



October 24, 2016

Addendum No. 2
Request for Proposals
Engineering Design Services
Victor Jones Park Improvements

This addendum is issued to address questions received from a prospective bidder.

Question No. 1: Is the intent to replace the playground equipment in the same circles areas which exist?

Answer: Yes.

Question No. 2: Is the accessible surface of the playground to be an engineered surface; such as rubber or synthetic turfs or a fibar type system?

Answer: The accessible surface shall be a fibar-type system. The pour in place systems were determined to be cost-prohibitive during preliminary design.

Question No. 3: Is the Site Design Alternative different from the Community Preferred – Upper Terrace and Play Area Alternatives?

Answer: No, all the plans provided in the RFP are describing the same site layout and play area.

Question No. 4: What is the actual intended limit of work? Top or bottom of stairs?

Answer: Top of the stairs.

Question No. 5: Is the “service road” entry path to be repaved?

Answer: No.

Question No. 6: What type of surfacing is envisioned for the bocce court? Oyster shells, stabilized DG or a synthetic blend?

Answer: Design consultant to recommend surface to City for approval.

Question No. 7: What is envisioned for the shade canopy? An arbor? Metal or Wood? Pavilion of sorts?

Answer: Design consultant to recommend design of shade canopy to City for approval.


Question No. 8: Is the intent to re-landscape and irrigate the existing landscape surrounding the playground, community area and the street frontage?

Answer: Yes, cost permitting.

Question No. 9: New signage?

Answer: To be determined by the design consultant.

By:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Steph Gindlesperger', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Stephanie Gindlesperger, P.E.
Associate Civil Engineer