migrate to Long Island Sound. However, the impingement study conducted at Pfizer during the period February 2009 - January 2010 did not report any blueback herring in the impingement samples (in fact, only one fish was observed during the entire study, perhaps due in large part to the low through-screen velocities). In addition, the thermal discharge will not adversely affect juvenile or adult movements.

Based on the above, the Pfizer facility will not adversely affect blueback herring.

I don't believe there are any outstanding 316(a)(b) issues from the previous permit that need to be addressed in the renewal, but let me know if I am wrong about that and if you need my assistance with anything.

Thanks.

Mark Johnson Senior Fisheries Biologist Habitat Conservation and Enhancement Program Bureau of Natural Resources, Inland Fisheries Division DEEP Marine HQ, P.O. Box 719, 333 Ferry Rd, Old Lyme, CT 06371 P: 860.434.6043(F: 860.434.6150 (E: <u>mark.johnson@ct.gov</u>

www.ct.gov/deep

Conserving, improving and protecting our natural resources and environment; Ensuring a clean, affordable, reliable, and sustainable energy supply.

----Original Message-----From: Gleason, Christine Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2013 1:43 PM To: Johnson, Mark Subject: Application 201207927: NPDES Permit Renewal for Pfizer Inc. Importance: Low

Mark.

I'm working on Pfizer's NPDES permit renewal. Their site is located in an area of Endangered, Threatened, Special-Concern species. Attached is the NDDB information that they included with their permit application. Based on the letter from Dawn, it looks like blueback herring is the issue. Do you have any concerns? Chris

1







ATTACHMENT EFFLUENT DATA	ω	
_	ATTACHMENT	EFFLUENT DATA

		ļ	€: 5000003000	8	130	8	8	8	8	8	8	3	CN	8	8	8	8	ĥ	57	29	ی ا	8	130	CN	8	8	8
	HARY	- No.	184	0.474	88.2	12.0	000	0.0	13.0	28.0	120.0	speciality (1)	000.091.2	2,960,000	49	0.0	0.62	280	0.28	000	2240	S:54	8.65	865	110.0	39.0	126.0
	SUM		1 36001230		S 100 100	300 (3000) (30				000 0000 000		8	200 6000 b 2	00 800 12		NK 1888	60. South 20		000000			200 00000	200 NOV 900		200 alsona 200	200 ON 100	
			agenak (1990) Antigas	0.074	1.85	Sec. 10.	0.0	0.0	3.4	A2.	1.9	7,311,25		Statistical Statisticae Statis	0.0	0.0	23	0.239	0.066	200'0	230		6.30	6.30	22.1	201000000000	6.2
VATER		Serie and	e Sxoxiatox	7	2	7	2	2	5	2	2	Š	Ś	1	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	5	~	ş	7	ð	7
STORMV	013	LAND STA	188 a	0000	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	121	0,0	0.0	ę	11,550,000	8.010.000	61	0.0	0.0	0.250	0,038	0.012	12.9	0.0	8,17	834	74.0	0'69	20.8
ATER; S	2	NAUNAL)	22000000 220000000				_		_	_		714	_	_		_	_	_	-	_	_		_	_			
LED W		0.00000	113 113	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	0,0	6,9		0.0	5,256,				0.0	0.0	60.0	0.02	8	1.6		7.4	11.7	38.5		8,3 8,3
R): CHI		ACCREASE STREET, STREE	⊃ xeecence	12	1 12	12	4	4	4	4	4	3	I CN	12	120 	4	4	13	12	5	12	4	12	S	22	CN	2
R WATE	2012		Nerther Sector	800	98.2	12.0	0.0	0.0	t0.0	0.0	10.0		10.810.000	9.730,000	0.5	0.0	0.0	0,490	0.116	0.026	49.6	0.0	8,30	8.53	110.0	83.0	32.7
DENSO			2000 171	8	1	4	8		1	0	0	333			2	0	0	10	32	16	0.		22		5		6
IIC CON			- Second	8	8.1	~	ŏ	ä	\$	õ	3	4 5,578	2	01	9	ö	õ	202	0.0	00	1		8.8	4 6.7	42	7	2
ROMETF			2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	-	-	1	•	4	4	4	4	Ü	8	3 6	57	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	8	2	õ	3
ER (BAF	2011		Name Very Marken	0.674	0.0	25	80	0:0	13.0	2.0	3.0		12,659.00	10.810.05	0.2	0.0	0'0	0:630	0.210	03010	49.5	00	8.66	3.65	23.0	88.0	126.0
G WAT			20002200 20002200 20002200	206	8	0.2	8	10	53	02	ŝ	20,633			21	0.0	00	328	860	500	9.8		30	30	3.6		0.0
COOLIN			223 c	12	3		4	4	4	4	4	85 8	N	54	2	4	4	2	12	12 0.	2	4	54 24	Z	12	Ż	2
NTACT			1.2000000 1.1										l 8	1000													
TTES CO	2010	di Arvçan kiri	1248	0.76	00	40	80	0.0	0:0	2.0	120.0		27.150)	22,900.	4.9	0.0	0.65	2.800	0.160	00.00	224(0.0	8.2	8.31	24.0	84.0	12.0
); UTILI			şçî Şçî	0.087	80	0.5	0.00	0.0	00	1.0	31.0	153,333			1.6	0.0	12.3	0.363	0.051	0.000	37.7		7.02	7.02	13.3		25 I.
NMOON			ę	:	23	12	 ₹	4	4	4	4	9 8	S	52	5	4	4	ž	12	12	12	4	52	3	<u>5</u>	S	12
RBLO		10000000000000000000000000000000000000	aattoore] .]						0				000	000				8	8	8	6		\$	2	0	0	0
G TOWE	2009	10000000	¥ 8 ¥	- C C	6	6	ŏ	ð	9	5	ë		24.48	22.960	4	6	~~~	7.0	0.2	0.0	82	ð	8.3	3.6	8	8	12
COOLIN			Annual Annual Annual	010	000	1.5	0.00	0.0	2.5	1.3	1.3	10,283,333			22	0.0	1.8	0.239	0.095	0.013	37.0		7.32	6.79	13.7		1.0
VATER;			e	v	8	5	2	2	~	64	2	3	ß	8	[~	2	5	9	\$	5	\$	5	8	ð	un 	Š	5
VASTEV	⁶ per contra	ECEMBER)		140	12.0	46	80	0.0	9.0	28.0	0.02		280,000	280.000	22	0.0	0.0	0.560	0.220	0.050.0	47.8	5.4	8.10	8.40 8.40	14.0	82.0	0.0
ATORY \	200	AUGUST TO D	22.000 22.0000	6 0 	-			Ļ	ļ				12	5				_								-	
LABOR			Arrents Arrents Arrents	0.000	2.92	60	000	0.0	4.5	16.5	11.0	7,256,000			2	0.0	0.0	0.270	0.095	0.010	21.2		06.7	230	Ē		0.0
NMOGN		instant	in sea					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			2000 C			0						A. 1997 N			(1)			8	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (
R BLOW	Resert Smbs	100 a barred 1 a d	18	Section 1	12.0		0.2	4000		A.V.44	Sec	NA	70.000.00	20,000,07	50		No. 1244			- 1.000 C	8	50	9.0	60			1000
BOILE	FlewTime	Street Street			60					0000 and 000	100000000000	70 000 000	NA	NA.	30		0000 million 0000	1000 - 1000			441	1.00 m	60	60		100-00-00 C	Property and
			site		- Hol	- Hon	hon	- Vol	- Non	#/100 mt	8/100 mt	000	San a	tue tue	- Contraction	field	lion (floor	J.	Mark	Ibra/day	- Low	DS	ß	[] Duc		, Incol
	S. R. How College		5	Control of the local division of the local d	iolath		1	-					N 24 Hours												ŧ		
	Constant of the		PARAMETI		uchowall whith		Total Reads	n. Total	Total		form	aracte Daily	vienum Cunin	and Somelia	4	, T	the	Kiołch	Nitrate	Ninite	Total	Sec. Total	of Samoling	in we	manufed Soly	tica	ie Ie
					Riel7_oth	BOD.	Chine	Chromiter	Crocos	E Coli	Feral col	Fine Ave	Firw Ma	Eleru Dar	TAPA TAPA	Lead Tot	Minkel Te	Nancen	Nitrooen.	Nitrocen	Nistocen.	0.000	oH Dav	PH Cont	2.12	Temooral	Zine, Toh

