SCITACC

Southern California Institute of Architecture Title IX Policy and Procedure

This title IX policy and procedure was prepared with the assistance of NCHERM / ATIXA.

Use and adaptation of this model with citation to the NCHERM Group / ATIXA is permitted through a license to SCI-Arc. All other rights reserved.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Policy and	Procedures: Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Nondiscrimination	
	SCI-Arc Policy on Nondiscrimination	2
	2. SCI-Arc Policy on Accommodation of Disabilities	2
	3. SCI-Arc Policy on Discriminatory Harassment	3
	4. Other Civil Rights Offenses, When the Act is Based Upon the Status of a Protected Class	9
	5. Retaliation	(11)
	6. Remedial Action	(11)
	7. Confidentiality and Reporting of Offenses Under This Policy	(11)
	8. Federal Timely Warning Obligations	(12)
Resolution	n Process for Complaints of Harassment, Sexual Misconduct and Other Forms of Discrimination	
	1. Filing a Complaint	(13)
	2. Resolution Intake	(13)
	3. Advisors	(14)
	4. Investigation	(15)
	5. Interim Remedies	(15)
	6. Resolution of Reported Misconduct	16
	C. Robolidion of Reported Milobolidate	\bigcirc
	7. Disabilities Accommodation in the Equity Resolution Process	23



POLICY AND PROCEDURES: EQUAL OPPORTUNITY, HARASSMENT AND NONDISCRIMINATION

SCI-Arc affirms its commitment to promote the goals of fairness and equity in all aspects of the educational enterprise. All policies below are subject to resolution using SCI-Arc's resolution process, as detailed below. This process is applicable regardless of the status of the parties involved, who may be members or non-members of the campus community, students, student organizations, faculty, administrators and/or staff. SCI-Arc reserves the right to act on incidents occurring on-campus or off-campus, when the off-campus conduct could have an on-campus impact or impact on the educational mission of SCI-Arc.

The Human Resources Director, Liliana Clough, serves as the Title IX Coordinator and oversees implementation of SCI-Arc's policy on equal opportunity, harassment and nondiscrimination. Reports of discrimination, harassment and/or retaliation should be made to the Title IX Coordinator promptly, but there is no time limitation on the filing of the complaint, as long as the responding party¹ remains subject to SCI-Arc's jurisdiction. All reports are acted upon promptly while every effort is made by SCI-Arc to preserve the privacy of reports. Anonymous reports may also be filed online, using the reporting form posted on the Title IX page on the sciarc.edu website. Reporting is addressed more specifically in Section 7 below. In the event of a conflict of interest involving the Title IX Coordinator or to make reports of discrimination by the Title IX Coordinator, please contact SCI-Arc Vice Director/Chief Academic Officer, John Enright (john_enright@sciarc.edu).

This policy applies to behaviors that take place on SCI-Arc property, at SCI-Arc-sponsored events and may also apply off-campus and to actions online when the Title IX Coordinator determines that the off-campus conduct affects a substantial SCI-Arc interest. A substantial SCI-Arc interest is defined to include:

- A. Any action that constitutes criminal offense as defined by federal or state law. This includes, but is not limited to, single or repeat violations of any local, state or federal law committed in the municipality where SCI-Arc is located;
- B. Any situation where it appears that the responding party may present a danger or threat to the health or safety of self or others;
- C. Any situation that significantly impinges upon the rights, property or achievements of self or others or significantly breaches the peace and/or causes social disorder; and/or
- D. Any situation that is detrimental to the educational interests of SCI-Arc.

Any online postings or other electronic communication by students, including cyber-bullying, cyber-stalking, cyber-harassment, etc. occurring completely outside of SCI-Arc's control (e.g. not on SCI-Arc networks, websites or involving the use of any SCI-Arc email accounts) will only be subject to this policy when those online behaviors can be shown to cause a substantial SCI-Arc disruption. Otherwise, such communications are considered speech protected by the 1st Amendment.

Off-campus discriminatory or harassing speech by employees may be regulated by SCI-Arc only when such speech is made in an employee's official or work-related capacity.

¹ The responding party is the term used by SCI-Arc to refer to the person accused of a policy violation. SCI-Arc refers to the person bringing an accusation as the reporting party and is meant to reference the victim or complainant as the injured/harmed party.



Inquiries about this policy and procedure may be made internally to:

Liliana Clough

Title IX Coordinator (213) 356-5350

Liliana_clough@sciarc.edu

960 E 3rd Street, Los Angeles, CA. 90013

Inquiries may be made externally to:

Office for Civil Rights (OCR)
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20202-1100
Customer Service #: (800) 421-3481

Fax: (202) 453-6012 TDD#: (877) 521-2172

OCReed.gov www.ed.gov/ocr Paul Holliday

Deputy Title IX Coordinator (213) 356-5348

Paul_holliday@sciarc.edu

960 E 3rd Street,

Los Angeles, CA. 90013

San Francisco Office U.S. Department of Education 50 Beale Street, Suite 7200 San Francisco, CA 94105-1813 (415) 486-5555

Fax: (415) 486-5570 OCR.SanFrancisco@ed.gov

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)

Contact: www.eeoc.gov/contact/

1. SCI-Arc Policy on Nondiscrimination

SCI-Arc adheres to all federal and state civil rights laws banning discrimination in institutions of higher education. SCI-Arc will not discriminate against any employee, applicant for employment, student or applicant for admission on the basis of race, religion, color, ethnicity, national origin (including ancestry), marital or familial status, physical or mental disability, medical condition, pregnancy age, sex, sexual orientation, gender, gender identity, gender expression, veteran or military status, predisposing genetic characteristics or any other protected category under applicable local, state or federal law, including protections for those opposing discrimination or participating in any resolution process within SCI-Arc or within the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission or other human rights agencies.

This policy covers nondiscrimination in employment and in access to educational opportunities. Therefore, any member of the campus community, guest or visitor who acts to deny, deprive or limit the educational, employment, residential and/or social access, benefits and/or opportunities of any member of the campus community on the basis of their actual or perceived membership in the protected classes listed above is in violation of SCI-Arc policy on nondiscrimination. When brought to the attention of SCI-Arc, any such discrimination will be appropriately remedied by SCI-Arc according to the procedures below.

2. SCI-Arc Policy on Accommodation of Disabilities

SCI-Arc is committed to full compliance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, which prohibit discrimination against qualified persons with disabilities, as well as other applicable federal and state laws pertaining to individuals with disabilities.



The Academic Advisor has been designated as the ADA/504 Coordinator responsible for coordinating efforts to comply with these disability laws, including investigation of any complaint alleging noncompliance.

A. Students with Disabilities

SCI-Arc is committed to providing qualified students with disabilities with reasonable accommodations and support needed to ensure equal access to the academic programs and activities of SCI-Arc.

All accommodations are made on a case-by-case basis. A student requesting any accommodation should first contact the Academic Advisor who coordinates services for students with disabilities. The Academic Advisor reviews documentation provided by the student and, in consultation with the student, determines which accommodations are appropriate to the student's particular needs and academic programs.

B. Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to the ADA and applicable California state law, SCI-Arc will provide reasonable accommodation(s) in accordance with those laws to all qualified employees with disabilities or medical condidations, except where doing so would result in undue hardship.

SCI-Arc will also participate in a timely, good faith, interactive process with employees to determine reasonable accommodations, if any, that can be made in response to a request for accommodation(s). An employee with a disability should request an accommodation to the Title IX Coordinator and provide appropriate documentation of the need for accommodation and the work restrictions (if any) (Note: Accommodation requests need not be in writing). The Title IX Coordinator will work with the employee's supervisor to identify which essential functions of the position are affected by the employee's disability and what reasonable accommodations enable the employee to perform those duties.

3. SCI-Arc Policy on Discriminatory Harassment

Students, staff, administrators, and faculty are entitled to a working environment and educational environment free of discriminatory harassment. SCI-Arc's harassment policy is not meant to inhibit or prohibit educational content or discussions inside or outside of the classroom that include germane, but controversial or sensitive subject matters protected by academic freedom. The sections below describe the specific forms of legally prohibited harassment that are also prohibited under SCI-Arc policy.

A. Discriminatory Harassment

Harassment constitutes a form of discrimination that is prohibited by law. SCI-Arc will remedy all forms of discrimination, harassment and retaliation when reported, whether or not the conduct rises to the level of being actionable under relevant legal standards. When harassment rises to the level of creating a hostile work environment, SCI-Arc may also impose disciplinary action on the harasser up to and including termination

SCI-Arc's harassment policy explicitly prohibits any form of harassment, defined as unwelcome conduct based on race, color, religion, national origin, ancestry, physical disability, mental disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sex, gender, gender identity, gender expression, age, military

² This definition of hostile environment is based on Federal Register / Vol. 59, No. 47 / Thursday, March 10, 1994: Department Of Education Office For Civil Rights, Racial Incidents And Harassment Against Students At Educational Institutions Investigative Guidance. The document is available at http://www.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/race394.html.



and veteran status, or any other characteristic protected by the state or federal employment discrimination laws. This policy applies to all agents and employees, students and visitors of SCI-Arc, including supervisors, managers, co-workers, non-supervisory employees, third parties with whom an employee comes into contact, vendors and contractors who engage in unlawful harassment.

A hostile environment may be created by verbal, written, graphic, or physical conduct that is based on a legally protected characteristic (see above) and is sufficiently severe or persistent/pervasive and objectively offensive that it interferes with, limits or denies the ability of an individual to participate in or benefit from educational programs or activities or employment access, benefits or opportunities².

Offensive conduct and/or harassment that does not rise to the level of a hostile work environment or that is of a generic nature not on the basis of a protected status may result in the imposition of discipline under SCI-Arc policy, or it may be addressed through other means, including civilmediation, remedial actions, education and/or effective conflict resolution mechanisms. For assistance with conflict resolution techniques, contact the Title IX Coordinator.

SCI-Arc condemns and will not tolerate discriminatory harassment against any employee, student, visitor or guest on the basis of any status protected by SCI-Arc policy or law.

B. Sexual Harassment

Federal and state law regard sexual harassment as a form of sex/gender discrimination and, therefore, as an unlawful discriminatory practice. SCI-Arc has adopted the following definition of sexual harassment, in order to address the special environment of an academic community, which consists not only of employer and employees, but of students as well³.

Sexual harassment can involve verbal, written, online and/or physical conduct and includes, but is not limited to, making unwanted sexual advances and requests for sexual favors where either (1) submission to such conduct is made an explicit or implicit term or condition of employment; (2) submission to or rejection of such conduct by an individual is used as the basis for employment decisions affecting such individual; or (3) such conduct has the purpose or effect of substantially interfering with, denying or limiting an individual's employment opportunities or the ability to participate in or benefit from SCI-Arc's educational and/or social programs, or interfering with an individual's work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive working environment. Employees who violate this policy are subject to discipline up to and including the possibility of immediate termination of employment.

The prohibition on sexual harassment applies to all employees, students, visitors and in particular to supervisors (including direct supervisory and other management staff) because of power differentials.

It is improper to make sexual advances, ask for, demand or seek by subtle pressure sexual favors or activity from an employee/student, or to subject another employee/student to verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature where: (1) the submission to such behavior is a condition of any employment/academic opportunity, benefit, job retention, grade; or (2) the submission to or rejection of such conduct is used as a basis for employment/academic decisions. A sexual advance or offer of sexual favors violates this policy regardless of whether the advance is expressly related to the affected employee's/student's employment/academic status.

³ Also of relevance is the Office of Civil Rights 2001 statement on sexual harassment, "Revised Sexual Harassment Guidance: Harassment Of Students By School Employees, Other Students, Or Third Parties, Title IX," which can be found at https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/shguide.html, as well as the April, 2011 Dear Colleague Letter on Campus Sexual Violence, which can be found at: https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-201104.html



Anyone experiencing sexual harassment 4 at SCI-Arc is encouraged to report it immediately to the Title IX Coordinator.

- → This policy prohibits any retaliation against an employee/student for refusing a sexual advance or for refusing a request, demand or pressure for sexual favors or activity or to retaliate against an employee/student who has reported an incident of possible sexual harassment to SCI- Arc or to any government agency.
- → Due to the possibility of misinterpretation of acts by other employees/students, SCI-Arc discourages all roughhousing or physical contact, except that contact necessary and incidental to an employee's job/student's academic status. Further, certain kinds of physical conduct in the work/academic environment are particularly inappropriate and may be grounds for immediate discipline, including dismissal from SCI-Arc. That conduct includes, but is not limited to:
 - Yes Kissing or attempting to kiss an employee/student;
 - Ye Touching or attempting to touch or pretending to touch the breasts, buttocks or genitals of an employee/student;
 - Physically restraining by force or blocking the path of an employee/student when accompanied by other conduct of a sexual nature; or
 - Any other touching or attempted touching reasonably interpreted to be of a sexual nature.
- → Sexual advances, unwelcome requests, demands, or subtle pressure for sexual favors or activity, lewd comments and sexual innuendoes are also prohibited. This conduct includes, but is not limited to:
 - □ Comments to an employee/student or others about the body of an employee/ student which are intended to draw attention to the sex of the employee/ student or can reasonably be interpreted to draw attention to the sex of the employee/student;
 - 'y Comments to the employee/student or others about the sexual conduct, capability, or desirability of an employee/student; or
 - \(\text{Cat calls, whistles, or other conduct reasonably interpreted to be of a sexual } \) nature.
- → Sexually suggestive gestures are also prohibited.

- → An instructor insists that a student have sex with him/her in exchange for a good grade. This is harassment regardless of whether the student accedes to the request.
- → A student repeatedly sends sexually oriented jokes around on one recipient to avoid the sender on campus.
- → Explicit sexual pictures are displayed in a Design Studio space.
- commenting suggestively about their clothing and appearance.
- → An instructor engages students in her class in discussions about
- their past sexual experiences, yet the conversation is not in any way germane to the subject matter of the class. She probes for explicit details, and demands that students answer her, though they are clearly uncomfortable and hesitant.
- an email list s/he created, even when asked to stop, causing \rightarrow An ex-girlfriend widely spreads false stories about her sex life with her former boyfriend to the clear discomfort of the boyfriend, turning him into a social pariah on campus
- → Two supervisors frequently 'rate' several employees' bodies & sex → A student grabbed another student by the hair, then grabbed her breast and put his mouth on it. While this is sexual harassment, it is also a form of sexual violence.

