



# Fund Effectiveness WG Update

12 JULY 2016 - PARIS

# Participants in the FEWG

- ▶ Australia
- ▶ Belgium
- ▶ BMGF
- ▶ Germany
- ▶ UK
- ▶ USA
- ▶ WB

# Progress

1. CRP Funding:
  - Criteria & ad-hoc review process
  - Coordinated process for September-December
2. Input to ToR discussion for ISPC & IEA
3. Window 1 allocation
4. Looking ahead

# CRP Funding

## Investor criteria & ad-hoc review process

### Operating principles

- ▶ Don't slow down the CRP review process
- ▶ Don't expect centres to do more work
- ▶ Don't re-write the scope of ISPC work, or 'move the goalposts' for ISPC
- ▶ Make the process fair, but light-touch (providing additional information to an already robust process)
- ▶ Strive for transparency and good communication
- ▶ Coordinate & share donors' evaluation thinking
- ▶ Response to call from ISPC for criteria in Rome meeting

# CRP Funding

## Investor criteria & ad-hoc review process

### Progress:

1. Criteria – drafted & out for comment
2. Reviewers (3 per CRP) – identified
3. Coordinator & moderator – in process
4. Contracting – in process

# CRP Funding: Coordinated donor process for September-December

- ▶ What information do we need (as input to the September meeting)?
- ▶ What are decisions expected from September meeting, and process between September and December?

3. Input to ToR discussion for ISPC & IEA
4. Window 1 allocation discussion
5. Looking ahead