	e				
	avadater?		6 Q		
	NIMARY Numero		460,80	52.0	
	50 20001300	0		100	
ilenio.	And a state	50.297	200000		
		8		<u> </u>	
500.00 50	C COLEDONCE	_	-		
	Such a		8		
	2013 1477 To 1477 To 10		4 <u>5</u>	_	
		0 	_	-	
	A STATE	4,98,			
	30003370		-		
1999 - C. (1990) 1990 - C. (1990)	N 133		60,800	49.0	
200 (S. C.	5 <u>5</u> 3		*		
1.000 (C	1.21	33			
2029-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00		48,			
	() (Π		-	
	TOXOLDIXEE				
	÷		417,216	41,0	
	R zeredice	0			
ASH	83 f	220			
CKW	245	Ą			Pd6 000
NBV	an aller	ļ		-	nd 600,
CRE	53063973 6 - 3	$\left \right $	8		onthiy) a
KES	E010 Kenne Color		460,81	24.0	u eðau
INTe			_	-	we) pdő
08-1		77,316			000'000
DSN 6					nits are
	25000070		 S		계 사이님 욕
	1.1		009	2.0	sent. th
	2009		412	s	anq ta 90
	DNOIB255		-		91 (° 20
2000 A		52,72			ider moi
800-100			Γ	-	ləily). Fi
N (N CON	304043820		4	t	contern c
	B B CENBE CENBE	-	\$13,200	17.0	em) big
	2 10 00 2 12 10 00	_			75,009
	Se Si i	287		F	pue (Ky
	A State	ŝ			ge mort
	instant an eccos		10000	10	d (avera
	2 23	-	No.ex	A	5,000 gp
	Basedi		See	N	were: 7
	loser Teme	e below	NA	NA	er ämits
	<u>п</u> В	8 -	ļ		9, රුෂ දිය
	- Ha	8		-mar	15, 200
		1449 W	74 Hours		Erd April
	MET RA	A ST	Curino,	1 Solids	in eculen
	Para	VERSCE C	avironm	opuads	arrait issu
181	5065820	14 %		tal S.	d Eg

DSN 009-1: INTAKE SCREEN BACKWASH

	r.	8	8	8	0.000	1000	8	8	8	8	8	99	8
্য	exections and the second secon	0000	NO 000 0	0.7	31,426 (A. 1956)	0000	0,000 2000	0	9.000	01 mm 10	(C)	0	10 1000 (100)
SURMAR	20X	() () 0.	SI >>> 12	0.000	20. an 20.	27.16	S€,	0000	vo 12.258 7.	8.6	76	87	34
	ţ₹!	071.00	137	0.99	37,144	2000000	0.1.00	11022	0.3.00	3000	10000	1. A	74.00
	13.2	0	0	Ť	7.15	300		22725	Š		2	្ល	
	a Greenere	2	2	2	_		2	2	64	2	7	7	2
t3 To Juny	Nater Dief	0.00	0.0	117.0		1,570,000	0'0	11.0	0.0	8,15	47.0	87.0	15,3
20	ажказырка		-			-							
	Series of the se	000;0	0.0	58.5	5,235,714		0.0	5.5	0.0	7,955		40.6	11.4
	e	4	12	4			4	4	4	12	4	12	Ţ
	accounce I - I	8	0.0	00		0.000	0	Q	0	26	56	0	5
2012	3925	-	-	*		10.82	•		-	9	76	12	1
	258	000	1.6	2.5	80,833	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.88		37.1	7.6
	⁴ 7 7	4	2	4	[\$}		 	L 	4	2	4	ŝ	4
	2010202		-			8	Ė	ŀ				_	
2011	100	050	2.3	57.9		12,620.00	6,0	0.0	0.0	8,66	55,0	26.0	24,0
	S OF A				367					_	-		
	1 Sector	0.14	0.2	4.1	5,316,		0.5	6	0.0	2'8'		13.5	18.
	C SCKSKIISOCI	4	12	4		-	4	4	4	12	4	12	4
	588	0.13	2.5	0.0		160,000	00	0.0	0.0	3.81	71.1	18.0	15.0
201	6 6					52			L				
	karpe karby e pi es	0.050	0.2	0.0	10.155.747		0.0	00	0.0	7,51		13.7	4,3
		- -	5	-	Ī	Γ	4	4	4	۲ ۲	4	2	1
) - point		-		╞	1 255		-		2	0	-	0
2009	100	6		0		24.47	0		~	.е	ß	8	10
	201	125	03	0.0	59.718	ſ	0.0	62	20	7.59		13.8	25
	1 × 3 5	[~	5		lë T	1				5	~	6	5
	uxeessate		ľ			-						Ĺ	
9002		0.13	0.0	6.0		12 234 94	0.0	00	0.0	7.85	715	11.0	0.0
	NORIDANIA NORIDANIA				2								
	11÷	0.05	00	95	66356		00	69	0.0	130		6	0.0
Instant	÷ S			16887.02		ļ					ļ		S 100000
sedulents	Marina (Say				97	See how				1.0.1			A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR
bw/Tame-Be	1444				a hoten	ND ND							10000000 V
đ	1 <u>1</u>	- In		5 7	100		2		100		4	1	DA.
0000	э 6 04		+		1	1	2	-	1	1	Ļ	ľ	
	۲ <u>ا</u>	A THE PARTY OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRI	·····			Trainer Of L	1 67 NHIN			1		Swick	-
(TRACES)	PARAN	in in		Tami	10101	The sector of th	T-STATE OF CONTRACT	Tabl	Total Total	u of Sumo	-otherd		nal
			le					100	1000	lå			

ATTACHMENT 9 TOXIC MIXING ZONE

Receiving Water & Site Information

Pfizer is located on the lower Thames River in Groton, approximately 5,577 feet from Long Island Sound. The Thames River extends from Norwich to Groton/New London, a distance of 16 statute miles. The width of the river in the vicinity of Pfizer's discharge is over 4,000 feet across. The major freshwater inflow to the river is provided by the Shetucket, Yantic, and Quinebaug Rivers, all located at the head of river. The lower Thames River is considered to be generally well mixed, with little stratification occurring in the area where Pfizer is located. The Thames River is tidal for its entire length. The tidal range in the Groton/New London area is 2.2 feet (at neap tides) and 4.1 feet (at spring tides). At maximum flood, the current ranges from 0.209 knots to 0.381 knots; at maximum ebb the current ranges from 0.239 knots to 0.430 knots. There are several other dischargers in the vicinity of Pfizer. The closest one that has been allocated a mixing zone is Electric Boat (General Dynamics), located over 4,000 feet north of Pfizer.

