

CITY OF SAN RAFAEL

2015 Resident Satisfaction Survey

Topline Report
n=694
21-minutes
Survey Methodology: Hybrid Internet & Phone Interviewing
Weighting Plan 2

April 22, 2015

www.godberesearch.com

Northern California and Corporate Offices 1660 South Amphlett Blvd., Suite 205 San Mateo, CA 94402

Southern California 4695 MacArthur Court, 11th Floor Newport Beach, CA 92660

Nevada 59 Damonte Ranch Parkway, Suite B309 Reno, NV 89521

Pacific Northwest 601 108th Avenue NE, Suite 1900 Bellevue, WA 98004

METHODOLOGY

Interview Methodology: Internet & Phone

Languages: English n=694 & Spanish n=13

Sample Universes:

46,313 Adults 18+

25,332 Likely November 2016 voters

15,641 Likely June 2016 voters

12,562 Likely November 2015 voters

Sample Size:

n=707, Adults 18+

n=668, November 2016

n=545, June 2016

n=459, November 2015

Error Rate:

±3.66%, Adults 18+

±3.74%, November 2016

±4.12%, June 2016

±4.49%, November 2015

Field Dates: January 23 to February 7, 2015

Census 2013 ACS Weighting Strata: Survey Type / Gender / Age / Ethnicity / Homeownership

Voter File Weighting Strata: Survey Type / Gender / Age / Partisanhip / Homeownership / Permanent Absentee Voter

OVERALL SATISFACTION

		Tota	ıl	Likely Noven	nber 2016	Likely June 2	016 Voter	Likely Novem	ber 2015
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
	Very satisfied	47.2%		58.7%		59.7%		59.4%	
1. In general, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with	Somewhat satisfied	43.8%		32.4%		31.8%		31.1%	
the overall quality of life in San Rafael?	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.0%		6.9%		5.6%		6.0%	
the overall quality of the in San Kalaer?	Very dissatisfied	1.8%		1.8%		2.5%		2.9%	
	Not sure	.1%		.3%		.4%		.5%	
	Very satisfied	40.4%		34.6%		33.2%		34.8%	
2. In general, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with	Somewhat satisfied	37.6%		47.7%		43.7%		43.5%	
the job the City of San Rafael is doing to provide	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.6%		7.2%		7.8%		7.2%	
City services?	Very dissatisfied	3.5%		4.9%		7.8%		8.7%	
	Not sure	4.0%		5.6%		7.5%		5.7%	
	Excellent	5.6%		6.9%		5.0%		5.2%	
3. In your opinion, would you say the City's financial	Good	32.8%		35.0%		35.8%		37.8%	
situation is excellent, good, fair, or poor?	Fair	26.4%		21.3%		18.5%		16.7%	
tuation is excellent, good, fair, or poor?	Poor	4.7%		6.0%		7.0%		9.0%	
	Not sure	30.5%		30.9%		33.7%		31.3%	

SATISFACTION WITH INDIVIDUAL SERVICES

		Tota	al	Likely Nover	nber 2016	Likely June 2	016 Voter	Likely Novem	ber 2015
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
	Very Satisfied	26.7%		21.4%		20.7%		21.0%	
4A. Providing programs to reduce greenhouse gas	SW Satisfied	16.3%		19.0%		23.1%		22.8%	
emissions	SW Dissatisfied	9.3%		8.7%		8.9%		8.4%	
	Very Dissatisfied	6.3%		4.1%		4.2%		4.4%	
	Not Sure	41.3%		46.7%		43.1%		43.5%	
	Very Satisfied	44.4%		52.9%		58.1%			
	SW Satisfied	31.6%		33.4%		30.4%			
B. Providing police protection	SW Dissatisfied	13.2%		5.1%		5.3%			
	Very Dissatisfied	5.2%		3.7%		2.3%			
	Not Sure	5.6%		4.8%		3.9%			
	Very Satisfied	15.5%		19.4%		22.9%			
	SW Satisfied	44.2%		39.6%		35.1%		36.4%	
IC. Keeping taxes at affordable levels	SW Dissatisfied	18.5%		16.4%		14.6%			
	Very Dissatisfied	13.7%		16.8%		19.1%			
	Not Sure	8.2%		7.7%		8.2%			
	Very Satisfied	27.8%		27.5%		24.0%			
	SW Satisfied	26.1%		29.4%		32.0%			
D. Managing growth and development	SW Dissatisfied	16.2%		16.1%		16.4%			
	Very Dissatisfied	12.3%		15.1%		14.8%			
	Not Sure	17.6%		11.8%		12.9%			
	Very Satisfied	44.5%		49.0%		48.3%			
	SW Satisfied	32.5%		30.7%		33.1%			
4E. Preserving open space	SW Dissatisfied	8.5%		10.7%		8.9%		22.8% 8.4% 4.4% 43.5% 55.9% 32.1% 5.1% 3.4% 3.5% 23.7%	
	Very Dissatisfied	6.2%		2.2%		2.4%			
	Not Sure	8.4%		7.4%		7.3%			
	Very Satisfied	27.9%		31.9%		26.8%			
IF Managing traffic on aits atmosts	SW Satisfied	34.8%		34.5%		37.6%			
4F. Managing traffic on city streets	SW Dissatisfied	19.0%		17.5%		18.8%			
	Very Dissatisfied	15.2%		13.7%		14.2%			
	Not Sure	3.1%		2.3%		2.6%			
	Very Satisfied	32.7%		23.7%		20.5%			
1G. Maintaining city streets and reads	SW Satisfied	36.0%		39.6%		41.7%			
4G. Maintaining city streets and roads	SW Dissatisfied	20.3%		19.8%		15.9%			
	Very Dissatisfied Not Sure	10.8% .1%		16.7% .3%		21.7% .2%			
	Very Satisfied	54.0%		58.0%		.2% 59.4%			
	SW Satisfied	28.2%		30.5%		59.4% 29.5%			
IH. Providing public library services	SW Dissatisfied	6.6%		30.5%		29.5% 4.6%			
The Forming public library services	Very Dissatisfied	3.5%		3.8% 1.6%		4.6% 1.9%			
	Not Sure	7.7%		6.1%		4.6%			
	Very Satisfied	59.7%		64.5%		64.5%			
	SW Satisfied	26.5%		28.5%		28.3%			
4I. Providing fire and paramedic services	SW Dissatisfied	4.6%		.5%		.7%			
The revious site and parametric services	Very Dissatisfied	.3%		.5% .6%		1.0%			
	Not Sure	.3% 8.9%		.6% 5.9%		1.0% 5.6%		3.5%	
	NOT Sure	8.9%		5.9%		5.6%		3.5%	

