

Agenda Item No: 6.a

Meeting Date: April 2, 2018

SAN RAFAEL CITY COUNCIL AGENDA REPORT

Department: CITY ATTORNEY

Prepared by: Lisa Goldfien, Assistant City Attorney City Manager Approval:



TOPIC: DISTRICT-BASED CITY ELECTIONS

SUBJECT: PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER DRAFT MAPS SHOWING ALTERNATIVES FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF CITY VOTING DISTRICTS AND ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING A BY-DISTRICT ELECTION PROCESS IN FOUR COUNCIL DISTRICTS PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS CODE § 10010 & CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE §§ 34871(C) & 34886

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1. Hold a public hearing to receive public comment concerning the alternative draft maps prepared by the City's demographer and by the public showing how the four City Council electoral districts might be drawn, as well as the sequence of elections for Council seats; and
- 2. Select one of the published district maps and pass to print the attached ordinance establishing a by-district election process for four council districts according to the selected map.

BACKGROUND:

In 2002, the Legislature enacted the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA) (Elec. Code §§14025 – 14032), which prohibits California public agencies from imposing or applying an at-large election method "that impairs the ability of a protected class to elect candidates of its choice or its ability to influence the outcome of an election." (Elec. Code §14027) A protected class is defined by the CVRA as "a class of voters who are members of a race, color, or language minority group, as this class is referenced and defined in the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965."

The CVRA defines an at-large method of election to include the election method used by the City of San Rafael, in which the voters of the entire City elect all the members of the City Council. In a lawsuit brought pursuant to the CVRA, a plaintiff who establishes a history of "racially polarized voting" under a city's at-large election system can require a city to change to a district-based election system.

On November 20, 2017 the City received a letter from Malibu attorney Kevin Shenkman, on behalf of his client Southwest Voter Registration Education Project, urging the City to change its at-large voting system to a district-based voting system, asserting that "San Rafael's at-large system dilutes the ability of Latinos (a 'protected class') - to elect candidates of their choice or otherwise influence the outcome

FOR CITY CLERK ONLY

File No.: _____

Council Meeting: _____

Disposition: _____

of San Rafael's council elections." Receipt of this letter started a 45-day timeline for the City "to pass a resolution outlining its intention to transition from at-large to district-based elections, specific steps it will undertake to facilitate this transition, and an estimated time frame for doing so." (Elec. Code §10010.)

After several public hearings in November and December 2017 and on January 16, 2018 concerning whether the City should change to a district-based election system, the City Council voted unanimously on January 16, 2018 to adopt Resolution No. 14453 (Attachment 3), expressing the City Council's intention to transition to district-based elections for the City's four City Council seats, starting with the election of November 3, 2020, and setting forth a timeline for that process.

The City Council's adoption of Resolution No. 14453 gives the City an additional 90 days, or through April 16, 2018, to hold at least five public hearings and officially adopt an ordinance establishing the boundaries of, and the sequence of elections for, the four new election districts. During this time period, the City cannot be sued for a violation of the CVRA. The first two hearings, to receive public input regarding the composition of the districts, were held on February 5, 2018 and February 20, 2018. On February 20, 2018, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 14468, providing guidelines for the preparation of draft district maps. (Attachment 4.)

On February 26, 2018, the City published a notice in the Marin IJ advising of the availability on that date of draft maps on the City's website at https://www.cityofsanrafael.org/draft-maps/ and in the City Clerk's office. On that date six draft maps were published and made available for public consideration, namely maps labeled "Green", "Purple" and "Orange" that were prepared by the City's demographic consultant, National Demographics, Inc. ("NDC"), and three other maps, labeled "Tan", "Olive", and "Violet" that were prepared and submitted by members of the public.

<u>March 5, 2018 Council meeting</u>: This was the third of five public hearings required prior to adoption of an ordinance establishing the four City voting districts. That meeting was held to allow the Council and the public to consider any draft district maps that had been timely published for that meeting. By statute, only maps published at least seven days prior to the meeting (February 26, 2018) were eligible for consideration at the meeting; accordingly, the public hearing centered on the "**Green**", "**Purple**", "**Orange**", "**Tan**", "**Olive**", and "**Violet**" maps. The Council received public comment on the maps, and also approved creation of a City Council ad-hoc subcommittee, consisting of Councilmember Colin and Mayor Phillips, to study the draft maps. A new map designated "Canal 1" was submitted during the meeting, and was published that evening. Comments received from the public concerning the borders of the proposed districts included the following:

- The City should try to keep the East San Rafael business district within in a single district, such as by extending District 4 along the 580 freeway to the Richmond Bridge. Similarly, other "economic zones" would benefit from being contained within a single district.
- Bahia Vista school should be included in District 4.

<u>March 12, 2018 Council study session</u>: The City Council held a study session in the Council Chambers to allow for further discussion of the "**Green**", "**Purple**", "**Orange**", "**Tan**", "**Olive**", and "**Violet**" maps, as well as a new map designated "**Canal 1**" that had been timely submitted and published on March 5, 2018. At the study session, the Mayor reported that the ad-hoc subcommittee had met, and stated that the subcommittee's recommendation was to use the "**Canal 1**" map, with some modifications as follows:

• Expand District 4 to the West to encompass all of Bret Harte;

- Eliminate the extension of District 4 along the Lincoln corridor north of downtown by moving the northern border of District 4 down to Second Street.
- Include Bahia Vista school and the Albert J. Boro Community Center in District 4.

The Mayor also noted his preference to designate Districts "1", "2", "3," and "4," as the Northern, Eastern, Western, and Southern Districts, respectively.

The demographer tried out several variations on published maps as requested by the City Council, and after all public comment, the City Council asked the demographer to prepare/publish three revised maps as follows:

- The subcommittee's recommended variation on the "Canal 1" map, where all of Bret Harte is included in District 4/Southern and the northern border of District 4/Southern is moved south from Los Ranchitos Road to Second Avenue. The City's demographer prepared and published this map on the City's website on March 12, 2018 after the study session, designated as "District Elections 1". Additionally, on this map, the border between Districts 3/Western and 2/Eastern is Highway 101, and a majority of the border between Districts 3/Western and 4/Southern is along Martens Blvd.
- A variation on the subcommittee recommendation (now called "District Elections 1") where the Spinnaker Pt./Baypoint Lagoon neighborhoods are included in District 4/Southern. The demographer prepared and published this map on March 12, 2018, designated as "District Elections 2". Additionally, on this map, the border of between Districts 3/Western and 2/Eastern is Lincoln Avenue, and the border between Districts 3/Western and 4/Southern is along Lindaro Street, Glen Avenue, Pearce Road, and Southern Heights Blvd.
- A variation on the "**Canal 1**" map that includes the Spinnaker Pt./Baypoint Lagoon neighborhoods in District 4/Southern, but keeps more of North San Rafael (north of Puerto Suello Hill) together in District 1/Northern. The demographer prepared and published this map on March 12, 2018, designated as "**Canal 2**". Additionally, on this map the border between Districts 3/Western and 4/Southern is moved to Woodland Avenue where it was shown along Duffy Place and Irwin Street on the "**Canal 1**" map, and the border between Districts 1/Northern and 4/Southern is moved south to Fair Drive from where it was shown on Los Ranchitos Road.

In addition, a map was submitted to the demographer on March 11, 2018 by San Rafael resident Bill Carney. This map, designated as "**Carney 1**," was published on the City's website on March 12, 2018, but was not discussed specifically at the study session.

<u>March 19, 2018 Council meeting</u>: This was the fourth required public hearing, for the public and the City Council to consider draft maps. The Council had before it eleven maps published on or before March 12, 2018, namely, the maps designated as "Green", "Purple", "Orange", "Tan", "Olive", "Violet", "Canal 1", "Canal 2", "Carney 1", "District Elections 1", and "District Elections 2".

Prior to the public comment period, the Mayor explained that he had been considering some new revisions to the "**Canal 1**" map and asked the demographer to make those revisions to the "**Canal 1**" map in real time at the meeting. Explaining his objective to keep the City's neighborhoods (as shown in the General Plan) as intact as possible, the Mayor described the revisions he proposed included:

• Expanding further to the west the part of the Southern District along the Lincoln Avenue corridor so as to include the entire Lincoln Avenue Homeowners' Association

- Including the Bahia Vista School in the Southern District
- Keeping the Bret Harte neighborhood together in the Western District

Vice-Mayor Gamblin pointed out, and Councilmember Colin agreed, that he would prefer that the "**Canal 1**" map be revised to show the southern border of the Northern District along Gallinas Creek/SMART rail line, so as to keep Terra Linda unified. Mayor Phillips indicated that he also intended to recommend that change as part of his revisions. Councilmembers McCullough and Bushey noted their view that the Spinnaker and Baypoint neighborhoods seemed to be integral to the Southern District. During the public hearing, the demographer was able to show the Mayor's proposed changes to the "**Canal 1**" map.

After receiving public comment, the Council asked the demographer to formalize a map along the lines suggested by the Mayor, and another similar map in which the Spinnaker and Baypoint neighborhoods would be included in the Southern District rather than in the Eastern District. Both new maps, designated as "**Canal 3A**" and "**Canal 3B**", respectively, were published on the City's website on March 26, 2018. Because the Spinnaker and Baypoint neighborhoods were included in the Southern District in the "**Canal 3B**" map, other modifications also had to be made to the map to balance the population such as reducing the width of the Southern District along the US 101 corridor north of Laurel Place and expanding the Southern District slightly more into the Bret Harte neighborhood. The Council also agreed to narrow to five the group of draft maps they felt would be their primary focus at the April 2, 2018 meeting, namely, the "**Canal 1**", "**Canal 2**", "**District Elections 2**", "**Canal 3A**", and "**Canal 3B**".

Additionally, the City Manager met with Councilmember Colin and discussed with the demographer an additional map she was interested in seeing that would be a variation on elements of the "**Canal 3A**" map, in that it would narrow the portion of the Southern District along the Lincoln Corridor but, like "**Canal 3B**", would expand the Southern District more into part of the Bret Harte neighborhood. The demographer prepared that map as well, designating it as "**Canal 3A1**".

"Canal 3A", "Canal3B", and "Canal 3A1" were all published on March 26, 2018, in time to be considered at tonight's meeting.

ANALYSIS:

Tonight's meeting is the fifth required public hearing, and is another opportunity for the public and the City Council to consider draft maps. Per the Council's directive, the intention of this meeting is to focus on the five maps selected at the March 19 meeting, "Canal 1", "Canal 2", "District Elections 2", "Canal 3A", and "Canal 3B", and the new "Canal 3A1" requested by Councilmember Colin. It should be noted, however, that all published maps may be considered during the public hearing.

If after the public hearing, the City Council is able to settle on one of these maps as showing the optimal arrangement of the four Council districts and proposed election sequence, the Council may move forward with formalizing the establishment of the districts. The final step in the process of establishing a district-based election system in San Rafael is the adoption of an ordinance establishing the boundaries of the districts and the sequence of elections for those districts, beginning with the next general municipal election in November 2020. Staff has prepared an appropriate ordinance to which the Council-selected district map must be attached. (Attachment 2.) If the Council acts tonight to pass the ordinance to print, it will come back to the Council for final adoption on April 16, 2018.

Since April 16, 2018 is the final day to adopt the ordinance in compliance with the statutory timeline, deferring adoption of the ordinance beyond that date will expose the City to the possibility of a lawsuit filed by Mr. Shenkman's client.

COMMUNITY OUTREACH:

The City is partnering with community groups to communicate information throughout San Rafael. A website, <u>https://www.cityofsanrafael.org/district-elections/</u> has been created to provide information about the topic, a schedule of meetings, and an online form for public feedback. Meetings have been announced via the City's website, email notifications, the City Manager's newsletter, and via social media. The City Council considered this issue at a study session on November 20, and at its regular meetings on December 4 and December 18, 2017, January 16, 2018, February 5, 2018, February 20, 2018, March 5, 2018, the study session on March 12, 2018, and at its regular meeting of March 19, 2018. Including tonight's meeting, the City Council has considered this issue at 10 regular City Council meetings or study sessions.

The Canal Alliance, Canal Welcome Center, Alcohol Justice, Youth for Justice, and United Marin Rising organizations sponsored a public meeting at the Albert Boro Community Center on Saturday January 13 for the purpose of providing information to and receiving input from interested members of the public. Another community meeting was held on March 1, 2018 at the offices of Alcohol Justice at 24 Belvedere Street, at which the City's demographer, outside counsel, and City staff were present to explain and answer questions about drawing districts and using the online mapping tool.

A notice of public hearing is also posted in the Marin IJ ten days prior to each public hearing. Printed information prepared by or on behalf of the City in connection with this issue, including slide decks, has been made available in English and in Spanish on the City's website. Bilingual City staff has also disseminated informational flyers directly to the public.

