STATE OF MAINE Department of Environmental Protection





PAUL R. LEPAGE GOVERNOR PAUL MERCER

April 7, 2016

Maine School Administrative District #6 Bill Ellis 94 Main Street Buxton ME, 04093 billis@bonnyeagle.org

> Sent via electronic mail Delivery confirmation requested

RE: Maine Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (MEPDES) Permit #ME0101826 Maine Waste Discharge License (WDL) Application #W000698-5E-E-R Finalized MEPDES Permit Renewal

Dear: William Ellis

Enclosed please find a copy of your final MEPDES permit and Maine WDL renewal which was approved by the Department of Environmental Protection. Please read this permit/license renewal and its attached conditions carefully. You must follow the conditions in the order to satisfy the requirements of law. Any discharge not receiving adequate treatment is in violation of State Law and is subject to enforcement action.

Any interested person aggrieved by a Department determination made pursuant to applicable regulations, may appeal the decision following the procedures described in the attached DEP FACT SHEET entitled *"Appealing a Commissioner's Licensing Decision."*

Comments in writing should be submitted to my attention at the following address:

Maine Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Water Quality Division of Water Quality Management 17 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333-0017 <u>Aaron.A.Dumont@maine.gov</u>

AUGUSTA 17 STATE HOUSE STATION AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017 (207) 287-3901 FAX: (207) 287-3435 RAY BLDG., HOSPITAL ST.

BANGOR 106 HOGAN ROAD BANGOR, MAINE 04401 (207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584 PORTLAND 312 CANCO ROAD PORTLAND, MAINE 04103 (207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303 PRESQUE ISLE 1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769-2094 (207) 764-6477 FAX: (207) 764-1507

web site: www.maine.gov/dep

Letter to William Ellis April 7, 2016 Page 2 of 2

If you have any questions regarding the matter, please feel free to call me at (207)-592-7161.

Sincerely,

Cum Sums

Aaron Dumont Division of Water Quality Management Bureau of Water Quality

Enclosure

cc:

Fred Gallant, DEP/SMRO Lori Mitchell, DEP/CMRO Olga Vergara, USEPA Sandy Mojica, USEPA Marelyn Vega, USEPA



DEP INFORMATION SHEET Appealing a Department Licensing Decision

Dated: March 2012

Contact: (207) 287-2811

SUMMARY

There are two methods available to an aggrieved person seeking to appeal a licensing decision made by the Department of Environmental Protection's ("DEP") Commissioner: (1) in an administrative process before the Board of Environmental Protection ("Board"); or (2) in a judicial process before Maine's Superior Court. An aggrieved person seeking review of a licensing decision over which the Board had original jurisdiction may seek judicial review in Maine's Superior Court.

A judicial appeal of final action by the Commissioner or the Board regarding an application for an expedited wind energy development (35-A M.R.S.A. § 3451(4)) or a general permit for an offshore wind energy demonstration project (38 M.R.S.A. § 480-HH(1) or a general permit for a tidal energy demonstration project (38 M.R.S.A. § 636-A) must be taken to the Supreme Judicial Court sitting as the Law Court.

This INFORMATION SHEET, in conjunction with a review of the statutory and regulatory provisions referred to herein, can help a person to understand his or her rights and obligations in filing an administrative or judicial appeal.

I. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS TO THE BOARD

LEGAL REFERENCES

The laws concerning the DEP's Organization and Powers, 38 M.R.S.A. §§ 341-D(4) & 346, the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, 5 M.R.S.A. § 11001, and the DEP's Rules Concerning the Processing of Applications and Other Administrative Matters ("Chapter 2"), 06-096 CMR 2 (April 1, 2003).

HOW LONG YOU HAVE TO SUBMIT AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD

The Board must receive a written appeal within 30 days of the date on which the Commissioner's decision was filed with the Board. Appeals filed after 30 calendar days of the date on which the Commissioner's decision was filed with the Board will be rejected.

HOW TO SUBMIT AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD

Signed original appeal documents must be sent to: Chair, Board of Environmental Protection, c/o Department of Environmental Protection, 17 State House Station, Augusta, ME 04333-0017; faxes are acceptable for purposes of meeting the deadline when followed by the Board's receipt of mailed original documents within five (5) working days. Receipt on a particular day must be by 5:00 PM at DEP's offices in Augusta; materials received after 5:00 PM are not considered received until the following day. The person appealing a licensing decision must also send the DEP's Commissioner a copy of the appeal documents and if the person appealing is not the applicant in the license proceeding at issue the applicant must also be sent a copy of the appeal documents. All of the information listed in the next section must be submitted at the time the appeal is filed. Only the extraordinary circumstances described at the end of that section will justify evidence not in the DEP's record at the time of decision being added to the record for consideration by the Board as part of an appeal.

WHAT YOUR APPEAL PAPERWORK MUST CONTAIN

Appeal materials must contain the following information at the time submitted:

OCF/90-1/r95/r98/r99/r00/r04/r12

ALCONTRACTOR

- 1. *Aggrieved Status*. The appeal must explain how the person filing the appeal has standing to maintain an appeal. This requires an explanation of how the person filing the appeal may suffer a particularized injury as a result of the Commissioner's decision.
- 2. *The findings, conclusions or conditions objected to or believed to be in error*. Specific references and facts regarding the appellant's issues with the decision must be provided in the notice of appeal.
- 3. *The basis of the objections or challenge*. If possible, specific regulations, statutes or other facts should be referenced. This may include citing omissions of relevant requirements, and errors believed to have been made in interpretations, conclusions, and relevant requirements.
- 4. *The remedy sought*. This can range from reversal of the Commissioner's decision on the license or permit to changes in specific permit conditions.
- 5. *All the matters to be contested.* The Board will limit its consideration to those arguments specifically raised in the written notice of appeal.
- 6. *Request for hearing*. The Board will hear presentations on appeals at its regularly scheduled meetings, unless a public hearing on the appeal is requested and granted. A request for public hearing on an appeal must be filed as part of the notice of appeal.
- 7. *New or additional evidence to be offered.* The Board may allow new or additional evidence, referred to as supplemental evidence, to be considered by the Board in an appeal only when the evidence is relevant and material and that the person seeking to add information to the record can show due diligence in bringing the evidence to the DEP's attention at the earliest possible time in the licensing process <u>or</u> that the evidence itself is newly discovered and could not have been presented earlier in the process. Specific requirements for additional evidence are found in Chapter 2.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS IN APPEALING A DECISION TO THE BOARD

- 1. *Be familiar with all relevant material in the DEP record.* A license application file is public information, subject to any applicable statutory exceptions, made easily accessible by DEP. Upon request, the DEP will make the material available during normal working hours, provide space to review the file, and provide opportunity for photocopying materials. There is a charge for copies or copying services.
- 2. Be familiar with the regulations and laws under which the application was processed, and the procedural rules governing your appeal. DEP staff will provide this information on request and answer questions regarding applicable requirements.
- 3. *The filing of an appeal does not operate as a stay to any decision.* If a license has been granted and it has been appealed the license normally remains in effect pending the processing of the appeal. A license holder may proceed with a project pending the outcome of an appeal but the license holder runs the risk of the decision being reversed or modified as a result of the appeal.

WHAT TO EXPECT ONCE YOU FILE A TIMELY APPEAL WITH THE BOARD

The Board will formally acknowledge receipt of an appeal, including the name of the DEP project manager assigned to the specific appeal. The notice of appeal, any materials accepted by the Board Chair as supplementary evidence, and any materials submitted in response to the appeal will be sent to Board members with a recommendation from DEP staff. Persons filing appeals and interested persons are notified in advance of the date set for Board consideration of an appeal or request for public hearing. With or without holding a public hearing, the Board may affirm, amend, or reverse a Commissioner decision or remand the matter to the Commissioner for further proceedings. The Board will notify the appellant, a license holder, and interested persons of its decision.

TARA AN ALIGNATION OF A CAMPACTURE AND A CA

MARKING MANACE

MALL ALL

II. JUDICIAL APPEALS

Maine law generally allows aggrieved persons to appeal final Commissioner or Board licensing decisions to Maine's Superior Court, see 38 M.R.S.A. § 346(1); 06-096 CMR 2; 5 M.R.S.A. § 11001; & M.R. Civ. P 80C. A party's appeal must be filed with the Superior Court within 30 days of receipt of notice of the Board's or the Commissioner's decision. For any other person, an appeal must be filed within 40 days of the date the decision was rendered. Failure to file a timely appeal will result in the Board's or the Commissioner's decision becoming final.

An appeal to court of a license decision regarding an expedited wind energy development, a general permit for an offshore wind energy demonstration project, or a general permit for a tidal energy demonstration project may only be taken directly to the Maine Supreme Judicial Court. See 38 M.R.S.A. § 346(4).

Maine's Administrative Procedure Act, DEP statutes governing a particular matter, and the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure must be consulted for the substantive and procedural details applicable to judicial appeals.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

If you have questions or need additional information on the appeal process, for administrative appeals contact the Board's Executive Analyst at (207) 287-2452 or for judicial appeals contact the court clerk's office in which your appeal will be filed.

Note: The DEP provides this INFORMATION SHEET for general guidance only; it is not intended for use as a legal reference. Maine law governs an appellant's rights.



STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 17 STATE HOUSE STATION AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017

DEPARTMENT ORDER

IN THE MATTER OF

MAINE SCHOOL AMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT #6MAINE POLLUTANT DISCHARGESTANDISH, CUMBERLAND COUNTY, MAINEELIMINATION SYSTEM PERMITOVERBOARD DISCHARGEANDME0101826WASTE DISCHARGE LICENSEW000698-5E-E-RAPPROVALRENEWAL

In compliance with the applicable provisions of *Pollution Control*, 38 M.R.S.A. §§ 411 – 424-B, *Water Classification Program*, 38 M.R.S.A. §§ 464 – 470 and *Federal Water Pollution Control Act*, Title 33 U.S.C. § 1251 *et seq.*, and applicable rules of the Department of Environmental Protection (Department), the Department has considered the application of MAINE SCHOOL ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT #6 (MSAD #6), with its supportive data, agency review comments, and other related materials on file and FINDS THE FOLLOWING FACTS:

APPLICATION SUMMARY

On November 17, 2015, the Department accepted as complete for processing an application from MSAD #6 for the renewal of a combination Maine Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (MEPDES) permit ME0101826/Maine Waste Discharge License (WDL) W000698-5E-D-R which was issued by the Department on August 11, 2010, for a five-year term. The August 11, 2010, permit authorized the discharge on a year-round basis a daily maximum of 0.05125 million gallons per day (MGD) or 51,250 gallons per day (GPD) of secondary treated sanitary wastewater from MSAD #6 to the Saco River, Class A, in Standish Maine.

PERMIT SUMMARY

a. Terms and conditions

This permitting action is carrying forward all the terms and conditions of the August 11, 2010, permit except that it is:

- 1. Changing the reporting units for effluent flow from Million Gallons per Day (MGD) to Gallons per Day (GPD);
- 2. Reducing the monitoring frequency for Settleable Solids from 5/Week to 3/Week based on a statistical evaluation for the previous 62-month period;

PERMIT SUMMARY (cont'd)

- 3. Reducing the monitoring frequency for Total Residual Chlorine from 5/Week to 3/Week based on a statistical evaluation for the previous 62-month period; and
- 4. Reducing the monitoring frequency for pH from 5/Week to 3/Week based on a statistical evaluation for the previous 62-month period.

CONCLUSIONS

BASED on the findings in the attached and incorporated **Fact Sheet** dated April 4, 2016, and subject to the Conditions listed below, the Department makes the following CONCLUSIONS:

- 1. The discharge, either by itself or in combination with other discharges, will not lower the quality of any classified body of water below such classification.
- 2. The discharge, either by itself or in combination with other discharges, will not lower the quality of any unclassified body of water below the classification which the Department expects to adopt in accordance with State law.
- 3. The provisions of the State's antidegradation policy, *Classification of Maine Waters*, 38 M.R.S.A. § 464(4)(F), will be met, in that:
 - (a) Existing in-stream water uses and the level of water quality necessary to protect and maintain those existing uses will be maintained and protected;
 - (b) Where high quality waters of the State constitute an outstanding national resource, that water quality will be maintained and protected;
 - (c) Where the standards of classification of the receiving waterbody are not met, the discharge will not cause or contribute to the failure of the waterbody to meet the standards of classification;
 - (d) Where the actual quality of any classified receiving waterbody exceeds the minimum standards of the next highest classification that higher water quality will be maintained and protected; and
 - (e) Where a discharge will result in lowering the existing water quality of any waterbody, the Department has made the finding, following opportunity for public participation, that this action is necessary to achieve important economic or social benefits to the State.

PERMIT

CONCLUSIONS (cont'd)

- 4. The discharges will be subject to effluent limitations that require application of best practicable treatment as defined in Conditions of licenses, 38 M.R.S.A. § 414-A(1)(D) and 414-A(1-B).
- 5. The applicant has objectively demonstrated to the Department's satisfaction that the discharge is necessary and that there are no other reasonable alternatives available, as required by *Standards for classification of fresh surface waters*, 38 M.R.S.A. § 465(2), for the discharge to Class A waters
- 6. The overboard discharge system was in continuing existence for the 12 months preceding June 1, 1987.
- 7. The Department finds that finds that there are no technologically proven alternative methods of wastewater disposal consistent with the plumbing code adopted by the Department of Health and Human Services pursuant to Title 22, section 42 that will not result in an overboard discharge.
- 8. A publicly owned sewer line is not located on or abutting land owned or controlled by the permittee or is not available for the permittee's use.
- 9. The discharge is not located within the boundaries of a sanitary district or sewer district however connection to the existing infrastructure is not practicable.

ACTION

THEREFORE, the Department APPROVES the application of MAINE SCHOOL ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT #6 to discharge on a year-round basis a daily maximum 51,250 gpd of secondary treated sanitary wastewater from the MAINE SCHOOL ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT #6 to the Saco River, Class A, in Standish Maine, SUBJECT TO ALL APPLICABLE STANDARDS AND REGULATIONS AND THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

- 1. "Maine Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Standard Conditions Applicable to All Permits," revised July 1, 2002, copy attached.
- 2. The attached Special Conditions, including any effluent limitations and monitoring requirements.
- 3. This permit becomes effective upon the date of signature below and expires at midnight five (5) years after that date. If a renewal application is timely submitted and accepted as complete for processing prior to the expiration of this permit, the terms and conditions of this permit and all subsequent modifications and minor revisions thereto remain in effect until a final Department decision on the renewal application becomes effective. *Maine Administrative Procedure and Services*, 5 M.R.S.A. § 10002 and Rules Concerning the *Processing of Applications and Other Administrative Matters*, 06-096 CMR 2(21)(A) (amended October 19, 2015).

PLEASE NOTE ATTACHED SHEET FOR GUIDANCE ON APPEAL PROCEDURES

DONE AND DATED AT AUGUSTA, MAINE, THIS 5th DAY OF April 2016.

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

PAUL MERCER, Commissioner

Date of initial receipt of application11Date of application acceptance11

<u>11/17/2015</u> <u>11/17/2015</u>



Date filed with Board of Environmental Protection

This Order prepared by Aaron Dumont, Bureau of Water Quality

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

1. The permittee is authorized to discharge secondary treated sanitary wastewater from <u>Outfall #001A</u> to the Saco River, Class A, in Standish, Maine. Such discharges are limited and must be monitored by the permittee as specified below⁽¹⁾.

							Minimum	L
Effluent Characteristic		D	ischarge Limita	tions			Monitoring Requ	uirements
	Monthly	Weekly	Daily	Monthly	Weekly	Daily	Measurement	Sample
	Average	Average	Maximum	Average	Average	<u>Maximum</u>	Frequency	Type
Flow	Report gpd		51,250 gpd				Continuous	Recorder
[50050]	[07]		[07]			Mit en ene	[99/99]	/RC]
BOD ₅	8.3 lbs./day		12.8 lbs./day	20 mg/L		30 mg/L	2/Month	Grab
[00310]	[26]		[26]	[19]	[19]	[19]	[02/30]	[GR]
BOD ₅ Percent Removal ⁽²⁾				85%			2/Month	Calculate
[81010]	-	344 464 \$m		[23]		140 Me 444	[01/30]	[CA]
TSS	8.3 Ibs./day		12.8	20 mg/L		30 mg/L	2/Month	Grab
[00530]	[26]		[26]	[19]	[19]	[19]	[02/30]	[GR]
TSS Percent Removal ⁽²⁾				85%			2/Month	Calculate
[81011]				[23]			[01/30]	[CA]
Settleable Solids				0		0.3 ml/L	3/Week	Grab
[00545]			torr top same			[25]	[05/07]	[GR]
E. coli ⁽³⁾				20/1001		104/100 1	201	<u> </u>
(Year round)				29/100 mi		194/100 ml	2/Month	Grab
[31633]				[13]		[13]	[02/30]	[GR]
Total Residual Chlorine ⁽⁴⁾				0.3 mg/L		0.6 mg/L	3/Week	Grab
[50060]				[19 <u>]</u>		[19]	[05/07]	[GR]
pH						6.0 – 9.0 SU	3/Week	Grab
[00400]						[12]	[05/30]	[GR]

The italicized numeric values bracketed in the table and in subsequent text are code numbers that Department personnel utilize to code the monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports. Footnotes: See Page 6 of this permit for applicable footnotes.

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (cont'd) FOOTNOTES

- Sampling The permittee must conduct all effluent sampling and analysis in accordance with; a) methods approved by 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 136, b) alternative methods approved by the Department in accordance with the procedures in 40 CFR Part 136, or c) as otherwise specified by the Department. Samples that are sent out for analysis must be analyzed by a laboratory certified by the State of Maine's Department of Health and Human Services. Samples that are sent to another POTW licensed pursuant to *Waste discharge licenses*, 38 M.R.S.A. § 413 are subject to the provisions and restrictions of *Maine Comprehensive and Limited Environmental Laboratory Certification Rules*, 10-144 CMR 263 (last amended February 13, 2000). If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by the permit using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136 or as specified in this permit, the results of this monitoring must be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the Discharge Monitoring Report.
- Percent Removal The permittee must achieve a minimum of 85 percent removal of both total suspended solids and biochemical oxygen demand for all flows receiving secondary treatment. The percent removal is calculated based on influent and effluent concentration values. For influent concentrations an assumed value of 286 mg/L will be used for total suspended solids and biochemical oxygen demand.
- 3. Bacteria Reporting The monthly average *E. coli* coliform bacteria limitation is a geometric mean limitation and sample results must be reported as such.
- 4. **Total residual chlorine (TRC)** Limitations and monitoring requirements are applicable whenever elemental chlorine or chlorine-based compounds are being used to disinfect the discharge. The permittee must utilize a USEPA-approved test method that capable of bracketing the TRC limitations specified in this permit.

B. NARRATIVE EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

- 1. The permittee must not discharge effluent that contains a visible oil sheen, foam or floating solids at any time which would impair the uses designated for the classification of the receiving waters.
- 2. The permittee must not discharge effluent that contains materials in concentrations or combinations which are hazardous or toxic to aquatic life, or which would impair the uses designated for the classification of the receiving waters.
- 3. The permittee must not discharge effluent that causes visible discoloration or turbidity in the receiving waters that causes those waters to be unsuitable for the designated uses and characteristics ascribed to their class.
- 4. The permittee must not discharge effluent that lowers the quality of any classified body of water below such classification, or lowers the existing quality of any body of water if the existing quality is higher than the classification.