⁴ Some examples of possible Sexual Harassment include:



- → It is improper to subject employees/students to photographs, cartoons, articles, or other written or pictorial materials of a sexual nature after the employee/student has expressed his/her displeasure with such activity. These materials may be offensive to the public as well and should not be on display in offices or public areas in any event.
- → This policy is not intended to prohibit employees/students from asking other employees/ students for social engagements. However, repeated requests where prior social invitations have been refused can be interpreted as sexual harassment. Employees/students should refrain from persistent invitations after an employee/student has indicated that such invitations are unwelcome.

C. Sexual Misconduct

Violent and/or non-consensual sexual acts are crimes. Additionally, SCI-Arc has defined categories of sexual misconduct, as stated below, for which action under this policy may be imposed. SCI-Arc considers sexual assault to be the most serious, and therefore typically imposes the most severe sanctions, including expulsion for students and termination for employees. However, based on the facts and circumstances of the particular complaint, SCI-Arc reserves the right to impose any level of sanction, ranging from a reprimand up to and including suspension or expulsion/termination, for any act of sexual misconduct or other gender-based offenses, including intimate partner or relationship (dating and/or domestic) violence, non-consensual sexual contact and stalking,. Acts of sexual misconduct may be committed by any person upon any other person, regardless of the sex, gender, sexual orientation and/ or gender identity of those involved. Violations can include:

- 1. Sexual Harassment (as defined in section B above)
- 2. Non-Consensual Sexual Intercourse

Defined as:

- → Any sexual penetration or intercourse (anal, oral or vaginal)
- → However slight
- → With any object
- → By a person upon another person
- → That is without consent and/or by force

Sexual penetration includes vaginal or anal penetration by a penis, tongue, finger or object, or oral copulation by mouth to genital contact or genital to mouth contact.

3. Non-Consensual Sexual Contact

Defined as:

- → Any intentional sexual touching
- → However slight
- → With any object
- → By a person upon another person
- → That is without consent and/or by force



Sexual touching includes any bodily contact with the breasts, groin, genitals, mouth or other bodily orifice of another individual, or any other bodily contact in a sexual manner.

4. Sexual Exploitation

Sexual Exploitation refers to a situation in which a person takes non-consensual or abusive sexual advantage of another, and situations in which the conduct does not fall within the definitions of Sexual Harassment, Non-Consensual Sexual Intercourse or Non-Consensual Sexual Contact. Examples of sexual exploitation include, but are not limited to:

- → Sexual voyeurism (such as watching a person undressing, using the bathroom or engaged in sexual acts without the consent of the person observed)
- → Taking pictures or video or audio recording another in a sexual act, or in any other private activity, without the consent of all involved in the activity, or exceeding the boundaries of consent (such as allowing another person to hide in a closet and observe sexual activity, or disseminating sexual pictures without the photographed person's consent)
- → Prostitution
- → Sexual exploitation also includes engaging in sexual activity with another person while knowingly infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or other sexually transmitted disease (STD) and without informing the other person of the infection, and further includes administering alcohol or drugs (such as "date rape" drugs) to another person without his or her knowledge or consent

Consent⁵

- → Consent is informed and an affirmative, unambiguous, and conscious decision by each participant to engage in mutually agreed-upon sexual activity. For consent to be valid, there must be a clear expression in words or actions that the other individual consented to that specific sexual conduct.
- → Consent is voluntary. It must be given without coercion, force, threats, or intimidation. Consent is an expression of free will.
- → Consent in some form of sexual activity does not imply consent to other forms of sexual activity. Consent to sexual activity on one occasion is not consent to engage in sexual activity on another occasion. A current or previous dating or sexual relationship, by itself, is not sufficient to constitute consent. Even in the context of a relationship, there must be mutual consent to engage in sexual activity. Consent must be ongoing throughout a sexual encounter and can be revoked at any time. Once consent is withdrawn, the sexual activity must stop immediately.
- → Consent cannot be given when a person is incapacitated. A person cannot consent if she/he is under the influence of drugs, alcohol, or medication, unconscious or coming in and out of consciousness. An individual who engages in sexual activity when the individual knows, or should know, that the other person is physically or mentally incapacitated has violated this policy.

⁵ The state definition of consent can be found at http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?section-Num=261.&lawCode=PEN, which is applicable to criminal prosecutions for sex offenses in California, but may differ from the definition used by SCI-Arc to address policy violations.



- → It is not an excuse that the individual responding party of sexual misconduct was intoxicated and, therefore, did not realize the incapacity of the other.
- → Incapacitation is defined as a state where someone cannot make rational, reasonable decisions because they lack the capacity to give knowing consent (e.g., to understand the "who, what, when, where, why or how" of their sexual interaction). This policy also covers a person whose incapacity results from mental disability, involuntary physical restraint and/or from the taking of incapacitating drugs.
- → A person cannot consent if she/he is under the threat of violence, bodily injury or other forms of coercion. A person cannot consent if his/her understanding of the act is affected by a physical or mental impairment.
- → In the evaluation of any allegation it is not a valid excuse to alleged lack of affirmative consent that the responding party believed that the Reporting Party consented to the sexual activity under either of the following circumstances:
 - (A) The Responding Party's belief in affirmative consent arose from the intoxication or recklessness of the accused.
 - (B) The Responding Party did not take reasonable steps, in the circumstances known to the accused at the time, to ascertain whether the Reporting Party affirmatively consented.
 - In The State of California, a minor (meaning a person under the age of 18 years) cannot consent to sexual activity. This means that sexual contact by an adult with a person younger than 18 years old is a crime, as well as a violation of this policy, even if the minor wanted to engage in the act.