Finally, the City is providing on its website an interactive online tool that the public can use to draw and submit proposed districting plans. The tool is in addition to other available methods such as paper or on-line population maps.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The full extent of the fiscal impacts of a change to district elections is unknown at this time. The City has retained National Demographics, Inc. to provide demographic information and prepare optional district maps. The City's costs for demographic services, including an interactive online tool for use by the public in drawing and submitted proposed district maps, was approved at \$39,500. Because the demographer has been requested to attend an additional community meeting and an additional study session, and may be asked to attend the April 16 meeting, the agreement will have to be amended to increase the budget by \$4,000 to \$6,000. There are sufficient funds to support this contract in the City Clerk's department budget in the City's General Fund.

The City's additional costs for the services of outside counsel during the transition process are estimated at \$35,000. There are sufficient funds to support this contract in the City Attorney's department budget in the City's General Fund.

In addition, upon adoption of the ordinance establishing the electoral districts for the 2020 election, the City will be liable for the payment attorney Kevin Shenkman's attorney's fees incurred in this matter, subject to documentation and capped at \$30,000. There are sufficient funds to support this obligation in the City Clerk's department budget in the City's General Fund.

Finally, the City Clerk has received an informal indication from the County Registrar's office that the City's November 2020 election costs will almost certainly be higher than in past elections, since the costs are calculated based upon the number of distinct contests in the election. Once a district-based

election system is established, there will be a separate contest in each of two City Council districts rather than just one contest for all open City Council seats as has occurred in the past under the City's at-large election system.

OPTIONS:

The City Council has the following options to consider on this matter:

- 1. Hold a public hearing and select a map representing the desired City electoral districts, and pass the ordinance to print.
- 2. Hold a public hearing, but defer action on the proposed ordinance.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:


Hold a public hearing, select a preferred district map, and pass the ordinance to print.

ATTACHMENTS:


1. Draft Maps:

"Canal 1" "Canal 2" "Canal 3A" "Canal 3A1" "Canal 3B" "District Elections 2"


- 2. Ordinance establishing a by-district election process for four council districts
- 3. Resolution No. 14453, with Exhibit A, timeline
- 4. Resolution No. 14468, with Exhibit A, criteria to guide establishment of electoral districts
- 5. Public Notices
- 6. Correspondence




Ideal Total Pop 14,372 14,448 14,562 14,337 57,71 14,430 Deviation from ideal -58 18 132 -93 225 % Deviation -0.40% 0.12% 0.21% 0.91% 0.66% 1.56% % Difference % Hisp 11% 15% 79% 30% % NH White 76% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 30% 37,12 37,12 37,12 37,12 37,12 37,12 37,12 37,12 37,12 37,12 37,12 37,12 37,14 39% 7% 7% 37% </th <th>District</th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th>2</th> <th>3</th> <th>4</th> <th>Tota</th>	District		1	2	3	4	Tota
14,430 Deviation from ideal -58 18 132 -93 225 Total Pop % Disting Ange 0.040% 0.12% 0.041% 0.040% 0.12% 0.040% 0.50% 75% 75% 30% Total Pop % NH White 76% 75% <th></th> <th>Total Pop</th> <th>14 372</th> <th>_</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th> <th></th>		Total Pop	14 372	_	-	-	
14,430 % Deviation 0.04% 0.12% 0.91% 0.64% 1.5% Total Pop % Hisp 11% 15% 15% 79% 30% % NH Black 2% 3% 3% 7% 7% 2% 3% 7% 7% 2% 3% 7% 7% 2% 3% 3% 7% 3% 3% 7% 4% 3% 3% 7% 3% 3% 7% 3% 3% 7% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3%				-	-	2	,
Your Pop % Hisp 11% 15% 79% 30% Total Pop % NH White 76% 73% 74% 13% 59% % Asian-American 9% 8% 6% 5% 7% Gitzen Voting Age Pop % Hisp 7% 9% 41% 11/284 10,587 11,261 3,991 37,12 (Koz 2016) % NH White 82% 81% 81% 39% 7% % Naian/Pac.Isl. 7% 6% 5% 14% 7% % Spanish-surnamed 6% 7% 7% 2% 8% % Spanish-surnamed 6% 3% 7% 7% 8% % Spanish-surnamed 8% 84% 85% 82% 84% 85% 82% 8%	14,430			-			
Total Pop % NH White 70% 73% 74% 13% 59% Citizen Votign Age Pop Total 11,284 10,587 11,261 3,991 37,12 Citizen Votign Age Pop % NH White 82% 81% 81% 39% 77% Sont American 97% 97% 44% 11%						2 $14,337$ -93 -0.64% 5 79% 5 13% 2% 5% 5 3.991 41% 39% 2% 39% 2% 14% 6 2,707 22% 7% 14% 6 6 2,707 22% 7% 1% 55% 7% 1% 55% 7% 2 2,160 20% 6% 1% 58% 7% 9 1,036 15% 8% 0% 0 66% 9 1,036 15% 8% 0% 51% 51% 30% 51% 30% 55% 3% 55% 3% 55% 3% 55% 3% 55% 3% 55% 3% 55% 3% <tr< td=""><td></td></tr<>	
Iotal Pop % NH Black 2% 3% 7% 7% 2% 2% 3% 7% 3% 7% 3% 3% 7% 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 2% 2% 3% 3% 7% 4% 3% 1%<							
% Asian-American 9% 8% 6% 5% 7% Citizen Voting Age Pop Total 11,284 10,587 11,261 3,991 37,12 Citizen Voting Age Pop % Hisp 7% 8% 81% 81% 39% 77% % NH White 82% 81% 81% 39% 77% 3% % NH Sian/Pac.IS. 7% 6% 7% 7% 2% 3% % Sainsh-surnamed 6% 7% 7% 4% 8%	Total Pop						
Total 11,284 10,587 11,261 3,991 37,12 % Ilisp 7% 7% 7% 9% 41% 11% % NH Black 3% 4% 4% 4% 2% 3% % Asian/Pac.Isl. 7% 6% 5% 14% 7% 6% (Nov 2016) % Spanish-surnamed 6% 7% 7% 3% 8% % Spanish-surnamed 4% 4% 3% 7% 4% 8% % NH White est. 85% 84% 85% 85% 86% 86% 22% 3% 7% 3% Voter Turnout (Nov 2016) % Spanish-surnamed 1% </td <td>Eitizen Voting Age Pop Voter Registration (Nov 2016) Voter Turnout (Nov 2016) Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Eitizen Voting Age Pop Voter Registration (Nov 2016) Voter Turnout (Nov 2016) Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)						
Gitzen Voting Age Pop % Hisp % NH White 7% 7% 9% 41% 11% % NH White 82% 81% 81% 39% 77% % NH Black 3% 4% 4% 4% 2% 3% % Spanish-surmamed 6% 5% 14% 7% 31,23 % Spanish-surmamed 6% 7% 7% 4% % Spanish-surmamed 4% 4% 3% 7% 4% % Spanish-surmamed 4% 4% 3% 7% 3% % Spanish-surmamed 5% 7% 6% 20% 7% % NH Black 2% 3% 3% 7% 3% % Oter Turnout 7% Asian-Surmamed 4% 3% 3% 7% 3% % Voter Turnout 7% Asian-Surmamed 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3%						14,337 -93 -0.64% 79% 13% 2% 5% 3,991 41% 39% 2% 14% 29% 14% 29% 14% 29% 14% 2,007 22% 7% 1% 55% 7% 1,036 15% 8% 0% 66% 14,429 30% 59% 11% 51% 30% 59% 11% 51% 30% 48% 40% 13% 8% 44% 70% 25% 14% 26% 25% 144% 26% <td></td>	
Chizen Pop % NH White 82% 81% 81% 39% 77% % NH Black 3% 4% 4% 2% 3% % Nama Pac.Isl. 7% 6% 6% 2% 3% % Spanish-surnamed 6% 7% 7% 22% 8% % Spanish-surnamed 4% 4% 3% 7% 4% % NH White 85% 84% 85% 82% 8% % NH White 85% 84% 85% 82% 8% 85% 82% % NH White 85% 84% 85% 85% 82% 8% 83% 7% 3% % NH Black 2% 3% 3% 7% 3% 8348 8,372 2,160 27,25 % Spanish-surnamed 4% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% % Spanish-surnamed 4% 4% 4% 4% 8% 3% 3% 5% 5% 5% 8%			,				
Pop % NH Black 3% 4% 4% 2% 3% Woter Registration (Nov 2016) Total 9,448 9,479 9,556 2,707 31,23 % Spanish-sumamed 6% 7% 7% 2% 8% % Spanish-sumamed 4% 4% 3% 7% 4% % NH Black 2% 3% 3% 7% 4% % NH Black 2% 3% 3% 7% 3% % NH Black 2% 3% 3% 7% 3% % NH Black 2% 3% 3% 7% 3% % NH Black 2% 3% 3% 6% 4% % Spanish-surnamed 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% % Spanish-surnamed 4% 4% 4% 3% 3% 3% % NH Black 2% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% % Spanish-surnamed 4% 4% 4%	Citizen Voting Age						
% NH Black 3% 4% 2% 2% 3% % Asian/Pac.18. 7% 6% 5% 14% 7% Voter Registration (Nov 2016) Total 9,448 9,479 9,596 2,707 31,23 % Asian-Surnamed 4% 4% 3% 7% 4% % NH Biack 2% 8% <t< td=""><td>Pop</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Pop						
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1						
		,	7%	6%	5%	14%	7%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016) % Åsian-Surnamed 4% 4% 3% 7% 4% % Filipino-Surnamed 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% % NH White est. 85% 84% 85% 55% 82% % NH Black 2% 3% 3% 7% 3% Yoter Turnout (Nov 2016) % Spanish-surnamed 5% 7% 6% 20% 7% % Asian-Surnamed 4% 1%			9,448		,	,	31,23
		*	6%	7%	7%	22%	8%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Voter Registration	% Asian-Surnamed	4%	4%	3%	7%	4%
Work H Black 2% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% Voter Turnout (Nov 2016) Total $8,379$ $8,348$ $8,372$ $2,160$ $27,25$ $\%$ Spanish-surnamed 5% 7% 6% 20% 7% $\%$ Asian-Surnamed 4% 3% 3% 6% 4% $\%$ NH White est. 85% 85% 86% 58% 8% $\%$ NH Black 22% 3% 3% 7% 3% $\%$ NH Black 22% 3% 8% 3% 9% 11% 1% 1% 1% 1% 3% 3% 3% 8% 3%	(Nov 2016)	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		% NH White est.	85%	84%	85%	55%	82%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016) $\frac{9}{\sqrt{5}}$ Spanish-surnamed $\frac{5}{\sqrt{5}}$ $\frac{7}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{6}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{20}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{7}{\sqrt{6}}$ (Nov 2016) $\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}$ Sian-Surnamed $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$		% NH Black	2%	3%	3%	7%	3%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016) $\frac{9}{\sqrt{8}}$ Spanish-surnamed $\frac{5}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{7}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{6}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{20}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{7}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{6}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{4}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{3}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{6}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{4}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{3}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{6}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{4}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{3}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{6}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{4}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$		Total	8,379	8,348	8,372	2,160	27,25
		% Spanish-surnamed	,		-	20%	
	Voter Turnout	1	4%	3%	3%	6%	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							
	(Nov 2016)	-					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			0071				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,		,	,	,
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Votor Turn out						
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(1NOV 2014)	1					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
Age $age0-19$ 20% 22% 19% 30% 23% Age $age20-60$ 49% 47% 59% 59% 54% Immigration immigrants 17% 21% 19% 51% 27% Ianguage spoken at home english 77% 76% 73% 27% 64% Language spoken at home spanish 9% 12% 18% 66% 25% Language Fluency Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well" 9% 11% 11% 48% 19% Education (among those age 25+) fs-grad 41% 36% 41% 40% 29% 21% 8% 20% 21% 8% 20% 21% 8% 20% 21% 8% 20% 21% 8% 20% 21% 8% 20% 21% 8% 20% 21% 8% 20% 21% 8% 20% 21% 8% 20							
Age $age20-60$ 49% 47% 59% 54% age60plus 31% 31% 31% 22% 11% 24% Immigration immigrants 17% 21% 19% 51% 27% anguage spoken at home english 77% 76% 73% 27% 64% spanish 9% 12% 18% 66% 25% asian-lang 7% 4% 2% 5% 4% Language Fluency Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well" 9% 11% 11% 48% 19% Education (among those age 25+) $B-grad$ 41% 36% 41% 40% 20% 21% 44% 29% Child in Household child-under18 27% 27% 24% 44% 29% Child in Household child-under18 27% 27% 24% 44% 29% Household Income income 2.5tolk 14% <td>ACS Pop. Est.</td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>	ACS Pop. Est.		,		-		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		0					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Age	0					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		age60plus					
naturalized 58% $4/\%$ 34% 18% 33% inanuage spoken at home english 77% 76% 73% 27% 64% inome spanish 9% 12% 18% 66% 25% asian-lang 7% 4% 2% 5% 4% other lang 8% 8% 6% 3% 6% Language Fluency Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well" 9% 11% 11% 48% 19% Education (among those age $25+$) bachelor 29% 32% 30% 40% 40% Child in Household child-under18 27% 24% 23% 21% 8% 20% Child in Household child-under18 27% 24% 28% 17% At of Pop. Age 16+ employed 58% 59% 66% 70% 63% Household Income income 25-50k 14% 15% 20% 25%	Immigration	0		21%		51%	27%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	mingration	naturalized		47%	34%		33%
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	anguage spoken at	english	77%	76%	73%	27%	64%
asian-lang 7% 4% 2% 5% 4% other lang 8% 8% 6% 3% 6% Language FluencySpeaks Eng. "Less than Very Well" 9% 11% 11% 48% 19% Education (among those age 25+)hs-grad 41% 36% 41% 40% 40% Child in Householdchild-under18 27% 23% 21% 8% 20% Child in Householdchild-under18 27% 27% 24% 44% 29% Ct of Pop. Age 16+employed 58% 59% 66% 70% 63% Pot of Pop. Age 16+income 0-25k 15% 13% 17% 28% 17% Income 25-50k 14% 15% 20% 25% 18% Household Incomeincome 20-75k 16% 10% 11% 14% 13% Income 200k-plus 18% 24% 12% 6% 16% Income 200k-plus 18% 26% 44% 66% 41% Income 200k-plus 18% 26% 44% 66% 41% Income 200k-plus 18% 30% 56% 72% 46% Income 200k-plus 18% 26% 44% 28% 54% Income 200k-plus 18% 26% 44% 28% 54% Income 200k-plus 18% 26% 44% 28% 54% Income 200k-plus 18% 30% 56% 72% 46% <td></td> <td>spanish</td> <td>9%</td> <td>12%</td> <td>18%</td> <td>66%</td> <td>25%</td>		spanish	9%	12%	18%	66%	25%
Language Fluency Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well" 9% 11% 11% 48% 19% Education (among those age 25+) hs-grad 41% 36% 41% 40% 40% Child in Household child-under18 29% 32% 30% 13% 27% Child in Household child-under18 27% 24% 24% 23% 21% 8% 20% Child in Household child-under18 27% 27% 24% 44% 29% Ct of Pop. Age 16+ employed 58% 59% 66% 70% 63% income 0-25k 15% 13% 17% 28% 17% income 0-25k 15% 13% 17% 28% 17% income 50-75k 16% 10% 11% 14% 13% income 200k-plus 18% 24% 12% 6% 16% Housing Stats single family 62% 74% 56% 34% 59% Multi-fami	nome	asian-lang	7%	4%	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{6}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{5}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{587}{11,261}$ $3,991$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ $9^{\frac{6}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{41}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{81}{\sqrt{6}}$ $39^{\frac{6}{\sqrt{6}}$ $\frac{4}{\sqrt{6}}$ $2^{\frac{6}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $\frac{4}{\sqrt{6}}$ $2^{\frac{6}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $\frac{79}{\sqrt{6}}$ $5^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}$ $14^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $7^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $22^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $\frac{79}{\sqrt{6}}$ $7^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $22^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $3^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $7^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $\frac{70}{\sqrt{6}}$ $3^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $7^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $3^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $7^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $\frac{448}{\sqrt{6}}$ $8,372$ $2,160$ $3^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $3^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $\frac{7}{\sqrt{6}}$ $3^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $3^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $3^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $3^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $\frac{7}{\sqrt{6}}$ $3^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $3^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $3^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $3^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$ $\frac{7}{\sqrt{6}}$ $3^{\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}}$	5%	4%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		other lang	8%	8%	6%	3%	6%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Т ^{гч}	Speaks Eng. "Less	007	4407	4407	4007	4007
Education (among those age 25+) hs-grad 41% 36% 41% 40% 40% Dil bachelor 29% 32% 30% 13% 27% graduatedegree 24% 23% 21% 8% 20% Child in Household child-under18 27% 27% 24% 44% 29% Pet of Pop. Age 16+ employed 58% 59% 66% 70% 63% Pet of Pop. Age 16+ employed 58% 59% 66% 70% 63% Income 0-25k 15% 13% 17% 28% 17% income 0-25k 15% 13% 17% 28% 17% income 25-50k 14% 15% 20% 25% 18% income 50-75k 16% 10% 11% 14% 13% income 200k-plus 18% 24% 12% 6% 16% multi-family 38% 26% 44% 66% 41% owned <t< td=""><td>Language Fluency</td><td></td><td>9%0</td><td>11%</td><td>11%</td><td>48%</td><td>19%</td></t<>	Language Fluency		9%0	11%	11%	48%	19%
Education (among those age 25+) 13% 27% graduatedegree 24% 23% 21% 8% 20% Child in Household child-under18 27% 27% 24% 44% 29% Ct of Pop. Age 16+ employed 58% 59% 66% 70% 63% Pet of Pop. Age 16+ employed 58% 59% 66% 70% 63% Income 0-25k 15% 13% 17% 28% 17% Household Income income 25-50k 14% 15% 20% 25% 18% Household Income income 50-75k 16% 10% 11% 14% 13% Housing Stats single family 62% 74% 56% 34% 59% Housing Stats single family 62% 74% 56% 72% 46% owned 65% 70% 44% 28% 54% otal population data from the 2010 Dec			41%	14,448 14,562 14,337 18 132 -93 0.12% 0.91% -0.64% 15% 15% 79% 73% 74% 13% 2% 2% 2% 8% 6% 5% 10,587 11,261 3,991 7% 9% 41% 81% 81% 39% 4% 4% 2% 6% 5% 14% 9,479 9,596 2,707 7% 7% 22% 4% 3% 7% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1%	40%		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $, 0	0					27%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	those age 25+)						20%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Child in Household						
income 0-25k 15% 13% 17% 28% 17% Household Income income 25-50k 14% 15% 20% 25% 18% income 50-75k 16% 10% 11% 14% 13% income 50-75k 16% 10% 11% 14% 13% income 75-200k 37% 38% 40% 26% 36% income 200k-plus 18% 24% 12% 6% 16% multi-family 62% 74% 56% 34% 59% multi-family 38% 26% 44% 66% 41% rented 35% 30% 56% 72% 46% owned 65% 70% 44% 28% 54% otal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census. Images statewide Database. Images statewide Database. Images statewide Database.							
income 25-50k 14% 15% 20% 25% 18% Household Income income 50-75k 16% 10% 11% 14% 13% income 50-75k 16% 10% 11% 14% 13% income 75-200k 37% 38% 40% 26% 36% income 200k-plus 18% 24% 12% 6% 16% Housing Stats single family 62% 74% 56% 34% 59% multi-family 38% 26% 44% 66% 41% rented 35% 30% 56% 72% 46% owned 65% 70% 44% 28% 54% otal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census. uname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database. uname-based		1 2					
income 50-75k 16% 10% 11% 14% 13% income 75-200k 37% 38% 40% 26% 36% income 200k-plus 18% 24% 12% 6% 16% Housing Stats single family 62% 74% 56% 34% 59% Mousing Stats multi-family 38% 26% 44% 66% 41% Mousing Stats rented 35% 30% 56% 72% 46% Mousing Stats rented 65% 70% 44% 28% 54% It population data from the 2010 Decennial Census. multi-family 35% 30% 56% 72% 46% Manapeulation data from the 2010 Decennial Census. multi-family 56% 74% 56% 54%							
income 75-200k 37% 38% 40% 26% 36% income 200k-plus 18% 24% 12% 6% 16% single family 62% 74% 56% 34% 59% Housing Stats multi-family 38% 26% 44% 66% 41% rented 35% 30% 56% 72% 46% owned 65% 70% 44% 28% 54% tal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census. rname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database. rname-based rname-based.	Household Income						
income 200k-plus 18% 24% 12% 6% 16% single family 62% 74% 56% 34% 59% Housing Stats multi-family 38% 26% 44% 66% 41% rented 35% 30% 56% 72% 46% owned 65% 70% 44% 28% 54% tal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census. rname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.	nousenoid meoine						
single family 62% 74% 56% 34% 59% Housing Stats multi-family 38% 26% 44% 66% 41% rented 35% 30% 56% 72% 46% owned 65% 70% 44% 28% 54% otal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census. rname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database. 44%							
Mousing Stats multi-family 38% 26% 44% 66% 41% rented 35% 30% 56% 72% 46% owned 65% 70% 44% 28% 54% otal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.							
rented 35% 30% 56% 72% 46% owned 65% 70% 44% 28% 54% otal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.		0 ,					
rented 35% 30% 56% 72% 46% owned 65% 70% 44% 28% 54% otal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.	Housing Stats	, ,					41%
tal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.	0	rented					46%
rname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.		owned	65%	70%	44%	28%	54%
	otal population data from	the 2010 Decennial Census.					
tino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department	rname-based Voter Regis	tration and Turnout data from	the California Sta	tewide Database	e.		
	0	*	· · · ·	0		-	




