April 16, 2015

Mr. Kenneth Gallant
Environmental Manager
Verso Paper Company
Androscoggin Mill, Riley Road
Jay, ME. 04239

RE: Maine Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (MEPDES) Permit ME0001937
Maine Waste Discharge License (WDL) Application W000623-5N-M-M
Final Modification

Dear Mr. Gallant:

Enclosed please find a copy of your final Maine MEPDES/WDL modification which was approved by the Department of Environmental Protection. Please read the permit and its attached conditions carefully. You must follow the conditions in the order to satisfy the requirements of law. Any discharge not receiving adequate treatment is in violation of State Law and is subject to enforcement action.

Any interested person aggrieved by a Department determination made pursuant to applicable regulations, may appeal the decision following the procedures described in the attached DEP FACT SHEET entitled “Appealing a Commissioner’s Licensing Decision.”

If you have any questions regarding the matter, please feel free to call me at 287-7693.

Sincerely,

Gregg Wood
Division of Water Quality Management
Bureau of Land and Water Quality

cc: Beth DeHaas, DEP/CMRO  Marelyn Vega, USEPA  Sandy Mojica, USEPA  Olga Vergara, USEPA
DEPARTMENT ORDER

IN THE MATTER OF

VERSO PAPER COMPANY ) MAINE POLLUTANT DISCHARGE
JAY, FRANKLIN COUNTY, MAINE ) ELIMINATION SYSTEM PERMIT
PULP & PAPER MANUFACTURING FACILITY ) AND
ME0001937 ) WASTE DISCHARGE LICENSE
W000623-5N-M-M APPROVAL ) MINOR REVISION

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, Title 33 USC, Section 1251, et. seq., and Maine Law 38 M.R.S.A., Section 414-A et. seq., and all applicable regulations, the Department of Environmental Protection (Department hereinafter) has considered a request by VERSO PAPER COMPANY (Verso/permittee hereinafter), to modify Maine Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (MEPDES) permit #ME0001937/ Maine Waste Discharge License (WDL) #W000623-5N-L-R (permit hereinafter) issued by the Department on December 20, 2012, for a five year term. With its supportive data, agency review comments, and other related materials on file the Department FINDS THE FOLLOWING FACTS:

MODIFICATION REQUESTED

The permittee has requested the Department modify the above referenced permit to established separate reporting requirements for chemical oxygen demand (COD) during times when unbleached kraft pulp production is less than 50% of normal production. Normal unbleached kraft pulp production at the Verso mill in Jay has been determined to be 1,334 air-dried tons/day. The permittee is requesting the modification to be consistent with a Standard Condition C(2) of the permit that states in part “Where discharge monitoring is required when production is less than 50%, the resulting data shall be reported as a daily measurement but not included in computation of averages, unless specifically authorized by the Department.”

Establishing a separate line for reporting COD values at times when pulp production is <667 air-dried tons/day will enable the permittee and the Department to electronically track all data rather just data that is reported when production is greater than 50% of normal production. It will also make determining compliance with the numeric limitations easier as they are only applicable when production is greater than 50% of normal production.

MODIFICATIONS GRANTED/DENIED

The Department concurs with the permittee that adding a separate line for COD enables the Department and the permittee to track data separately and determine compliance with the numeric limitations with 50% of normal production being the dividing line.
CONCLUSIONS

BASED on the findings on page 1 of this minor revision and subject to the terms and conditions contained herein, the Department makes the following CONCLUSIONS:

1. The discharge, either by itself or in combination with other discharges, will not lower the quality of any classified body of water below such classification.

2. The discharge, either by itself or in combination with other discharges, will not lower the quality of any unclassified body of water below the classification which the Department expects to adopt in accordance with state law.

3. The provisions of the State’s antidegradation policy, 38 M.R.S.A., Section 464(4)(F), will be met, in that:
   
   (a) Existing in-stream water uses and the level of water quality necessary to protect and maintain those existing uses will be maintained and protected;

   (b) Where high quality waters of the State constitute an outstanding natural resource, that water quality will be maintained and protected;

   (c) Where the standards of classification of the receiving water body are not met, the discharge will not cause or contribute to the failure of the water body to meet the standards of classification.

   (d) Where the actual quality of any classified receiving water body exceeds the minimum standards of the next highest classification, that higher water quality will be maintained and protected; and

   (e) Where a discharge will result in lowering the existing quality of any water body, the Department has made the finding, following opportunity for public participation, that this action is necessary to achieve important economic or social benefits to the State.