Examples

- 1. Amanda and Bill meet at a party. They spend the evening dancing and getting to know each other. Bill convinces Amanda to come up to his room. From 11:00pm until 3:00am, Bill uses every line he can think of to convince Amanda to have sex with him, but she adamantly refuses. He keeps at her, and begins to question her religious convictions, and accuses her of being "a prude." Finally, it seems to Bill that her resolve is weakening, and he convinces her to give him a "hand job" (hand to genital contact). Amanda would never had done it but for Bill's incessant advances. He feels that he successfully seduced her, and that she wanted to do it all along, but was playing shy and hard to get. Why else would she have come up to his room alone after the party? If she really didn't want it, she could have left. Bill is responsible for violating SCI-Arc Non-Consensual or Forced Sexual Contact policy. It is likely that a SCI-Arc hearing board would find that the degree and duration of the pressure Bill applied to Amanda are unreasonable. Bill coerced Amanda into performing unwanted sexual touching upon him. Where sexual activity is coerced, it is forced. Consent is not effective when forced. Sex without effective consent is sexual misconduct.
- 2. Kevin and Amy are at a party. Kevin is not sure how much Amy has been drinking, but he is pretty sure it's a lot. After the party, he walks Amy to her room, and Amy comes on to Kevin, initiating sexual activity. Kevin asks her if she is really up to this, and Amy says yes. Clothes go flying, and they end up in Amy's bed. Suddenly, Amy runs for the bathroom. When she returns, her face is pale, and Kevin thinks she may have thrown up. Amy gets back into bed, and they begin to have sexual intercourse. Kevin is having a good time, though he can't help but notice that Amy seems pretty groggy and passive, and he thinks Amy may have even passed out briefly during the sex, but he does not let that stop him. When Kevin runs into Amy



the next day, he thanks her for the wild night. Amy remembers nothing, and decides to make a complaint to her Program Chair. This is a violation of the Non-Consensual Sexual Intercourse Policy. Kevin should have known that Amy was incapable of making a rational, reasonable decision about sex. Even if Amy seemed to consent, Kevin was well aware that Amy had consumed a large amount of alcohol, and Kevin thought Amy was physically ill, and that she passed out during sex. Kevin should be held accountable for taking advantage of Amy in her condition. This is not the level of respectful conduct expected of students.

4. Examples of Prohibited harassment, When the Act is Based Upon the Status of a Protected Class

- → Threatening or causing physical harm, verbal abuse or other conduct which threatens or endangers the health or safety of any person on the basis of their actual or perceived membership in a protected class
- → Intimidation, defined as implied threats or acts that cause an unreasonable fear of harm in another on the basis of actual or perceived membership in a protected class
- → Hazing, defined as acts likely to cause physical or psychological harm or social ostracism to any person within SCI-Arc community, when related to the admission, initiation, pledging, joining, or any other group-affiliation activity on the basis of actual or perceived membership in a protected class; hazing is also illegal under state law and prohibited by SCI-Arc policy
- → Bullying, defined as repeated and/or severe aggressive behavior that is likely to intimidate or intentionally hurt, control or diminish another person physically or mentally
- → Violence between those in an intimate relationship to each other on the basis of actual or perceived membership in a protected class (this includes romantic relationships, dating, domestic⁶ and/or relationship violence)⁷
- → Stalking⁸

A. Stalking 1:

- 1. A course of conduct
- 2. Directed at a specific person
- 3. On the basis of actual or perceived membership in a protected class
- 4. That is unwelcome, AND
- 5. Would cause a reasonable person to feel fear

⁶ The state definition of domestic violence is found at http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?section-Num=240.&lawCode=PEN, which is applicable to criminal prosecutions for domestic violence in California, but may differ from the definition used by SCI-Arc to address policy violations. [Included for VAWA Section 304 compliance purposes]

[→] Employee A has been in an intimate relationship with Employee B for over a year; Employee A punches Employee B in the face during an argument (Dating Violence).

[→] Student A has been in an intimate relationship with Student B for over a year; Students A & B live together. During an argument, Student A shoves Student B to the ground (Domestic Violence).

⁸ The state definition of stalking can be found a http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?section-Num=646.9&lawCode=PEN, which is applicable to criminal prosecutions for stalking in California, but may differ from the definition used by SCI-Arc to address policy violations. [Included for VAWA Section 304 compliance purposes.]



B. Stalking 2:

- 1. Repetitive and Menacing
- 2. Pursuit, following, harassing and/or interfering with the peace and/or safety of another

C. Examples of Stalking:

- A student repeatedly shows up outside another student's class and follows the student to the parking lot. Occasionally, the student also camps out in the parking lot by the car, waiting for the student to come out. (Stalking 1).
- 2. A graduate student working as a teaching assistant received flowers and gifts delivered to the TA's office. When the TA learned the gifts were from a student from the TA's class, the TA thanked the student and stated that it was not necessary and would appreciate the gift deliveries to stop. The student then started leaving notes of love and gratitude on the TA's car, both on-campus and at home. Asked again to stop, the student stated by email: "You can ask me to stop, but I'm not giving up. We are meant to be together, and I'll do anything necessary to make you have the feelings for me that I have for you." When the TA did not respond, the student emailed again, "You cannot escape me. I will track you to the ends of the earth. We are meant to be together." (Stalking 2).
- → Violation of any other SCI-Arc rules, when the violation is motivated by the actual or perceived membership of the reporting party's sex or gender or in a protected class, may be pursued using this policy and process when the violation results in a discriminatory deprivation of educational or employments rights, privileges, benefits and/or opportunities.

Sanctions for any conduct in violation of this policy will range from reprimand up through and including expulsion of students or termination of employment.

5. Retaliation

Retaliation is defined as taking a material adverse action against a person participating in a protected activity because of the person's participation in that protected activity. Retaliation against an individual for alleging harassment, supporting a reporting party or for assisting in providing information relevant to a claim of harassment is a serious violation of SCI-Arc policy and will be treated as such. Acts of alleged retaliation should be reported immediately to the Title IX Coordinator or to the Deputy Title IX Coordinator and will be promptly investigated. SCI-Arc will take appropriate steps to protect individuals who fear that they may be subjected to retaliation.



6. Remedial Action

SCI-Arc will implement initial remedial and responsive and/or protective actions upon notice of alleged harassment, retaliation and/or discrimination. Such actions could include, but are not limited to: no contact orders, providing counseling and/or medical services, academic support, providing a campus escort, academic or work schedule and assignment accommodations, transportation resources, safety planning, referral to campus and community support resources.

SCI-Arc will take additional prompt remedial and/or disciplinary action with respect to any member of the community, guest or visitor who has been found to engage in harassing or discriminatory behavior or retaliation. Procedures for handling reported incidents are fully described below. Deliberately false and/or malicious accusations of harassment, as opposed to reports which, even if erroneous, are made in good faith, are just as serious an offense as harassment and will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action.

7. Confidentiality and Reporting of Offenses Under This Policy

SCI-Arc officials, depending on their roles at SCI-Arc, have varying reporting responsibilities and abilities to maintain confidentiality. In order to make informed choices, a reporting party should be aware of confidentiality and mandatory reporting requirements when consulting campus resources. Other resources exist to report crimes and policy violations and these resources will take action when a reporting party shares notice of victimization with them. The following describes the reporting options at SCI-Arc:

A. Confidential Reporting

If a reporting party would like the details of an incident to be kept confidential, the reporting party may speak with SCI-Arc designated independent counselor(s), health service providers, off-campus local rape crisis counselors, domestic violence resources, local or state assistance agencies, or off-campus members of the clergy/chaplains. SCI-Arc independent counselor(s) and/or the Employee Assistance Program are available to help free of charge and can be seen on an emergency basis during normal business hours.

Please note that SCI-Arc and its agents must make disclosures in order to remedy the situation and they may be required to share information with others (including outside law enforcement, if necessary) regarding incidents. Such information will be shared on a need-to-know basis.

