

Implementation of a Clinical Decision Support System for the Identification of Child Maltreatment in the Pediatric Emergency Department

Tal Solomonica^{1,2}, Michal Levy², Ido Rivlin², Amit Levin Koren², Bat-El Rahavi Bernstein³, Ravit Zamir³, Eyal Heyman³, Ravit Alfandari² & Yuval Barak Corren⁴

¹ Haifa District Health Office, Ministry of Health, Israel

² Data Analytics for Safeguarding Children Lab, School of Social Work, Faculty of Social Welfare and Health Sciences, University of Haifa

³ Shaare Zedek Medical Center

⁴ Schneider Children's Medical Center of Israel



Objectives

- Evaluate** the implementation of an automated clinical decision-support system in a pediatric emergency department (PED).
- Examine** feasibility, acceptability, and impact on clinical assessment and decision-making for children under age 3.

Methods

1 Automatic Triage Screening Tool

Activated for every child under the age of 3



2 Physician Alert

If the screening tool indicates potential risk, alert is sent to the treating physician

Potential risk identified
A full physical examination and consultation with a senior physician are required.

3 Clinical Examination and Consultation Decision

Physicians document the full physical examination and the consultation.

Clinical Decision (select one)

- ☒ No Concern for Maltreatment
- ☐ Referral for Social Work (SW) Evaluation
- ☐ Referral to the Child Protection Committee (CPC)

Finding

Triage Screening Tool in the PED

Children ≤3 years old who visited the PED, Shaare Zedek Medical Center

April 2, 2025 - April 29, 2026

Total PED Visits
16,500

Screening Tool NEGATIVE
15,997 (97.0%)

Outcome	Count	Percentage
No Further Evaluation	15,987	(99.9%)
Referral for SW Evaluation	1	(0.006%)
Referral for CPC	9	(0.056%)

Screening Tool POSITIVE
290 (1.8%)

Physicians' Habitual Practice
158 (54.5%)

Outcome	Count	Percentage
No Further Evaluation	154	(97.5%)
Referral for SW Evaluation	0	(0.0%)
Referral for CPC	4	(2.5%)

Physicians' Examination and Consultation Practice
132 (45.5%)

Outcome	Count	Percentage
No Further Evaluation	103	(78.0%)
Referral for SW Evaluation	26	(19.7%)
Referral for CPC	3	(2.3%)

Positive Reference Outcome Rate Among Screening Tool Positives

11.4%
(33 / 290)

Odds Ratio (OR) for Positive Screen

205
(Approx.)

✓ = No Further Evaluation

🗨️ = Referral for SW Evaluation

👤 = Referral for CPC

Post Implementation Survey Results

PHYSICIAN SURVEY (n = 18)

- 100% Increases awareness and improves identification of children at risk
- 89% Alerts do NOT add significant workload
- 100% Willing to continue using the system

NURSE SURVEY (n = 33)

- 89% Increases awareness of possible child abuse
- 100% Helps with documentation of suspected abuse/neglect
- 97% Improves quality of care related to child protection
- 96% Willing to continue using the survey

Conclusions

- Automated CDSS screening was feasible within routine pediatric emergency department workflow.
- Positive alerts identified by the Triage Screening Tool were strongly associated with safeguarding-related outcomes (OR ≈ 205).
- Negative screens were rarely followed by child protection involvement.
- Physicians and nurses reported high acceptability, clinical usefulness, and minimal impact on workload.
- Findings support the potential of automated decision-support tools to enhance awareness, documentation, and earlier identification of children at risk for maltreatment.