C. TREATMENT PLANT OPERATOR

The person who has management responsibility over the treatment facility must hold a minimum of a **Grade II** certificate (or higher) or must be a Maine Registered Professional Engineer pursuant to *Sewerage Treatment Operators*, 32 M.R.S.A. § 4171-4182 and *Regulations for Wastewater Operator Certification*, 06-096 CMR 531 (effective May 8, 2006). All proposed contracts for facility operation by any person must be approved by the Department before the permittee may engage the services of the contract operator.

D. AUTHORIZED DISCHARGES

The permittee is authorized to discharge only in accordance with: 1) the permittee's General Application for Waste Discharge Permit, accepted for processing on November 17, 2015; 2) the terms and conditions of this permit; and 3) only from Outfall #001A. Discharges of wastewater from any other point source are not authorized under this permit, and must be reported in accordance with Standard Condition D(f)(1), *Twenty-four hour reporting*, of this permit.

E. NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT

In accordance with Standard Condition D, the permittee must notify the Department of the following:

- 1. Any introduction of pollutants into the wastewater collection and treatment system from an indirect discharger in a primary industrial category discharging process wastewater; and
- 2. Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into the wastewater collection and treatment system by a source introducing pollutants to the system at the time of permit issuance.
- 3. For the purposes of this section, adequate notice shall include information on:
 - a. The quality and quantity of wastewater introduced to the waste water collection and treatment system; and
 - b. Any anticipated impact of the change in the quantity or quality of the waste water to be discharged from the treatment system.

F. SITE EVALUATION FOR TRANSFER OF OWNERSHIP

Pursuant to 38 M.R.S.A. § 413(3-A)(B)(1), except when it has been demonstrated within 5 years prior to a transfer of ownership of the property containing an overboard discharge, or some other time period acceptable to the Department, that there is no technologically proven alternative to an overboard discharge, prior to transfer of ownership of property containing an overboard discharge, the parties to the transfer must determine the feasibility of technologically proven alternatives to the overboard discharge that are consistent with the plumbing standards adopted by the Department of Health and Human Services pursuant to Title 22, section 42.

Notwithstanding other applicable provisions of 38 M.R.S.A. § 413(3-A), if an alternative to the overboard discharge is identified, the alternative system must be installed within 180 days of property transfer, except that, if soil conditions are poor due to seasonal weather, the alternative may be installed as soon as soil conditions permit.

G. OPERATION & MAINTENANCE (O&M) PLAN

The permittee must have a current written comprehensive Operation & Maintenance (O&M) Plan. The plan must provide a systematic approach by which the permittee must at all times, properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit.

By December 31 of each year, or within 90 days of any process changes or minor equipment upgrades, the permittee must evaluate and modify the O&M Plan including site plan(s) and schematic(s) for the wastewater treatment facility to ensure that it is up-to-date. The O&M Plan must be kept on site at all times and made available to Department and USEPA personnel upon request.

Within 90 days of completion of new and or substantial upgrades of the wastewater treatment facility the permittee must submit the updated O&M Plan to their Department inspector for review and comment.

H. WET WEATHER MANAGEMENT PLAN

The permittee must maintain a Wet Weather Management Plan to direct the staff on how to operate the facility effectively during periods of high flow. The Department acknowledges that the existing collection system may deliver flows in excess of the monthly average design capacity of the treatment plant during periods of high infiltration and rainfall. A specific objective of the Wet Weather Management Plan must be to maximize the volume of wastewater receiving secondary treatment under all operating conditions. The Wet Weather Management Plan must include operating procedures for a range of intensities, address solids handling procedures (including septic waste and other high strength wastes if applicable) and provide written operating and maintenance procedures during the events. The Department may require the submission of the Wet Weather Management Plan for review and approval.

The permittee must review the Wet Weather Management Plan at least annually and record any necessary changes to keep the plan up-to-date. The Department may require review and update of the plan as it is determined to be necessary.

I. SEPTIC TANKS

1. Septic tanks and other treatment tanks must be regularly inspected (at least once per calendar year) and maintained to ensure that they are providing best practicable treatment. The permittee must maintain logs of inspections/maintenance that records the date, notes on observations, repairs conducted etc. The logs must be maintained on site at all times and made available to Department personnel upon request.

I. SEPTIC TANKS (cont'd)

- 2. Tank contents must be removed whenever the sludge and scum occupies one-third of the tank's liquid capacity or whenever levels approach maximum design capacity. Following pumping, the tanks must be checked for damage at key joints and the inlet and outlet baffles, and repaired promptly if damaged. The permittee must keep a pumping log including the date of pumping, quantity of material removed, name and number of
- licensed contractor, and pumping frequency.

J. MONITORING AND REPORTING

Monitoring results obtained during the previous month must be summarized for each month and reported on separate Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) forms provided by the Department and **postmarked on or before the thirteenth** (13th) day of the month or handdelivered to the Department's Regional Office such that the DMRs are received by the Department on or before the fifteenth (15th) day of the month following the completed reporting period. A signed copy of the DMR and all other reports required herein must be submitted to the Department assigned inspector (unless otherwise specified by the Department) at the following address:

> Department of Environmental Protection Southern Maine Regional Office Bureau of Water Quality Division of Water Quality Management 312 Canco Road Portland, ME 04103

Alternatively, if the permittee submits an electronic DMR (eDMR), the completed eDMR must be electronically submitted to the Department by a facility authorized DMR Signatory not later than close of business on the 15th day of the month following the completed reporting period. Hard copy documentation submitted in support of the eDMR must be postmarked on or before the thirteenth (13th) day of the month or hand-delivered to the Department's Regional Office such that it is received by the Department on or before the fifteenth (15th) day of the month following the completed reporting period. Electronic documentation in support of the eDMR must be submitted not later than close of business on the 15th day of the month following the completed reporting period.

K. 06-096 CMR 530(2)(D)(4) STATEMENT FOR REDUCED/WAIVED TOXICS TESTING

By December 31 of each calendar year, the permittee must provide the Department with a certification describing any of the following that have occurred since the effective date of this permit *[ICIS Code 75305]*. See Attachment A of the permit for an acceptable certification form to satisfy this Special Condition.

- a. Changes in the number or types of non-domestic wastes contributed directly or indirectly to the wastewater treatment works that may increase the toxicity of the discharge;
- b. Changes in the operation of the treatment works that may increase the toxicity of the discharge;
- c. Changes in industrial manufacturing processes contributing wastewater to the treatment works that may increase the toxicity of the discharge;

In addition, in the comments section of the certification form, the permittee must provide the Department with statements describing;

- d. Changes in stormwater collection or inflow/infiltration affecting the facility that may increase the toxicity of the discharge; and
- e. Increases in the type or volume of transported (hauled) wastes accepted by the facility.

The Department may require that annual testing be re-instated if it determines that there have been changes in the character of the discharge or if annual certifications described above are not submitted.

L. REOPENING OF PERMIT FOR MODIFICATION

In accordance with 38 M.R.S.A. § 414-A(5) and upon evaluation of the tests results or monitoring requirements specified in Special Conditions of this permitting action, new site specific information, or any other pertinent test results or information obtained during the term of this permit, the Department may, at any time and with notice to the permittee, modify this permit to: 1) include effluent limits necessary to control specific pollutants or whole effluent toxicity where there is a reasonable potential that the effluent may cause water quality criteria to be exceeded, 2) require additional monitoring if results on file are inconclusive; or 3) change monitoring requirements or limitations based on new information. ME0101826 W000698-5E-E-R

M. SEVERABILITY

In the event that any provision or part thereof, of this permit is declared to be unlawful by a reviewing court, the remainder of the permit must remain in full force and effect, and must be construed and enforced in all aspects as if such unlawful provision, or part thereof, had been omitted, unless otherwise ordered by the court.

ATTACHMENT A

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

CHAPTER 530.2(D)(4) CERTIFICATION

MEPDES#_____Facility Name_____

Since	the effective date of your permit, have there been;	NO	YES Describe in comments section
1	Increases in the number, types, and flows of industrial, commercial, or domestic discharges to the facility that in the judgment of the Department may cause the receiving water to become toxic?		
2	Changes in the condition or operations of the facility that may increase the toxicity of the discharge?		
3	Changes in storm water collection or inflow/infiltration affecting the facility that may increase the toxicity of the discharge?		
4	Increases in the type or volume of hauled wastes accepted by the facility?		

COMMENTS:

Name (printed):

Signature: ______Date: _____

This document must be signed by the permittee or their legal representative.

This form may be used to meet the requirements of Chapter 530.2(D)(4). This Chapter requires all dischargers having waived or reduced toxic testing to file a statement with the Department describing changes to the waste being contributed to their system as outlined above. As an alternative, the discharger may submit a signed letter containing the same information.

Scheduled Toxicity Testing for the next calendar year

Test Conducted	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
WET Testing			0	
Priority Pollutant Testing				
Analytical Chemistry		、 □	D	
Other toxic parameters ¹		D		· D

Please place an "X" in each of the boxes that apply to when you will be conducting any one of the three test types during the next calendar year.

¹ This only applies to parameters where testing is required at a rate less frequently than quarterly.

MAINE POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM PERMIT AND WASTE DISCHARGE LICENSE

FACT SHEET

Date: April 4, 2016

MEPDES PERMIT:ME0101826WASTE DISCHARGE LICENSE:W000698-5E-E-R

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT:

MAINE SCHOOL ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT #6 WILLIAM ELLIS 94 MAIN STREET BUXTON, ME 04093

COUNTY:

CUMBERLAND COUNTY

NAME AND ADDRESS WHERE DISCHARGE OCCURS:

MSAD#6 BONNY EAGLE 700 SACO ROAD STANDISH, ME 04084

RECEIVING WATER / CLASSIFICATION: SACO RIVER/CLASS A

COGNIZANT OFFICIAL AND TELEPHONE NUMBER:

WILLIAM ELLIS (207)-649-7993 billis@bonnyeagle.org

1. APPLICATION SUMMARY

a. <u>Application</u>: On November 17, 2015, the Department accepted as complete for processing an application from MSAD #6 for the renewal of a combination Maine Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (MEPDES) permit ME0101826/Maine Waste Discharge License (WDL) W000698-5E-D-R which was issued by the Department on August 11, 2010, for a five-year term. The August 11, 2010, permit authorized the discharge on a year-round basis a daily maximum of 0.05125 million gallons per day (MGD) or 51,250 gallons per day (GPD) of secondary treated sanitary wastewater from MSAD #6 to the Saco River, Class A, in Standish Maine.

