

From: [Stephanie Duncan](mailto:Stephanie.Duncan@slocleanair.org)
To: info@slocleanair.org; Mat.Fuzie@parks.ca.gov
Cc: secretary@resources.ca.gov; [Gary Willey](mailto:Gary.Willey@apco.org); Lisa.Mangat@parks.ca.gov; John.Ainsworth@coastal.ca.gov; rwcarr@charter.net; ynelson@calpoly.edu; jim@oceanodunes.org; [Bruce Gibson](mailto:Bruce.Gibson@parks.ca.gov); [Lynn Compton](mailto:Lynn.Compton@parks.ca.gov); mshah_groverbeach.org; [John Peschong](mailto:John.Peschong@parks.ca.gov); [Adam Hill](mailto:Adam.Hill@parks.ca.gov); [Debbie Arnold](mailto:Debbie.Arnold@parks.ca.gov); rfonzi_atascadero.org; ewaage_pismoeach.org; JHamon_prcity.com; bharmon_arroyogrande.org; hharmon@slocity.org; jheadding@morrobayca.gov
Subject: RE: Proposed Draft Stipulated Abatement Order and Petition Number 17-01
Date: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 8:03:43 PM

During the recent Oceano Dunes SVRA Public Works meeting, we were advised to stay in contact with you and tell you how we want to see the ODSVRA used in the future. We want to see increased OHV riding area. The agreement State Parks is entering into with the SLO Air Quality Control Board is one-sided, biased, and WILL ultimately eliminate 1,000 campsites and OHV riding at the Oceano Dunes SVRA. This is opposite of what millions of state park users want. How can you agree to this when science proves OHV activity is not the source of **respirable** dust issues? APCO, Gary Wiley, states the goal is to reduce violations/dust by 30%. However, he still expects there will be about 10 violations per year. Order #9 in the draft agreement says State Parks will reduce emissions below state and federal requirements. Which is it? 30% with 10 violations a year or below State and Federal requirements? At a minimum, State Parks must make sure the agreement accurately reflects the real expectation. Order #9 is simply unattainable in a natural coastal dune environment. Even the APCO recognizes this, as does the CARB executive Kurt Karperos from his testimony at previous APCD meeting presentations. Please honor to your word and listen to the public comment before agreeing to reducing the riding area further. We, and YOU, know OHV activity is not the primary source of these dust emissions, and science is delivering more insight every day to other sources. It is too early for State Parks to make the concessions in this draft agreement. State Parks must back out of this proposed agreement.

As a lifelong California resident and avid off road enthusiast for over 40 years, I repeatedly fail to see where those who are making decisions such as noted above, solely rely on PROVEN SCIENCE instead of the whining from a select few individuals who simply care for themselves and no one else. The science has been presented to you. Now its your time to do your JOB and make informed decisions based on science and the reasonable public opinions that have been presented to you. You must consider the economic impact that the county and more importantly, local businesses will face if the Oceano Dunes SVRA is closed. These numbers, over 100 million dollars annually, simply cannot be ignored. For my family, we will simply go else where to enjoy our off road vehicles and will likely never return to your area. How many more are there like me?

Please remember...its a State Park for the residents of the entire state to come and enjoy if they choose to do so. It is **not** the "Park of the 100 selfish residents of Nipomo" who can simply complain about a virtually non existent dust issue (which they are certainly partly responsible for, i.e., removing large numbers of trees) and attempt to exclude millions of yearly visitors and millions of dollars spent locally from an area that is lawfully theirs to use.

Shannon Duncan and Family

Bakersfield, Ca.

sduncan86@gmail.com