Ideal Total Pop 14,582 13,742 14,723 14,672 57,7 14,430 Deviation from ideal 152 -668 293 242 98 % Deviation 1.05% -4.77% 2.03% 1.68% 6.88 % Hisp 11% 15% 15% 77% 50 % NH White 75% 73% 74% 15% 50 % NH Black 27% 2% 2% 2% 2% % Asian-American 9% 8% 6% 6% 6% 7% % MH White 81% 81% 81% 41% 7% 5% 15% 7% % NH Black 3% 3% 9% 9% 44% 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 7% 22% 8% 5% 5% 82 7% 8% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 3% 3% 5% <th>District</th> <th>City of San</th> <th>1</th> <th>2</th> <th>3</th> <th>4</th> <th>Tota</th>	District	City of San	1	2	3	4	Tota
14,430 Deviation from ideal 152 -688 293 242 98 Total Pop % Hisp 115% 15% 15% 15% 6.80 "% NH White 75% 73% 74% 15% 55% 52% 23% 23% 242 39% 31%		Total Pop	14 582	_	-	-	57,719
14,430 % Deviation 1.05% 4.77% 2.03% 1.68% 6.80 Total Pop % Hisp 11% 15% 77% 360 595 % NH White 27% 22% 32% 32% 314 314 314 314 314 314 77% 38% 111 311 311 7% 38% 111 9% 118 414 77% 39% 332 328 312 328 312 328 312 328 <t< td=""><td><u>ittea</u></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	<u>ittea</u>		-	-	,		
Total Pop % Hisp 11% 15% 15% 77% 50 % NH White 75% 73% 74% 15% 59 % NH Black 2% 3% 3% 111 37.1	14,430						
Total Pop % NH White 75% 73% 74% 15% 59 Citizen Voting Age Pop % Nikan-American 9% 8% 6% 6% 6% 7% Citizen Voting Age Pop Total 11,301 10.224 11,388 4,151 37,1 % Nikan/Pac.Isl. 7% 9% 38% 6% 6% 6% 7% 7% 9% 38% 111 % Nikan/Pac.Isl. 7% 9% 33% 111 7% 5% 55% 15% 7% % Spanish-sumaned 0%67 8,003 9,712 2,948 31,2 % Spanish-sumaned 4% 3% 3% 9% 49 % Spanish-sumaned 1%				-		242 1.68% 77% 15% 2% 6% 4,151 38% 41% 2% 15% 2% 6% 4,151 38% 41% 2% 15% 2,948 22% 9% 1% 55% 6% 2,359 21% 8% 1% 58% 6% 1,104 14% 7% 0% 1% 5% 2% 11% 53% 14,848 30% 5% 2% 5% 2% 50% 40% 12% 7% 26% 26%	
Total Pop % NH Black 2% 1%<		1					
% Asian-American 9% 8% 6% 6% 7% Citizen Voring Age Pop Total 11,361 10,224 11,388 4,151 37,1 % KHisp 7% 9% 38% 111 5% 5% 5% 15% 5% 5% 15% 7% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 7% 5% 5% 7% 5% 5% 7% 7% 9% 3% 7% 7% 9% 3% 7% 7% 9% 3% 7% 7% 9% 3% 3% 4% 4% 7% 7% 9% 3%	Total Pop						
Total 11,361 10,224 11,388 4,151 37,1 "% Hisp 7% 7% 9% 38% 111 "% NH White 81% 44% 44% 2% 39 "% Ni H Black 3% 44% 44% 2% 39 "% Ni H Black 3% 44% 44% 2% 39 "% Saina/TacLil. 7% 5% 5% 15% 7% Woter Registration % Saina-Surnamed 6% 6% 7% 22% 89 "Nov 2016) % Filipino-Surnamed 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% "Nov 2016) % Saina-Surnamed 6% 6% 6% 21% 7% % NH Black 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 8% 4% Voter Turnout % Spanish-surnamed 4% 4% 4% 14% 1% 19% 19% 10% 19% 10% 10% 19% 10% 10%							
Gitzen Voting Age Pop % Hisp % NI White 7% 81% 7% 81% 9% 81% 11% 81% 81% 81% 81% 81% 81% 44% 11% 7% Woter Registration (Nov 2016) 704 3% 5% 15% 7% Voter Registration (Nov 2016) 704 10% 17% 17% 15% 15% Voter Registration (Nov 2016) 704 10% 17%<						14,672 242 1.68% 77% 15% 2% 6% 4,151 38% 41% 2% 6% 4,151 38% 41% 2% 15% 2,948 22% 9% 1% 55% 6% 1,104 14% 7% 0% 1,104 14% 58% 6% 1,104 14% 7% 0% 59% 11% 53% 25% 67% 50% 2% 50% 2% 50% 2% 50% 2% 20% 2% 2% <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Chilzen Pop % NH White 81% 81% 81% 41% 777 % NH Black 3% 4% 4% 2% 3% % Sanian/Pac.ISL 7% 5% 15% 15% 15% % Spanian/Pac.ISL 7% 5% 55% 15% 7% (Nov 2016) % Filipino-Surnamed 4% 3% 4% 3% <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td></td><td>,</td><td>í í</td></t<>				,		,	í í
Pop % NI White 81% 81% 81% 41% 7/5 % Asian/Pac.Isl. 7% 5% 5% 15% 77 Woter Registration (Nov 2016) % Spanish-surmamed 4% 3% 3% 9% 47 % Spanish-surmamed 4% 3% 3% 9% 449 % Spanish-surmamed 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% % Voter Registration (Nov 2016) % Filipino-Surmamed 4% 3% 3% 6% 49 % NH White est. 88% 55% 882 2,359 27,2 % Spanish-surmamed 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% % NH White est. 85% 85% 85% 88% 4% % NH White est. 85% 86% 4% 3% 3% 6% 4% % Nort White est. 89% 91% 14% 16% 16% 5% 82 % NH Black est. 2% 2% 2% 2% 2	Citizen Voting Age						11%
% NH Black 3% 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 2% 3% % % Sinal/Pac.Isl. 7% Sinal/Pac.Isl. 7% Sinal/Pac.Isl. 7% Sinal/Pac.Isl. 8% Sinal/Pac.Isl. Si							77%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1						3%
% Spanish-surnamed 6% 6% 7% 22% 8% (Nov 2016) % Filipino-Surnamed 1%		,	7%	5%	5%	15%	7%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016) % Åsian-Surnamed % Filipino-Surnamed 4% 3% 3% 9% 4% % Filipino-Surnamed 1% 3% 3% 6% 3% 3% 3% 6% 3% Voter Turnout (Nov 2014) % Filipino-Surnamed 1%		Total	9,667	8,903	9,712	2,948	31,23
		% Spanish-surnamed	6%	6%	7%	22%	8%
	Voter Registration	% Asian-Surnamed	4%	3%	3%	9%	4%
% NH Black $3%$ $3%$ $3%$ $6%$ 39 Voter Turnout (Nov 2016) Total $8,565$ $7,877$ $8,458$ $2,359$ $27,2$ $\%$ Spanish-surnamed 6% 6% 6% 6% 21% 7% $\%$ Spanish-surnamed 4% 3% 3% 8% 49 $\%$ NH White est. 88% 87% 86% 6% 3% $\%$ NH Share-Surnamed 3%	(Nov 2016)	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		84%	86%	85%	55%	82%	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		% NH Black	3%	3%	3%	14,672 242 1.68% 77% 15% 2% 6% 4,151 38% 41% 2% 15% 2% 15% 2,948 22% 9% 1% 55% 6% 2,359 21% 8% 1% 58% 6% 1,104 14% 7% 0% 59% 14,848 30% 59% 11% 53% 11% 55% 2% 50% 11% 50% 2% 50% 2% 50% 2% 2% 12% 70% 26% 15% 26% 5%	3%
		Total	8,565	7,877	8,458	2,359	27,25
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			· · · · · ·	,	-	-	7%
		1					4%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							1%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							83%
Total 5,534 5,082 5,124 1,104 16,8 % Spanish-surnamed 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 5% % Spanish-surnamed 3% 2% 3% 7% 3% % Asian-Surnamed 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% % Filipino-Surnamed 1% 1% 0% 2% 0% 2% 0% 2% 0% 2% 0% 2% 11% 2% 3% 2% 11% 2% 3% 2% 6% 2% 6% 2% 6% 2% 6% 2% 6% 2% 6% 2% </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014) $\frac{1}{9}$ (% Spanish-surnamed) $\frac{3}{4}$ (% $\frac{4}{9}$ (% $\frac{1}{9}$ (%							
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,	,	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		*					
% NH White est. 89% 91% 91% 70% 899 MCS Pop. Est. Total 15,022 13,960 15,652 14,848 59,4 Age age0-19 20% 22% 19% 30% 23 Age age20-60 50% 46% 59% 59% 54 Immigration immigrants 17% 19% 30% 23 Language spoken at home english 77% 79% 73% 25% 64% spanish 9% 9% 18% 67% 25% 64% Language spoken at home spanish 9% 9% 18% 67% 25% 64% Language Fluency Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well" 9% 8% 11% 50% 19% Education (among those age 25+) bachelor 29% 33% 30% 12% 27% graduatedegree 24% 24% 22% 7% 20% 11% 12% 27%							
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(Nov 2014)	1					0%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							89%
Age age0-19 20% 22% 19% 30% 23% Age age20-60 50% 46% 59% 59% 54% age60plus 31% 32% 22% 11% 24% Immigration immigrants 17% 19% 19% 53% 27% naturalized 58% 52% 34% 18% 33% Language spoken at home english 77% 79% 73% 25% 64% Spanish 9% 9% 48% 6% 2% 5% 4% Language Fluency Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well" 9% 8% 8% 6% 2% 6% Education (among those age 25+) bachelor 29% 33% 30% 12% 27% Education (among those age 25+) bachelor 29% 33% 30% 12% 27% Child in Household child-under18 26% 26% 24% 45% 29% Ct of Po							2%
Age $age 20-60$ 50% 46% 59% 59% 54% Immigration immigrants 17% 19% 19% 53% 27% Immigration naturalized 58% 52% 34% 18% 33% Language spoken at home english 77% 79% 73% 25% 64% Spanish 9% 9% 18% 67% 25% 44% Language spoken at home english 77% 79% 73% 25% 64% Language Fluency Speaks Eng, "Less than Very Well" 9% 8% 11% 50% 49% Education (among those age $25+$) $hs-grad$ 41% 35% 41% 40% 40% 22% 7% 20% Child in Household child-under18 26% 26% 24% 42% 22% 7% 20% 20% 25% 15% 16% 22% 7% 20%	ACS Pop. Est.			,		,	59,482
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		0					23%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Age	0				59%	54%
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		age60plus	31%	32%	22%	11%	24%
naturalized 38% 52% 34% 18% 35% Language spoken at home english 77% 79% 73% 25% 64% Language spoken at home spanish 9% 9% 18% 67% 25% 64% Language spoken at home spanish 9% 9% 18% 67% 25% 44% Language Fluency Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well" 9% 8% 11% 50% 49% Education (among those age $25+$) hs-grad 41% 35% 41% 40% 22% 7% 20% Child in Household child-under18 26% 24% 22% 7% 20% Pet of Pop. Age 16+ employed 58% 58% 66% 70% 63% Household Income income $2-55\%$ 15% 14% 20% 26% 18% Household Income income $50-75k$ 16% 9% 11% 15%	Immigration	immigrants	17%	19%	19%	53%	27%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	minigration	naturalized	58%	52%	34%	18%	33%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	anguago anolton at	english	77%	79%	73%	25%	64%
asian-lang 6% 4% 2% 5% 49 other lang 8% 8% 6% 2% 6% Language FluencySpeaks Eng. "Less than Very Well" 9% 8% 11% 50% 19% Education (among those age 25+)hs-grad 41% 35% 41% 40% 40% Child in Householdchild-under18 26% 26% 24% 22% 7% 20% Child in Householdchild-under18 26% 26% 24% 45% 29% Pet of Pop. Age 16+employed 58% 58% 66% 70% 63% Pet of Pop. Age 16+employed 58% 58% 66% 70% 63% Income 0-25k 16% 12% 17% 28% 17% income 25-50k 15% 14% 20% 26% 18% Household Incomeincome 25-50k 15% 14% 20% 26% 18% Housing Statssingle family 61% 77% 56% 34% 59% Housing Statssingle family 61% 72% 44% 28% 54% otal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.uname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.uname-baseduname-based		spanish	9%	9%	18%	67%	25%
Language Fluency Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well" 9% 8% 11% 50% 19% Education (among those age 25+) hs-grad 41% 35% 41% 40% 40% Child in Household child-under18 26% 24% 22% 7% 20% Child in Household child-under18 26% 26% 24% 45% 20% Ct of Pop. Age 16+ employed 58% 58% 66% 70% 63% Household Income income 0-25k 16% 12% 17% 28% 17% Household Income income 25-50k 15% 14% 20% 26% 18% Household Income income 50-75k 16% 9% 11% 15% 13% Housing Stats single family 61% 77% 56% 34% 59% Housing Stats single family 61% 72% 44% 28% 54% owned 64% 72% 44% 28% 54% <td>nome</td> <td>asian-lang</td> <td>6%</td> <td>4%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>5%</td> <td>4%</td>	nome	asian-lang	6%	4%	2%	5%	4%
Language Fluency Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well" 9% 8% 11% 50% 19% Education (among those age 25+) hs-grad 41% 35% 41% 40% 40% Child in Household child-under18 26% 24% 22% 7% 20% Child in Household child-under18 26% 26% 24% 45% 29% Child in Household child-under18 26% 26% 24% 45% 29% Child in Household child-under18 26% 26% 24% 45% 29% Child in Household child-under18 26% 26% 24% 45% 29% Child in Household child-under18 26% 26% 17% 66% 17% 66% 17% 66% 17% 16% 17% 16% 17% 16% 17% 16% 17% 16% 17% 16% 16% 16% 17% 16% 16% 16% 16% 17% 16%		other lang	8%	8%	6%	2%	6%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Language Fluency		9%	8%	11%	50%	19%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			41%	35%	41%	40%	40%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Voter Turnout (Nov 2014) ACS Pop. Est. Age Immigration anguage spoken at home anguage Fluency Education (among those age 25+)	0					27%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	those age 25+)						20%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Thild in Household						
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							-
income 25-50k 15% 14% 20% 26% 18% Household Income income 50-75k 16% 9% 11% 15% 13% income 50-75k 16% 9% 11% 15% 36% income 75-200k 36% 38% 40% 26% 36% income 200k-plus 17% 26% 12% 5% 16% single family 61% 77% 56% 34% 59% Multi-family 39% 23% 44% 66% 41% rented 36% 28% 56% 72% 46% owned 64% 72% 44% 28% 54% otal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census. Imame-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database. Imame-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database. Imame-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.	стогтор. лge 10+	1 /		-			
income 50-75k 16% 9% 11% 15% 13% income 75-200k 36% 38% 40% 26% 36% income 200k-plus 17% 26% 12% 5% 16% multi-family 61% 77% 56% 34% 59% Housing Stats multi-family 39% 23% 44% 66% 41% rented 36% 28% 56% 72% 46% owned 64% 72% 44% 28% 54% otal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census. rented 31% 44% 66% 41%							17%
income 75-200k 36% 38% 40% 26% 36% income 200k-plus 17% 26% 12% 5% 16% single family 61% 77% 56% 34% 59% Housing Stats multi-family 39% 23% 44% 66% 41% rented 36% 28% 56% 72% 46% owned 64% 72% 44% 28% 54% tal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census. rname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database. rname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database. rname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database. rname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.	Louish -1-1 T						18%
income 200k-plus 17% 26% 12% 5% 166 single family 61% 77% 56% 34% 59% Housing Stats multi-family 39% 23% 44% 66% 41% rented 36% 28% 56% 72% 46% owned 64% 72% 44% 28% 54% tal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census. rname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database. rname-based rname-based </td <td>nousenoid Income</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>13%</td>	nousenoid Income						13%
single family 61% 77% 56% 34% 59% multi-family 39% 23% 44% 66% 41% rented 36% 28% 56% 72% 46% owned 64% 72% 44% 28% 54% otal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.							36%
Housing Stats multi-family 39% 23% 44% 66% 419 rented 36% 28% 56% 72% 46% owned 64% 72% 44% 28% 54% otal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.							16%
Housing Stats rented 36% 28% 56% 72% 46% owned 64% 72% 44% 28% 54% otal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.		0 ,					59%
rented 36% 28% 56% 72% 46% owned 64% 72% 44% 28% 54% otal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.	Housing Stats	multi-family	39%				41%
tal population data from the 2010 Decennial Census. International Census Central Census Central Census Central Census Central Census Central Central Census Central Centra Central Central Ce	- rousing outo	rented	36%	28%	56%	72%	46%
rname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.		owned	64%	72%	44%	28%	54%
	otal population data from	the 2010 Decennial Census.					
	rname-based Voter Regis	tration and Turnout data from	the California St	atewide Database	2.	1	
idercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age	itino voter registration and	l turnout data are Spanish-surn	ame counts adju	sted using Censu	s Population D	1	