2. PERMIT SUMMARY

a. Terms and Conditions:

This permitting action is carrying forward all the terms and conditions of the August 11, 2010, permit except that it is:

- 1. Changing the reporting units for effluent flow from Million Gallons per Day (MGD) to Gallons per Day (GPD);
- 2. Reducing the monitoring frequency for Settleable Solids from 5/Week to 3/Week based on a statistical evaluation for the previous 60-month period;
- 3. Reducing the monitoring frequency for Total Residual Chlorine from 5/Week to 3/Week based on a statistical evaluation for the previous 60-month period; and
- 4. Reducing the monitoring frequency for pH from 5/Week to 3/Week based on a statistical evaluation for the previous 60-month period.
- b. <u>Facility History</u>: This section provides a summary of the most significant regulatory actions for MSAD#6:

May 9, 1975 – The Department issued Waste Discharge License (WDL) #698 that authorized the discharge of up to 0.05125 MGD of treated sanitary wastewater to the Saco River.

May 28, 1975 – The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) issued National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit #ME0101826.

June 11, 1980 – The Department issued license renewal WDL #W698 for five years.

June 26, 1985 – The Department issued license renewal WDL #W000698-45-A-R, for five years.

February 22, 1991 – The Department issued license renewal #W000698-59-B-R, for five-years.

September 13, 2003 – The Maine Legislature amended the Maine Surface Water Classification Program at 38 M.R.S.A. §467(12)(A)(10) by reclassifying the Saco River at the point of discharge from Class B to Class A.

2. PERMIT SUMMARY

August 2005 – A new trickling filter secondary treatment system with UV disinfection was installed to replace the previously existing mechanical treatment system. Alternative wastewater disposal methods were evaluated by the school prior to the installation of the trickling filter system, such as subsurface disposal and spray irrigation, and found to be unsuitable given the existing facilities, location, soil conditions, and other limiting factors.

July 5, 2005 – The Department issued WDL #W000698-ZD-C-R/ MEPDES Permit #ME0101826, for five years. The renewal included more stringent water quality-based effluent limits.

August 11, 2010 – The Department issued WDL #W000698-5E-D-R/ MEPDES Permit #ME0101826, for five years.

November 17, 2015 – The permittee submitted a General Application to the Department for renewal of the August 11, 2010, permit. The application was accepted for processing on November 17, 2015.

- c. <u>Source Description</u>: The source of treated wastewater is the MSAD #6 campus that consists of the Bonny Eagle Middle School and Bonny Eagle High School. The campus is located at 700 Saco Road in the town of Standish Maine. Together the two schools serve approximately 2,600 students and staff. The site is developed with school buildings, parking areas, and athletic fields. There are several overburden and bedrock water supply wells that provide potable water for the campus. Additional public water supplies on the property include an overburden well serving the athletic field stadium complex with snack bar, and a bedrock water supply well serving the bus garage facility. See **Attachment A** of this Fact Sheet for a site location map.
- d. <u>Wastewater Treatment:</u> Wastewater generated onsite is treated with a trickling filter system consisting of two parallel trains of three 19,500-gallon primary treatment septic tanks, followed by a 10,000-gallon equalization tank with three triplex pumps. From the equalization tank, wastewater is pumped to three BioclereTM trickling filters arranged in a parallel configuration that provide secondary treatment. The secondary treated wastewater flows to a 5,000-gallon equalization tank and then directed through a Parshall flume (with a flow monitor and sampling port) to the disinfection unit. The system now has a liquid chlorine disinfection unit comprised of liquid Clorox injection pump and a 2,423 gallon baffled chlorine contact chamber. From the disinfection unit, treated wastewater is routed to the Saco River outfall via a 4-inch diameter force main. The outfall in the Saco River is approximately 3,000 feet from the treatment facility and discharges upriver from the Bonny Eagle Dam below mean low water level of the river.

2. PERMIT SUMMARY (cont'd)

e. <u>Replacement Options:</u> Pursuant to *Conditions of license*, 38 M.R.S.A. § 414-A(1-B), the Department will find that the discharge from an OBD meets the requirements of best practicable treatment for purposes of licensing when it finds that there are no technologically proven alternative methods of wastewater disposal consistent with the plumbing code adopted by the Department of Health and Human Services pursuant to Title 22, section 42 that will not result in an overboard discharge. The Department's finding must be based on documentation from a licensed site evaluator having experience in designing replacement systems for overboard discharges and provided by the overboard discharge owner.

The classification of this reach of the Saco River was upgraded from Class B to Class A by the Maine Legislature in 2003 (effective date of change was September 13, 2003). Prior to the reclassification of the Saco River, the permittee submitted additional supplemental documentation demonstrating that no reasonable alternative for the discharge exist. This was done in the form of a report dated December, 2002. Based upon the number of students and faculty on campus the report concluded that a subsurface system needs to capable of handling 30,000 gpd, also needed to be located within a half mile of the school campus, required pretreatment, and required a subsurface disposal area of 1.7 to 2.2 acres in size. The report ultimately concluded that due to hydraulic and hydrogeologic conditions at the site, along with the intrinsic need to protect groundwater supply wells located onsite, MSAD #6 does not have the ability to construct a subsurface wastewater system with adequate capacity to serve its current needs. The Department finds the applicant is eligible for grant funding pursuant to *Waste discharge license*, 38 M.R.S.A. § 411-A. However, the Department has determined that no funding is currently available for the replacement system(s) identified in the renewal application.

The Department concludes that there are no other reasonable alternatives to eliminate the discharge from MSAD #6 to the Class A reach of the Saco River due to reasonability of cost, technical limitations, and availability of resources. The Department concludes that MSAD#6 has objectively demonstrated to the Department's satisfaction that the discharge is necessary and that there are no other reasonable alternatives.

3. CONDITIONS OF PERMIT

Conditions of licenses, 38 M.R.S.A. § 414-A, requires that the effluent limitations prescribed for discharges, including, but not limited to, effluent toxicity, require the application of best practicable treatment (BPT), be consistent with the U.S. Clean Water Act, and ensure that the receiving waters attain the State water quality standards as described in Maine's Surface Water Classification System. In addition, *Certain deposits and discharges prohibited*, 38 M.R.S.A. § 420 and Department rule *Surface Water Toxics Control Program*, 06-096 CMR 530 (effective March 21, 2012), require the regulation of toxic substances not to exceed levels set forth in *Surface Water Quality Criteria for Toxic Pollutants*, 06-096 CMR 584 (effective July 29, 2012), and that ensure safe levels for the discharge of toxic pollutants such that existing and designated uses of surface waters are maintained and protected.

4. RECEIVING WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

Classification of major river basins, 38 M.R.S.A. § 467(12)(A)(6) states that the main stem of the Saco River is classified as a Class A waterbody. *Standards for classification of fresh surface waters*, 38 M.R.S.A. § 465(2) contains the classification standards for Class A waters.

5. RECEIVING WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS

<u>The State of Maine 2012 Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report</u>, prepared by the Department pursuant to Sections 303(d) and 305(b) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, lists the main stem of the Saco River (ME0106000211_619R) located in Standish as "Category 2: Rivers and Streams Attaining Some Designated Uses - Insufficient Information for Other Uses."

The Report lists all of Maine's fresh waters as, "Category 4-A: Waters Impaired by Atmospheric Deposition of Mercury." Impairment in this context refers to a statewide fish consumption advisory due to elevated levels of mercury in some fish tissues. The Report states, "All freshwaters are listed in Category 4A (TMDL Completed) due to USEPA approval of a Regional Mercury TMDL. Maine has a fish consumption advisory for fish taken from all freshwaters due to mercury. Many waters and many fish from any given water do not exceed the action level for mercury. However, because it is impossible for someone consuming a fish to know whether the mercury level exceeds the action level, the Maine Department of Human Services decided to establish a statewide advisory for all freshwater fish that recommends limits on consumption. Maine has already instituted statewide programs for removal and reduction of mercury sources."

ME0101826 W000698-5E-E-R

5. RECEIVING WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS (cont'd)

Pursuant to 38 M.R.S.A. § 420(1-B)(B), "a facility is not in violation of the ambient criteria for mercury if the facility is in compliance with an interim discharge limit established by the Department pursuant to section 413 subsection 11." However, Interim Effluent Limitations and Controls for the Discharge of Mercury, 06-096 CMR 519(1)(A)(1) states that overboard discharges licensed pursuant to 38 M.R.S.A. § 413 are not subject to the rule.

In 2013 the Department sampled for macroinvertebrates less than a quarter mile below the West Buxton dam. The West Buxton dam is located down river from the MSAD #6 outfall pipe. Results from the 2013 sampling event indicated that the River met class A aquatic life standards. The Department has no information that the discharge from the permittee, as conditioned, causes or contributes to non-attainment of applicable Class A water quality standards.

6. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS & MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

- a. <u>Best Practicable Treatment (BPT)</u>: The Department will find that the discharge meets the requirements of best practicable treatment pursuant to 38 M.R.S.A. § 414-A(1-B) for purposes of licensing when it finds that there are no technologically proven alternative methods of wastewater disposal consistent with the plumbing code adopted by the Department of Health and Human Services pursuant to Title 22, section 42 that will not result in an overboard discharge. Pursuant to Overboard Discharges: Licensing and Abandonment, 06-096 CMR 596(9), Criteria and Standards for Waste Discharge Licenses 06-096 CMR 524(2) (effective January 12, 2001) and Effluent Guidelines and Standards, 06-096 CMR 525(3)(III) (effective date January 12, 2001), BPT for overboard discharges is secondary treatment. The secondary treatment regulation establishes technology-based effluent limitations for BOD₅, TSS, and pH which are discussed in more detail in the individual parameter sections below.
- b. Flow: The previous permitting action established a monthly average discharge flow limitation of 0.05125 million gallons per day (MGD). This permitting action is establishing an equivalent flow limit of 51,250 gallons per (gpd) day, which is based on the design of the treatment facility, and a daily maximum discharge flow monitoring and reporting requirement.

c. <u>Dilution Factors</u>: Dilution factors associated with the permitted discharge flow of 51,250 gpd (same as 0.05125 million gallons per day, MGD) from the facility and the 7Q10 and 1Q10 low flow values for the Main Stem of the Saco River, were derived in accordance with *Surface Water Toxics Control Program*, 06-096 CMR 530(4)(A) and were calculated as follows:

Modified Acute: $1Q10 = 63 \text{ cfs}^{(1)} \Rightarrow (63 \text{ cfs})(0.6464) + (0.05125 \text{ MGD}) = 796:1$ (0.005125 MGD)

Acute: 1Q10 = 250 cfs	$\Rightarrow (250 \text{ cfs})(0.6464) + (0.05125 \text{ MGD}) = 3,154:1$ (0.05125 MGD)
Chronic: $7Q10 = 386$ cfs	$\Rightarrow (386 \text{ cfs})(0.6464) + (0.05125 \text{ MGD}) = 4,869:1$ (0.05125 MGD)
Harmonic Mean: = 1,158 cfs	$\Rightarrow (1,158 \text{ cfs})(0.6464) + (0.05125 \text{ MGD}) = 14,606:1$ (0.05125 MGD)

Footnotes:

- ⁽¹⁾Surface Water Toxics Control Program, 06-096 CMR 530(4)(B)(1) states that analyses using numeric acute criteria for aquatic life must be based on 1/4 of the 1Q10 stream design flow to prevent substantial acute toxicity within any mixing zone. The 1Q10 is the lowest one-day flow over a ten-year recurrence interval. The regulation goes on to say that where it can be demonstrated that a discharge achieves rapid and complete mixing with the receiving water by way of an efficient diffuser or other effective method, analyses may use a greater proportion of the stream design, up to including all of it. Based on information provided by the permittee as to the configuration and location of the outfall pipe the Department has made the determination that the discharge does not receive rapid and complete mixing with the receiving water, therefore the default stream flow of ¼ of the 1Q10 is applicable in acute statistical evaluations pursuant to *Surface Water Toxics Control Program*, 06-096 CMR 530.
- d. <u>Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</u>: The previous permitting action established, and this permitting action is carrying forward, monthly average and daily maximum of 20 mg/L and 30 mg/L, respectively, for BOD₅ and TSS. Due to the waterbody classification upgrade in September 12, 2003, these effluent limits are stricter than the secondary treatment regulation at 40 CFR 133.102 and *Effluent Guidelines and Standards*, 06-096 CMR 525(3)(III). Monthly average, and a daily maximum 8.3 lbs./day, and 12.8 lbs./day, respectively, established in the previous permitting actions for BOD₅ and TSS were based on the monthly average flow design criterion of 51,250 GPD (0.05125 MGD).

1

6. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS & MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (cont'd)

The mass-based limits were calculated as follows:

Monthly Average Mass Limit: (20 mg/L)(8.34 lbs./gallon)(0.05125 MGD) = 8.5 lbs./day

Daily Maximum Mass Limit: (30 mg/L)(8.34 lbs./day)(0.05125 MGD) = 12.8 lbs./day

The Department reviewed 62 Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) that were submitted for the period of September 2010 – October 2015. A review of the data indicates the following:

$BOD_5 Mass (DMRs = 62)$

Value	Limit (lbs./day)	Range (lbs./day)	Mean (lbs./day)
Monthly Average	8.3	0.09 - 3.4	1.0
Daily Maximum	12.8	0.11 - 4.5	1.2

BOD_5 concentration (DMRs = 62)

Value	Limit (mg/L)	Range (mg/L)	Mean (mg/L)
Monthly Average	20	2-81	18.0
Daily Maximum	30	2-160	28.0

TSS Mass (DMRs = 62)

Value	Limit (lbs./day)	Range (lbs./day)	Mean (lbs./day)
Monthly Average	8.3	0.09 - 3.4	1.0
Daily Maximum	12.8	0.11 - 4.8	1.2

TSS concentration (DMRs = 62)

Value	Limit (mg/L)	Range (mg/L)	Mean (mg/L)
Monthly Average	20	4-35.2	11.0
Daily Maximum	30	4-66.0	-14.0

The previous permit established a minimum monitoring frequency for BOD₅ and TSS of twice per month (2/Month) based on the Department best professional judgement. Minimum monitoring frequency requirements in MEPDES permits are prescribed by 06-096 CMR Chapter 523(5)(i). The USEPA has published guidance entitled, *Interim Guidance for Performance Based Reductions of NPDES Permit Monitoring Frequencies* (USEPA Guidance April 1996). In addition, the Department has supplemented the EPA guidance with its own guidance entitled, *Performance Based Reduction of Monitoring Frequencies - Modification of EPA Guidance Released April 1996* (Maine DEP May 22, 2014). Both documents are being utilized to evaluate the compliance history for each parameter regulated by the previous permit to determine if a reduction in the monitoring frequencies is justified.

Although EPA's 1996 Guidance recommends evaluation of the most current two-years of effluent data for a parameter, the Department is considering 62 months of data (September 2010 – October 2015). A review of the mass monitoring data for BOD indicates the ratios (expressed in percent) of the long term effluent average to the monthly average limits can be calculated as 12% respectively. According to Table I of the EPA Guidance and Department Guidance, a 2/Month monitoring requirement can be reduced to 1/Quarter. However, the Department has determined that a reduction in the minimum monitoring frequency to once every three months for BOD₅ and TSS is not sufficient to assess compliance with the effluent limitations and is therefore carrying forward the monitoring frequency of twice per month (2/Month) for BOD₅ and TSS.

e. <u>Settleable Solids</u>: The Department reviewed 62 Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) that were submitted for the period of September 2010 – October 2015. A review of the data indicates the following:

Value	Limit (ml/L)	Range (ml/L)	Average (ml/L)
Daily Maximum	0.3	0.1 - 0.30	0.13

Settleable Solids Concentration (DMRs=62)

The previous permit established a minimum monitoring frequency for Settleable Solids Concentration of five times per week (5/Week) based on the Department best professional judgement. Minimum monitoring frequency requirements in MEPDES permits are prescribed by 06-096 CMR Chapter 523(5)(i). Although EPA's 1996 Guidance recommends evaluation of the most current two-years of effluent data for a parameter, the Department is considering 62 months of data (September 2010 – October 2015). A review of the mass monitoring data for Settleable Solids indicates the ratios (expressed in percent) of the long term effluent average to the monthly average limits can be calculated as 43% respectively. According to Table I of the EPA Guidance and Department Guidance, a 5/Week monitoring requirement can be reduced to 3/Week. Therefore, this permitting action is reducing the monitoring frequency for Settleable Solids to 3/Week.

f. <u>E. coli Bacteria</u>: The previous permitting action established, and this permitting action is carrying forward, a year-round monthly average (geometric mean) <u>E. coli</u> concentration limit of 29 colonies/100 mL and a daily maximum (instantaneous) <u>E. coli</u> concentration limit of 194 colonies/100 mL and a monitoring frequency of twice per month (2/Month). These limits and monitoring frequencies are being carried forward and were based on 38 M.R.S.A. § 465(2)(C), which the Department determined at the time of renewal were equal to or better than class A receiving water standards. Bacteria limits are in effect on a year-round basis to protect the health, safety and welfare of the public.

The Department reviewed 62 DMRs that were submitted for the period September, 2010 – October, 2015. A review of data indicates the following:

Value	Limit	Range	Mean
	(col/100 ml)	(col/100 ml)	(col/100 ml)
Monthly Average	29	1-2,419	49
Daily Maximum	194	1 – 2,419	143

E. coli Bacteria (DMRs=62)

For the monitoring period of September 2010 – October 2015 there were a total of 9 excursions from the effluent limitations.

The previous permit established a minimum monitoring frequency for *E. coli* bacteria of twice per month (2/Month) based on the Department best professional judgement. Minimum monitoring frequency requirements in MEPDES permits are prescribed by 06-096 CMR 523(5)(i). Although EPA's 1996 Guidance recommends evaluation of the most current two years of effluent data for a parameter, the Department is considering 62 months of data (September 2010 – October 2015). A review of the data for *E. coli* indicates the ratio (expressed in percent) of the long term effluent average to the monthly average limits can be calculated as 100%. According to Table I of the EPA Guidance and Department Guidance, a 2/Month monitoring frequency of 2/Month for *E. coli* bacteria.

g. <u>Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)</u>: The previous permitting action established, and this permitting action is carrying forward monthly limit of 0.3 mg/L and a daily maximum of 0.6 mg/L for TRC. Limitations on TRC are specified to ensure that ambient water quality standards are maintained at all times of the year and that BPT technology is being applied to the discharge. Department permitting actions impose the more stringent of either a water quality-based or BPT-based limit. With dilution factors as determined in Section 6(c) of this Fact Sheet, end-of-pipe (EOP) water quality-based concentration thresholds for TRC may be calculated as follows:

			Calculated		
Acute (A)	Chronic (C)	Modified A & C	Acute	Chronic	
Criterion	Criterion	Dilution Factors	Threshold	Threshold	
0.019 mg/L	0.011 mg/L	769:1(Mod A) 4,869:1 (C)	15 mg/L	54 mg/L	

The following is an excerpt from the previous permit that explains how the limits for TRC were set. The Department specifies TRC limits to ensure that ambient water quality standards are maintained and that BPT is being applied to the discharge. The previous permitting action did not have a TRC limit because the treatment system utilized UV disinfection. Although the Department could imposing the most stringent TRC limits of 0.1 mg/L (monthly average) and 0.3 mg/L (daily maximum) in this permitting action because the end of pipe water quality based concentration threshold is to be "as naturally exists," the Department is imposing 0.3 and 0.6 mg/L limits because the actual sampling location and the outfall are separated by the approximately 3,000 ft outfall pipe. The Department reasons that the higher TRC will prevent regrowth in the discharge pipe, while yielding the desired lower readings at the outfall. TRC must be monitored year-round as this permit is requiring year-round disinfection.