4. The discharge will be subject to effluent limitations that require application of best practicable treatment.
ACTION

THEREFORE, the Department APPROVES the above noted request by VERSO PAPER COMPANY, to modify MEPDES permit ME0001937/ WDL #W000623-5N-L-R, issued by the Department on December 20, 2012, for a five year term. The permittee is SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED CONDITIONS, and all applicable standards and regulations:


2. The attached Special Conditions, including effluent limitations and monitoring requirements.

3. All terms and conditions of MEPDES permit ME0001937/ WDL #W000623-5N-L-R, issued by the Department on December 20, 2012, not modified by this permitting action remain in effect and enforceable.

4. This minor revision becomes effective upon the date of signature below and expires at midnight on December 20, 2017. If a renewal application is timely submitted and accepted as complete for processing prior to the expiration of this permit, the terms and conditions of this permit and all subsequent modifications and minor revisions thereto remain in effect until a final Department decision on the renewal application becomes effective. [Maine Administrative Procedure Act, 5 M.R.S.A. § 10002 and Rules Concerning the Processing of Applications and Other Administrative Matters, 06-096 CMR 2(21)(A) (effective April 1, 2003)]

PLEASE NOTE ATTACHED FACT SHEET FOR GUIDANCE ON APPEAL PROCEDURES

DONE AND DATED AT AUGUSTA, MAINE, THIS ___DAY OF _______________, 2015.

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

BY: ___________________________________________
For Patricia W. Aho, Commissioner

Date of initial receipt of application ____________ March 10, 2015 .

Date of application acceptance ____________ March 10, 2015 .

Date filed with Board of Environmental Protection _________________________

This order prepared by Gregg Wood, BUREAU OF LAND AND WATER QUALITY

ME0001937 MR 2015 4/16/15
### A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (cont’d)

**OUTFALL #001A & #001B** - Secondary treated waste waters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effluent Characteristic</th>
<th>Discharge Limitations</th>
<th>Minimum Monitoring Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly Average as specified</td>
<td>Weekly Average as specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1 – Sept. 30</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 1 – May 31</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>River Temperature Increase</strong> [03772]</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1 – Sept. 30</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>River Temperature Increase</strong> [03772]</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1 – Sept. 30</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adsorbable Organic Halogen</strong> [8] (AOX) [03594]</td>
<td>1,495 lbs/day [26]</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Footnotes:** With the exception of footnotes 9a and 9b, see pages 9 – 14 of MEPDES permit ME0001937/ WDL #W000623-5N-L-R issued on December 20, 2012.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (cont’d)

Outfalls #001A & #001B

Footnotes:

(9) Chemical oxygen demand (COD)- All reported COD values are to be expressed as the soluble fraction of COD in the final effluent.

(a) Numeric limitations are only applicable when unbleached kraft pulp production is greater than or equal to (≥) 667 air dried tons/day.

(b) The permittee shall report values associated with sampling conducted at times when the unbleached kraft pulp production is less than (<) 667 air dried tons/day.
DEP INFORMATION SHEET
Appealing a Department Licensing Decision

Dated: March 2012
Contact: (207) 287-2811

SUMMARY
There are two methods available to an aggrieved person seeking to appeal a licensing decision made by the Department of Environmental Protection’s (“DEP”) Commissioner: (1) in an administrative process before the Board of Environmental Protection (“Board”); or (2) in a judicial process before Maine’s Superior Court. An aggrieved person seeking review of a licensing decision over which the Board had original jurisdiction may seek judicial review in Maine’s Superior Court.

A judicial appeal of final action by the Commissioner or the Board regarding an application for an expedited wind energy development (35-A M.R.S.A. § 3451(4)) or a general permit for an offshore wind energy demonstration project (38 M.R.S.A. § 480-HH(1)) or a general permit for a tidal energy demonstration project (38 M.R.S.A. § 636-A) must be taken to the Supreme Judicial Court sitting as the Law Court.

This INFORMATION SHEET, in conjunction with a review of the statutory and regulatory provisions referred to herein, can help a person to understand his or her rights and obligations in filing an administrative or judicial appeal.

I. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS TO THE BOARD

LEGAL REFERENCES

HOW LONG YOU HAVE TO SUBMIT AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD
The Board must receive a written appeal within 30 days of the date on which the Commissioner's decision was filed with the Board. Appeals filed after 30 calendar days of the date on which the Commissioner's decision was filed with the Board will be rejected.

HOW TO SUBMIT AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD
Signed original appeal documents must be sent to: Chair, Board of Environmental Protection, c/o Department of Environmental Protection, 17 State House Station, Augusta, ME 04333-0017; faxes are acceptable for purposes of meeting the deadline when followed by the Board’s receipt of mailed original documents within five (5) working days. Receipt on a particular day must be by 5:00 PM at DEP’s offices in Augusta; materials received after 5:00 PM are not considered received until the following day. The person appealing a licensing decision must also send the DEP’s Commissioner a copy of the appeal documents and if the person appealing is not the applicant in the license proceeding at issue the applicant must also be sent a copy of the appeal documents. All of the information listed in the next section must be submitted at the time the appeal is filed. Only the extraordinary circumstances described at the end of that section will justify evidence not in the DEP’s record at the time of decision being added to the record for consideration by the Board as part of an appeal.