		Tota	al	Likely Nover	nber 2016	Likely June 2	2016 Voter	Likely Novem	nber 2015
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
	Very Satisfied	20.5%		16.1%		16.8%		19.9%	
	SW Satisfied	18.7%		24.6%		24.9%		23.8%	
4J. Providing affordable housing	SW Dissatisfied	17.8%		18.0%		19.3%		19.9% 23.8% 20.0% 16.2% 20.2% 38.9% 37.7% 9.8% 3.9% 9.7% 31.2% 32.8% 16.7% 15.5% 3.7% 38.5% 38.7% 8.6% 5.6% 8.6% 5.6% 43.6% 7.3% 7.4% 6.3% 40.9% 36.9% 9.7% 11.3% 1.3% 26.9% 37.9% 15.4% 15.9% 3.8% 25.7% 4.0% 4.5% 33.1% 13.8% 27.5%	
	Very Dissatisfied	19.9%		17.1%		17.9%		16.2%	
	Not Sure	23.2%		24.2%		21.0%		20.2%	
	Very Satisfied	38.7%		38.6%		37.6%		38.9%	
	SW Satisfied	25.3%		35.3%		37.9%		37.7%	
4K. Maintaining storm drains	SW Dissatisfied	11.4%		9.9%		11.7%		9.8%	
	Very Dissatisfied	11.6%		5.5%		3.6%		3.9%	
	Not Sure	13.1%		10.7%		9.2%		9.7%	
	Very Satisfied	39.1%		33.1%		32.4%		31.2%	
	SW Satisfied	31.2%		30.9%		32.4%		32.8%	
4L. Maintaining sidewalks	SW Dissatisfied	14.8%		14.9%		14.5%		16.7%	
	Very Dissatisfied	13.5%		18.8%		17.7%		15.5%	
	Not Sure	1.4%		2.3%		3.0%			
	Very Satisfied	29.6%		34.1%		37.8%		38.5%	
	SW Satisfied	43.2%		41.7%		38.2%			
4M. Providing bike and pedestrian friendly routes	SW Dissatisfied	14.7%		9.8%		9.9%			
	Very Dissatisfied	7.4%		7.2%		6.3%			
	Not Sure	5.0%		7.3%		7.8%			
	Very Satisfied	48.4%		41.8%		38.3%			
	SW Satisfied	27.8%		38.3%		40.7%			
4N. Trimming trees along city streets	SW Dissatisfied	8.5%		8.2%		7.6%		31.2% 32.8% 16.7% 15.5% 3.7% 38.5% 38.7% 8.6% 5.6% 8.6% 35.4% 43.6% 7.3% 7.4% 6.3% 40.9% 36.9% 9.7% 11.3% 1.3% 26.9% 37.9% 15.4% 15.9% 3.8%	
	Very Dissatisfied	7.6%		6.3%		7.6%			
	Not Sure	7.6%		5.3%		5.8%			
	Very Satisfied	49.0%		40.3%		43.7%			
	SW Satisfied	28.9%		38.2%		35.5%			
40. Cleaning and sweeping city streets	SW Dissatisfied	9.9%		6.9%		8.6%			
	Very Dissatisfied	9.4%		11.4%		10.6%			
	Not Sure	2.9%		3.2%		1.5%			
	Very Satisfied	26.2%		29.7%		27.6%			
4D. Descriding a sufficient modeling description	SW Satisfied	30.0%		35.2%		37.0%			
4P. Providing sufficient parking downtown	SW Dissatisfied	21.3%		15.4%		15.6%			
	Very Dissatisfied	20.9%		17.1%		15.7%			
	Not Sure	1.6%		2.5%		4.0%			
	Very Satisfied	27.6%		29.9%		32.8%			
4Q. Providing senior citizen services	SW Satisfied	18.6% 6.5%		20.6%		24.4%			
44. Froviding Senior Citizen Services	SW Dissatisfied	6.5% 4.8%		4.1% 2.4%		4.6% 3.7%			
	Very Dissatisfied	4.8% 42.5%		43.0%		3.7% 34.4%			
	Not Sure	42.5% 19.6%		43.0% 15.1%		12.0%			
	Very Satisfied SW Satisfied	19.6%		20.5%		12.0% 26.3%			
4R. Providing youth and teen services	SW Dissatisfied	19.4% 9.2%		20.5% 5.8%		26.3% 6.9%		27.5% 6.9%	
AN. I Toyluling youth and teen services									
	Very Dissatisfied	6.6%		6.1%		5.1%		5.3%	
	Not Sure	45.2%		52.5%		49.7%		46.5%	