B. Formal Reporting Options

SCI-Arc employees have a duty to report, unless they fall under the section above. If a reporting party does not wish for their name to be shared, does not wish for an investigation to take place, or does not want a formal resolution to be pursued, the reporting party may make such a request to the Title IX Coordinator, who will evaluate that request in light of the duty to ensure the safety of the campus and comply with federal law.

In cases indicating pattern, predation, weapons, threat and/or violence, SCI-Arc will be unable to honor a request for confidentiality. In cases where the reporting party requests confidentiality and the circumstances allow SCI-Arc to honor that request, SCI-Arc will offer interim supports and remedies to the reporting party and the community, but will not otherwise pursue formal action. A reporting party has the right, and can expect, to have reports taken seriously by SCI-Arc when formally reported, and to have



those incidents investigated and properly resolved through these procedures. Formal reporting still affords privacy to the reporter, and only a small group of officials who need to know will be told, including but not limited to investigators, witnesses, SCI-Arc Director, select senior administrators and the responding party. The circle of people with this knowledge will be kept as tight as possible to preserve a reporting party's rights and privacy.

8. Federal Timely Warning Obligations

Reporting parties should be aware that SCI-Arc administrators must issue timely warnings for incidents reported to them that pose a substantial threat of bodily harm or danger to members of the campus community. SCI-Arc will make every effort to ensure that a reporting party's name and other identifying information is not disclosed, while still providing enough information for community members to make safety decisions in light of the potential danger.

RESOLUTION PROCESS FOR COMPLAINTS OF HARASSMENT, SEXUAL MISCONDUCT AND OTHER FORMS OF DISCRIMINATION

SCI-Arc will act on any formal or informal report or notice of violation of the policy on Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Nondiscrimination that is received by the Title IX Coordinator or any member of the administration.

The procedures described below will apply to all resolutions involving students, staff or faculty members. Redress and requests for responsive actions for reports made by non-members of the community are also covered by these procedures.

1. Filing a Complaint

Any member of the community, guest or visitor who believes he or she has experienced or witnessed a violation of the policy on Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Nondiscrimination should report it immediately to the Title IX Coordinator. Employees may also notify a supervisor, or for students to notify an administrator or faculty member, or any member of the community may contact SCI-Arc Security. These individuals will in turn notify the Title IX Coordinator. SCI-Arc's website (sciarc.edu)also includes a reporting form posted on the Title IX page which may be used to initiate a resolution. Employees may also report unlawful harassment to the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission or the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing.

All employees, particularly supervisors, receiving reports of a potential violation of SCI-Arc policy must immediately contact the Title IX Coordinator or designee, within 24 business hours of becoming aware of a report or incident. The Human Resources Department/Title IX Coordinator will promptly investigate all such claims and take appropriate corrective action, including appropriate options for remedial actions and resolutions, such as possible disciplinary action, when it is warranted. In all cases, SCI-Arc will give con-sideration to the reporting party with respect to how the resolution is pursued, but reserves the right, when necessary to protect the community, to investigate and pursue a resolution even if the reporting party chooses not to initiate or participate in a formal resolution.



2. Resolution Intake

Normally within two business days of receipt of notice or a report, the Title IX Coordinator⁹ will make an initial determination as to whether a policy violation may have occurred and/or whether conflict resolution might be appropriate. If the report does not appear to allege a policy violation or if conflict resolution is not desired by the reporting party, and does not appear to be necessary given the nature of the alleged behavior, then the report will not proceed to investigation.

All investigations will be treated as confidential, to the extent possible, but such investigations cannot be kept completely confidential. The investigation will provide for documentation and tracking for reasonable progress and timely closure based on evidence collected. SCI-Arc aims to complete all investigations within a reasonable and prompt time period.

SCI-Arc's resolution will not typically be altered or precluded on the grounds that civil or criminal charges involving the same incident have been filed or that any such charges have been dismissed or reduced. However, SCI-Arc may undertake a short delay (several days to weeks) in its investigation or resolution process to comply with a law enforcement request for cooperation (e.g.: to allow for criminal evidence collection) when criminal charges on the basis of the same behaviors that have triggered this process are being investigated. SCI-Arc will promptly resume its investigation and processes once notified by law enforcement that the initial evidence collection process is complete.

3. Advisors

All parties are entitled to an advisor of their choosing to guide and accompany them throughout the resolution process. The advisor may be a friend, mentor, family member, attorney or any other supporter a party chooses to advise them. The parties may choose advisors from inside or outside the campus community.

The parties may be accompanied by their advisor in all meetings and interviews at which the party is entitled to be present, including intake and interviews. Advisors should help their advisees prepare for each meeting, and are expected to advise ethically, with integrity and in good faith. SCI-Arc cannot guarantee equal advisory rights, meaning that if one party selects an advisor who is an attorney, but the other party does not, or cannot afford an attorney, SCI-Arc is not obligated to provide one. Additionally, responding parties may wish to contact organizations such as:

- → FACE (http://www.facecampusequality.org)
- → SAVE (http://www.saveservices.org)

Reporting parties may wish to contact organizations such as:

- → The Victim Rights Law Center (http://www.victimrights.org), or the
- → The National Center for Victims of Crime (http://www.victimsofcrime.org), which maintains the Crime Victim's Bar Association.

All advisors are subject to the same campus rules, whether they are attorneys or not. Advisors may not address campus officials in a meeting or interview unless invited to. They may confer quietly with their advisees as necessary, as long as they do not disrupt the process. For longer or more involved discussions, the parties and their advisors should ask for breaks or step out of meetings to allow for private conversation.

⁹ If circumstances require, SCI-Arc may designate another person to oversee the process below, should a report be made involving the Coordinator or if the Coordinator is otherwise unavailable or unable to fulfill their duties.



Advisors are expected to refrain from interference with SCI-Arc investigation and resolution. Any advisor who steps out of their role in any meeting under the campus resolution process will be warned once and only once. If the advisor continues to disrupt or otherwise fails to respect the limits of the advisor role, the advisor will be asked to leave the meeting. When an advisor is removed from a meeting, that meeting will typically continue without the advisor present. Subsequently, the Title IX Coordinator will determine whether the advisor may be reinstated, may be replaced by a different advisor, or whether the party will forfeit the right to an advisor for the remainder of the process.

SCI-Arc expects that the parties will wish to share documentation related to the allegations with their advisors. SCI-Arc provides a FERPA consent form that authorizes such sharing. The parties must complete this form before SCI-Arc is able to share records with an advisor. Advisors are expected to maintain the privacy of the records shared with them. These records may not be shared with 3rd parties, disclosed publicly, or used for purposes not explicitly authorized by SCI-Arc. SCI-Arc may seek to restrict the role of any advisor who does not respect the sensitive nature of the process or who fails to abide by SCI-Arc's privacy expectations.

SCI-Arc expects advisors to adjust their schedule to allow them to attend SCI-Arc meetings when scheduled. SCI-Arc does not typically change scheduled meetings to accommodate an advisor's inability to attend. SCI-Arc will, however, make provisions to allow an advisor who cannot attend in person to attend a meeting by telephone, video and/or virtual meeting technologies as may be convenient and available.

A party may elect to change advisors during the process, and is not locked into using the same advisor throughout.