WHAT YOUR APPEAL PAPERWORK MUST CONTAIN
Appeal materials must contain the following information at the time submitted:

OCF/90-1/r95/r98/r99/r00/r04/r12
1. **Aggrieved Status.** The appeal must explain how the person filing the appeal has standing to maintain an appeal. This requires an explanation of how the person filing the appeal may suffer a particularized injury as a result of the Commissioner’s decision.

2. **The findings, conclusions or conditions objected to or believed to be in error.** Specific references and facts regarding the appellant’s issues with the decision must be provided in the notice of appeal.

3. **The basis of the objections or challenge.** If possible, specific regulations, statutes or other facts should be referenced. This may include citing omissions of relevant requirements, and errors believed to have been made in interpretations, conclusions, and relevant requirements.

4. **The remedy sought.** This can range from reversal of the Commissioner's decision on the license or permit to changes in specific permit conditions.

5. **All the matters to be contested.** The Board will limit its consideration to those arguments specifically raised in the written notice of appeal.

6. **Request for hearing.** The Board will hear presentations on appeals at its regularly scheduled meetings, unless a public hearing on the appeal is requested and granted. A request for public hearing on an appeal must be filed as part of the notice of appeal.

7. **New or additional evidence to be offered.** The Board may allow new or additional evidence, referred to as supplemental evidence, to be considered by the Board in an appeal only when the evidence is relevant and material and that the person seeking to add information to the record can show due diligence in bringing the evidence to the DEP’s attention at the earliest possible time in the licensing process or that the evidence itself is newly discovered and could not have been presented earlier in the process. Specific requirements for additional evidence are found in Chapter 2.

**OTHER CONSIDERATIONS IN APPEALING A DECISION TO THE BOARD**

1. **Be familiar with all relevant material in the DEP record.** A license application file is public information, subject to any applicable statutory exceptions, made easily accessible by DEP. Upon request, the DEP will make the material available during normal working hours, provide space to review the file, and provide opportunity for photocopying materials. There is a charge for copies or copying services.

2. **Be familiar with the regulations and laws under which the application was processed, and the procedural rules governing your appeal.** DEP staff will provide this information on request and answer questions regarding applicable requirements.

3. **The filing of an appeal does not operate as a stay to any decision.** If a license has been granted and it has been appealed the license normally remains in effect pending the processing of the appeal. A license holder may proceed with a project pending the outcome of an appeal but the license holder runs the risk of the decision being reversed or modified as a result of the appeal.

**WHAT TO EXPECT ONCE YOU FILE A TIMELY APPEAL WITH THE BOARD**

The Board will formally acknowledge receipt of an appeal, including the name of the DEP project manager assigned to the specific appeal. The notice of appeal, any materials accepted by the Board Chair as supplementary evidence, and any materials submitted in response to the appeal will be sent to Board members with a recommendation from DEP staff. Persons filing appeals and interested persons are notified in advance of the date set for Board consideration of an appeal or request for public hearing. With or without holding a public hearing, the Board may affirm, amend, or reverse a Commissioner decision or remand the matter to the Commissioner for further proceedings. The Board will notify the appellant, a license holder, and interested persons of its decision.
II. **JUDICIAL APPEALS**

Maine law generally allows aggrieved persons to appeal final Commissioner or Board licensing decisions to Maine’s Superior Court, see 38 M.R.S.A. § 346(1); 06-096 CMR 2; 5 M.R.S.A. § 11001; & M.R. Civ. P 80C. A party’s appeal must be filed with the Superior Court within 30 days of receipt of notice of the Board’s or the Commissioner’s decision. For any other person, an appeal must be filed within 40 days of the date the decision was rendered. Failure to file a timely appeal will result in the Board’s or the Commissioner’s decision becoming final.

An appeal to court of a license decision regarding an expedited wind energy development, a general permit for an offshore wind energy demonstration project, or a general permit for a tidal energy demonstration project may only be taken directly to the Maine Supreme Judicial Court. See 38 M.R.S.A. § 346(4).

Maine’s Administrative Procedure Act, DEP statutes governing a particular matter, and the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure must be consulted for the substantive and procedural details applicable to judicial appeals.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

If you have questions or need additional information on the appeal process, for administrative appeals contact the Board’s Executive Analyst at (207) 287-2452 or for judicial appeals contact the court clerk’s office in which your appeal will be filed.

**Note:** The DEP provides this INFORMATION SHEET for general guidance only; it is not intended for use as a legal reference. Maine law governs an appellant’s rights.