		Tota	al	Likely Nover	nber 2016	Likely June 2	016 Voter	Likely Novem	ber 2015
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
	Very Satisfied	32.1%		33.8%		37.2%		35.8%	
	SW Satisfied	33.8%		37.2%		39.4%		41.0%	
4S. Providing community events	SW Dissatisfied	11.1%		9.2%		5.4%		3.7%	
	Very Dissatisfied	7.8%		3.1%		4.4%		3.7% 4.5% 15.1% 42.1% 41.5% 8.4% 3.4% 4.6% 38.2% 42.1% 9.5% 4.8% 5.3% 33.8% 41.4% 5.5% 2.8% 16.6% 11.2% 19.7% 4.9% 4.4% 59.8% 23.7% 26.2% 9.7% 4.5% 36.0% 69.9% 20.9% 3.9% 2.5% 2.8% 30.4%	
	Not Sure	15.2%		16.6%		13.7%		15.1%	
	Very Satisfied	47.8%		42.8%		41.5%		42.1%	
4T. Providing adequate parks and recreation	SW Satisfied	26.5%		36.4%		38.3%		41.5%	
facilities	SW Dissatisfied	13.3%		10.7%		10.4%		8.4%	
	Very Dissatisfied	10.1%		6.3%		5.7%		3.4%	
	Not Sure	2.3%		3.8%		4.1%			
	Very Satisfied	46.3%		39.2%		38.1%		38.2%	
	SW Satisfied	34.3%		39.5%		42.4%			
4U. Maintaining parks	SW Dissatisfied	9.8%		10.2%		8.9%			
	Very Dissatisfied	4.5%		6.7%		6.5%			
	Not Sure	5.1%		4.3%		4.2%			
	Very Satisfied	31.1%		33.1%		30.3%			
4V. Providing recreational and cultural arts	SW Satisfied	38.7%		38.0%		43.3%			
programs	SW Dissatisfied	10.5%		6.0%		6.5%			
3	Very Dissatisfied	2.8%		3.5%		3.2%			
	Not Sure	16.9%		19.4%		16.7%			
	Very Satisfied	15.4%		9.8%		9.1%			
	SW Satisfied	15.8%		19.3%		20.1%			
4W. Providing child care services	SW Dissatisfied	10.2%		7.0%		7.0%		35.8% 41.0% 3.7% 4.5% 15.1% 42.1% 41.5% 8.4% 3.4% 4.6% 38.2% 42.1% 9.5% 4.8% 5.3% 33.8% 41.4% 5.5% 2.8% 16.6% 11.2% 19.7% 4.9% 4.4% 59.8% 23.7% 26.2% 9.7% 4.5% 36.0% 69.9% 20.9% 3.9% 2.5% 2.8% 30.4% 43.7% 8.7% 10.4% 6.8% 34.5% 41.5% 8.2% 3.8%	
	Very Dissatisfied	8.4%		4.2%		4.2%			
	Not Sure	50.0%		59.6%		59.6%			
	Very Satisfied	23.4%		23.7%		22.4%			
AV Masting the needs of others minerities	SW Satisfied	25.7%		26.8%		26.5%			
4X. Meeting the needs of ethnic minorities	SW Dissatisfied	10.2%		8.6%		10.1%			
	Very Dissatisfied	9.3%		4.1%		3.8%			
	Not Sure	31.4%		36.9%		37.2%			
	Very Satisfied	62.8% 19.7%		67.6% 22.9%		68.3%			
4Y. Providing garbage collection and recycling	SW Satisfied SW Dissatisfied	6.3%		22.9% 5.7%		21.7% 5.1%			
services	Very Dissatisfied	8.9%		2.2%		2.7%			
	Not Sure	2.2%		1.6%		2.7%			
	Very Satisfied	37.7%		32.3%		31.8%			
	SW Satisfied	32.4%		37.9%		38.5%			
4Z. Enforcing traffic and parking laws	SW Dissatisfied	8.1%		8.7%		10.7%			
Indiana dia panding idea	Very Dissatisfied	15.7%		13.4%		10.7 %			
	Not Sure	6.1%		7.7%		8.2%			
	Very Satisfied	37.2%		34.0%		32.1%			
	SW Satisfied	39.5%		42.4%		41.2%			
4AA. Maintaining City facilities	SW Dissatisfied	7.9%		6.3%		8.1%			
The manualling Oily radiities	Very Dissatisfied	6.5%		4.5%		4.6%			
	Not Sure	8.9%		12.8%		14.0%		12.0%	
	NOT Sure	8.9%		12.0%		14.0%		12.0%	

SATISFACTION WITH INDIVIDUAL SERVICES -- RANKED BY MEAN SCORES

	Tota	al	Likely Noven	nber 2016	Likely June 2	016 Voter	Likely Novem	nber 2015
	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
4I. Providing fire and paramedic services		1.54		1.66		1.64		1.63
4H. Providing public library services		1.33		1.49		1.47		1.39
4Y. Providing garbage collection and recycling		1.24		1.50		1.51		1.56
services 4U. Maintaining parks		1.14		.99		1.01	-	1.05
4E. Preserving open space		1.10		1.23		1.25		1.27
4N. Trimming trees along city streets		1.09	1	1.07		1.00		.98
4B. Providing police protection		1.02		1.33		1.42		1.37
4V. Providing recreational and cultural arts programs		1.02		1.13		1.09		1.18
4AA. Maintaining City facilities		1.02		1.09		1.02		1.07
40. Cleaning and sweeping city streets		1.01		.92		.95		.88
4Q. Providing senior citizen services		1.00		1.25		1.19		1.17
4T. Providing adequate parks and recreation facilities		.91		1.03		1.04		1.16
4S. Providing community events		.84		1.07		1.15		1.18
4A. Providing programs to reduce greenhouse gas emissions		.82		.84		.83		.84
4K. Maintaining storm drains		.78		1.02		1.04		1.08
4M. Providing bike and pedestrian friendly routes		.77		.92		.99		1.05
4Z. Enforcing traffic and parking laws		.73		.73		.76		.80
4L. Maintaining sidewalks		.69		.46		.49		.49
4R. Providing youth and teen services		.66		.69		.66		.70
4X. Meeting the needs of ethnic minorities		.64		.91		.85		.86
4G. Maintaining city streets and roads		.60		.34		.23		.25
4D. Managing growth and development		.50		.43		.39		.44
4F. Managing traffic on city streets		.42		.55		.45		.45
4W. Providing child care services		.39		.58		.56		.71
4C. Keeping taxes at affordable levels		.32		.31		.31		.34
4P. Providing sufficient parking downtown		.20		.46		.47		.46
4J. Providing affordable housing		.03		.06		.04		.14