4. Investigation

If reporting party wishes to pursue a formal resolution or if SCI-Arc, based on the alleged policy violation, wishes to pursue a formal resolution, then the Title IX Coordinator appoints a trained investigator(s) to conduct the investigation, usually within two business days of determining that a resolution should proceed. Investigations are completed expeditiously, normally within 10 business days of notice to the Title IX Coordinator. Investigations may take longer when initial reports fail to provide direct first-hand information. SCI-Arc may undertake a short delay (to allow evidence collection) when criminal charges on the basis of the same behaviors that invoke this process are being investigated. SCI-Arc's resolution will not be altered or precluded on the grounds that civil or criminal charges involving the same incident have been filed or that charges have been dismissed or reduced.

All investigations will be timely, thorough, reliable,impartial, prompt and fair and will entail interviews with all relevant parties and witnesses, obtaining available evidence and identifying sources of expert information, if necessary. The Title IX Coordinator has discretion to determine the scope of the investigation. At any point during the investigation, if it is determined there is no reasonable cause to believe that SCI-Arc policy has been vio-lated, the Title IX Coordinator may recommend to terminate the investigation and end resolution proceedings.

Witnesses are expected to cooperate with and participate in SCI-Arc's investigation. Witnesses may provide written statements in lieu of interviews during the investigation and may be interviewed remotely by phone, Skype (or similar technology), if they cannot be interviewed in person.



5. Interim Remedies

If, in the judgment of the Title IX Coordinator, the safety or well-being of any member(s) of the campus community may be jeopardized by the presence on-campus of the responding party or the ongoing activity of a student organization whose behavior is in question, the Title IX Coordinator may provide interim remedies intended to address the short-term effects of harassment, discrimination and/or retaliation, i.e., to redress harm to the reporting party and the community and to prevent further violations. These remedies may include referral to counseling and health services or to the Employee Assistance Program, education to the community, altering work arrangements for employees, providing campus escorts, implementing contact limitations between the parties, offering adjustments to academic deadlines, course schedules, etc.

SCI-Arc may interim suspend a student, employee or organization pending the completion of investigation and procedures. In all cases in which an interim suspension is imposed, the student, employee or student organization will be given the opportunity to meet with the Title IX Coordinator prior to such suspension being imposed, or as soon thereafter as reasonably possible, to show cause why the suspension should not be implemented. The Title IX Coordinator has discretion to implement or stay an interim suspension under the policy on Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Nondiscrimination, and to determine its conditions and duration. Violation of an interim suspension under this policy will be grounds for expulsion or termination.

During an interim suspension or administrative leave, a student or employee may be denied access to SCI-Arc campus/facilities/events. As determined by the Title IX Coordinator this restriction includes classes and/or all other SCI-Arc activities or privileges for which the student or employee might otherwise be eligible. At the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator alternative coursework or work options may be pursued to ensure as minimal an impact as possible on the responding party.

6. Resolution of Reported Misconduct

During or upon the completion of investigation, the Title IX Coordinator will review the investigation, which may include meeting with the investigators. Based on that review, the Title IX Coordinator will make a decision on whether there is reasonable cause to proceed with the resolution process

If there is reasonable cause, the Title IX Coordinator will direct the investigation to continue and the allegation will be resolved through one of two processes discussed briefly here and in greater detail below:

- → Conflict Resolution typically used for less serious offenses and only when both parties agree to conflict resolution
- ightarrow Administrative Resolution resolution by a trained administrator

The process followed is dictated by the preference of the parties. Conflict Resolution will only occur if selected by both parties, otherwise the Administrative Resolution Process applies.

If, following a review of the investigation, the Title IX Coordinator decides by the preponderance of evidence that no policy violation has occurred, the process will end unless the reporting party requests that the Title IX Coordinator makes an extraordinary determination to re-open the investigation. This decision lies in the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator.



A. Conflict Resolution

Conflict resolution is often used for less serious, yet inappropriate, behaviors and is encouraged as an alternative to the formal hearing process to resolve conflicts. The Title IX Coordinator will determine if conflict resolution is appropriate, based on the willingness of the parties, the nature of the conduct at issue and the susceptibility of the conduct to conflict resolution. In a conflict resolution meeting, a trained administrator will facilitate a dialogue with the parties to an effective resolution, if possible. Sanctions are not possible as the result of a conflict resolution process, though the parties may agree to appropriate remedies. The Title IX Coordinator will keep records of any resolution that is reached, and failure to abide by the accord can result in appropriate responsive actions.

Conflict resolution will not be the primary resolution mechanism used to address reports of sexual misconduct or violent behavior of any kind or in other cases of serious violations of policy, though it may be made available after the formal process is completed should the parties and the Title IX Coordinator believe that it could be beneficial. It is not necessary to pursue conflict resolution first in order to make a formal report, and anyone participating in conflict resolution can stop that process at any time and request a shift to the administrative resolution.

Both parties will be notified of the outcome of Conflict Resolution, without undue delay between the notifications. Notification will be made in writing and may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person; mailed to the local or permanent address of the parties as indicated in official SCI-Arc records; or emailed to the parties' SCI-Arc-issued email account. Once mailed, emailed and/or received in-person, notice will be presumptively delivered.

B. Administrative Resolution

Administrative Resolution can be pursued for any behavior that falls within the policy on Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Nondiscrimination, at any time during the process. The Title IX Coordinator will provide written notification to any member of the SCI-Arc community who is the responding party to an allegation of harassment, discrimination, or retaliation. Prior to meeting with SCI-Arc investigators, the parties will be provided with a written description of the alleged violation(s), a description of the applicable procedures and a statement of the potential sanctions/responsive actions that could result. This notice will include the time, date and location of the interview and a reminder that attendance is mandatory, superseding all other campus activities. If the responding party does not appear at the scheduled meeting, the meeting will be held in the responding party's absence.

The Administrative Resolution process consists of a prompt, thorough and impartial investigation, a finding on each of the alleged policy violations, and sanctions for findings of responsibility. Once the investigation described above is complete, the Title IX Coordinator will meet with the responding party to review the findings and the investigation report. The responding party may bring an advisor of the responding party's choosing to the meeting. The responding party may elect not to attend or participate, but the Administrative Resolution will proceed regardless.

During the meeting, the Title IX Coordinator review the investigation report with the responding party and will render a finding utilizing the preponderance of the evidence standard, based on the information provided by the investigation. The Title IX Coordinator in consultation as appropriate, will also determine appropriate sanctions or remedial actions.

The Title IX Coordinator will prepare a written report detailing the finding, the information supporting that finding and any information excluded from consideration and why. This report typically does not exceed two pages in length.



The Title IX Coordinator will inform the responding party and the reporting party of the final determination in writing within 3 business days of the Administrative Resolution. The final determination letter, incorporating the report described above, will be made in writing and will be delivered either:

- 1. In person,
- 2. Emailed, or
- Mailed to the local address of the respective party as indicated in official SCI-Arc records. If there is no local address on file, mail will be sent to the party's permanent address.