A summary of the effluent TRC data as reported on the DMRs submitted to the Department for the period of September 2010 – October 2015 is as follows;

Value	Limit (mg/L)	Range (mg/L)	Mean (mg/L)				
Monthly Average	0.3	0.00 - 0.65	0.20				
Daily Maximum	0.6	0.00 - 0.81	0.26				

Total residual chlorine (DMRs = 62)

For the monitoring period of September 2010 -October 2015 there were a total of three excursions from the effluent limits.

Although EPA's 1996 Guidance recommends evaluation of the most current two years of effluent data for a parameter, the Department is considering 62 months of data (September 2010 – October 2015). A review of the monitoring data for TRC indicates the ratio (expressed in percent) of the long term effluent average to the monthly average limit can be calculated as 84%. According to EPA and Department Guidance, a 5/Week monitoring requirement can be reduced to 3/Week. Therefore this permitting action is establishing a minimum monitoring frequency of 3/Week for TRC.

h. <u>pH:</u> The previous permitting action established, a technology-based pH limit of 6.0 – 9.0 standard units (SU), which is based on 06-096 CMR 525(3)(III)(c), and a minimum monitoring frequency requirement of 5/week.

A summary of pH data as reported on the DMRs for the period of September, 2010 - October, 2015 (DMRs = 62) indicates the permittee has been in compliance with the pH range limitation 100% of the time.

The previous permit established a minimum monitoring frequency for pH of five times per week (5/Week) based on the Department best professional judgement. Although EPA's 1996 Guidance recommends evaluation of the most current two-years of effluent data for a parameter, the Department is considering 62 months of data (September 2010 – October 2015). A review of the data for pH indicates compliance of the long term effluent average to the monthly average limits 100% of the time. According to Table I of the EPA Guidance and Department Guidance, a 5/Week monitoring requirement can be reduced to 3/Week. Therefore, this permitting action is reducing the monitoring frequency for pH to 3/Week.

i. <u>Total Phosphorus</u>: *Waste Discharge License Conditions*, 06-096 CMR 523 (effective January 12, 2001) specifies that water quality based limits are necessary when it has been determined that a discharge has a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above any State water quality standard including State narrative criteria¹. In addition, 06-096 CMR 523 specifies that water quality based limits may be based upon criterion derived from a proposed State goals, or an explicit State policy or regulation interpreting its narrative water quality criterion, supplemented with other relevant information which may include: EPA's Water Quality Standards Handbook, October 1983, risk assessment data, exposure data, information about the pollutant from the Food and Drug Administration, and current EPA criteria documents.²

USEPA's Quality Criteria for Water 1986 (Gold Book) puts forth an in-stream phosphorus concentration goal of less than 0.100 mg/L in streams or other flowing waters not discharging directly to lakes or impoundments, to prevent nuisance algal growth. The use of the 0.100 mg/L Gold Book value is consistent with the requirements of 06-096 CMR 523 noted above for use in a reasonable potential (RP) calculation.

Based on the above rationale, the Department has chosen to utilize the Gold Book value of 0.100 mg/L. It is the Department's intent to continue to make determinations of actual attainment or impairment based upon environmental response indicators from specific waterbodies.

¹Waste Discharge License Conditions, 06-096 CMR 523(5)(d)(1)(i) (effective date January 12, 2001) ²06-096 CMR 523(5)(d)(1)(vi)(A)

The use of the Gold Book value of 0.100 mg/L for use in the RP calculation will enable the Department to establish water quality based limits in a manner that is reasonable and that appropriately establishes the potential for impairment, while providing an opportunity to acquire environmental response indicator data, numeric nutrient indicator data, and facility data as needed to refine the establishment of site specific water quality based limits for phosphorus. This permit may be reopened during the term of the permit to modify any reasonable potential calculations, phosphorus limits, or monitoring requirements based on new site-specific data.

MSAD# 6 conducted total phosphorus effluent sampling during the summer of 2014. Based upon the most recent test results from the August and September 2014 sampling events, the arithmetic mean concentration discharged for the period is 1.4 mg/L (1,400 ug/L). For the background concentration, the permittee conducted sampling in the Saco River above its discharge during the summer of 2014. The results from the August and September 2014 sampling events indicate the background total phosphorus concentration is 0.017 mg/L.

Using the following calculation and criteria, tMSAD#6 does not exhibit a reasonable potential to exceed the EPA's Gold Book ambient water quality goal of 0.100 mg/L (100 μ g/L) for phosphorus but does not demonstrate RP to exceed the Department's 06-096 CMR 583 draft goal of 0.018 mg/L (18 ug/L).

$$Cr = QeCe + QsCs$$

×1		
Qe = effluent flow i.e. facility design flow	=	0.05125 MGD
Ce = effluent pollutant concentration	=	1.4 mg/L
Qs = 7Q10 flow of receiving water	=	249 MGD
Cs = upstream concentration	=	0.017 mg/L
Qr = receiving water flow (Qs + Qe) = (249 MGD +	0.05125	5 MGD)=249.051 MGD
Cr = receiving water concentration		

Cr = (0.05125 MGD x 1.4 mg/L) + (249 MGD x 0.017 mg/L) = 0.017 mg/L249.051 MGD

 $Cr = 0.017 \text{ mg/L} < 0.100 \text{ mg/L} \Rightarrow$ No Reasonable Potential $Cr = 0.017 \text{ mg/L} < 0.018 \text{ mg/L} \Rightarrow$ No, Reasonable Potential

The discharge from MSAD #6 will not result in a measurable increase in the ambient total phosphorus concentration of the Saco River. Therefore, no effluent limitations or monitoring requirements are being established in this permitting action.

j. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET), Priority Pollutant, and Analytical Chemistry Testing: 38 M.R.S.A. § 414-A and 38 M.R.S.A. § 420 prohibit the discharge of effluents containing substances in amounts that would cause the surface waters of the State to contain toxic substances above levels set forth in Federal Water Quality Criteria as established by the USEPA. 06-096 CMR 530 sets forth effluent monitoring requirements and procedures to establish safe levels for the discharge of toxic pollutants such that existing and designated uses of surface waters are maintained and protected and narrative and numeric water quality criteria are met. 06-096 CMR 584 sets forth ambient water quality criteria (AWQC) for toxic pollutants and procedures necessary to control levels of toxic pollutants in surface waters.

WET monitoring is required to assess and protect against impacts upon water quality and designated uses caused by the aggregate effect of the discharge on specific aquatic organisms. Acute and chronic WET tests are performed on invertebrate and vertebrate species. Priority pollutant and analytical chemistry testing is required to assess the levels of individual toxic pollutants in the discharge, comparing each pollutant to acute, chronic, and human health AWQC as established in Chapter 584. 06-096 CMR 530(2)(B) categorizes dischargers subject to the toxics rule into one of four levels the categories are as follows:

1) Level I – chronic dilution factor of <20:1

2) Level II – chronic dilution factor of >20:1 but <100:1

3) Level III – chronic dilution factor >100:1 but <500:1 or >500:1 and Q >1.0 MGD

4) Level IV – chronic dilution >500:1 and Q <1.0 MGD

Therefore, MSAD #6 is considered a Level IV facility for toxics testing purposes. The facility has a chronic dilution factor of greater than 500:1 and a permitted flow of less than 1.0 MGD. Therefore, this permitting action is carrying forward the waiver from toxics testing. However, should there be a substantial change in the characteristics of the discharge in the future; the Department may reopen this permit pursuant to Special Condition L, *Reopening of Permit for Modifications*, to incorporate the applicable whole effluent toxicity (WET), priority pollutant or analytical testing requirements cited above.

06-096 CMR 530(2)(D)(4) states, "all dischargers having waived or reduced testing must file statements with the Department on or before December 31 of each year describing the following:

- (a) Changes in the number or types of non-domestic wastes contributed directly or indirectly to the wastewater treatment works that may increase the toxicity of the discharge;
- *(b) Changes in the operation of the treatment works that may increase the toxicity of the discharge; and*
- (c) Changes in industrial manufacturing processes contributing wastewater to the treatment works that may increase the toxicity of the discharge."

In addition, in the comments section of the certification form, the permittee shall provide the Department with statements describing;

- (d) Changes in storm water collection or inflow/infiltration affecting the facility that may increase the toxicity of the discharge; and
- (e) Increases in the type or volume of transported (hauled) wastes accepted by the facility.

The Department may require that annual testing be instituted if it determines that there have been changes in the character of the discharge or if annual certifications described above are not submitted. This permitting action carries forward Special Condition I 06-096 CMR 530(2)(D)(4) Statement for Reduced/Waived Toxics Testing, pursuant to 06-096 CMR 530(2)(D)(4).

7. DISCHARGE IMPACT ON RECEIVING WATER QUALITY

As permitted, the Department has determined the existing water uses will be maintained and protected, and that the discharge as permitted will not cause or contribute to the failure of the waterbody to meet standards for Class A waters.

8. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Public notice of this application was made in the <u>Portland Press Harold</u> newspaper on or about November 17, 2015. The Department receives public comments on an application until the date a final agency action is taken on the application. Those persons receiving copies of draft permits must have at least 30 days in which to submit comments on the draft or to request a public hearing, pursuant to *Application Processing Procedures for Waste Discharge Licenses*, CMR 522 (effective January 12, 2001).

9. DEPARTMENT CONTACTS

Additional information concerning this permitting action may be obtained from, and written comments sent to:

Aaron Dumont Division of Water Quality Management Bureau of Water Quality Department of Environmental Protection 17 State House Station Augusta, Maine 04333-0017 Telephone: (207) 592-7161 e-mail: <u>Aaron.A.Dumont@maine.gov</u>

10. RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

During the period of February 22, 2016 through the effective date of this final agency action, the Department solicited comments on the draft MEPDES permit. The Department did not receive any substantive comment on the draft permit. It is noted that minor typographical and grammatical errors identified in comments were not summarized in this section, but were corrected, where necessary, in the final permit.

ATTACHMENT A



Bonny Eagle School Complex and Wastewater Treatment Plant

STANDARD CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL PERMITS

CONTENTS

SECTIO	DN	TOPIC	PAGE
А		GENERAL PROVISIONS	
2.5	1	General compliance	2
	2	Other materials	$\frac{2}{2}$
	3	Duty to Comply	$\tilde{2}$
	4	Duty to provide information	2
	5	Permit actions	$\frac{1}{2}$
	6	Reopener clause	$\tilde{2}$
	7	Oil and hazardous substances	2
	8	Property rights	3
	9	Confidentiality	3
	10	Duty to reapply	3
	11	Other laws	3
	12	Inspection and entry	3
в		OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF FACILITIES	
	1	General facility requirements	3
	2	Proper operation and maintenance	4
	3	Need to halt reduce not a defense	4
	4	Duty to mitigate	4
	5	Bypasses	4
	6	Upsets	5
С		MONITORING AND RECORDS	
	1	General requirements	6
	2	Representative sampling	6
	3	Monitoring and records	6
D		REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	_
	1	Reporting requirements	7
	2	Signatory requirement	8
	3	Availability of reports	8
	4	Existing manufacturing, commercial, mining, and silvicultural dischargers	8
	5	Publicly owned treatment works	9
Е	1	OTHER PROVISIONS	0
	1	Emergency action - power failure	9
	2	Spin prevention	10
	د ۸	Connection to municipal course	10
	4	Connection to municipal sewer	10
F		DEFINTIONS	10

.

STANDARD CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL PERMITS

A. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. General compliance. All discharges shall be consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit; any changes in production capacity or process modifications which result in changes in the quantity or the characteristics of the discharge must be authorized by an additional license or by modifications of this permit; it shall be a violation of the terms and conditions of this permit to discharge any pollutant not identified and authorized herein or to discharge in excess of the rates or quantities authorized herein or to violate any other conditions of this permit.

2. Other materials. Other materials ordinarily produced or used in the operation of this facility, which have been specifically identified in the application, may be discharged at the maximum frequency and maximum level identified in the application, provided:

- (a) They are not
 - (i) Designated as toxic or hazardous under the provisions of Sections 307 and 311, respectively, of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act; Title 38, Section 420, Maine Revised Statutes; or other applicable State Law; or
 - (ii) Known to be hazardous or toxic by the licensee.
- (b) The discharge of such materials will not violate applicable water quality standards.

3. Duty to comply. The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of State law and the Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application.

- (a) The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act, and 38 MRSA, §420 or Chapter 530.5 for toxic pollutants within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
- (b) Any person who violates any provision of the laws administered by the Department, including without limitation, a violation of the terms of any order, rule license, permit, approval or decision of the Board or Commissioner is subject to the penalties set forth in 38 MRSA, §349.

4. Duty to provide information. The permittee shall furnish to the Department, within a reasonable time, any information which the Department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Department upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.

5. Permit actions. This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.

6. Reopener clause. The Department reserves the right to make appropriate revisions to this permit in order to establish any appropriate effluent limitations, schedule of compliance or other provisions which may be authorized under 38 MRSA, \$414-A(5).

Revised July 1, 2002

STANDARD CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL PERMITS

7. Oil and hazardous substances. Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities or penalties to which the permittee is or may be subject under section 311 of the Federal Clean Water Act; section 106 of the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980; or 38 MRSA §§ 1301, et. seq.

8. Property rights. This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.

9. Confidentiality of records. 38 MRSA §414(6) reads as follows. "Any records, reports or information obtained under this subchapter is available to the public, except that upon a showing satisfactory to the department by any person that any records, reports or information, or particular part or any record, report or information, other than the names and addresses of applicants, license applications, licenses, and effluent data, to which the department has access under this subchapter would, if made public, divulge methods or processes that are entitled to protection as trade secrets, these records, reports or information must be confidential and not available for public inspection or examination. Any records, reports or information may be disclosed to employees or authorized representatives of the State or the United States concerned with carrying out this subchapter or any applicable federal law, and to any party to a hearing held under this section on terms the commissioner may prescribe in order to protect these confidential records, reports and information, as long as this disclosure is material and relevant to any issue under consideration by the department."

10. Duty to reapply. If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit.

11. Other laws. The issuance of this permit does not authorize any injury to persons or property or invasion of other property rights, nor does it relieve the permittee if its obligation to comply with other applicable Federal, State or local laws and regulations.

12. Inspection and entry. The permittee shall allow the Department, or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of the EPA Administrator), upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

- (a) Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- (b) Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- (c) Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
- (d) Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Clean Water Act, any substances or parameters at any location.

B. OPERATION AND MAINTENACE OF FACILITIES

1. General facility requirements.

(a) The permittee shall collect all waste flows designated by the Department as requiring treatment and discharge them into an approved waste treatment facility in such a manner as to

STANDARD CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL PERMITS

maximize removal of pollutants unless authorization to the contrary is obtained from the Department.

- (b) The permittee shall at all times maintain in good working order and operate at maximum efficiency all waste water collection, treatment and/or control facilities.
- (c) All necessary waste treatment facilities will be installed and operational prior to the discharge of any wastewaters.
- (d) Final plans and specifications must be submitted to the Department for review prior to the construction or modification of any treatment facilities.
- (e) The permittee shall install flow measuring facilities of a design approved by the Department.
- (f) The permittee must provide an outfall of a design approved by the Department which is placed in the receiving waters in such a manner that the maximum mixing and dispersion of the wastewaters will be achieved as rapidly as possible.

2. Proper operation and maintenance. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.

3. Need to halt or reduce activity not a defense. It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

4. Duty to mitigate. The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.

5. Bypasses.

- (a) Definitions.
 - (i) Bypass means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.
 - (ii) Severe property damage means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
- (b) Bypass not exceeding limitations. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section.
- (c) Notice.
 - (i) Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least ten days before the date of the bypass.

Revised July 1, 2002

STANDARD CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL PERMITS

- (ii) Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in paragraph D(1)(f), below. (24-hour notice).
- (d) Prohibition of bypass.
 - (i) Bypass is prohibited, and the Department may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
 - (A) Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
 - (B) There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
 - (C) The permittee submitted notices as required under paragraph (c) of this section.
 - (ii) The Department may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Department determines that it will meet the three conditions listed above in paragraph (d)(i) of this section.
- 6. Upsets.
 - (a) Definition. Upset means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.
 - (b) Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.
 - (c) Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
 - (i) An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
 - (ii) The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated; and
 - (iii) The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required in paragraph D(1)(f), below. (24 hour notice).
 - (iv) The permittee complied with any remedial measures required under paragraph B(4).
 - (d) Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

STANDARD CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL PERMITS

C. MONITORING AND RECORDS

1. General Requirements. This permit shall be subject to such monitoring requirements as may be reasonably required by the Department including the installation, use and maintenance of monitoring equipment or methods (including, where appropriate, biological monitoring methods). The permittee shall provide the Department with periodic reports on the proper Department reporting form of monitoring results obtained pursuant to the monitoring requirements contained herein.

2. Representative sampling. Samples and measurements taken as required herein shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge. If effluent limitations are based wholly or partially on quantities of a product processed, the permittee shall ensure samples are representative of times when production is taking place. Where discharge monitoring is required when production is less than 50%, the resulting data shall be reported as a daily measurement but not included in computation of averages, unless specifically authorized by the Department.

3. Monitoring and records.

- (a) Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity.
- (b) Except for records of monitoring information required by this permit related to the permittee's sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five years, the permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit, for a period of at least 3 years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Department at any time.
- (c) Records of monitoring information shall include:
 - (i) The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - (ii) The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
 - (iii) The date(s) analyses were performed;
 - (iv) The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
 - (v) The analytical techniques or methods used; and
 - (vi) The results of such analyses.
- (d) Monitoring results must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR part 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in the permit.
- (e) State law provides that any person who tampers with or renders inaccurate any monitoring devices or method required by any provision of law, or any order, rule license, permit approval or decision is subject to the penalties set forth in 38 MRSA, §349.

STANDARD CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL PERMITS

D. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. Reporting requirements.

- (a) Planned changes. The permittee shall give notice to the Department as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. Notice is required only when:
 - (i) The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in 40 CFR 122.29(b); or
 - (ii) The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under Section D(4).
 - (iii) The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the permittee's sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan;
- (b) Anticipated noncompliance. The permittee shall give advance notice to the Department of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.
- (c) Transfers. This permit is not transferable to any person except upon application to and approval of the Department pursuant to 38 MRSA, § 344 and Chapters 2 and 522.
- (d) Monitoring reports. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified elsewhere in this permit.
 - (i) Monitoring results must be reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) or forms provided or specified by the Department for reporting results of monitoring of sludge use or disposal practices.
 - (ii) If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by the permit using test procedures approved under 40 CFR part 136 or as specified in the permit, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR or sludge reporting form specified by the Department.
 - (iii) Calculations for all limitations which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified by the Department in the permit.
- (e) Compliance schedules. Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date.
- (f) Twenty-four hour reporting.
 - (i) The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Any information shall be provided orally within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. A written submission shall also be provided within 5 days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance

STANDARD CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL PERMITS

has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.