OTHER SERVICES AND ISSUES

		Tota	al	Likely Noven	nber 2016	Likely June 2	016 Voter	Likely Novem	ber 2015
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
	Homelessness	21.3%		28.8%		25.8%		25.2%	
	Cost of living or housing	14.8%		16.9%		12.9%		13.2%	
	Traffic congestion	13.6%		10.4%		15.5%		14.9%	
	City employee pensions & benefits are too high	9.2%		6.8%		8.9%		8.8%	
	Public safety (includes crime)	6.3%		5.0%		3.9%		4.5%	
5. What is the single, largest problem facing the City of San Rafael today?	Illegal immigrants or day Condition or safety of Quality of education	5.9% 4.5% 4.4%		4.1% 2.0% 2.9%		4.0% 3.2% 4.2%		4.8% 2.8% 3.7%	
	Availability of jobs	2.8%		5.1%		3.5%		3.1%	
	Growth and/or overcrowding	2.6%		4.8%		4.9%		5.6%	
	Poor financial situation/condition	1.7%		3.1%		2.6%		2.2%	
	Condition or safety of streets	.8%		1.4%		1.9%		1.6%	
	Condition or safety of buildings	.2%		.4%		.6%		.7%	
	Other	4.6%		5.0%		6.1%		6.4%	
	Not sure	7.1%		3.4%		2.1%		2.4%	
	Satisfied	41.8%		39.9%		47.2%		48.1%	
6. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the	Dissatisfied	20.5%		19.4%		17.4%		20.0%	
City of San Rafael is doing in spending taxpayers'	Mixed opinions	22.7%		25.4%		26.3%		23.3%	
money?	No opinion	8.1%		11.1%		5.7%		5.4%	
inioney:	Not sure	6.6%		3.8%		2.7%		2.3%	
	DK/NA	.3%		.5%		.8%		1.0%	

SUSTAINABILITY

		Tota	al	Likely Noven	nber 2016	Likely June 2	016 Voter	Likely Noven	nber 2015
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
	Almost Always	34.8%		41.1%		45.6%		49.6%	
7A. Compost kitchen food scraps in Marin Sanitary	Most of the Time	20.2%		13.1%		7.5%		10.1%	
Service green bin	Some of the Time	19.4%		18.7%		12.8%		14.6%	
Service green bill	Never	24.2%		24.7%		31.7%		24.5%	
	Not sure	1.4%		2.4%		2.4%		1.2%	
	Almost Always	60.9%		57.2%		66.0%		65.0%	
7B. Bring and use reusable grocery bags to grocery	Most of the Time	17.6%		15.9%		15.7%		16.3%	
store	Some of the Time	17.9%		24.9%		15.0%		14.8%	
Store	Never	3.6%		2.0%		3.3%		3.9%	
	Not sure	.0%		.1%		0.0%		0.0%	
	Almost Always	36.4%		33.5%		40.0%		39.9%	
7C. Bring and use reusable grocery bags to other	Most of the Time	27.3%		16.6%		19.4%		22.0%	
stores such as clothing, household, or department	Some of the Time	19.3%		30.3%		19.6%		19.4%	
stores	Never	17.1%		19.6%		21.0%		18.8%	
	Not sure	.0%		.1%		0.0%		0.0%	
7D. Took stone in 2014 to reduce water was such as	Almost Always	49.1%		66.2%		63.2%		66.6%	
7D. Took steps in 2014 to reduce water use such as	Most of the Time	33.4%		19.1%		21.1%		19.7%	
using a low flow shower or faucet regulator, or reducing watering times or amounts in yard or	Some of the Time	7.2%		10.3%		9.8%		9.3%	
	Never	7.1%		2.8%		4.0%	14.6% 24.5% 1.2% 65.0% 16.3% 14.8% 3.9% 0.0% 39.9% 22.0% 19.4% 18.8% 0.0% 66.6% 19.7%		
garden	Not sure	3.2%		1.8%		1.9%		1.9%	
	Almost Always	22.4%		25.1%		21.1%		17.1%	
75 Has alternative mades of themen artetion and a	Most of the Time	12.5%		14.3%		14.8%		15.1%	
7E. Use alternative modes of transportation such as	Some of the Time	44.0%		39.3%		41.7%		40.8%	
walking, biking, public transit, carpool	Never	20.7%		20.7%		21.6%		25.8%	
	Not sure	.3%		.6%		.9%		1.2%	
7B. Bring and use reusable grocery bags to grocery			2.36		2.28		2.44		2.42
store			2.50		2.20		2.44		2.42
7D. Took steps in 2014 to reduce water use such as									
using a low flow shower or faucet regulator, or			2.29		2.51		2.46		2.53
reducing watering times or amounts in yard or			2.25		2.01		2.40		2.55
garden									
7C. Bring and use reusable grocery bags to other									
stores such as clothing, household, or department			1.83		1.64		1.78		1.83
stores									
7A. Compost kitchen food scraps in Marin Sanitary			1.66		1.72		1 69		1.86
Service green bin			1.00		1.12		1.03		1.00
75 Has alternative mades of transports the south as									
7E. Use alternative modes of transportation such as			1.37		1.44		1.36		1.24
walking, biking, public transit, carpool									

