

From: steve@moglia.org
To: [Gary Willey](mailto:Gary.Willey@resources.ca.gov); secretary@resources.ca.gov; Lisa.Mangat@parks.ca.gov; Mat.Fuzie@parks.ca.gov; John.Ainsworth@coastal.ca.gov; info@slocleanair.org; jim@oceanodunes.org
Subject: Oceano Dunes
Date: Monday, March 19, 2018 5:06:54 PM

To all involved in the decision on the future of the Oceano Dunes OHV park and surrounding area:

My friends and family have been enjoying the use of this park for my entire life.

For over 50 years we have been spending several weeks a year camping, enjoying the beach and riding in the dunes.

We have spent every Thanksgiving on the beach for over 40 years. Watch our children have children of their own and continue the tradition of family camping and riding on the Oceano Dunes.

I have watched as the area we enjoy has slowly been taken away based on weak or edited science slanted towards the sole agenda of slowly closing this area by a select few.

I have watched as the area has been shrunken down to the comparably small size it once was.

I have watched the miss information from all decision making sources be published even to the effect that they contradict each other.

Now that our future of enjoying this area by our family and thousands of others sits in the hands of a few, I simply ask that all the reports, all the science, all the testimony from all sources be closely reviewed and be included in the decision in the fate of the park.

In closing, should the decision be made to force unachievable goals upon the park and force its eventual closure, I would ask that the area be returned to its natural state as viewed in turn of the century photos. The removal of all fences and the vegetation returned back to the way it was a 100 years ago making it as natural as possible with very limited access.

If the all the reports and science pointing towards us enjoying this OHV park are the cause of the contested air reports, then the decision you made was correct, and while we will contest it, it may be the correct choice.

However, if after one year of the park closure should it happen, no dramatic scientific changes have been achieved to the quality of the air, then the decision is truly one based selective science and the agenda of a few. At that point, the park will be reopened and returned to what it is now but with no fences or limitations. If the facts being presented are true, complete and accurate, then this compromise shouldn't be an issue.

I just ask that in this state where every agenda has to happen now and the voices of a few out weigh that of the many, you consider all the facts all the input and all the parties involved before making your decision.

Sincerely,
Steve Moglia
Sanger, California