Where the responding party is found not responsible for the alleged violation(s), the investigation will be closed. Where a violation is found, SCI-Arc will act to end the discrimination, prevent its recurrence, and remedy its effects on the victim and SCI-Arc community. In cases involving sexual misconduct, sexual harassment, stalking and/or intimate partner violence, the written notification includes the finding, any resulting responsive actions, and the rationale for the decision. This written notification of final decision is delivered to the parties without undue delay between the notifications, and is considered a final determination. Appeal is provided as described below.

C. Sanctions/Disciplinary Action

Factors considered when determining a sanction/responsive action may include:

- ightarrow The nature, severity of, and circumstances surrounding the violation
- → An individual's disciplinary history
- → Previous reports or allegations involving similar conduct
- → Any other information deemed relevant in the Administrative Resolution
- → The need for sanctions/responsive actions to bring an end to the discrimination, harassment and/or retaliation
- → The need for sanctions/responsive actions to prevent the future recurrence of discrimination, harassment and/or retaliation
- → The need to remedy the effects of the discrimination, harassment and/or retaliation on the reporting party and the community

1. Student Sanctions

The following are examples of the sanctions that may be imposed upon students or organizations singly or in combination:

- → Warning: A formal statement that the behavior was unacceptable and a warning that further infractions of any SCI-Arc policy, procedure or directive will result in more severe sanctions/responsive actions.
- → Probation: A written reprimand, providing for more severe disciplinary sanctions in the event that the student or organization is found in viola¬tion of any SCI-Arc policy, procedure or directive within a specified period of time. Terms of the probation will be specified and may include denial of specified social privileges, exclusion from co¬¬curricular activities, non-contact orders and/or other measures deemed appropriate.



- → Suspension: Termination of student status for a definite period of time not to exceed two years, and/or until specific criteria are met. Students who return from suspension are automatically placed on probation through the remainder of their tenure at SCI-Arc.
- → Expulsion: Permanent termination of student status, revocation of rights to be on campus for any reason or attend SCI-Arc-sponsored events. Any recommendations for suspension or expulsion of students may be reviewed by the Director / CEO.
- → Withholding Diploma or Participation in Commencement activities and/or Thesis Review events. SCI-Arc may withhold a student's diploma for a specified period of time and/or deny a student participation in commencement activities and/or from Thesis Review events if the student has a complaint pending, or as a sanction if the student is found responsible for an alleged violation.
- → Revocation of Degree. SCI-Arc reserves the right to revoke a degree awarded from SCI-Arc for fraud, misrepresentation or other violation of SCI-Arc policies, procedures or directives in obtaining the degree, or for other serious violations committed by a student prior to graduation.
- → Organizational Sanctions. Deactivation, de-recognition, loss of all privileges (including SCI-Arc registration), for a specified period of time.
- → Other Actions: In addition to or in place of the above sanctions, SCI-Arc may assign any other sanctions as deemed appropriate.

2. Employee Discipline

Responsive actions for an employee who has engaged in harassment, discrimination and/or retaliation include warning, required counseling, demotion, suspension with pay, suspension without pay and termination. Any recommendations for suspension or termination of employees may be reviewed by the Director/CEO.

D. Withdrawal or Resignation While Charges Pending

Students: SCI-Arc does not permit a student to withdraw if that student has a complaint pending for violation of the policy on Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Nondiscrimination, or for charges under the Code of Student Conduct. Should a student decide to leave and not participate in the investigation and/or hearing, the process will nonetheless proceed in the student's absence to a reasonable resolution and that student will not be permitted to return to SCI-Arc unless all sanctions have been satisfied.

Employees (Faculty and Staff): Should an employee resign while charges are pending, the records of the Title IX Coordinator will reflect that status. The Title IX Coordinator will act to promptly and effectively remedy the effects of the conduct upon the reporting party and the community.

E. Failure to Complete Sanctions/Comply with Discipline

All responding parties are expected to comply with conduct sanctions/discipline/corrective actions within the time frame specified by the Title IX Coordinator. Failure to follow through on conduct sanctions/discipline/corrective actions by the date specified, whether by refusal, neglect or any other reason, may result in additional sanctions/discipline/corrective actions and/or suspension, expulsion and/or termination from SCI-Arc and may be noted on a student's official transcript.



F. Appeals

All requests for appeal consideration must be submitted in writing to the Title IX Coordinator within five (5) business days of the delivery of the written finding of the Title IX Coordinator. Any party may appeal the findings and/or sanctions only under the grounds described, below

An Appeals Officer will be designated by the Title IX Coordinator from individuals who have not been involved in the process previously. Any party may appeal, but appeals are limited to the following grounds:

- → A procedural error or omission occurred that significantly impacted the outcome of the hearing (e.g. substantiated bias, material deviation from established procedures, etc.).
- → To consider new evidence, unknown or unavailable during the original hearing or investigation, that could substantially impact the original finding or sanction. A summary of this new evidence and its potential impact must be included.
- → The sanctions or discipline imposed fall outside the range of possible sanctions or discipline SCI-Arc has defined and the cumulative record of the responding party.

The appeals panel will review the appeal request(s). The original finding and sanction/responsive actions will stand if the appeal is not timely or is not based on the grounds listed above, and such a decision is final. The party requesting appeal must show that the grounds for an appeal request have been met, and the other party or parties may show the grounds have not been met, or that additional grounds are met. The original finding and sanction are presumed to have been decided reasonably and appropriately. When any party requests an appeal, the Title IX Coordinator will share the appeal request with the other party(ies), who may file a response within three (3) business days and/or bring their own appeal on separate grounds. If new grounds are raised, the original appealing party will be permitted to submit a written response to these new grounds within three (3) business days. These response or appeal requests will be shared with each party.

Where the appeals panel finds that at least one of the grounds is met by at least one party, additional principles governing the hearing of appeals will include the following:

- → Decisions by the appeals panel are to be deferential to the original decision, making changes to the finding only where there is clear error and to the sanction/responsive action only if there is a compelling justification to do so.
- → Appeals are not intended to be full re-hearings (de novo) of the allegation. In most cases, appeals are confined to a review of the written documentation or record of the investigation, and pertinent documentation regarding the grounds for appeal. An appeal is not an opportunity for appeals panelists to substitute their judgment for that of the original investigator(s) or Resolution Administrator merely because they disagree with its finding and/or sanctions.
- → Appeals granted based on new evidence should normally be remanded to the investigator(s) for reconsideration. Other appeals may be remanded at the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator or, in limited circumstances, heard by the three-member appeals panel.
- → Sanctions imposed as the result of Administrative Resolution are implemented immediately unless the Title IX Coordinator or designee stays their implementation in extraordinary circumstances, pending the outcome of the appeal.