		Tota	nl .	Likely Noven	nber 2016	Likely June 2	016 Voter	Likely November 20	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
	Inconvenient to practice	45.5%		48.4%		50.1%		45.3%	
	Unaware of the practice	16.6%		12.6%		11.8%		11.4%	
8. What would you say are the main reasons why you, or members of your household, have not	Unsure of how to adopt the practice	14.5%		15.1%		10.9%		12.3%	
adopted some of these practices?	Too costly	.9%		1.6%		2.3%		3.1%	
	Other	17.4%		11.3%		14.3%		16.9%	
	Not sure	14.9%		16.3%		15.7%		15.9%	

LIBRARY PARCEL TAX RENEWAL - INITIAL BALLOT TEST

		Tota	al	Likely Noven	nber 2016	Likely June 2	016 Voter	Likely Novem	ber 2015
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
9. First Ballot Test - Sample A: To maintain a consistent, locally-controlled funding source, augmenting current general fund allocations for the San Rafael Public Library, for • maintaining hours;• improving facilities, equipment, materials; and • services for children, teens, and adults;shall the City of San Rafael extend the annual \$49 parcel tax and increase it by \$10 (slightly higher rates for multiple-unit residential parcels) for a period of 18 years, with annual CPI adjustment, oversight by an independent citizens committee and with exemptions for senior citizens?	Definitely Yes Probably Yes Probably No Definitely No Not sure Total Yes Total No			38.9% 19.4% 12.6% 20.5% 8.6% 58.3% 33.1%		39.5% 25.9% 11.1% 16.8% 6.6% 65.4% 28.0%		36.9% 26.0% 12.3% 17.5% 7.2% 63.0% 29.8%	
10. First Ballot Test - Sample B: To maintain a consistent, locally-controlled funding source, augmenting current general fund allocations for the San Rafael Public Library, for • maintaining hours;• improving facilities, equipment, materials; and • services for children, teens, and adults;shall the City of San Rafael extend the annual \$49 parcel tax and increase it by \$10 (slightly higher rates for multiple-unit residential parcels) for a period of nine years, with annual CPI adjustment, oversight by an independent citizens committee and with exemptions for senior citizens?	Definitely Yes Probably Yes Probably No Definitely No Not sure Total Yes Total No			43.2% 22.1% 13.0% 15.0% 6.8% 65.3% 28.0%		50.3% 15.3% 12.3% 13.5% 8.6% 65.6% 25.8%		51.1% 15.9% 9.9% 14.7% 8.4% 67.0% 24.6%	

FEATURES OF THE MEASURE

		Tota	al	Likely Noven	nber 2016	Likely June 2	016 Voter	Likely Novem	ber 2015
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
	Much More Likely			43.6%		48.2%		45.4%	
	Swt. More Likely			23.6%		22.5%		21.0%	
11A. The measure will maintain current library hours	No Effect			21.1%		17.8%		19.9%	
TTA. The measure will maintain current library nours	Swt. Less Likely			4.2%		2.5%		3.3%	
	Much Less Likely			4.7%		5.1%		6.4%	
	Not sure			2.8%		3.8%		4.2%	
	Much More Likely			51.0%		49.2%		47.3%	
	Swt. More Likely			20.6%		18.7%		20.1%	
44D. Maintain a shildranla librarian nacitian	No Effect			14.1%		16.8%		14.8%	
11B. Maintain a children's librarian position	Swt. Less Likely			4.0%		3.2%		3.9%	
	Much Less Likely			5.4%		4.5%		5.3%	
	Not sure			4.9%		7.6%		8.7%	
	Much More Likely			42.9%		40.5%		41.3%	
440. Continue the sum sints 10'' O	Swt. More Likely			21.4%		28.0%		27.1%	
11C. Continue the appointed Citizen Oversight	No Effect			22.3%		17.8%		16.7%	
Committee to assure the money raised is used for	Swt. Less Likely			2.8%		4.8%		4.9%	
its intended purposes	Much Less Likely			7.3%		4.2%		5.0%	
	Not sure			3.2%		4.7%		5.0%	
	Much More Likely			8.0%		9.2%		10.4%	
	Swt. More Likely			10.1%		9.1%		10.1%	
11D. The tax will just be used to increase city	No Effect			11.9%		14.6%		14.5%	
government employee salaries	Swt. Less Likely			18.8%		19.0%		14.9%	
3	Much Less Likely			45.6%		42.6%		43.0%	
	Not sure			5.7%		5.5%		7.0%	
	Much More Likely			43.6%		42.1%		42.4%	
	Swt. More Likely			25.0%		26.6%		23.2%	
11E. Demand for services at the San Rafael Public	No Effect			13.3%		15.9%		16.8%	
Library continues to increase and the measure is	Swt. Less Likely			9.6%		4.5%		5.4%	
critical to meeting the new demand	Much Less Likely			2.7%		4.1%		4.7%	
	Not sure			5.9%		6.8%		7.6%	
	Much More Likely			53.1%		50.2%		46.3%	
	Swt. More Likely			16.8%		19.6%		22.4%	
11F. The measure exempts senior citizens, assuring	No Effect			16.1%		17.5%		16.1%	
that those who are on fixed incomes won't be	Swt. Less Likely			4.4%		3.7%		4.0%	
unfairly assessed	Much Less Likely			6.1%		5.0%		6.9%	
	Not sure			3.5%		4.1%		4.4%	
	Much More Likely			41.3%		43.1%		4.4%	
	Swt. More Likely			16.0%		43.1% 19.0%		14.8%	
11G. An excellent public library is a community	No Effect			27.5%		19.0% 24.8%		14.8% 22.6%	
asset that sustains property values				6.9%		24.8% 4.6%			
asset that sustains property values	Swt. Less Likely							5.5%	
	Much Less Likely			4.5%		2.6%		3.0%	
	Not sure			3.7%		5.9%		6.7%	

