

From: [Yogi](#)
To: [Lindsay Lara](#)
Subject: Protest
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 5:06:50 PM

I wish to protest Tonight's action by the City Council as proposed to continue assessment of maintenance of the Lagoon to Baypoint lagoons:

as per the following Proposed action by the City: section 22628 of the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972.

My Assessor Parcel # is 004-361-21

My Reason for protesting is that there are clearly unreconciled charges and imbalanced payment for the maintenance and improvements to the lagoon and its operating systems.

As it stands currently the **lagoon is within the bounds of the Baypoint Subdivision, while the pathway itself is in the Spinnaker Point Subdivision. The signage on the path entry states that the usage is "limited to Spinnaker point Property Owners.**

I urge the City to make corrections to this very unjust condition and modify the district to include all eligible parties who are currently using the facilities: This –in fact would include the residents of the City at large, along with all of the Spinnaker Point property owners. .

Furthermore; there are some gaping deficiencies in the three Lagoons that should be taken into consideration: The major issue that's being ignored is the "East Lagoon" which is currently classified as a "Wetland", but certainly does not function as a Wetland. This condition insofar as I understand is in conflict with federal legislation for "Wetlands Preservation". The whole matter of dealing with these lagoons seems to be simply ignored and limping along. Isn't it the opportune moment to suspend this proposed action until a proper study is made and put into action?

I'm unable to attend the meeting but please keep me apprised of the findings and proceedings of the meeting.

Douglas Matteson

Civil Engineer

[REDACTED]

San Rafael CA. 94901