District		1	2	3	4	Tota
Ideal	Total Pop	14,076	14,523	14,298	14,822	57,719
14.420	Deviation from ideal	-354	93	-132	392	746
14,430	% Deviation	-2.45%	0.64%	-0.91%	2.72%	5.17%
	% Hisp	76%	19%	15%	11%	30%
	% NH White	16%	71%	73%	75%	59%
Total Pop	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% Asian-American	5%	6%	8%		7%
	Total					37,12
	% Hisp	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	11%	
Citizen Voting Age	% NH White	45%	80%	81%		77%
Pop	% NH Black	2%	4%			3%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	14%	5%			7%
	Total	3,004	9,159			31,23
	% Spanish-surnamed	21%	7%		,	8%
Votor Desistantion	% Asian-Surnamed					
Voter Registration		7%	3%			4%
(Nov 2016)	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%			1%
	% NH White est.	59%	85%		298 $14,822$ 32 392 392 392 310° 2.72% 5% 11% 5% 11% 5% 2% 5% 2% 5% 2% 5% 9% 533 $11,518$ 5% 7% 5% 7% 2% 81% 5% $9,822$ 5% 6% 2% $9,822$ 5% 6% 2% $8,696$ 5% $8,696$ 5% 6% 5% 6% 7% 8% 7% 8% 7% 8% 7% 3% 7% 3% 7% 3% 7% 2% 7% 3% 7% 2% 7% 3% <td>82%</td>	82%
	% NH Black	5%	3%			3%
	Total	2,402	8,009	8,152	,	27,25
	% Spanish-surnamed	19%	6%	6%	6%	7%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016) Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% Asian-Surnamed	6%	3%	3%		4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	62%	86%	86%	85%	83%
	% NH Black	5%	3%	3%	3%	3%
	Total	1,195	4,843	5,195	5,610	16,84
	% Spanish-surnamed	14%	4%	4%	4%	5%
Voter Turnout	% Asian-Surnamed	7%	3%	2%	3%	3%
(Nov 2014)	% Filipino-Surnamed	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
· · · ·	% NH White est.	69%	91%	91%	89%	89%
	% NH Black est.	5%	2%			2%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	14,038	15,584			59,48
псо гор. цэт.	age0-19	30%	19%	-		23%
Age	age20-60	60%	59%			54%
nge	age60plus	10%	22%			24%
	immigrants	52%	20%			27%
Immigration	naturalized	18%	34%			33%
Language spoken at	english	26%	73%			64%
home	spanish	67%	19%			25%
	asian-lang	5%	2%		81% 81% 4% 3% 6% 7% ,245 9,822 7% 6% 4% 4% 1% 1% 1% 1% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 195 5,610 4% 4% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 3% 31% 2% <td>4%</td>	4%
	other lang	2%	6%	8%	8%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less	49%	11%	11%	9%	19%
gauge I fueliey	than Very Well"					1270
Education (among	hs-grad	40%	40%	36%	41%	40%
those age 25+)	bachelor	13%	30%	32%	29%	27%
alose age 25+)	graduatedegree	8%	21%	23%	24%	20%
Child in Household	child-under18	45%	24%	27%	26%	29%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	70%	65%	59%	58%	63%
	income 0-25k	28%	17%	13%		17%
	income 25-50k	26%	20%	15%	15%	18%
Household Income	income 50-75k	15%	10%	9%		13%
-	income 75-200k	26%	40%	38%		36%
	income 200k-plus	6%	12%	25%		16%
	single family	32%	56%	77%		59%
	multi-family	68%	44%	23%		41%
Housing Stats		74%	55%	23%		4170
	rented					
.1 1.2 2 6	owned	26%	45%	71%	04%	54%
	the 2010 Decennial Census.		l			
irname-based Voter Regist	tration and Turnout data from	the California Sta	tewide Database	2.		
	l turnout data are Spanish-surn:	**	1 1 0	D 1		