		Tota	al	Likely Nover	nber 2016	Likely June 2	016 Voter	Likely Novem	ber 2015
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
	Much More Likely			43.7%		53.2%		50.9%	
11H. The measure will provide all residents access	Swt. More Likely			19.4%		16.6%		19.5%	
to high quality information in print and electronic	No Effect			25.2%		20.4%		20.1%	
form	Swt. Less Likely			3.5%		3.3%		2.2%	
Iom	Much Less Likely			7.3%		5.2%		6.3%	
	Not sure			.9%		1.3%		1.0%	
	Much More Likely			52.8%		57.7%		57.5%	
11I. The measure will keep our tax dollars in San	Swt. More Likely			23.0%		22.2%		21.4%	
Rafael to assure that our Library will serve future	No Effect			19.5%		14.9%		14.7%	
generations	Swt. Less Likely			1.2%		1.7%		2.3%	
generations	Much Less Likely			1.3%		1.7%		2.5%	
	Not sure			2.2%		1.7%		1.7%	
	Much More Likely			15.2%		15.9%		17.7%	
11J. We would not need taxpayer money if we did	Swt. More Likely			13.9%		15.6%		16.1%	
not have to pay the extra expense of a security	No Effect			39.0%		40.5%		40.8%	
quard at the library	Swt. Less Likely			9.3%		7.8%		7.3%	
guard at the library	Much Less Likely			16.4%		13.9%		14.7% 2.3% 2.5% 1.7% 17.7% 16.1% 40.8% 7.3% 12.4% 5.8% 43.0% 22.4% 22.8% 5.0% 6.3% .5% 37.0% 23.0% 22.7%	
	Not sure			6.3%		6.4%		5.8%	
	Much More Likely			46.5%		48.6%		43.0%	
	Swt. More Likely			21.5%		21.6%		22.4%	
11K. An investment in your Library helps to keep	No Effect			18.7%		19.0%		22.8%	
this vital institution abreast of current technology	Swt. Less Likely			8.4%		5.4%		5.0%	
	Much Less Likely			3.2%		4.6%		6.3%	
	Not sure			1.6%		.9%		.5%	
	Much More Likely			42.3%		41.8%		37.0%	
	Swt. More Likely			21.5%		23.5%		23.0%	
11L. The measure will increase the rate we pay by	No Effect			24.2%		19.6%		22.7%	
less than a dollar a month	Swt. Less Likely			4.7%		5.0%		4.4%	
	Much Less Likely			4.5%		6.5%		8.8%	
	Not sure			2.8%		3.6%		4.1%	
	Much More Likely			7.3%		9.9%		11.7%	
	Swt. More Likely			6.4%		7.4%		6.9%	
11M. The tax will be used to support a bloated and	No Effect			16.6%		13.5%		12.6%	
costly pension program for City employees	Swt. Less Likely			12.3%		11.8%		9.6%	
	Much Less Likely			52.4%		52.0%		53.7%	
	Not sure			4.9%		5.4%		5.6%	

FEATURES OF THE MEASURE -- RANKED BY MEAN SCORE

	Tota	al	Likely Nover	mber 2016	Likely June 2	016 Voter	Likely Noven	nber 2015
	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
11I. The measure will keep our tax dollars in San Rafael to assure that our Library will serve future generations				1.28		1.35		1.31
11B. Maintain a children's librarian position				1.13		1.14		1.10
11F. The measure exempts senior citizens, assuring that those who are on fixed incomes won't be unfairly assessed				1.10		1.11		1.02
11E. Demand for services at the San Rafael Public Library continues to increase and the measure is critical to meeting the new demand				1.03		1.05		1.01
11K. An investment in your Library helps to keep this vital institution abreast of current technology				1.01		1.05		.91
11A. The measure will maintain current library hours				1.00		1.10		1.00
11L. The measure will increase the rate we pay by less than a dollar a month				.95		.92		.78
11C. Continue the appointed Citizen Oversight Committee to assure the money raised is used for its intended purposes				.93		1.00		1.00
11H. The measure will provide all residents access to high quality information in print and electronic form				.89		1.11		1.08
11G. An excellent public library is a community asset that sustains property values				.86		1.01		1.05
11J. We would not need taxpayer money if we did not have to pay the extra expense of a security guard at the library				.02		.12		.21
11D. The tax will just be used to increase city government employee salaries				89		81		75
11M. The tax will be used to support a bloated and costly pension program for City employees				-1.01		94		92