- (ii) The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph.
 - (A) Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - (B) Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - (C) Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Department in the permit to be reported within 24 hours.
- (iii) The Department may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis for reports under paragraph (f)(ii) of this section if the oral report has been received within 24 hours.
- (g) Other noncompliance. The permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under paragraphs (d), (e), and (f) of this section, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in paragraph (f) of this section.
- (h) Other information. Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Department, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

2. Signatory requirement. All applications, reports, or information submitted to the Department shall be signed and certified as required by Chapter 521, Section 5 of the Department's rules. State law provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation or certification in any application, record, report, plan or other document filed or required to be maintained by any order, rule, permit, approval or decision of the Board or Commissioner is subject to the penalties set forth in 38 MRSA, §349.

3. Availability of reports. Except for data determined to be confidential under A(9), above, all reports prepared in accordance with the terms of this permit shall be available for public inspection at the offices of the Department. As required by State law, effluent data shall not be considered confidential. Knowingly making any false statement on any such report may result in the imposition of criminal sanctions as provided by law.

4. Existing manufacturing, commercial, mining, and silvicultural dischargers. In addition to the reporting requirements under this Section, all existing manufacturing, commercial, mining, and silvicultural dischargers must notify the Department as soon as they know or have reason to believe:

- (a) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":
 - (i) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 ug/l);
 - (ii) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 ug/l) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 ug/l) for 2,4-dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/l) for antimony;
 - (iii) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with Chapter 521 Section 4(g)(7); or
 - (iv) The level established by the Department in accordance with Chapter 523 Section 5(f).

STANDARD CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL PERMITS

(b) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in any discharge, on a nonroutine or infrequent basis, of a toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following ``notification levels":

- (i) Five hundred micrograms per liter (500 ug/l);
- (ii) One milligram per liter (1 mg/l) for antimony;
- (iii) Ten (10) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with Chapter 521 Section 4(g)(7); or
- (iv) The level established by the Department in accordance with Chapter 523 Section 5(f).

5. Publicly owned treatment works.

6

- (a) All POTWs must provide adequate notice to the Department of the following:
 - (i) Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger which would be subject to section 301 or 306 of CWA or Chapter 528 if it were directly discharging those pollutants.
 - (ii) Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into that POTW by a source introducing pollutants into the POTW at the time of issuance of the permit.
 - (iii) For purposes of this paragraph, adequate notice shall include information on (A) the quality and quantity of effluent introduced into the POTW, and (B) any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.
- (b) When the effluent discharged by a POTW for a period of three consecutive months exceeds 80 percent of the permitted flow, the permittee shall submit to the Department a projection of loadings up to the time when the design capacity of the treatment facility will be reached, and a program for maintaining satisfactory treatment levels consistent with approved water quality management plans.

E. OTHER REQUIREMENTS

1. Emergency action - power failure. Within thirty days after the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall notify the Department of facilities and plans to be used in the event the primary source of power to its wastewater pumping and treatment facilities fails as follows.

(a) For municipal sources. During power failure, all wastewaters which are normally treated shall receive a minimum of primary treatment and disinfection. Unless otherwise approved, alternate power supplies shall be provided for pumping stations and treatment facilities. Alternate power supplies shall be on-site generating units or an outside power source which is separate and independent from sources used for normal operation of the wastewater facilities.

(b) For industrial and commercial sources. The permittee shall either maintain an alternative power source sufficient to operate the wastewater pumping and treatment facilities or halt, reduce or otherwise control production and or all discharges upon reduction or loss of power to the wastewater pumping or treatment facilities.

STANDARD CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL PERMITS

2. Spill prevention. (applicable only to industrial sources) Within six months of the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall submit to the Department for review and approval, with or without conditions, a spill prevention plan. The plan shall delineate methods and measures to be taken to prevent and or contain any spills of pulp, chemicals, oils or other contaminates and shall specify means of disposal and or treatment to be used.

3. **Removed substances.** Solids, sludges trash rack cleanings, filter backwash, or other pollutants removed from or resulting from the treatment or control of waste waters shall be disposed of in a manner approved by the Department.

4. Connection to municipal sewer. (applicable only to industrial and commercial sources) All wastewaters designated by the Department as treatable in a municipal treatment system will be cosigned to that system when it is available. This permit will expire 90 days after the municipal treatment facility becomes available, unless this time is extended by the Department in writing.

F. DEFINITIONS. For the purposes of this permit, the following definitions shall apply. Other definitions applicable to this permit may be found in Chapters 520 through 529 of the Department's rules

Average means the arithmetic mean of values taken at the frequency required for each parameter over the specified period. For bacteria, the average shall be the geometric mean.

Average monthly discharge limitation means the highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month. Except, however, bacteriological tests may be calculated as a geometric mean.

Average weekly discharge limitation means the highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar week, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar week divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that week.

Best management practices ("BMPs") means schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the State. BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.

Composite sample means a sample consisting of a minimum of eight grab samples collected at equal intervals during a 24 hour period (or a lesser period as specified in the section on monitoring and reporting) and combined proportional to the flow over that same time period.

Continuous discharge means a discharge which occurs without interruption throughout the operating hours of the facility, except for infrequent shutdowns for maintenance, process changes, or other similar activities.

Daily discharge means the discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling. For pollutants with limitations expressed in units of mass, the daily discharge is calculated as the total mass of the pollutant discharged over the day. For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the daily discharge is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.

STANDARD CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL PERMITS

Discharge Monitoring Report ("DMR") means the EPA uniform national form, including any subsequent additions, revisions, or modifications for the reporting of self-monitoring results by permittees. DMRs must be used by approved States as well as by EPA. EPA will supply DMRs to any approved State upon request. The EPA national forms may be modified to substitute the State Agency name, address, logo, and other similar information, as appropriate, in place of EPA^Fs.

Flow weighted composite sample means a composite sample consisting of a mixture of aliquots collected at a constant time interval, where the volume of each aliquot is proportional to the flow rate of the discharge.

Grab sample means an individual sample collected in a period of less than 15 minutes.

Interference means a Discharge which, alone or in conjunction with a discharge or discharges from other sources, both:

- (1) Inhibits or disrupts the POTW, its treatment processes or operations, or its sludge processes, use or disposal; and
- (2) Therefore is a cause of a violation of any requirement of the POTW's NPDES permit (including an increase in the magnitude or duration of a violation) or of the prevention of sewage sludge use or disposal in compliance with the following statutory provisions and regulations or permits issued thereunder (or more stringent State or local regulations): Section 405 of the Clean Water Act, the Solid Waste Disposal Act (SWDA) (including title II, more commonly referred to as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), and including State regulations contained in any State sludge management plan prepared pursuant to subtitle D of the SWDA), the Clean Air Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, and the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act.

Maximum daily discharge limitation means the highest allowable daily discharge.

New source means any building, structure, facility, or installation from which there is or may be a discharge of pollutants, the construction of which commenced:

(a) After promulgation of standards of performance under section 306 of CWA which are applicable to such source, or

(b) After proposal of standards of performance in accordance with section 306 of CWA which are applicable to such source, but only if the standards are promulgated in accordance with section 306 within 120 days of their proposal.

Pass through means a discharge which exits the POTW into waters of the State in quantities or concentrations which, alone or in conjunction with a discharge or discharges from other sources, is a cause of a violation of any requirement of the POTW's NPDES permit (including an increase in the magnitude or duration of a violation).

Permit means an authorization, license, or equivalent control document issued by EPA or an approved State to implement the requirements of 40 CFR parts 122, 123 and 124. Permit includes an NPDES general permit (Chapter 529). Permit does not include any permit which has not yet been the subject of final agency action, such as a draft permit or a proposed permit.

Person means an individual, firm, corporation, municipality, quasi-municipal corporation, state agency, federal agency or other legal entity.

STANDARD CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL PERMITS

Point source means any discernible, confined and discrete conveyance, including, but not limited to, any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation or vessel or other floating craft, from which pollutants are or may be discharged.

Pollutant means dredged spoil, solid waste, junk, incinerator residue, sewage, refuse, effluent, garbage, sewage sludge, munitions, chemicals, biological or radiological materials, oil, petroleum products or byproducts, heat, wrecked or discarded equipment, rock, sand, dirt and industrial, municipal, domestic, commercial or agricultural wastes of any kind.

Process wastewater means any water which, during manufacturing or processing, comes into direct contact with or results from the production or use of any raw material, intermediate product, finished product, byproduct, or waste product.

Publicly owned treatment works ("POTW") means any facility for the treatment of pollutants owned by the State or any political subdivision thereof, any municipality, district, quasi-municipal corporation or other public entity.

Septage means, for the purposes of this permit, any waste, refuse, effluent sludge or other material removed from a septic tank, cesspool, vault privy or similar source which concentrates wastes or to which chemicals have been added. Septage does not include wastes from a holding tank.

Time weighted composite means a composite sample consisting of a mixture of equal volume aliquots collected over a constant time interval.

Toxic pollutant includes any pollutant listed as toxic under section 307(a)(1) or, in the case of sludge use or disposal practices, any pollutant identified in regulations implementing section 405(d) of the CWA. Toxic pollutant also includes those substances or combination of substances, including disease causing agents, which after discharge or upon exposure, ingestion, inhalation or assimilation into any organism, including humans either directly through the environment or indirectly through ingestion through food chains, will, on the basis of information available to the board either alone or in combination with other substances already in the receiving waters or the discharge, cause death, disease, abnormalities, cancer, genetic mutations, physiological malfunctions, including malfunctions in reproduction, or physical deformations in such organism or their offspring.

Wetlands means those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas.

Whole effluent toxicity means the aggregate toxic effect of an effluent measured directly by a toxicity test.

Page 12