istrict	3	1	n	2	Λ	Tet
District	T (1)	12.0((_	-	-	Tota
Ideal	Total Pop	13,966			2	57,719
14,430	Deviation from ideal	-464				856
-	% Deviation	-3.22%				5.93%
	% Hisp	80%				30%
Total Pop	% NH White	12%				59%
1	% NH Black	2%				2%
	% Asian-American	5%			4 14,822 392 2.72% 11% 75% 2% 9% 11,518 7% 81% 3% 7% 9,822 6% 4% 1% 84% 3% 5,610 4% 1% 85% 3% 5,610 4% 1% 89% 2% 15,264 20% 15,264 20% 50% 31% 17% 58% 77% 9% 6% 8% 9% 41% 29% 24% 26% 58% 16% 36% 17% 60% <	7%
	Total	3,772	11,302	10,533	11,518	37,12
Citizen Voting Age	% Hisp	42%	9%	7%	7%	11%
Pop	% NH White	37%	81%	81%	81%	77%
rop	% NH Black	2%	4%	4%	7% 9,822 6% 4% 1% 84% 3% 8,696 6% 4% 1% 84% 3% 8,696 6% 4% 1% 85% 3% 5,610 4% 3% 1% 89% 2% 15,264 20% 50% 31% 17% 58%	3%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	15%	5%	6%	7%	7%
	Total	2,581	9,582	9,245	9,822	31,23
	% Spanish-surnamed	22%	7%	7%	6%	8%
Voter Registration	% Asian-Surnamed	7%	3%	4%	4%	4%
(Nov 2016)	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	55%	85%	85%	84%	82%
	% NH Black	6%	3%	3%	14,822 392 2.72% 11% 75% 2% 9% 11,518 7% 81% 3% 7% 9,822 6% 4% 1% 84% 3% 5% 3% 5,610 4% 1% 85% 3% 5,610 4% 1% 85% 3% 5,610 4% 3% 5,610 4% 1% 89% 20% 15,264 20% 50% 31% 77% 9% 6% 8% 9% 41% 29% 24% 26% 58% 16% 36% </td <td>3%</td>	3%
	Total	2,072	8,339	8,152		27,25
	% Spanish-surnamed	21%	,	,	-	7%
Voter Turnout	% Asian-Surnamed	7%				4%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%				1%
	% NH White est.	58%				83%
	% NH Black	6%				3%
	Total	991				
		15%	,	,	,	16,84 5%
Т /П	% Spanish-surnamed					
	% Asian-Surnamed	8%				3%
(Nov 2014)	% Filipino-Surnamed	0%				0%
	% NH White est.	66%				89%
	% NH Black est.	6%				2%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	14,094	,		,	59,482
	age0-19	30%				23%
Age	age20-60	59%	59%	47%	50%	54%
	age60plus	11%				24%
Immigration	immigrants	52%	19%	22%	17%	27%
miningration	naturalized	18%	34%	47%	58%	33%
Language spoken at	english	26%	73%	76%	77%	64%
	spanish	67%	18%	12%	9%	25%
home	asian-lang	5%	2%	4%	6%	4%
	other lang	2%	6%	8%	8%	6%
T T1	Speaks Eng. "Less	400 /	4407	4407	00/	4.00 /
Language Fluency	than Very Well"	49%	11%	11%	9%	19%
	hs-grad	40%	66 14,633 14,298 14,822 64 203 -132 392 $2%$ 1.41% -0.91% 2.72% $%$ 16% 15% 11% $%$ 2% 2% 2% $74%$ 73% 75% $%$ 6% 8% 9% 72 11,302 10,533 11,518 $%$ 9% 7% 7% $%$ 81% 81% 81% $%$ 9% 7% 7% $%$ 4% 4% 3% $%$ 9% 5% 6% 7% $%$ 3% 4% 4% $%$ 3% 3% 3% 72 8,339 8,152 8,696 $%$ 6% 6% 6% $%$ 3% 3% 3% 72 8,339 8,152 8,696 $%$ 6% 6%	41%	40%	
Education (among	bachelor	12%				27%
those age 25+)	graduatedegree	7%				20%
Child in Household	child-under18	46%				29%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	70%				63%
ci or i op. <i>ng</i> e 10⊤	1 2	29%				
	income 0-25k					17%
Household Income	income 25-50k	26%				18%
Household Income	income 50-75k	15%				13%
	income 75-200k	25%				36%
	income 200k-plus	5%				16%
	single family	33%				59%
Housing Stats	multi-family	67%				41%
	rented	73%	56%	29%	36%	46%
	owned	27%	44%	71%	64%	54%
otal population data from	the 2010 Decennial Census.					
irname-based Voter Regist	ration and Turnout data from	the California Sta	tewide Database	2.		
0						



	City of San					
District	HT 1-	1	2	3	-	Tota
Ideal	Total Pop	14,215	14,633	14,049	,	57,719
14,430	Deviation from ideal	-215	203	-381		773
1,100	% Deviation	-1.49%	1.41%	-2.64%	4 14,822 392 2.72% 11% 75% 2% 9% 11,518 7% 81% 3% 7% 9,822 6% 4% 1% 84% 3% 3% 5,610 4% 1% 85% 3% 5,610 4% 1% 85% 3% 5,610 4% 3% 5,610 4% 3% 1% 89% 2% 15,264 20% 17% 58% 77% 9% 6% 8% 9% 2% 11% 20%	5.36%
	% Hisp	77%	16%	17%	11%	30%
Total Pop	% NH White	15%	74%	71%	75%	59%
rotarrop	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% Asian-American	5%	6%	8%		7%
	Total	4,057	11,302	10,248	11,518	37,12
	% Hisp	39%	9%	8%	7%	11%
Citizen Voting Age	% NH White	42%	81%	81%	81%	77%
Pop	% NH Black	2%	4%	4%	3% 7% 9,822 6% 4% 1% 84% 3% 8,696 6% 4% 3% 8,696 6% 4% 3% 5,610 4% 3% 5,610 4% 3% 2% 2% 215,264 20% 50% 31% 17% 58% 77% 9% 6%	3%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	15%	5%	5%	7%	7%
	Total	2,932	1			31,23
	% Spanish-surnamed	23%		,	-	8%
Voter Registration	% Asian-Surnamed	9%				4%
(Nov 2016)	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%				1%
(1407 2010)	% NH White est.	55%		16% $17%$ $74%$ $71%$ $2%$ $2%$ $6%$ $8%$ $11,302$ $10,248$ $9%$ $8%$ $81%$ $81%$ $9%$ $8%$ $81%$ $4%$ $4%$ $4%$ $5%$ $5%$ $9,582$ $8,894$ $7%$ $6%$ $3%$ $3%$ $3%$ $3%$ $8,339$ $7,881$ $6%$ $6%$ $3%$ $3%$ $3%$ $3%$ $5,048$ $5,077$ $4%$ $4%$ $3%$ $2%$ $0%$ $1%$ $91%$ $21%$ $91%$ $22%$ $15,529$ $14,272$ $19%$ $20%$ $21%$ $9%$ $22%$ $31%$ $22%$ $4%$ $50%$ $4%$ $2%$		82%
	% NH Black	55%				3%
	Total	2,343	-		-	27,25
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016) Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% Spanish-surnamed	21%				7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	8%				4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%				1%
	% NH White est.	58%				83%
	% NH Black	5%		3%	3%	3%
	Total	1,109	5,048	5,077	5,610	16,84
	% Spanish-surnamed	14%	4%	4%	4%	5%
Voter Turnout	% Asian-Surnamed	7%	3%	2%	3%	3%
(Nov 2014)	% Filipino-Surnamed	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
	% NH White est.	70%	91%	91%	89%	89%
	% NH Black est.	5%	2%	2%	2%	2%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	14,418	15,529	14,272	15,264	59,48
1	age0-19	31%	19%	-	-	23%
Age	age20-60	59%	59%	47%	50%	54%
0	age60plus	10%				24%
	immigrants	54%				27%
Immigration	naturalized	18%				33%
	english	23%	1			64%
language spoken at	0					
home	spanish	69%				25%
	asian-lang	6%				4%
	other lang	2%	6%	8%	8%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less	51%	11%	8%	9%	19%
00,	than Very Well"					
Education (among	hs-grad	40%				40%
those age 25+)	bachelor	11%		33%	29%	27%
	graduatedegree	6%	22%	24%	24%	20%
Child in Household	child-under18	47%	24%	26%	26%	29%
et of Pop. Age 16+	employed	70%	66%	58%	58%	63%
	income 0-25k	29%	17%	13%	16%	17%
	income 25-50k	26%	20%	14%	15%	18%
Household Income	income 50-75k	15%	11%	9%	16%	13%
	income 75-200k	25%		39%		36%
	income 200k-plus	5%	12%	26%		16%
	single family	33%	55%	77%		59%
	multi-family	67%	45%	23%		41%
Housing Stats	rented	73%	56%	23%		46%
		27%		72%		
	owned	2/70	44%	1270	64%	54%
	the 2010 Decennial Census.	1 0 7 1				
rname-based Voter Regist	tration and Turnout data from	the California Sta	itewide Database	<u>.</u>		1
-	l turnout data are Spanish-surr				an a set set +	