LIBRARY PARCEL TAX RENEWAL – INFORMED BALLOT TEST

		Tota	al	Likely Nover	nber 2016	Likely June 2	2016 Voter	Likely Noven	nber 2015
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
12. Second Ballot Test - Sample A: To maintain a	Definitely Yes			42.5%		45.4%		41.4%	
	Probably Yes			21.4%		24.7%		27.0%	
	Probably No			9.2%		9.0%		9.9%	
augmenting current general fund allocations for the	Definitely No			17.2%		16.3%		16.8%	
San Rafael Public Library, for • maintaining hours;• -	Not sure			9.7%		4.6%		4.9%	
improving facilities, equipment, materials; and •	Total Yes			63.9%		70.1%		68.4%	
services for children, teens, and adults; shall the	Total No			26.4%		25.3%		26.7%	
City of San Rafael extend the annual \$49 parcel tax									
and increase it by \$10 (slightly higher rates for									
multiple-unit residential parcels) for a period of 18									
years, with annual CPI adjustment, oversight by an									
independent citizens committee and with									
exemptions for senior citizens?									
13. Second Ballot Test - Sample B: To maintain a consistent, locally-controlled funding source, augmenting current general fund allocations for the	Definitely Yes			43.9%		49.7%		49.1%	
	Probably Yes			21.3%		17.8%		16.2%	
	Probably No			12.2%		10.3%		10.6%	
	Definitely No			17.4%		16.0%		16.3%	
San Rafael Public Library, for • maintaining hours;•	Not sure Total Yes			5.2% 65.3%		6.3% 67.5%		7.8% 65.3%	
improving facilities, equipment, materials; and •	Total No			29.6%		26.2%		26.9%	
services for children, teens, and adults; shall the	10141140			20.070		20.270		20.570	
City of San Rafael extend the annual \$49 parcel tax									
and increase it by \$10 (slightly higher rates for									
multiple-unit residential parcels) for a period of nine									
years, with annual CPI adjustment, oversight by an independent citizens committee and with									
exemptions for senior citizens?									
exemptions for senior ditaction.									
	Definitely Yes			55.4%		58.6%		56.2%	
	Probably Yes			20.6%		18.6%		19.9%	
14. Alternative Ballot Test: To maintain a consistent,	Probably No			6.6%		5.9%		5.7%	
locally-controlled funding source, augmenting	Definitely No			12.0%		11.9%		12.3%	
current general fund allocations for the San Rafael	Not sure			5.5%		5.0%		6.0%	
Public Library, for • maintaining hours;• improving facilities, equipment, materials; and • services for	Total Yes			75.9%		77.2%		76.0%	
children, teens, and adults; shall the City of San	Total No			18.6%		17.8%		18.0%	
Rafael extend the existing annual \$49 parcel tax									
(slightly higher rates for multiple-unit residential									
parcels) without an increase for a period of seven									
years, with annual CPI adjustment, oversight by an									
independent citizens committee and with									
exemptions for senior citizens?									
								1	

DEMOGRAPHICS

		Tota	al	Likely Noven	nber 2016	ber 2016 Likely June 20		Likely Novem	nber 2015
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
A. What is your gender?	Male	48.9%		45.8%		44.7%		44.8%	
A. What is your gender?	Female	51.1%		54.2%		55.3%		55.2%	
	African-American or Black	2.2%		.7%		1.1%		1.4%	
	American Indian or Alaska	.5%		.4%		.7%		.9%	
	Native								
	Asian	5.7%		.4%		.7%		.9%	
B. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a	Caucasian or White	59.5%		69.7%		76.3%		79.7%	
part of or feel closest to?	Hispanic or Latino	28.1%		21.4%		11.8%		9.9%	
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific	.1%		.1%		0.0%		0.0%	
	Islander Two or more races	2.2%		4.1%		4.5%		3.1%	
	Other	2.2% .6%		1.2%		1.8%		1.1%	
	- ·····	.6% 1.0%		1.2%		3.0%		2.9%	
	Not sure Yes	35.0%		27.6%		19.0%		18.0%	
C. Do you have any children 18 years or younger living in your household?	No	35.0% 64.6%		71.6%				80.5%	
	Not sure	.4%		.7%		79.8% 1.2%		1.5%	
		.4% 68.2%		51.6%		45.2%		40.2%	
	None	17.9%		26.8%		45.2% 28.7%		40.2% 28.2%	
D. Including yourself, if applicable, how many adults	One	17.9%		18.2%		23.2%		28.2% 29.2%	
ages 65 and over live in your household?	Two	12.0%		2.5%		23.2% 1.6%		.2%	
	Three or more	1.4% .6%		2.5% 1.0%		1.8%		.2% 2.1%	
	Not sure Full-time	53.7%		48.2%		41.3%		35.4%	
	Part-time	8.2%		48.2% 9.7%		41.3% 8.1%		8.3%	
	Self-employed	15.8%		10.2%		11.3%		10.4% 1.7%	
E. Which of the following best describes your	Unemployed Homemaker or Stay-at-home	2.3%		.9%		.8%		1.7%	
working status?	parent	1.0%		1.8%		1.7%		1.9%	
	Retired	17.1%		25.5%		31.7%		38.2%	
	Student	1.0%		1.8%		2.5%		2.4%	
	Not sure	.9%		1.7%		2.5%		1.6%	
	Less than 5 years	22.6%		11.0%		11.9%		7.0%	
	5 to 10 years	21.7%		20.8%		17.0%		14.9%	
F. How long have you lived in San Rafael?	11 to 20 years	24.0%		22.1%		21.5%		23.0%	
	More than 20 years	31.1%		44.9%		48.0%		53.2%	
	Not sure	.6%		1.1%		1.6%		2.0%	
	Renter	44.7%		35.3%		28.0%		25.1%	
G. Do you own or rent your home?	Owner	53.5%		62.9%		69.5%		73.3%	
	Not sure	1.8%		1.8%		2.5%		1.6%	

		Tota	1	Likely Noven	nber 2016	Likely June 2	016 Voter	Likely Novem	nber 2015
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
	Less than \$20,000	9.3%		1.8%		2.3%		2.0%	
	\$20,000 to less than \$40,000	5.5%		10.1%		7.6%		6.2%	
	\$40,000 to less than \$75,000	14.1%		15.1%		15.9%		14.7%	
H. Approximate total income of your household last	\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	11.4%		11.7%		13.2%		16.4%	
year	\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	7.6%		8.1%		10.2%		8.0%	
	\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	5.9%		4.4%		6.0%		4.5%	
	\$150,000 or more	16.9%		17.1%		17.2%		17.3%	
	Not sure	29.3%		31.7%		27.5%		30.9%	
	18-24	9.9%		3.1%		2.5%		1.8%	
	25-34	15.7%		7.1%		3.5%		1.6%	
	35-44	17.4%		15.1%		8.8%		5.4%	
J. Census Age Groups	45-54	19.9%		19.0%		13.5%		13.6%	
	55-59	9.3%		13.1%		13.1%		12.3%	
	60-64	6.8%		7.9%		11.6%		12.2%	
	65+	21.0%		34.7%		47.1%		53.2%	
J. Voter Age Groups	18-29	17.9%		7.0%		3.8%		1.8%	
	30-39	15.7%		8.8%		5.7%		3.5%	
	40-49	15.9%		18.0%		12.0%		9.7%	
	50-64	29.5%		31.5%		31.5%		31.8%	
	65+	21.0%		34.7%		47.1%		53.2%	
	Not coded	0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%	
I. If you would like to receive the City of San Rafael City Manager's bi-monthly newsletter about issues and events in San Rafael, provide your alternative email address:	Confidential								
	Japanese	.0%		.0%		.1%		.1%	
	Chinese	.4%		.8%		1.4%		.1%	
	Hispanic	49.1%		46.5%		39.2%		38.5%	
	Jewish	4.6%		8.7%		13.5%		10.8%	
K. Ethnic Surname	Armenian Vietnamese	.2%		.5% .1%		0.0%		1.2% 0.0%	
	Italian	.0% 4.4%		.1% 2.8%		.2% 3.7%		0.0% 5.2%	
	Korean	4.4% 3.5%		.1%		3.7% .2%		.3%	
	African American	3.5% 0.0%		0.0%		.2% 0.0%		.3% 0.0%	
	Not Coded	0.0% 37.7%		40.5%		0.0% 41.9%		0.0% 43.8%	
		47.3%				74.0%		43.8% 76.7%	
L. Homeownership Status	Owner	47.3% 52.7%		67.3% 32.7%		74.0% 26.0%		23.3%	
	Renter	52.1%		32.1%		∠0.U%		∠3.3%	

