

## **PETITION FOR HEARING**

NO.						

1.	<ol> <li>Please type or print legibly.</li> </ol>							
2.	2. Refer to Air Pollution Control District Rules 803 and 804 when completing	Refer to Air Pollution Control District Rules 803 and 804 when completing form.						
3.	submitting one common petition shall pay the filing fee of \$300.00 plus	Enclose \$450.00 filing fee with application, payable to San Luis Obispo County APCD. Two or more persons submitting one common petition shall pay the filing fee of \$300.00 plus \$150.00 per petitioner. Payment should be made to the Clerk of the Hearing Board at the time the petition is filed.						
4.	4. Petition must be signed, by the holder of the permit or variance involve Clerk of the Hearing Board, 3433 Roberto Court, San Luis Obispo, CA 93							
5.	5. A copy of this form will be returned to you, stating the date, place, and t below.	A copy of this form will be returned to you, stating the date, place, and time of the hearing in the notice below.						
6.	6. Any petition not completed or not signed by the petitioner or his agent	cannot be accepted.						
	Name and Address of Applicant or Agent	Receipt Stamp						
(	() Day Notice of Hearing Required; \$ Filing Fee Receive	d, Receipt No						
	(APCD ONLY)							
Hear	Hearing Date: Time: Place:							

This petition has been reviewed and complies with the Air Pollution Control District requirements of Rules 803 and 804.

Air Pollution Control District Representative

Date

## Reference: Rule 803 - Contents of Petition

Name addre	ss telenhone n	umber of	nerson who owns	s the emissio	n source which is	involved in t
petition:	os, telephone ii	arriber or	person who owns	o tire cimissio	in source willerns	mvolved m d
Indivi	dual		Co-Partnership		Corporation	
	(specify)		Co-rai tilei silip		Corporation	
Names and a	ddress of partr	ers or offi	cers:			
	<u>Name</u>		<u>Address</u>			

Page 3 of 7 SLOAPCD Hearing Board Petition for Hearing

Brief	f descript	cion of emission source which is involved in this petition:
Purc	oose of pe	etition:
1.		To request that a variance be granted.
2.		To request that a variance be modified.
3.		To request that an emergency variance be granted.
		a. If this request is in response to a breakdown pursuant to District Rule 107, explain why continued operation is not likely to create an immediate hazard to health or safety.
4.		To appeal the denial, suspension, or conditional granting of a permit. (Note that such an appeal must be made within 10 days of the APCO's decision.)
5.		To request that a permit be revoked.
		a. Rule under which Permit was granted:
		b. Rule under which Permit was alleged to have been violated:
		c. Attach a brief statement of facts constituting such alleged violation.
6.		To request that an abatement order be issued.
7.		To request that a variance be revoked.
8.		To request that the decision of the District regarding approval or denial of emission banking be reviewed.
9.		To request that the decision of the Hearing Board be reheard.

	of 7 D Hearing for Heari						
	10.		To requ	quest reinstatement of suspended permit.			
			a.	Rule under which permit was granted:			
			b.	Reason for suspension (nonpayment of fee, etc):			
			C.	If suspension was for failure to provide information, attach a short statement which addresses:			
				1. Reason for not furnishing the requested information.			
				2. Whether the requested information is pertinent or not.			
				3. If the requested information is pertinent, when it will be furnished.			
	11.		Other				
Refere	nce:	Rule 8	05 – App	ition for Variance beal From Denial  - use sections A, B, O and include a summary of application hs for the denial or conditional approval and the reasons for appeal.			
A.	The Ru	le, Secti	on, Perr	nit or Order complained of:			
B.	Why co	mpliand	ce with t	he Rule, Section, Permit or Order is unreasonable:			

For what period of time the variance is sought and why:

C.

D.	The damage or harm resulting or which would result to the person who owns the emission source involved in this petition from compliance:
E.	The consideration given to curtailing the operation of the source in lieu of obtaining a variance:
F.	The efforts that will be undertaken during the period of the variance is in effect to ensure excess emissions are reduced to the maximum extent feasible:
G.	The methods of monitoring or alternative methods that will be used to quantify and report the emission levels from the source during the period the variance is in effect:
Н.	The benefits to the residents of the District resulting from requiring immediate compliance:
I.	The benefits of the residents of the District resulting from granting a variance:

Page 6 of 7 SLOAPCD Hearing Board Petition for Hearing

J.	Is there a court case pending which involves this equipment:					
			NO		YES	
K.	A prop	osed complian	ce schedule in	cluding, to the	extent feasibl	le, the following:
	1.	Date of subm	ittal of the fina	al control plan to	the District:	
	2.	process modi		emission contro e awarded, or to be issued:	•	
	3.			construction or ent or process o		
	4.			ruction or insta t or process cha		
	5.	Date by which	n final complia	nce will be achi	eved:	
6. Other (specify):						
L.	Is the e	emission sourc	e involved in tl	nis petition cove	-	mit to Operate?
M.	Estima	te of maximun	n emissions (lb	/hr and ton/yr)	which would	be emitted:
	25tilla	ice of maximum	. 21113310113 (16	and tonyi)	e.i would	
N.	Wheth	er or not opera	ations under si	uch variance, if ;	granted, wou	ld constitute a nuisance:

Ο.	Signature of petitioner or authorized representative	
	Petitioner or Representative	Date
	Authority of Re	epresentative

Page 7 of 7 SLOAPCD Hearing Board Petition for Hearing