Allocation of Mixing Zones

The Connecticut *Water Quality Standards* allow for the allocation of mixing zones. These mixing zones are portions of the receiving water where water quality criteria are allowed to be exceeded, however, applicable water quality criteria are required to be met at the edge of the mixing zone. Allocations of mixing zones are made on a case-by-case basis in consideration of the criteria set forth in RCSA Section 22a-426-4(*l*). The *Water Quality Standards* state that mixing zones are to be limited to the maximum extent practicable.

Based on an evaluation of the existing effluent quality of DSN 008-1, certain pollutants in the discharge have been detected in excess of the water quality criteria. The following table identifies those pollutants and the effective concentration that would need to be met at the edge of mixing zone should a mixing zone be allocated to the pollutant:

POLLUTANT	LOWEST APPLICABLE WATER QUALITY CRITERIA (ug/L)	MAXIMUM MEASURED EFFLUENT CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	THAMES RIVER CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE CONCENTRATION @ EDGE OF THE MIXING ZONE	COMMENTS
Ammonia	288 ·	474	182	106	
Bis 2-ethylhexyl phthalate	2.2	98	0	NA. Must be met end-of-pipe	No mixing zone allowed. Pollutant is an HB
Copper, Total	3.1	13	0	3.1	
Nickel, Total	8.2	49	0	8.2	
Zinc, Total	81	126	24	57	

Note: Mixing zones are not allocated for pollutants identified in the Water Quality Standards as either: High Potential to Bioaccumulate or Bioconcentrate ("HB"); Class A Known Human Carcinogen ("A"); or Probable or Possible Carcinogen ("C")

Dye Dilution Study

In July 1986 and September 1986, a dye study was conducted at Pfizer by Metcalf & Eddy, the results of which are documented in *Water Quality and Hydraulic Studies in the Lower Thames River*, July 6, 1987. Two outfalls were the subject of the dye study: DSN 001-1 and DSN 008-1. DSN 001-1 was a side bank discharge which is now no longer associated with NPDES Permit CT0000957. DSN 008-1 is/was Pfizer's main wastewater discharge into the Thames River. DSN 008-1 discharges into the river by way of a Y-configured submerged multiport diffuser located at a depth of approximately 18 feet (below MLLW) at a distance of approximately 500 feet from the eastern bank of the river. The 1986 dye studies were conducted under both spring tidal conditions (July dye study) and neap tidal conditions (September dye study). The effluent flows at the time of the dye studies ranged from 10 to 11 million gallons per day for DSN 001-1 and 40 to 65 million gallons per day for DSN 008-1. Dye was injected into each outfall for 31 hours during each event. The receiving water was then sampled/analyzed for dye concentration at varying different depths during the four slack water events that occurred during the dye study period. Results from these two dye studies indicate that the dilutions observed under spring tide conditions resulted in the lowest level of dilution. Results of the tidally-averaged dilutions are below:





Figure 8. Dilution factors for Pfizer offluent concentration in the Thomes. River averaged over dopth and time for four sampling periods on 24 and 25 July 1986.



Fight 2 Ibroht IV water above mean fea level at new londer, constatelity july 25.2396

Figure 9. Dilution factors for Pfizer effluent concentration in the Thames River, Conn., averaged over depth and time for four sampling periods on 25 and 26 September 1986.



Determination of the Mixing Zone

UM3 in Visual Plumes was run to determine how closely it modeled the 1986 dye study results. The model results did not provide good agreement with the actual dye study results. Therefore, instead of determining the mixing zone by using a model, it was determined by adjusting (i.e., weighting) the 1986 dye study results obtained at spring tide conditions to reflect existing conditions and using that data to determine the dilution at which the applicable water quality criteria would be met. Based on this evaluation, the water quality standards for the applicable pollutants would be met at a distance approximately 95 feet from the diffuser.

As noted above, the dye samples that were collected during the 1986 studies were taken over approximately two tidal cycles (i.e., during "build-up" conditions). No "quasi-steady state" or "fall-off" samples were collected. EPA's *Technical Guidance Manual for Performing Wasteload Allocations, Book III: Estuaries*, August 1992 suggests that "build-up" and "quasi steady state" samples are necessary to determine far-field accumulation effects in unsteady reversing tidal currents. However, the guidance also indicates that the dye/pollutant accumulation rate is location dependent and is generally considered to be negligible in the immediate near-field. Since Pfizer's mixing zone is located a small distance from the diffuser (and estimated to be a very short distance from the nearfield), any accumulation effects in that area would be negligible. Therefore, this analysis is unnecessary.

As noted above, water quality standards would be met at the edge of the mixing zone which is located approximately 95 feet from the diffuser. [See Figure 1]. The dilution at this point is 46:1. This is the smallest mixing zone that is practicable and which meets all applicable criteria. The mixing zone applies to: ammonia, copper, nickel, and zinc. There is no overlapping of any mixing zones in the area; the edge of the closest mixing zone is over 4,000 feet north (Electric Boat/General Dynamics).



Figure 1: Mixing zone for DSN 008-1.

ATTACHMENT 10 THERMAL MIXING ZONE

There is a thermal component associated with DSN 008-1. DSN 008-1 consists mainly of non-contact cooling water generated from the on-site support/utilities operations. This wastewater is collected and retained in the West Equalizing Basin to allow for temperature dissipation and is then discharged into the Thames River through a submerged multiport diffuser. The discharge temperature information for DSN 008-1 based on the last five years of DMR data is as follows:

[]		2008			2009			2010			2011			2012			2013	
	DSN 008-1	NOAA 8461490	ΔΤ	DSN 008-1	NOAA 8461490	ΔT	DSN 008-1	NOAA 8461490	ΔΤ	1-800 NSC	NOAA 8461490	АТ	I-800 NSC	NOAA 8461490	ΔT	1-800 NSC	NOAA 8461490	ΔT
JAN				62.0	43.3	18.7	57.0	43.7	13.3	68,0	41.4	26.6	65.0	49.5	15.5	59.0	45.7	13.3
FEB				59.0	41.2	17.8	53.0	39.2	13.8	67.0	37.9	29.1	56.0	45.0	11.0	53.8	42.1	11.7
MAR				54.0	45.5	8.5	58.0	47.8	10.2	64.0	44.4	19.6	59.0	53.2	5.8	52.4	44.2	8,2
APR				66.0	61.2	4.8	70.0	54.7	15.3	67.0	58.1	8.9	62.0	57.7	4.3	60.8	54.3	6.5
MAY				69.0	63.1	5.9	80.0	70.9	9.1	83.0	61.7	21.3	71.0	62.4	8.6	79.9	64.4	15.5
JUN	1			81.0	64.0	20.0	81.0	70.9	10.1	84.0	69.6	14.4	78.0	70.2	7.8	81.0	73.2	7.8
JUL				81.0			82.0	75.4	6.6	88.0	75.0	13.0	82.0	75.6	6.4	89.0	75.9	13,1
AUG	82.0	74,1	7.9	86.0	76.6	9.4	82.0	76.3	5.7	84.0	74.5	9.5	83.0	77.9	5.1			
SEP	71.6	74.8		84.0	73.4	10.6	82.0	75.9	6.1	82.0	73.0	9.0	76.0	74.8	1.2			
OCT	77.0	67,1	9.9	80.0	71.2	8.8	84.0	69.4	14.6	81.0	70.3	10.7	72.3	68.0	4.3			
NOV	70.7	58,5	12.2	71.0	55.6	15.4	83.0	58.5	24.5	72.0	57.7	14.3	70.6	60.6	10.0			
DEC	63.0	50.4	12.6	72.0	53.2	18.8	80.0	50.9	29.1	74.0	54.9	19.1	71.0	51.3	19.7	l		
				86.0		20.0	84.0		29.1	88.0		29.1	83.0		19.7	89.0		

Note: Water temperature values from NOAA Station 8461490 were used to estimate temperature rise. This station is located at State Pier in New London, approximately 2 miles upstream from Pfizer, Water temperature at this station is collected at 4.6 feet below MLLW.

Section 22a-426-9(a)(1) of the Water Quality Standards states that for Class SB waters:

There shall be no changes from natural conditions that would impair any existing or designated uses assigned to this Class and, in no case exceed 83 °F or in any case raise the temperature of the receiving water more than 4 °F. During the period including July, August, and September, the temperature of the receiving water shall not be raised more than 1.5 °F unless it can be shown that spawning and growth of indigenous organisms will not be significantly affected.

As noted in the table above, the temperature of Pfizer's discharge does occasionally exceed 83 °F and does raise the receiving water temperature more than 4 °F.

In 1986, Pfizer undertook a study designed to evaluate the effects of its discharges on the Thames River (Water Quality and Hydraulic Studies in the Lower Thames River, July 1987 by Metcalf & Eddy). While the scope of this study was not specifically designed to evaluate the thermal effects of Pfizer's discharges on the Thames River, some temperature measures of the receiving water were collected during this study. At the time of this study, Pfizer had several discharges with a thermal component, including, DSN 001-1, DSN 002-1, DSN 003-1, DSN 004-1, DSN 005-1, and DSN 008-1. In February 1999, a follow-up study was conducted by Parsons Engineering Science entitled Thermal Plume Study at Pfizer Inc. Groton. This study involved no field work and was essentially a verification study designed to evaluate the impact of the three remaining thermal discharges DSN 004-1, DSN 005-1, and DSN 008-1. Since that study was conducted, Pfizer has eliminated DSNs 004-1 and 005-1. In order to evaluate the impact of its remaining thermal discharge point, DSN 008-1, Pfizer undertook a thermal study in July 2013. The results of this study are summarized in Thermal Plume and Habitat Assessment Study, September 2013, by Kleinschmidt ("2013 Thermal Study"). The 2013 Thermal Study involved collecting temperature and salinity samples of the Thames River in the vicinity of Pfizer's discharge, DSN 008-1. These samples were collected over a two-day period in July 2013. The effluent flow from DSN 008-1 during the study period ranged from 7,284,000 to 8,526,000 and the maximum temperature of the discharge ranged from 82.9 °F to 85.5 °F over the two-day period. The 2013 Thermal Study concludes that water quality standards are met in a very short distance from the diffuser.

Modeling was performed to determine the impact of DSN 008-1 at the proposed permitted conditions (i.e., an average monthly flow of 25,000,000 gpd and a projected maximum temperature of 89 °F). Both CORMIX Version 8.0 and UM3 within Visual Plumes were used for modeling. Plume size and dilution factors could not obtained

through CORMIX for the simulation time around slack water due to near-field instability. UM3 projected plume size and dilutions for all scenarios. These results are as follows:

Critical absolute temperature conditions: UM3 was run under a variety of tidal, current, and stratified ambient temperature scenarios under typical summer conditions. The results indicate that under worst-case scenario conditions, the maximum plume size was 0.8 foot at its largest point. In-stream water quality standards were met within seconds.

Critical temperature rise conditions: UM3 was run under a variety of tidal, current, and uniform ambient temperature scenarios under typical winter conditions. The results indicate that under worst-case scenario conditions, the maximum plume size was 1 foot at its largest point. In-stream water quality standards were met within seconds.

Section 22a-426-4(l) of the *Water Quality Standards* allows for the allocation of mixing zones in consideration of certain factors, including: the characteristics of the discharge, the location of the discharge relative to other discharges in the area; the effect of the discharge on spawning grounds and nursery areas; the effect of the discharge on the aesthetic quality of the receiving water; the need to maintain a continuous passage for free swimming and drifting organisms, etc. In addition, allocation of a mixing zone for assimilation of a thermal discharge shall ensure that the mixing zone occupy no greater than 25% of the cross-sectional area or volume of flow of the receiving water.

Pfizer's thermal discharge, DSN 008-1, occupies an insignificant portion of the Thames River (i.e., approximately 0.025% of the cross-section). The plume would not be expected to cause any concerns with respect to the fisheries resources in the lower Thames River, nor would it create any concerns with respect to any of the other criteria associated with the allocation of mixing zones. The 2013 Thermal Study concludes the same.

Therefore, in this case, the temperature limits in the permit are determined statistically based on performance evaluating the worst-case effluent data set (July 2013). The maximum instantaneous limit was determined by applying three standard deviations to the mean (μ + 3 σ) of the July 2013 data set: 77.5 + 3*4.15 = 89.95 \approx 90.0 °F. [See the next page for data]. The maximum daily limit for the temperature rise (Δ T) was based on the 99% percentile (MDL = μ + 2.327* σ) of the last five years of December, January, and February data: 18.0 + 2.327*6.06 = 32.1 °F. [See next page for data]. These limits will result in water quality standards being met at the edge of the mixing zone, described above.

Section 316(a) of the CWA allows for thermal effluent limitations to be less stringent than those required by otherwise applicable standards if it can be shown that such limits are more stringent than necessary to assure the protection and propagation of a balanced, indigenous, population of fish, shellfish, and wildlife (BIP) in and on the waterbody receiving the discharge. The Permittee must make a demonstration that these alternative limits will be protective of the BIP. Most recently, Pfizer has submitted the *Thermal Plume and Habitat Assessment Study* in support of a demonstration that its existing permit limits will continue to be protective of the BIP. Based on a review of this study, plume modeling, consultation with the Department's Fisheries Division, the Department does not believe that the continued thermal discharge from Pfizer will result in any appreciable harm to the BIP. In addition, there is no evidence of any past appreciable harm. Therefore, the Department approves the alternative permit limits.