District						Total
Ideal	Total Pop	15,260	13,966	13,958	14,535	57,719
	Deviation from ideal	830	-464		-	1,302
14,430	% Deviation	5.75%	-3.22%			9.02%
	% Hisp	11%	19%		-472 105 $3.27%$ $0.73%$ $17%$ $72%$ $20%$ $20%$ $3%$ $2%$ $6%$ $6%$ $6%$ $6%$ $10,487$ $4,672$ $9%$ $31%$ $81%$ $50%$ $4%$ $2%$ $4%$ $14%$ $8,922$ $3,376$ $7%$ $20%$ $3%$ $8%$ $1%$ $1%$ $8,922$ $3,376$ $7%$ $20%$ $3%$ $5%$ $7%$ $20%$ $3%$ $5%$ $7,763$ $2,768$ $6%$ $19%$ $3%$ $7%$ $1%$ $1%$ $8%$ $7%$ $1%$ $1%$ $8%$ $6%$ $3%$ $5%$ $4%$ $1%$ $9%$ $5%$ $4%$ $1%$	30%
	% NH White	75%	69%			59%
Total Pop	% NH Black	2%	2%			2%
	% Asian-American	9%				7%
	Total	11,912				37,123
				,	,	11%
Citizen Voting Age	% Hisp	7%				
Pop	% NH White	81%				77%
-	% NH Black	3%			105 0.73% 72% 20% 6% 4,672 31% 50% 2% 14% 3,376 20% 14% 3,376 20% 14% 3,376 20% 14% 3,376 20% 14% 5% 2,768 19% 7% 1% 65% 5% 1,412 11% 7% 0% 59% 1,412 11% 59% 14,961 30% 59% 11% 68% 6% 2% 50% 40% 11% 6% 2% 50% 20% <	3%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	7%				7%
	Total	10,105		-	-	31,230
	% Spanish-surnamed	6%	6%	7%		8%
Voter Registration	% Asian-Surnamed	4%	3%	3%	8%	4%
(Nov 2016)	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	85%	85%	62%	82%
	% NH Black	3%	3%	3%	5%	3%
	Total	8,943	7,785	7,763	2,768	27,259
	% Spanish-surnamed	6%	6%	6%	19%	7%
Voter Turnout	% Asian-Surnamed	4%	3%	3%	7%	4%
(Nov 2016)	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%			1%
````	% NH White est.	85%		86%	65%	83%
	% NH Black	3%				3%
	Total	5,753				16,844
	% Spanish-surnamed	4%	,		,	5%
Voter Turnout	% Asian-Surnamed	3%				3%
(Nov 2014)	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%				
(100 2014)	1					0%
	% NH White est.	89%				89%
	% NH Black est.	2%				2%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	15,700	-	,	,	59,482
	age0-19	20%				23%
Age	age20-60	50%				54%
	age60plus	31%				24%
Immigration	immigrants	17%				27%
8	naturalized	58%	51%	34%		33%
Language spoken at	english	77%	79%	75%	24%	64%
home	spanish	9%	10%	17%	68%	25%
nome	asian-lang	7%	3%	10,052 $10,487$ $4,672$ $9%$ $9%$ $31%$ $79%$ $81%$ $50%$ $4%$ $4%$ $2%$ $5%$ $4%$ $14%$ $8,827$ $8,922$ $3,376$ $6%$ $7%$ $20%$ $3%$ $3%$ $8%$ $1%$ $1%$ $1%$ $8,827$ $8,922$ $3,376$ $6%$ $7%$ $20%$ $3%$ $3%$ $8%$ $1%$ $1%$ $1%$ $85%$ $85%$ $62%$ $3%$ $3%$ $5%$ $7,785$ $7,763$ $2,768$ $6%$ $6%$ $19%$ $3%$ $3%$ $7%$ $3%$ $3%$ $7%$ $3%$ $3%$ $7%$ $4,978$ $4,700$ $1,412$ $4%$ $4%$ $11%$ $2%$ $3%$ $7%$ $1%$ $91%$ $76%$ $2%$ $2%$ $4%$ $1%$ $23%$ $11%$ $20%$ $18%$ $30%$ $51%$ $34%$ $18%$ $79%$ $75%$ $24%$ $10%$ $17%$ $68%$ $3%$ $2%$ $6%$ $8%$ $10%$ $50%$ $8%$ $66%$ $2%$ $8%$ $10%$ $50%$ $8%$ $66%$ $2%$ $8%$ $66%$ $2%$ $8%$ $10%$ $50%$ $8%$ $66%$ $2%$ $8%$ $10%$ $50%$ $8%$ $10%$ $20%$ $8%$ $66%$ </td <td>6%</td> <td>4%</td>	6%	4%
	other lang	8%	8%	6%	2%	6%
Т ^{гч}	Speaks Eng. "Less	007	007	4.007	FOR	1007
Language Fluency	than Very Well"	9%	8%0	10%	50%	19%
	hs-grad	42%	36%	41%	40%	40%
Education (among	bachelor	29%				27%
those age 25+)	graduatedegree	24%				20%
Child in Household	child-under18	26%				29%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	58%				63%
et of 1 op. rige 101	income 0-25k	16%				17%
	income 25-50k	15%				
Household Income	income 50-75k	15%				18%
nousenoid meome						13%
	income 75-200k	36%				36%
	income 200k-plus	17%				16%
	single family	60%	77%			59%
Housing Stats	multi-family	40%	23%			41%
00000	rented	36%	29%	57%	71%	46%
	owned	64%	71%	43%	29%	54%
otal population data from t	the 2010 Decennial Census.					
rname-based Voter Regist	ration and Turnout data from	the California Sta	itewide Database	<u>.</u>		
atino voter registration and	l turnout data are Spanish-surn	ame counts adjus	ted using Censu	s Population De	epartment	

## ORDINANCE NO. _____

## AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN RAFAEL ESTABLISHING A BY-DISTRICT ELECTION PROCESS IN FOUR COUNCIL DISTRICTS PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS CODE § 10010 & CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE §§ 34871(C) & 34886

WHEREAS, the City of San Rafael supports the full participation of all residents in electing members of the City Council; and

WHEREAS, Article VI of the Charter of the City of San Rafael provides for four elected City Council members and a separately elected Mayor; and

WHEREAS, the City of San Rafael currently elects four of its City Council members using an at-large election system, with a separately elected Mayor, who is also a member of the City Council; and

WHEREAS, in the at-large election system candidates may reside in any part of the City, and each City Council member is elected by the voters of the entire City; and

WHEREAS, in a by-district election system a candidate for City Council must reside in the district which he or she wishes to represent, and only the voters of that district are entitled to vote to decide who their representative will be; and

WHEREAS, on November 10, 2017, the City received a letter from an attorney asserting the City's at-large electoral system violated the California Voting Rights Act, and threatening litigation if the City declined to adopt by-district elections; and

WHEREAS, the letter did not contain any evidence of a violation, but the cost of defending against a claim under the California Voting Rights Act is extremely high; and

WHEREAS, Article IV, Section 2, of the Charter of the City of San Rafael provides that all elections to fill the City's public offices shall be conducted in the manner provided for by general law; and

WHEREAS, California Government Code section 34886, as amended effective January 1, 2017, provides:

Notwithstanding Section 34871 or any other law, the legislative body of a city may adopt an ordinance that requires the members of the legislative body to be elected by district or by district with an elective mayor, as described in subdivisions (a) and (c) of Section 34871, without being required to submit the ordinance to the voters for approval. An ordinance adopted pursuant to this section shall include a declaration that the change in the method of electing members of the legislative body is being made in furtherance of the purposes of the California Voting Rights Act of 2001

(Chapter 1.5 (commencing with Section 14025) of Division 14 of the Elections Code); and

WHEREAS, California Government Code section 34871(c) provides for the election of members of the legislative body of a city by districts in four districts, with a separately elected mayor; and

WHEREAS, at its regular meeting on January 16, 2018, the City Council adopted a resolution of intent to establish a by-district voting process pursuant to Elections Code section 10010; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to California Government Code section 34886, it is declared the change in the method of electing members of the City Council of the City of San Rafael made by this ordinance is to implement the guarantees of Section 7 of Article I and of Section 2 of Article II of the California Constitution, as set forth in Section 14031 of the California Voting Rights Act; and

WHEREAS, under the provisions of California Elections Code section 10010, a political subdivision that changes from an at-large method of election to a by-district method of election shall hold at least two public hearings over a period of no more than thirty days, at which the public is invited to provide input regarding the composition of the districts before drawing a draft map or maps of the proposed boundaries of the districts; and

WHEREAS, before any maps of the proposed boundaries of the districts were drawn, the City Council held public hearings on February 5, 2018, and February 20, 2018, at which time input from the public on the proposed district boundaries was invited and heard; and

WHEREAS, at the public hearing on February 20, 2018, the City Council instructed its demographic consultant to develop redistricting plan options in accordance with the criteria adopted by the Council in Resolution No. 14468; and

WHEREAS, on February 26, 2018, consistent with the provisions of California Elections Code section 10010, the City published and made available for release, six draft maps for consideration by the Council, three of which were prepared by the City's demographic consultant and three of which were prepared and submitted to the City by members of the public; and

WHEREAS, the potential sequence of elections was also published; and

WHEREAS, as required by California Elections Code section 10010 and as detailed hereafter, the City held at least two additional hearings within a period of no more than 45 days from February 26, 2018, at which the public was again invited to provide input regarding the content of the draft maps and the potential sequence of elections; and

WHEREAS, as required by California Elections Code section 10010, the City held a public hearing on March 5, 2018, at which the public was invited to provide input regarding the content of the draft maps and the potential sequence of elections; and

WHEREAS, on March 5, 2018, consistent with the provisions of California Elections Code section 10010, the City published, and made available for release, one additional draft map prepared and submitted by members of the public for consideration by the public and the City Council; and

WHEREAS, the potential sequence of elections for that map was also published; and

WHEREAS, on March 12, 2018, the City Council held a specially-noticed study session for further consideration of the seven draft maps that were published on or before March 12, 2018; and

WHEREAS, on March 12, 2018, consistent with the provisions of California Elections Code section 10010, the City published and made available for release, four additional/revised draft map(s) for consideration by the public and the City Council; and

WHEREAS, the potential sequence of elections for those maps was also published; and

WHEREAS, as required by California Elections Code section 10010, the City held a public hearing on March 19, 2018, at which the public was invited to provide input regarding the content of the eleven draft maps published and made available for release on or before March 12, 2018, and the potential sequence of elections; and

WHEREAS, on March 26, 2018, consistent with the provisions of California Elections Code section 10010, the City published, and made available for release, three additional draft maps prepared and submitted by the City's demographic consultant for consideration by the public and the City Council; and

WHEREAS, the potential sequence of elections for those maps was also published; and

WHEREAS, as required by California Elections Code section 10010, the City held a public hearing on April 2, 2018, at which the public was invited to provide input regarding the content of the fourteen draft maps published and made available for release on or before March 26, 2018, and the potential sequence of elections; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of this Ordinance is to enact, pursuant to the Charter of the City of San Rafael and California Government Code section 34886, an ordinance providing for the election of the members of the City Council of the City of San Rafael bydistrict in four single-member districts, retaining a separately elected office of Mayor.

**NOW, THEREFORE,** the City Council of the City of San Rafael does ordain as follows:

## **DIVISION 1.**

Chapter 2.02 of the San Rafael Municipal Code, entitled "Elections", is hereby amended by amending Section 2.02.040 thereof to read as follows:

# **2.02.040.** By-district electoral system for four City Councilmembers; Mayor separately elected.

A. Pursuant to the City Charter and California Government Code sections 34886 and 34871(c), councilmembers shall be elected by-districts in four (4) single-member districts. As required by the City Charter, the Mayor will be separately elected by a citywide vote.

B. (1) Beginning with the general municipal election in November 2020, councilmembers shall be elected in the electoral districts reflected on the map contained in Exhibit A hereto and as subsequently reapportioned as provided by State law. Elections shall take place on a by-district basis as that term is defined in California Government Code section 34871, meaning one member of the City Council shall be elected from each district, by the voters of that district alone, except for the Mayor, who shall be elected citywide. In accordance with the City Charter, each councilmember and the Mayor, shall serve a four-year term until his or her successor has qualified.

(2) Except as provided in subdivision (B)(3) hereof, the councilmember elected to represent a district must reside in that district and be a registered voter in that district, and any candidate for City Council must live in, and be a registered voter in, the district in which he or she seeks election at the time nomination papers are issued, pursuant to California Government Code section 34882 and Elections Code section 10227. Termination of residency in a district by a councilmember shall create an immediate vacancy for that council district unless a substitute residence within the district is established within 30 days after the termination of residency.

(3) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, each of the councilmembers in office at the time this Chapter takes effect shall continue in office until the expiration of the full term to which he or she was elected and until his or her successor is qualified. Vacancies in councilmember offices elected atlarge may be filled from the City at-large. At the end of the term of each councilmember, that member's successor shall be elected on a by-district basis in the districts established in Subsection A and the map contained in Exhibit A hereto, as may subsequently reapportioned as provided by State law. A vacancy in a Councilmember office elected by-district shall be filled by a person qualified to hold the office, who is a resident of the district.

## **DIVISION 2.**

Chapter 2.02 of the San Rafael Municipal Code, entitled "Elections", is hereby amended by adding new Section 2.02.050 to read as follows:

## 2.02.050. Election schedule for Councilmembers elected by-district.

A. Councilmembers shall be elected in Council Districts //Northern and //Southern beginning at the General Municipal Election in November 2020, and every four years thereafter.

B. The Councilmembers from Council Districts __/Eastern and __/Western shall be elected beginning at the General Municipal Election in November 2022, and every four years thereafter.