		Tota	al	Likely Nover	nber 2016	Likely June 2016 Voter		Likely Noven	nber 2015
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
	Democrat	50.9%		56.4%		58.3%		58.1%	
M. Party	Republican	17.4%		18.4%		20.9%		22.5%	
im. raity	Other	7.0%		4.2%		3.4%		3.0%	
	DTS	24.7%		21.0%		17.5%		16.4%	
	Dem 1	30.8%		32.3%		31.7%		31.3%	
	Dem 2+	15.5%		15.6%		18.0%		18.3%	
	Rep 1	9.5%		8.2%		8.6%		8.7%	
	Rep 2+	2.9%		5.4%		6.4%		7.7%	
N. Household Party Type	Other 1	23.0%		13.2%		11.2%		9.4%	
la. Household Faity Type	Other 2+	3.1%		5.7%		4.0%		4.7%	
	Dem & Rep	2.9%		5.2%		6.0%		5.8%	
	Dem & Other	7.6%		11.8%		11.3%		10.7%	
	Rep & Other	2.4%		2.6%		2.5%		3.2%	
	Dem, Rep & Other	2.4%		.1%		.2%		.2%	
O. Registration Date	2013 to 2015	34.6%		11.9%		8.0%		6.0%	
	2009 to 2012	21.3%		25.6%		22.7%		16.3%	
	2005 to 2008	13.6%		15.7%		12.6%		14.3%	
	2001 to 2004	10.4%		11.2%		10.7%		10.5%	
	1997 to 2000	3.8%		5.9%		9.4%		10.1%	
	1993 to 1996	6.4%		11.6%		8.9%		9.7%	
	1981 to 1992	5.8%		10.5%		15.7%		18.5%	
	1980 or before	4.1%		7.6%		12.2%		14.6%	
	Not coded	0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%	
	0	36.9%		4.4%		0.0%		0.0%	
	1	9.3%		9.4%		.7%		0.0%	
	2	6.6%		7.6%		2.0%		0.0%	
	3	9.5%		9.5%		4.3%		1.6%	
	4	2.5%		4.6%		3.0%		2.8%	
	5	2.8%		5.2%		1.9%		.9%	
	6	2.9%		5.4%		5.3%		3.1%	
Q. Times Voted in Last Elections	7	3.3%		6.0%		8.5%		4.8%	
	8	2.8%		5.0%		7.2%		7.1%	
	9	2.4%		4.4%		6.0%		5.2%	
	10	2.0%		3.6%		5.7%		5.0%	
	11	2.8%		5.2%		7.6%		9.4%	
	12	3.4%		6.2%		9.9%		12.4%	
	13	3.2%		5.9%		9.5%		11.9%	
	14	9.6%		17.6%		28.3%		35.5%	

		Total		Likely Noven	nber 2016	Likely June 2	016 Voter	Likely Novem	ber 2015
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
	0	54.6%		27.0%		14.5%		13.5%	
	1	12.1%		16.2%		9.1%		7.9%	
	2	5.3%		9.7%		6.3%		4.5%	
	3	4.9%		5.1%		6.2%		3.7%	
	4	1.3%		2.4%		3.2%		3.5%	
	5	.9%		1.7%		2.2%		2.4%	
	6	2.7%		4.9%		7.6%		6.3%	
R. Absentee Voter	7	2.0%		3.6%		5.0%		3.3%	
	8	1.1%		2.0%		3.1%		3.8%	
	9	.9%		1.7%		2.0%		1.8%	
	10	1.5%		2.7%		3.9%		2.9%	
	11	1.6%		2.9%		4.7%		5.9%	
	12	2.3%		4.3%		6.9%		8.6%	
	13	2.5%		4.5%		7.3%		9.1%	
	14	6.2%		11.3%		18.1%		22.7%	
S. Likely June 2016 Voter	Yes	34.0%		62.2%		100.0%		97.1%	
3. Likely Julie 2010 Votel	No	66.0%		37.8%		0.0%		2.9%	
T. Likely Nevember 2015 Veter	Yes	27.1%		49.5%		77.3%		100.0%	
T. Likely November 2015 Voter	No	72.9%		50.5%		22.7%		0.0%	
U. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	66.8%		71.2%		77.0%		79.1%	
O. Fermanent Absentee Voter	No	33.2%		28.8%		23.0%		20.9%	
V. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	39.1%		71.5%		77.5%		79.7%	
v. Likely Absentee Voter	No	60.9%		28.5%		22.5%		20.3%	