July 2013
82.22
75.20
74.48
73.40
74.30
74.84
74.66
76.64
75.02
75.02
74.84
73.58
74.30
75.02
85.82
82.94
83.30
87.80
88.70
78.26
76.10
76.10
78.08
76.82
75.38
75.20
75.56
76.46
78.26
77.00
76.10

,

a i

2008-2013
12.6
18.7
17.8
18.8
13.0
13.8
29.1
26.6
29.1
19.1
15.5
11.0
19.7
13.3
11.7

Summary Sta	tistics
Mean	77.4645161
Standard Error	0.7458944
Median	76.1
Mode	75.02
Standard Deviation	4.15296427
Sample Variance	17.2471123
Kurtosis	1.59401526
Skewness	1.59730722
Range	15.3
Minimum	73.4
Maximum	88.7
Sum	2401.4
Count	31

Summary Stat	istics
Mean	17.98667
Standard Error	1.565213
Median	17.8
Mode	29.1
Standard Deviation	6.062044
Sample Variance	36.74838
Kurtosis	-0.33076
Skewness	0.862
Range	18.1
Minimum	11
Maximum	29.1
Sum	269.8
Count	15

•

DATE	INTAKE 01H-1	NOAA 8461490 (AVERAGE)	NOAA 8461490 (MAXIMUM)
August 18, 2008	71.6	69.8	72.7
November 4, 2008	58.8	57.5	57.7
February 10, 2009	49.0	38.0	38.2
May 13, 2009	54.5	51.6	55.0
August 11, 2009	70.9		
November 4, 2009	58.8		
February 16, 2010	40.6	37.0	37.2
May 12, 2010	54.5	52.1	53.6
August 3, 2010	71.1	72.6	73.9
November 3, 2010	57.6	56.3	57.4
February 6, 2011	43.0	36.2	36.9
May 4, 2011	53.0	48.7	52.3
August 16, 2011	55.0	70.4	72.1
November 2, 2011	45.0	55.7	57.6
February 7, 2012	51.0	43.8	44.6
May 2, 2012	55.0	52.5	54.3
August 12, 2012	76.6	76.3	77.4
November 7, 2012	47.0	57.4	59.0
February 17, 2013	40.0	38.6	39.7
May 1, 2013	47.0	49.3	52.9

.

ATTACHMENT 11 REASONABLE POTENTIAL DETERMINATION

Discharger Address Permit Number Application Number DSN	: Pfizer : 445 E : CT00 : 20120 : 008-1	Inc astern Poi 00957 07927	int Road,	Groton Diluti	on Demor	R Averaç Instrated thro Dilu	eceivin je Efflue bugh Dy Dilution Lion Far	g Water: Type: ent Flow e Study: h Factor:		Thames F Saltwater 25,000,00 46 46	र्राver (lown 10 :1	ar) gpd		
POLLUTANT	ACHB	Aquat Aquat	Vater Quality Cri Sc Life Chronic	teria Human Health	Maximum Measured Effluent Concentration	Total Observations for Maximum Effluent	cv	Multiplior	Dilution Factor	Thames River Concentration µg/L	Receiving Vvater Concentration (scuto)	Rocoiving Water Concentration (chronic)	Receiving Water Concentration (human health)	Is there reasonable potential?
		µg/L	PB/L	pg/L	pgA	Concentration				1.02.01.00	pg/L	hây	µg/L	
Ammonia (Total as N)		1,918	288	19762-69 (B)	474	76	1.3	2,1	46	182	200	200		NO
Bis 2-ethylhexylphthalale	C-HB			2.2	98	125	0,6	1,0	1	0	S. (2010) (2010)		- 98	YES
Chlorine, Total Residual		13	7.5	Treph to Str	0	21	0.6	1.0	1	21	0.0	0.0		NO
Chromium, Total		AN CARL		1,009,615	0	31	0.6	1.0	1	0			0	NO
Copper, Total		4.8	3.1	ENER ENER	13	39	2.3	4.5	46	0	1.3	1.3		NO
Iron, Total		191993 191993	2235723X2		520	20	0.8	2.9	1	281	1508	1508	508	N/A, NO CRITERIA
Lead, Total		210	8.1	and a straight	0	39	0.6	1.0	1	0	0	0		NO
Nickel, Total		74	8.2	4,600	49	35	4.4	7,6	46	0	8,1	8.1	8.1	NO
Zinc, Total		90	81	26,000	126	76	2.1	2.7	46	24	31	31	31	NO

ATTACHMENT 11 WATER QUALITY-BASED LIMITS FOR POLLUTANTS WITH REASONABLE POTENTIAL

Discharge Address Permit Numbe Application Number DSN	r: Pfize s: 445 I r: CT00 r: 2012 I: 008-1	r Inc. Easter 100951 07927	n Point F 7	toad, Gn	oton	l Avera	Receivin ge Efflue	g Water: ant Flow. Dilution Dilution	25, Factor Factor _{A,t}	Thames 000,000 46.0 1.0	River (lower) gpd	38.675	cfs				
POLLUTANT	ACHB	Dilution Factor	WLA (acuto) µg/l.	WLA (chronic) µg/L	WLA (buman health) µg/L	LTA CV	LTA (acule)	LTA (chronic)	LTA (human health)	Limiting LTA	Limiting criteria	Anticipated Number of Samples per Month	Average Montsky Linit µg/L	Maximum Daily Limit µg/L	Instantaneous Limit jig/L	Average Monthly Limit g/day	Maximuan Daily Limit g/day
Ammonia (Total as N)		and the state of t			1910-1910-1910-1910-1910-1910-1910-1910		2022490										
Bis 2-ethylhexylphlhalate	C-HB				2.2	0.6			2.2	2.2	HUMAN HEALTH	1	2.2	3.2	4.8	208	304
Chlorine, Total Residual			10,873	130203								L			ļ		1
Chromium, Total			2012001		Sector Sector			10.75 h (A. 1777) e 2	State of the		L		L	L	<u> </u>	ļ	l
Copper, Total										1		L	L	L	ļ	ļ	1
Iron, Total			0000000				14999940				1	ļ	L	L	ļ	ļi	l
Lead, Total					NAMES OF STREET		1986,639,882	12255222		(L	L	ļ	ļ		Ji	1
Nickel, Total			12560323				1292976		1 Selves and			L			ļ		l
Zinc, Total			NERGE	10000			2002006						1			I	1

Pfizer Inc. Reasonable Potential Evaluation: Data Summary

Ammonia Els (2-oblythexy phtholato) Chonie, Total Residual Chronie DATE upit	Zinc
in the State is the set of the	DATE ug/L Aug 17, 2008 0 Aug 19, 2008 0 Aug 19, 2008 0 Aug 12, 2008 0 Oct 01, 2008 0 Dec 03, 2008 0 Dec 03, 2009 0 Feb 10, 2009 0 Feb 10, 2009 0 Mar 04, 2009 0 Aug 03, 2009 0 Dec 02, 2009 0 Dec 03, 2010 0 Jan 06, 2011 11 Jan 06, 2011 12 <tr< td=""></tr<>
Aug 18, 2011 0 Sep 14, 2011 0 Oct 05, 2011 0 Nov 02, 2011 0 Page 3 of 6	