- Yer students: Graduation, study abroad, internships/ externships, etc. do NOT in and of themselves constitute exigent circumstances, and students may not be able to participate in those activities during their appeal.
- → The Title IX Coordinator will confer with the appeals officer(s), incorporate the results of any remanded grounds, and render a written decision on the appeal to all parties within three (3) business days of the resolution of the appeal or remand.
- → Where appeals result in no change to the finding or sanction, that decision is final. Where an appeal results in a new finding or sanction, that finding or sanction can be appealed one final time on the grounds listed above, and in accordance with these procedures.
- → All parties will be informed in writing within three (3) business days of the outcome of the Appeals review, without significant time delay between notifications, and in accordance with the standards for notice of outcome as defined above.
- → In rare cases where a procedural [or substantive] error cannot be cured by the original investigator(s) and/or Resolution Administrator (as in cases of bias), the appeals officer(s) may recommend a new investigation and/or Administrative Resolution process, including a new Resolution Administrator. The results of a remand cannot be appealed. The results of a new Administrative Resolution process can be appealed, once, on any of the three applicable grounds for appeals.
- → In cases where the appeal results in reinstatement to SCI-Arc or resumption of privileges, all reasonable attempts will be made to restore the responding party to their prior status, recognizing that some opportunities lost may be irreparable in the short term.

G. Records

In implementing this policy, records of all allegations, investigations, and resolutions will be kept by the Title IX Coordinator.

H. Statement of the Rights of the Parties

Statement of the Reporting Party's rights:

- → The right to investigation and appropriate resolution of all credible reports or notice of sexual misconduct or discrimination made in good faith to SCI-Arc officials;
- → The right to be informed in advance of any public release of information by SCI-ARC regarding the incident;
- → The right of the reporting party not to have any personally identifiable information released to the public, without his/her/their consent by SCI-ARC.
- → The right to be treated with respect by SCI-Arc officials;
- → The right to have SCI-Arc policies and procedures followed without material deviation;
- → The right not to be pressured to mediate or otherwise informally resolve any reported misconduct involving violence, including sexual violence.



- → The right not to be discouraged by SCI-Arc officials from reporting sexual misconduct or discrimination to both on-campus and off-campus authorities.
- → The right to be informed by SCI-Arc officials of options to notify proper law enforcement authorities, and the option to be assisted by campus authorities in notifying such authorities, if the student so chooses. This also includes the right not to report, if this is the victim's desire;
- → The right to have reports of sexual misconduct responded to promptly and with sensitivity by campus officials.
- → The right to be notified of available counseling, mental health, victim advocacy, health, legal assistance, student financial aid, visa and immigration assistance, or other student services for victims of sexual assault, both on campus and in the community;
- → The right to a campus no contact order (or a trespass order against a non-affiliated 3rd party) when someone has engaged in or threatens to engage in stalking, threatening, harassing or other improper behavior that presents a danger to the welfare of the reporting party or others;
- → The right to notification of and options for, and available academic assistance after an alleged sexual misconduct incident, if so requested by the victim and if such changes are reasonably available (no formal report, or investigation, campus or criminal, need occur before this option is available). Accommodations may include:

 - □ o Taking an incomplete in a class;
 - □ o Transferring class sections;

 - o Alternative course completion options.
- → The right to have the institution maintain such accommodations for as long as is necessary, and for protective measures to remain confidential, provided confidentiality does not impair the institution's ability to provide the accommodations or protective measures.
- → The right to be fully informed of campus policies and procedures as well as the nature and extent of all alleged violations contained within the report;
- → The right to ask the investigators to identify and question relevant witnesses, including expert witnesses;
- → The right not to have irrelevant prior sexual history admitted as evidence in the resolution process;
- → The right to regular updates on the status of the investigation and/or resolution.
- → The right to have reports heard by investigators who have received annual sexual misconduct training;



- → The right to preservation of privacy, to the extent possible and permitted by law;
- → The right to meetings and interviews that are closed to the public;
- → The right to petition that any investigator be recused on the basis of demonstrated bias;
- → The right to bring a victim advocate or advisor of the reporting party's choosing to all phases of the investigation and resolution proceeding;
- → The right to be informed in writing of the outcome, sanction and rationale for the decision of the resolution process, without undue delay between the notifications to the parties, and usually within three (3) business days of the end of the process;
- → The right to be informed in writing of when a decision of SCI-Arc is considered final, any changes to the sanction to occur before the decision is finalized;
- → The right of the Reporting Party or any witness in an investigation of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, or stalking not to be subject to disciplinary sanctions for a violation of SCI-Arc's student conduct policy at or near the time of the incident, unless the institution determines that the violation was egregious, including, but not limited to, an action that places the health or safety of any other person at risk or involves plagiarism, cheating, or academic dishonesty.

Statement of the Responding Party's rights:

The rights of the responding party should also be prominently indicated. These should include, among others particular to SCI-Arc:

- → The right to investigation and appropriate resolution of all credible reports of sexual misconduct made in good faith to SCI-Arc administrators;
- → The right to be informed in advance, when possible, of any public release of information by SCI-ARC regarding the report.
- → The right to be treated with respect by SCI-Arc officials;
- → The right to have SCI-Arc policies and procedures followed without material deviation;
- → The right to be informed of and have access to campus resources for medical, health, counseling, and advisory services;
- → The right to be fully informed of the nature, policies and procedures of the campus resolution process and to timely written notice of all alleged violations within the report, including the nature of the violation and possible sanctions;
- → The right to be informed of the names of all witnesses who will be interviewed, except in cases where a witness' identity will not be revealed to the responding party for compelling safety reasons (this does not include the name of the reporting party, which will always be revealed);
- → The right not to have irrelevant prior sexual history admitted as evidence in a campus resolution process;



- → The right to have reports addressed by investigators who have received annual training;
- → The right to petition that any investigator be recused on the basis of demonstrated bias;
- → The right to meetings and interviews that are closed to the public;
- → The right to have an advisor of their choice to accompany and assist in the campus resolution process.
- → The right to a fundamentally fair resolution, as defined in these procedures;
- → The right to a decision based solely on evidence presented during the resolution process.
 Such evidence shall be credible, relevant, based in fact, and without prejudice;
- → The right to be informed in writing of the outcome, sanction and rationale for the decision of the resolution process in writing, without undue delay between the notifications to the parties, and usually within three (3) business days of the end of the process;
- → The right to be informed in writing of when a decision of SCI-Arc is considered final, any changes to the sanction to occur before the decision is finalized, to be informed of the [finding and] sanction of the resolution process.

7. Disabilities Accommodation in the Equity Resolution Process

SCI-Arc is committed to providing qualified students, employees or others with disabilities with reasonable accommodations and support needed to ensure equal access to the Equity Resolution Process at SCI-Arc. Anyone requesting such accommodations or support should contact the Title IX Coordinator, who will review the request and, in consultation with the person requesting the accommodation, as well as the person coordinating the Equity Resolution Process, will determine which accommodations are appropriate and necessary for full participation.

8. Revision

These policies and procedures will be reviewed annually by the Title IX Coordinator and updated as necessary. The Title IX Coordinator may make minor modifications to procedure that do not materially jeopardize the fairness owed to any party. However, the Title IX Coordinator may also vary procedures materially with notice (on the institutional web site, with appropriate date of effect identified) upon determining that changes to law or regulation require policy or procedural alterations not reflected in this policy and procedure. Procedures in effect at the time of its implementation will apply. Policy in effect at the time of the offense will apply even if the policy is changed subsequently, unless the parties consent to be bound by the current policy.