## **DIVISION 3.**

Chapter 2.02 of the San Rafael Municipal Code, entitled "Elections", is hereby amended by adding new Section 2.02.060 to read as follows:

## 2.02.060 Election of other City officers.

The election of members of the board of education, and all other elective city officers, shall occur at the general municipal election, and the terms of their offices shall be as set forth in the City Charter. Nominations and all elections to fill public offices and elections on measures shall be made, held and conducted in the manner provided for by general law.

## **DIVISION 4.**

If necessary to facilitate the implementation of this Ordinance, the City Manager or his or her designee is authorized to make technical adjustments to the district boundaries that do not substantively affect the populations in the districts, the eligibility of candidates, or the residence of elected officials within any district. The City Manager shall consult with the City Attorney concerning any technical adjustments deemed necessary and shall advise the City Council of any such adjustments required in the implementation of the districts.

## **DIVISION 5:**

The City Council finds that the proposed amendments to the San Rafael Municipal Code are exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, Section 15061(b)(3) in that it is not a project which has the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment.

## **DIVISION 6.**

If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, or phrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The Council hereby declares that it would have adopted the Ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause, or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses, or phrases be declared invalid.

## **DIVISION 7**.

A summary of this Ordinance shall be published and a certified copy of the full text of this Ordinance shall be posted in the office of the City Clerk at least five (5) days prior to the Council meeting at which it is adopted.

This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect thirty (30) days after its final passage, and the summary of this Ordinance shall be published within fifteen (15) days after the adoption, together with the names of those Councilmembers voting for or against same, in the Marin Independent Journal, a newspaper of general circulation published and circulated in the City of San Rafael, Marin County, State of California.

Within fifteen (15) days after adoption, the City Clerk shall also post in the office of the City Clerk a certified copy of the full text of this Ordinance, along with the names of those Councilmembers voting for or against the Ordinance.

GARY O. PHILLIPS, Mayor

ATTEST:

## LINDSAY LARA, City Clerk

The foregoing Ordinance No. _____ was read and introduced at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of San Rafael on Monday, April 2, 2018, and was ordered passed to print by the following vote, to wit:

- AYES: Councilmembers:
- NOES: Councilmembers:
- ABSENT: Councilmembers:

And will come up for adoption as an Ordinance of the City of San Rafael at a Regular Meeting of the Council to be held on the 16th day of April, 2018.

LINDSAY LARA, City Clerk

## [EXHIBIT A – SELECTED DISTRICT MAP – TO BE ATTACHED]

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 14453**

#### A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN RAFAEL DECLARING ITS INTENTION TO TRANSITION FROM AT-LARGE TO DISTRICT-BASED ELECTIONS FOR CITY COUNCIL PURSUANT TO ELECTIONS CODE SECTION 10010(E)(3)(A), EFFECTIVE FOR THE NOVEMBER 2020 GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION

WHEREAS, the City of San Rafael is a charter city duly organized and existing pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, Article VI of the Charter of the City of San Rafael ("City Charter") provides for the election of four city councilmembers and a separately elected mayor at the general municipal election; and

WHEREAS, Article IV of the City Charter provides that all elections to fill public offices shall be held and conducted as provided by general state law; and

WHEREAS, the City currently uses an at-large election system for electing the mayor and city councilmembers; and

WHEREAS, on November 20, 2017, the City Clerk received by certified mail a letter from attorney Kevin I. Shenkman asserting that the City's at-large election system violates the California Voting Rights Act of 2001 (Elections Code §§14025-14032) ("CVRA") and threatening to sue the City unless the City transitions to a district-based election system for its city council; and

WHEREAS, a violation of the CVRA is established if it can be proven that "racially polarized voting" occurs in the City's at-large election system. Racially polarized voting means voting in which there is a difference in the choice of candidates or other electoral choices that are preferred by voters in a "protected class", an in the choice of candidates or other electoral choices that are preferred by voters in the rest of the electorate (Elections Code §14026(e)); and

WHEREAS, the City Council has been advised that defending a lawsuit filed pursuant to the CVRA will require the City to incur legal fees and costs potentially in the hundreds of thousands of dollars for the City's own defense, and additional liability of potentially hundreds of thousands of dollars in legal fees and costs payable to the prevailing plaintiffs if the City is unsuccessful; and

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 34886, in certain circumstances, authorizes the legislative body of a city to adopt an ordinance to change its method of election from an "at-large" to "district-based" in which each council member is elected only by the voters residing in the district in which the candidate resides; and

WHEREAS, the California Legislature, in amendments to Elections Code section 10010, has provided a method whereby a jurisdiction, including a charter city, can expeditiously change to a by-district election system and avoid litigation under the CVRA; and

WHEREAS, if the City elects to transition to a district-based election system within the timeframe established in Elections Code section 10010, then the City is protected from the filing

of a CVRA lawsuit with its incumbent costs, and its liability to the potential plaintiffs for legal fees will be capped at \$30,000; and

WHEREAS, although Mr. Shenkman's letter was not accompanied by any evidence to support his claim of a CVRA violation, the City Council finds that the City should act within the safe-harbor timeframe provided by Elections Code Section 10010 to transition from an at-large election system to a district-based election system for electing the city councilmembers; and

WHEREAS, prior to the City Council's consideration of an ordinance to establish district boundaries for a district-based electoral system, California Elections Code Section 10010 requires all of the following:

- 1. Prior to drawing a draft map or maps of the proposed boundaries of the districts, the City shall hold at least two (2) public hearings over a period of no more than thirty (30) days, at which the public will be invited to provide input regarding the composition of the districts;
- 2. After all draft maps are drawn, City shall publish and make available for release at least one draft map and, if members of the City Council will be elected in their districts at different times to provide for staggered terms of office, the potential sequence of the elections shall also be published. The City Council shall also hold at least two (2) additional hearings over a period of no more than forty-five (45) days, at which the public shall be invited to provide input regarding the content of the draft map or maps and the proposed sequence of elections, if applicable. The first version of a draft map shall be published at least seven (7) days before consideration at a hearing. If a draft map is revised at or following a hearing, it shall be published and made available to the public for at least seven (7) days before being adopted; and

WHEREAS, the City will retain an experienced demographer to assist the City to develop a proposal for a district-based electoral system; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of a district-based electoral system will not affect the term of any sitting Council Member, each of whom will serve out his or her current term;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the City Council of the City of San Rafael as follows:

- 1. The City Council shall consider an ordinance to change to a district-based election system for use in the City's General Municipal Election for City Council Members beginning in November 2020.
- 2. The City Council directs staff to work with the City's retained demographer, and other appropriate consultants as needed, to provide a detailed analysis of the City's current demographics and any other information or data necessary to prepare a draft map that divides the City into voting districts in a manner consistent with the intent and purpose of the California Voting Rights Act and the Federal Voting Rights Act.
- 3. The City Council approves the tentative timeline set forth in Exhibit A, attached to and made a part of this resolution, for conducting a public process to solicit public input on proposed district-based electoral maps before adopting any such map.

- 4. The City Council directs staff to institute a program for public outreach and to inform the residents of San Rafael of this resolution and the process set forth in Exhibit A, and to facilitate and encourage public participation.
- 5. The timeline contained in Exhibit A may be adjusted as deemed necessary, provided that such adjustments shall not prevent the City from complying with the time frames specified by Election Code Section 10010.

I, LINDSAY LARA, Interim City Clerk of the City of San Rafael, hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was duly and regularly introduced and adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of San Rafael held on the 16th day of January, 2018, by the following vote, to wit:

AYES: COUNCILMEMBERS: Bushey, Colin, Gamblin, McCullough & Mayor Phillips

NOES: COUNCILMEMBERS: None

ABSENT: COUNCILMEMBERS: None

Lindsay Lara, Interim City Clerk

#### EXHIBIT A

#### TENTATIVE TIMELINE TRANSITION TO DISTRICT-BASED ELECTIONS PER ELECTIONS CODE §10010

NO.	TASK	DATE
1	Adopt Resolution of Intention	January 16, 2018
2	1 st Public Hearing: Consider	February 5, 2018
	composition of districts	
3	2 nd Public Hearing: Consider	February 20, 2018
	composition of districts	
4	Publication of draft maps and	By February 26, 2018
	proposed election sequence	
5	3 rd Public Hearing: Consider draft	March 5, 2018
	maps and election sequence	
6	Publication of any new or revised	By March 12, 2018
	draft maps and/or proposed election	
	sequences	
7	4 th Public Hearing: Consider draft	March 19, 2018
	maps election sequence, and	
	introduce ordinance establishing	
	district elections	
8	Publication of any new or revised	By April 9, 2018
	draft maps and/or proposed election	
	sequences	
9	5 th Public Hearing: Adopt ordinance	April 16, 2018
	establishing district	
10	Day 90	April 16, 2018
11	Effective date of ordinance	
12	First district-based election	November 3, 2020

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 14468**

#### A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN RAFAEL SPECIFYING THE CRITERIA TO GUIDE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS AND TO FURTHER SPECIFY THE DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED MAPS BY THE PUBLIC

**WHEREAS**, on January 16, 2018, the City Council of the City of San Rafael ("City") adopted Resolution No. 14453, stating its intention to adopted district-based elections pursuant to Elections Code section 10010 and the California Voting Rights Act; and

**WHEREAS**, the City has retained an experienced demographer and special legal counsel to assist the City in establishing a by-district electoral system; and

**WHEREAS**, on February 5, 2018, and February 20, 2018, the City Council received presentations from its special counsel concerning the current demographics of the City under the 2010 census and American Community Survey, and the procedures and legal and policy criteria governing districting, and received public comment regarding appropriate criteria; and

**WHEREAS,** on February 5, 2018, and February 20, 2018, the City Council further conducted duly noticed public hearings at which it received testimony from the public regarding appropriate districting criteria; and

**WHEREAS**, the City Council has fully considered the presentations of its consultants and all of the public comments received; and

WHEREAS, the City Council now wishes to adopt criteria to guide the establishment of electoral districts consistent with legal requirements, including reasonably equal population and Section 2 of the federal Voting Rights Act, and which address other concerns and considerations important to the City; and

WHEREAS, the City has made available on its website materials, including an online mapping program, that are designed to enable members of the public to submit proposed district maps for consideration by the Council; and

**WHEREAS**, in adopting Resolution No. 14453, the City Council also adopted a timeline for the consideration of proposed maps; and

**WHEREAS**, to facilitate full consideration of proposed maps at public hearings scheduled for March 5, 2018, March 19, 2018, and April 16, 2018, the Council wishes to adopt a deadline for submission of proposed maps from the public;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the above recitals are true and correct; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the City Council hereby adopts the criteria identified in Exhibit A to this Resolution as criteria to guide the establishment of electoral districts to be implemented beginning with the November 2020 City Council elections; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the City's redistricting/demographic consulting firm, acting under the supervision of the City Manager, is hereby authorized and directed to formulate one or more electoral district plan scenarios based upon the criteria specified in Exhibit A for

review by the public and by the City Council at three public hearings (or more if necessary), in accordance with the adopted timeline; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the City Council hereby adopts a deadline of March 8, 2018, for submission of proposed maps by the public for consideration by the City Council at future public hearings; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the City Manager shall consult with legal counsel to resolve all legal issues necessary to give effect to this Resolution.