Jan 04, 2012	0
Feb 26, 2012	0
Feb 28, 2012	0
Mar 01, 2012	0
Mar 07, 2012	0
Apr 04, 2012	D
May 02, 2012	98.2
Jun 06, 2012	0
Jul 02, 2012	Ó
Aug 12, 2012	G
Aug 14, 2012	Ó
Aug 16, 2012	0
Sep 05, 2012	0
Oct 03, 2012	0
Nov 07, 2012	0
Dec 05, 2012	0
Jan 09, 2013	0
Feb 17, 2013	0
Feb 19, 2013	Ð
Feb 21, 2013	0
Mar 13, 2013	0

NOTES:

.

Beginning in Navember 2010, the Permittee Matchad using a different test method for analyzing its offluent for tran because the prior test method sppcared to not adequasity deforse soft water interforences. Therefore, only data gamerada shor this frame is used in the RPA.

									-
	Ammonia	Bis (2-othylhexyl phthalate)	Chiorine, Total Residual	Chromium	Copper	tron	1.000	Nickei	Zinc
MEAN	81	1	0	0	2	130	0	2	7
SD	102	9	0	0	4	107	0	8	16
CV	1.26	11.18	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	2.26	0.82	#DIV/0!	4.43	2.13
CV (to 1 decimal place	ce) 1.3	11.2	#DIV/01	#DIV/01	2.3	0.8	#DIV/0!	4.4	2.1
MAX	474	98	0	0	13	520	0	49	126
MIN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N	76	125	21	.31	39	20	39	35	76
S	0,99	2.20	#DIV/0!	#DIV/01	1.36	0.70	#DIV/01	1.74	1.30
Percentile	0,99	0.99	0.99	0.89	0.99	0.99	0,99	0.99	0.99
Pn	0.94	0,96	0.80	0.86	0.89	0.79	0,89	0.88	0.94
Multiplier	2.1	3.2	#DIV/0!	#DIV/01	4.5	2.9	#D1V/0	7.6	2.7

Poture variability (CV) associated with bis (2-bitythowy) phthalato is expected to be substantially different than this data set. Therefore, an estimated CV of 0.6 will be used consistent with Section 5.5.2 of the TSD.

Pfizer Inc.

Background Data Summary: Thames River (Lower)

PARAMETER	UNITS	8002 .71 guk	Aug 19, 2005	Aug 21, 2008	Fe5 08, 2609	Feb to, 2009	Feb 12, 2009	6002,200 Aug	Aug 11, 2009	Aug 13, 2003	Peb 15, 2010	Feb 17, 2010	Feb 13, 2010	AUG 63, 2010	Aug 11, 2010	Aug 13, 2010	Feb 06, 2011	Feb 08, 2011	Feb 16, 2011	Aug 14, 2011	Aug 16, 2011	Aug 18, 2011	Feb 26, 2012	Feb 78, 2012	Mar 01, 2012	Aug 12, 2012	Acg 14, 2012	Aug 16, 2012	Feb 17, 2013	Feb 19, 2013	Feb 21, 2015	90% Percentije
Ammonia (as N)	sig/L	0	0	0	69	B1	77	88	0	115	131	213	0	0	0	. 0	214	121	150	149	178	223	0	.0	õ	o	0	o	Q	0	0	182
Bis (Z-othylhoxyl) phthalolo	Age:	0	0	C	0	0	¢.	0	0	0	0	Û	r)	0	0	0	Q.	0	Ð	0	0	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chiorian, Total Residual	ug/L	0	Ð	0	0	0	Q	40	20		0	0	0	0	ō	ð	0	0	0	25	7	1	12	0	Ð	<u> </u>	14	29	0	э	,	21
Copper, Totul	140/1	0	¢	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	¢.	0	0	¢	0	. 0	0	0	0
fron, Tolat	14gel.	0	0	0	1800	60	2000	410	3300	3200	60	70	3400	3500	3600	3800	0	0	118	142	3.52	345	102	37.1	67.6	49	66	190	160	81	58	281
Load, Yotal	40 1 .	0	٥	0	0	. 0	0	. 0	0	0	. 0	0	0	Q	Ð	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>.</u> Q	0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>	0	0
Nickel, Total	յկցիլ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	12	. 0	0	0	0	0	a	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	1 0	Q	
Zinc, Total	իցվ	11	0	0	0	0	0	22	Ð	0	10	0	Ð	0	0	0	23	21	24	105	21	17	5.A	23	7	33.1	9.2	6.9	28.8	8.5	11.0	24
tron photycas from 2008 unit 2010 not a	ncluded in statimum valy	e:posselei	ion kneitern	nca in lest r	metod used	i.																										

PARAMETER	UNITS	Aug 17, 200	Aug 13, 203	Aug 21, 200	Feb 06, 2000	Feb 10, 200	Feb 12, 200	Aug 09, 200	Aug 11, 200	Aug 13, 260	Feb 15, 2010	Feb.17,2010	Feb 19, 2010	Aug 03, 201	Aug 11, 201	Aug 13. 201	Feb 06, 201	Feb.08, 201	Feb 10, 201	102,31 guiA	Áug 16, 201	Aug 18, 201	Feb 26, 201:	Feb 28, 201	Nor 01, 201	Aug 12, 201	Aug 14, 201	Aug 16, 201	Feb 17, 201	Feb (9, 201	Feb 21, 201	MAXIMUM	MINIMUM
Solinity	ppt	23	26	25	21	18	23	19	25	25	21	20	20	28	28	26	20	16	19	20	11	9	17	28	22	28	25	26	30	21	27		9
øН	50	7.9	8.0	7.8	8.0	7.9	7.9	7.4	7.7	7,9	7.8	7.7	7.9	7.8	8.0	7.9	8.2	7.7	7.9	7.6	7.6	7.7	8.1	7.8	7.8	8.1	7.9	8.0	7.9	8,0	7.9	8.2	

Tomporaturo Data from NOAA Station ID \$451490 & State Plor, New London:

Monthfyear	4 P	•c
Aug 2008	73.94	23.3
Aug 2009	84.20	29.0
Aug 2010	76.28	24.6
Aug 2011	74.48	23.6
Aug 2012	77.90	25.5
Aug 2013	72.86	22.7

1.0

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS AND WATER QUALITY-BASED LIMIT DETERMINATION SUMMARY SHEET

A "reasonable potential" analysis involves determining whether the facility's discharge has the potential to cause, the reasonable potential to cause, or contributes to an excursion of the State's water quality standards. The analysis involves an effluent characterization process designed to determine which pollutants have the potential to exceed the standards. If the pollutant has the potential or the reasonable potential to exceed the standards, water quality-based limits are required. The reasonable potential analysis and permit limit determinations are performed in accordance with the procedures outlined in the EPA Guidance Manual entitled *Technical Support Document for Water Quality Based Toxics Control*, March 1991.

2 K.

DATA SOURCES:	Effluent Data: I Background Data:	ffluent Data: DMR Data: August 2008-March 2013 ackground Data: Thames River dilution water from Pfizer's chronic toxicity testing: August 2008 - February 2013; Temperature from NOAA Gage 8461490										
DETERMINATION OF FRESHWATER OR SALTWATER CRITERIA:	EPA's document National G This document provides the If the receiving waters at If the receiving waters at the receiving waters at The salinity in the r	iuldance of the Applicab following guidance: The discharge point ha the discharge point ha the discharge point ha eceiving water is:	lity of Freshwater and Saltwat ve salinity values less than 1 p ve salinity values between 1 p ve salinity values greater than >10 ppt	er Criteria (EPA-822-F pl, the discharge shou pt and 10 ppt, the disc 10 ppt, the discharge :	R-02-047) is used to ald be evaluated for harge should be ev should be evaluate	o determine i freshwater c aluated for ti d for saitwate	f freshwater criteria or salt water criter criteria he more stringent of the freshwater or er criteria	ta are appropriate. sattwater criteria				
CRITERIA:	State of Connecticut's Wate	er Quality Standards , O	ctober 10, 2013									
SITE-SPECIFIC CRITERIA FOR COPPER:	Site-specific criteria exists f	for copper for the follow	ing waterbodies in the State:									
	Waterbody	Reach										
	Bantam River Blackberry River Factory Brook Five Mile River Hockanum River Mill Brook Naugatuck River Norwalk River Pequabuck River Pootatuck River Quinnipiac River Still River Williams Brook Williams Brook	Litchfield POT Norfok POTV Norfh Canaan Salisbury POT New Canaan Vernon POTW Plainfield Villa Torrington PO Ridgefield Bro Plymouth POT Newington PO Southington P Winsted POT Lymeklin Broo Ledyard POTY Stafford Sprin Eagleville Dar	W to confluence with Shepaug (to confluence with Roaring B POTW to confluence with Hou W to mouth 20TW to mouth (to confluence with Connectic ge POTW to mouth TW to confluence with Housat ok to Branchville W to confluence with Farming TW to confluence with Farming k to confluence with Housaton V to confluence with Housaton V to confluence with Housaton V to confluence with Housaton N to confluence with Shetucke	y River rook usatonic River ut River onic River onic River en on River en River ic River nt Area (Willington) t River								
AMMONIA CRITERIA: (SALTWATER)	Saltwater ammonia criteria receiving water temperatur tota) ammonia concentratio	in the State's Water Que, pH, and salinity. "An ns. This calculation is a	ality Standards are expressed ibient Water Quality Criteria fo as follows:	as un-lonized ammor r Ammonia (Sallwater	nia (as NH ₃). Equiv)-1989" describes i	alent total ar he procedure	mmonia concentrations are dependent e for converting un-ionized ammonia c	i on concentrations to				
	ASUTE: T _{sonool} " PM _{anbact} " Safindy _{anbi} PK ₆ = %UA: Unionized anrinonia critoria (as NH ₂) Total ammonia critoria (as N)=	29.0 °C 8.2 SU 9 ppt NH ₃ }#	[Enler the highest temperature] [Enler the highest pH] [Enler the lowest solinity] 9.165 9.987 % 233 µgA 2.333 µgA 1.918 µgA	SHRONIS: pK _o = %UIA= Unionized ammonia c Total ammonia critoria Total ammonia critoria	T _{artbient} # pH _{arbient} # Selinity _{antbient} # ritorie (as NH ₃)# e (as NH ₃)# e (as NJ#	29.0 °C 8.2 SU 9 ppt	[Enter the highest temperature] [Enter the highest pH] 9.155 9.987 % 35 µg/L 350 µg/L 288 µg/L					
DILUTION FACTOR:	Dye Dilution Re-Modeling: Average flow of DSN 008-1 Average flow of DSN 008-1 Maximum hours of dischar Dilution Factor = IWC%= Dilution is not allowed for	46 (gpd): 25,000,000 ((cfs): 38,7 gerday 24 46 2.17 rr carcinogens/bioacco	:1 gpd cfs hours :1 % umulative pollutants.									
BASIS FOR REASONABLE POTENTIAL:	The maximum receiving w MAXIMUM RECEIVIN If the receiving water conce If reasonable potential exis Should the receiving water	ater concentration for ea IG WATER CONCENTRAT entration is greater than its, water-quality based concentration be suffic	ach pollutant is compared to th ON={((Statistical Multiplier)*(Maximi the concentration of the appli limits are included in the perm ently close to the applicable c	le appropriate criteria (um Effluent Concentration) cable criteria for that p Il for the subject politut riteria, considering the	where the maximum))+((Maximum Backgr vollulant, there is re lant. : degree of confide	n receiving v ound Receiving asonable pot nce in the val	water concentration is determined as f Water Concentration) (Diktion Factor-1))(D Itential for the discharge to cause an in lues, the Department may include limi	oliows: iktion Factor] I-stream excursion. Its also.				
BASIS FOR WATER-QUALITY LIMIT DETERMINATION:	 If it is determined that reas Determine the Waste Lo WLA (acute, chronic, Determine the Long Ten LTA (acute)=WLA_{ba} LTA (chronic)=WLA_b LTA (human health)= Determine the limiting L Calculate the Average N AML (numan health)= Calculate the Maximum 	onable potential exists, vad Allocation (WLA) for human healthy=[(Criteria)*(i m Average (LTA) for ea "exp(0.55*2c] exp(0.55*2c] exp(0.55*2c] ML/human head TA (i.e., the lowest LTA fonthly Limit (AML): L'Aquere anaxy Dally Limit (MDL):	water-quality based permit lim each applicable criteria: Nution Factor}{Maximum Backgro ch applicable criteria of the applicable criteria) 7, ²]	ils are calculated as fo	Oflows: centration*(Dilution Fa	ztor-1)j						
	MDL (acuts,chronic)= MDL (human health)=	LTA _{acute or chronic} *exp[zo-0.5c WLA _{human hesth} *exp[zo-0.5c	⁴) ት									





79 Elm Street • Hartford, CT 06106-5127

ENERGY &

Connecticut Department of

ENVIRONMENTAL P R O T E C T I O N

www.ct.gov/deep

Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

NOTICE OF TENTATIVE DECISION INTENT TO RENEW A NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMIT FOR THE FOLLOWING DISCHARGES INTO THE WATERS OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT

TENTATIVE DECISION

The Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection ("Commissioner") hereby gives notice of a tentative decision to renew a permit based on an application submitted by **PFIZER INC.** ("the applicant") under Section 22a-430 of the Connecticut General Statutes ("CGS") for a permit to discharge into the waters of the state.

In accordance with applicable federal and state law, the Commissioner has made a tentative decision that: with respect to DSN 008-1, continuance of the existing system to treat the discharge would protect the waters of the state from pollution; and with respect to DSN 009-1, continuance of the existing discharge would not cause pollution of the waters of the state.