I, LINDSAY LARA, Interim City Clerk of the City of San Rafael, hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly and regularly introduced and adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of San Rafael, held on Tuesday, the 20th of February 2018, by the following vote, to wit:

AYES:	Councilmembers:	Colin, McCullough & Mayor Pro Tem Bushey

NOES: Councilmembers: None

ABSENT: Councilmembers: Gamblin & Mayor Phillips

Lindsay Lara, Interim City Clerk

## EXHIBIT A

#### Specification of Criteria to Guide the Establishment of Electoral Districts

- 1. The boundaries of the electoral districts shall be established so that the electoral districts are equal in population as defined by law.
- 2. The boundaries of the electoral districts shall not be gerrymandered in violation of the principles established by the United States Supreme Court in *Shaw v. Reno*, 509 U.S. 630 (1993), and its progeny.
- 3. The boundaries of the electoral districts shall be established so that the electoral districts do not result in a denial or abridgement of the right of any citizen to vote on account of race or color as provided in Section 2 of the federal Voting Rights Act.
- 4. The boundaries of the electoral districts shall observe communities of interest as identified in public comment or identified by the city council, including, but not limited to social interests; historical areas; industrial or service industry interests; residential and commercial areas, the location of city facilities and historical sites, and the like, insofar as practicable.
- 5. The boundaries of the electoral districts shall be compact, insofar as practicable.
- 6. The boundaries of the electoral districts shall be created to contain cohesive, contiguous territory, insofar as practicable. A district shall not be determined to be non-contiguous within the meaning of this criterion if it is connected by Census blocks comprised of water, and the Council may also take into account the existence of numerous unincorporated islands within the boundaries of the City that are not part of incorporated San Rafael in assessing contiguity.
- 7. The boundaries of the electoral districts may observe topography and geography, such as the existence of mountains, flat land, forest lands, man-made geographical features such as highways, major roadways and canals, etc., as natural divisions between districts, insofar as practicable.
- 8. Unless otherwise required by law, the electoral districts shall be created using whole census blocks, insofar as practicable.
- 9. The boundaries of the electoral districts may avoid the "pairing" of incumbents in the same electoral district, insofar as this does not conflict with the constitution and laws of the State of California and the United States.
- 10. The boundaries of the electoral districts shall comply with such other factors which become known during the districting process and are formally adopted by the City Council.

## **DISTRICT ELECTIONS CITY OF SAN RAFAEL**

## NOTICE OF PUBLICATION OF DRAFT DISTRICT MAPS

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on Monday, March 26, 2018, the City of San Rafael will publish on its website draft maps showing proposed electoral district boundaries, for discussion at a public hearing to be held by the San Rafael City Council.

**YOU MAY REVIEW DRAFT MAPS** by going to the following page on the City of San Rafael's website: <u>https://www.cityofsanrafael.org/draft-maps/</u>. You may also review draft maps in the Office of the City Clerk, at San Rafael City Hall room 209, 1400 Fifth Avenue, San Rafael, between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

THE CITY COUNCIL HEARING to consider the draft district maps will be held:

Monday, April 2, 2018 at 7:00 p.m. City Council Chambers, 1400 Fifth Avenue, San Rafael, CA

**FOR MORE INFORMATION** you may contact Lindsay Lara, City Clerk, at (415) 485-3066 Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

SAN RAFAEL CITY COUNCIL

/s/

LINDSAY LARA, City Clerk, City of San Rafael

# **CITY OF SAN RAFAEL**

## **NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**

The City Council of the City of San Rafael will hold a public hearing:

DATE/TIME/PLACE:	Monday, April 2, 2018, at 7:00 p.m. City Hall Council Chambers, 1400 Fifth Avenue, San Rafael
PURPOSE:	Public Hearing: On April 2 and April 16, 2018, at 7:00 p.m., in the City Council Chambers located at 1400 Fifth Avenue, the City Council of the City of San Rafael will conduct Public Hearings regarding establishing single-member council districts in which to conduct by-district elections for the City Council members, and the potential sequence of elections in such districts. At the public hearing on April 2, the public is invited to provide input regarding the content of the draft map or maps and the proposed sequence of elections and the City Council will consider adoption of an ordinance establishing district- based elections, the boundaries of the districts and the sequence of elections for each district. Comments can also be submitted via e-mail to Lindsay.lara@cityofsanrafael.org. More information about the establishment of single-member districts for by-district elections, including draft maps when they become available, will be included on the City's website at https://www.cityofsanrafael.org/district-elections. In the event changes are necessary to the public hearing schedule noted above, a new notice will be published in accordance with law.
IF YOU CANNOT ATTEND:	You may send a letter to Lindsay Lara, City Clerk, City of San Rafael, P.O. Box 151560, San Rafael, CA 94915-1560. You may also hand deliver a letter to the City Clerk prior to the meeting.
FOR MORE INFORMATION:	You may contact Lisa Goldfien, Assistant City Attorney, at (415) 485-3081. Office hours are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.
	SAN RAFAFL CITY COUNCIL

SAN RAFAEL CITY COUNCIL <u>/s/ LINDSAY LARA</u> LINDSAY LARA, City Clerk

# CIUDAD DE SAN RAFAEL

## AVISO DE AUDIENCIA PÚBLICA

El Concejo Municipal de la Ciudad de San Rafael tendrá una audiencia pública:

FECHA / HORA / LUGAR:	Lunes, 2 de abril de 2018 a las 7:00 p.m. Sala del Consejo Municipal, 1400 Fifth Avenue, San Rafael, CA 94901
PROPÓSITO:	Audiencia pública: El 2 de abril y el 16 de abril de 2018, a las 7:00 p.m., en la Sala del Consejo Municipal, ubicada en 1400 Fifth Avenue, el Consejo Municipal de la Ciudad de San Rafael conducirá audiencias públicas con respecto al establecimiento de distritos municipales de un solo miembro en los cuales se llevarán a cabo elecciones para los miembros del Consejo Municipal, y la posible secuencia de elecciones en tales distritos. En la audiencia pública el 2 de abril, se invita al público a proporcionar su opinión sobre el contenido del mapa o mapas preliminares y la secuencia propuesta de elecciones, y el Consejo Municipal considerá adoptar una ordenanza que establezca elecciones basadas en distritos, los límites de los distritos, y la posible secuencia de elecciones en tales distritos. También puede enviar sus comentarios por correo electrónico a lindsay.lara@cityofsanrafael.org. Se incluirá más información sobre el establecimiento de distritos de un solo miembro para elecciones por distrito, y borradores de mapas cuando estén disponibles, en el sitio web de la Ciudad en https://www.cityofsanrafael.org/district-elections. En el caso de que sean necesarios cambiar el calendario de la audiencia pública que está mencionado anteriormente, se publicará un nuevo aviso de acuerdo con la ley.
SI NO PUEDE ASISTIR:	Puede enviar una carta a Lindsay Lara, Secretaria Municipal, City of San Rafael, P.O. Box 151560, San Rafael, CA 94915- 1560. Usted también puede entregar una carta a la Secretaria Municipal en persona antes de la reunión.

## PARA OBTENER MÁS INFORMACIÓN:

Puede comunicarse con Lisa Goldfien, Abogado Asistente Municipal, al (415) 485-3081. El horario de oficina es de lunes a viernes, de 8:30 a.m. a 5:00 p.m.

CONSEJO MUNICIPAL DE SAN RAFAEL

/f/ LINDSAY LARA LINDSAY LARA, SECRETARIA MUNICIPAL

Title	Name	Description	Submitted
District Elections	Dennis Wulkan	Is this City Council constitutionally empowered to make this decision or is that a conflict of interest? Why wouldn't they give voters a chance to weigh in on such an important change? I'm aware some attorneys threatened a lawsuit, but why isn't the City concerned about a lawsuit that might arise out of them making this very decision?	2/7/2018
District Elections	Johnson Reynolds	You invited public to make suggestion on how to draw districts - we need map showing census tract, neighborhood in San Rafael, as well as population in each census tract, neighborhood, perhaps other information - information on race-ethnicity, socio-economic status, multi-family/single-family homes per census tract, neighborhood - in order to make thought-through, serious contribution on how the districts should be drawn.	2/7/2018
district boundaries	Amie Catherine Stewart Reed	To Chris Skinnell: please communicate to the demographer that most families that live in the Bret Harte neighborhood have children that attend Coleman Elementary School (on Belle Ave) in the Dominican neighborhood, and there is a strong sense of community between the 2 neighborhoods.	2/9/2018
District Elections	Dora Knell	It is strange the use at-large elections is described as polarizing. District elections are polarizing because emphasis will be on making borders separating groups determined by race or ethnicity according to the demands of a stranger. It is such a lie to claim San Rafael city government is racist by design. It is especially problematic because many of those supposedly needing protection are not eligible to vote. As a long time citizen of San Rafael I am offended by the reason such a change is being sought.	3/7/2018
New canal1	Ayla Yaitanes	Hello, Trying to understand this map. There is an overlay called "new canal 1". I think this is an attempt to carve out a homogenous population? If so, I'm confused why there is an arbitrary bump out for Hillcrest Drive. I live at 10 hillcrest drive and wonder why it may be included in the canal district?	3/7/2018

		Thank you!	
Pls include Vista Marin Dr with Terra Linda	Richard Hall	Vista Marin Dr is a small neighborhood but more connected community-wise to Terra Linda (Freitas Parkway) than neighborhoods to our south as proposed in some of the district maps.	3/7/2018
		Over my 10 years involved with the community, Vista Marin has little concerns in common with Peacock Gap, Dominican and areas to our south where ingress/egress is via Knight Drive through downtown San Rafael.	
		We are separated from areas to the south by the Marin Civic Center which is a sizable distance/boundary to the other neighborhoods - effectively we are an orphan district which our representative could sacrifice/ ignore. By comparison a Terra Linda representative would be more aligned with serving our neighborhood.	
		Neighborhood meetings we have are aligned around Terra Linda- we never organically have meetings or issues in common with more distant neighborhoods to our south.	
		Can Vista Marin Drive please be included in the Terra Linda district.	
Keep Vista Marin with Terra Linda districts	Harriot Manley	Dear officials: In regards to the new districting proposals for the City of San Rafael, please note that, as a member of the Vista Marin community, I strongly urge you to keep our neighborhood within maps comprising other Terra Linda communitiess, not Dominican or Peacock Gap. These would be the green purple, orange, and olive maps now being considered. Our homes and our issues are much more closely linked to others in Terra Linda rather than communities to south of us. Thank you.	3/7/2018
Representation	Derrick Bell	East Terra Linda needs to part of Terra Linda, not Peacock Gap. Our interests will not be represented.	3/7/2018

District map for	Craig Peterson	Dear City Gerrymanderer,	3/9/2018
Brete Harte	-	Please Include ALL of Brete Harte in our district	
		parcel by drawing the borders to include	
		Woodland Avenue and also include the Solar	
		court Brete Harte neighbor hood homes as	
		well!	
		Thank you.	
Proposed district	Dwayne Warren	As a resident of the Bret Harte neighborhood, I	3/10/2018
council maps		am not happy with any map that would split	
		our neighborhood. That is any district	
		boundary that goes down any residential	
		street. This puts those who live on one side of	
		the street (e.g. DuBois) in one district and those on the other side in another. In such	
		cases neighbors may find that their respective	
		council representative may have opposing	
		views on any given topic. Where as the	
		neighbors themselves may be in solidarity with	
		one another.	
District Maps	William Carney	Dear Mayor and City Council, In reviewing the	3/12/2018
		current maps of potential San Rafael voting	-,,
		districts, please consider the attached hybrid	
		of the Green and Purple maps. I believe that	
		the Green map comes very close to	
		representing coherent contours for districts 1	
		and 3. However, it falls short of optimum for	
		district 4 (by severing the significant eastern	
		portion of the Canal) and for district 2 (by	
		leaving out the Montecito/ Happy Valley area	
		affecting so much of the access to the San	
		Pedro peninsula). The suggested hybrid	
		restores these natural boundaries. It meets the	
		numerical requirements for district 4 by	
		including a portion of downtown between the freeway and roughly Lindaro Street (with the	
		remainder of that census tract going to district	
		3). It meets the numerical requirements for	
		district 2 by including the upper Lincoln	
		Avenue census track (or some portion of it, as	
		needed). Besides respecting basic geographic	
		and social communities of interest, I believe	
		this scheme would help retain a city-wide	
		perspective for the Council Members elected	
		from all districts, since each district would	
		include key territory involved in two issues of	
		great importance to the future of the City as a	
		whole: a) the growth and housing potential of	
		our two half-mile radius Station Areas; and b)	

	tidal zones facing the encroachments of sea level rise. All Council members would have a significant stake in working together to solve these issues of importance to the entire city.	
	Thank you for your careful consideration.	