This permit also includes a tentative decision that with respect to INTAKE 01H, the location, design, construction, and capacity of the cooling water intake structure reflects the best technology available for minimizing adverse environmental impact. Specifically, the Commissioner has determined that closed-cycle cooling would be the best technology available for this applicant to meet the requirements of Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. § 1326(b). This determination was made in accordance with Section 316(b) of the CWA and Section 22a-430 of the CGS.

This permit also includes a tentative decision that with respect to DSN 008-1, the alternative thermal limitations will assure the protection and propagation of a balanced indigenous population of shellfish, fish and wildlife in and on the receiving water. The alternative thermal effluent limitations were established consistent with Section 316(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1326(a), Subpart H of 40 CFR 125, Section 22a-430-4(q)(2)(A)(ii) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, and Section 22a-430 of the CGS.

The proposed permit, if issued by the Commissioner, will require that all wastewater be treated to meet the applicable effluent limitations and will require periodic monitoring to demonstrate that the discharge will not cause pollution.

ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE DRAFT PERMIT

Pfizer Inc. has submitted an application for the renewal of its NPDES permit which would continue the authorization of the wastewater discharges associated with the utilities-related operations at its Groton, Connecticut facility.

Pfizer Inc. discharges the following utilities-related wastestreams that are the subject of the draft permit: 1) a maximum of 45,000,000 gallons per day of wastewater from DSN 008-1 into the Thames River which consists of a continuous discharge of treated wastewater associated with the power, steam, and chilled water generation operations at the facility; and 2) a maximum of 600,000 gallons per day of wastewater from DSN 009-1 into the Thames River which consists of the intermittent discharge of backwash water associated with intake structure screen cleaning. In addition, Pfizer Inc. operates a cooling water intake structure at its facility identified in the draft permit as INTAKE 01H. The intake source water is the Thames River.

The activities take place at Pfizer Inc's facility at 445 Eastern Point Road in Groton, Connecticut and are specifically located as follows:

DISCHARGE/INTAKE ID	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	LOCATION						
TOTAL 000 1	(10 10) (0)	700 042 442	Approx. 2.25 miles south of Gold Star Bridge,						
DSN 008-1	41° 19' 30"	72-04-44	east side of Thames River						
DSN 009-1	41° 19' 55"	72° 04' 46"	Approx 650 ft north of DSN 008-1						
INTAKE 01H	41° 19' 56"	72° 04' 46"	Approx. 675 ft north of DSN 008-1						

The name and mailing address of the permit applicant are: Pfizer Inc., 445 Eastern Point Road, MS 9090-073, Groton, Connecticut 06340.

REGULATORY CONDITIONS

Types of Treatment

DSN 008-1: Oil/Water Separation; Equalization; Neutralization

Effluent Limitations

This permit contains the following types of effluent limitations identified in Section 22a-430-4(l) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies: 1) limitations based on a Case-by-Case determination using the criteria of Best Professional Judgment established in accordance with Section 22a-430-4(m) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies; 2) limitations based on Section 22a-430-4(s) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies; 3) limitations based on Section 301(b)(1)(C) of the CWA. The permit limits will ensure that Water Quality Standards, including the anti-degradation policy, are met.

In accordance with Section 22a-430-4(1) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, the permit contains effluent limitations for the following types of toxic substances: base-neutral organic compounds and other toxic substances.

The applicant has submitted documentation in support of renewing the variance associated with the alternative thermal limitation for DSN 008-1. DSN 008-1 consists primarily of once-through cooling water which when spent, includes a thermal component. Section 316(a) of the CWA and Section 22a-430-4(q)(2)(A)(ii) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies allow that alternative thermal effluent limitations may be imposed if it has been demonstrated that the limits required by the applicable standards are more stringent than necessary to assure the protection and propagation of a balanced, indigenous population of shellfish, fish, and wildlife in and on the waterbody into which the discharge is made. The applicant has demonstrated that the thermal component of DSN 008-1 is not causing any appreciable harm on the aquatic life in the Thames River. Furthermore, there has not been any indication that the thermal component of the discharge is causing any adverse effects on aquatic life. Therefore, the alternative thermal effluent limit contained in the existing permit, as well as a thermal limit concerning temperature rise in the receiving water, will be included in the draft permit.

COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE

The applicant has undertaken an evaluation to determine the best technology available to minimize the impact associated with its cooling water intake structure consistent with Section 316(b) of the CWA. Based on the information provided in this evaluation, the Commissioner has determined that closed-cycle cooling represents the best technology available for this applicant. In order to comply with this determination, the applicant will undertake a project designed to expand/upgrade its existing cooling tower. The draft permit includes an enforceable compliance schedule which requires the applicant to complete this project.

COMMISSIONER'S AUTHORITY

The Commissioner is authorized to approve or deny such permits pursuant to Section 22a-430 of the Connecticut General Statutes and the Water Discharge Permit Regulations (Sections 22a-430-3 and 4 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies).

INFORMATION REQUESTS

The application has been assigned the following numbers by the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection. Please use these numbers when corresponding with this office regarding this application.

2

APPLICATION NO. 201207927

Interested persons may obtain copies of the application by contacting Eric Watters, Senior Manager/EHS Lead, Pfizer Inc., 445 Eastern Point Road, Groton, Connecticut at (860) 715-0088.

The application is available for inspection by contacting Christine Gleason at (860) 424-3278 at the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance, 79 Elm Street, Hartford, CT 06106-5127 from 8:30-4:30, Monday through Friday.

Any interested person may request in writing that his or her name be put on a mailing list to receive notice of intent to issue any permit to discharge to the surface waters of the state. Such request may be for the entire state or any geographic area of the state and shall clearly state in writing the name and mailing address of the interested person and the area for which notices are requested.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Prior to making a final determination to approve or deny any application, the Commissioner shall consider written comments on the application from interested persons that are received within 30 days of this public notice. Written comments should be directed to Christine Gleason, Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance, Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, 79 Elm Street, Hartford, CT, 06106-5127. The Commissioner may hold a public hearing prior to approving or denying an application if in the Commissioner's discretion the public interest will be best served thereby, and shall hold a hearing upon receipt of a petition signed by at least twenty-five persons. Notice of any public hearing shall be published at least 30 days prior to the hearing.

Petitions for a hearing should include the application number noted above and also identify a contact person to receive notifications. Petitions may also identify a person who is authorized to engage in discussions regarding the application and, if resolution is reached, withdraw the petition. Original petitions must be *mailed or delivered* to: DEEP Office of Adjudications, 79 Elm Street, 3rd floor, Hartford, 06106-5127. Petitions cannot be sent by fax or e-mail. Additional information can be found at <u>www.ct.gov/deep/adjudications</u>.

The Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection is an Affirmative Action and Equal Opportunity Employer that is committed to complying with the Americans with Disabilities Act. To request an accommodation contact us at (860) 418-5910 or <u>deep.accommodations@ct.gov</u>

OSWALD INGLESE, JR., Director

Water Permitting and Enforcement Division Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance

Dated:

APR 0 9 2014