Questions and Answers

ON THE TRANSITION TO ONE CGIAR

This document sets out key questions and answers on the transition to ‘One CGIAR’. It will be updated regularly as a core resource for internal and external communications on One CGIAR. Questions and feedback can be addressed to transition@cgiar.org and up-to-date reference materials can be found on https://www.cgiar.org/impact/one-cgiar.
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1. What is One CGIAR?

One CGIAR is a dynamic reformulation of CGIAR’s partnerships, knowledge, assets, and global presence, aiming for greater integration in the face of the interdependent challenges facing today’s world. It comprises (i) a sharper mission statement and impact focus to 2030, aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), in particular SDG2 – Zero Hunger; (ii) unified governance; (iii) institutional integration, including a One CGIAR Executive Management Team, common policies and services, and a unified country and regional presence; (iv) a new research modality; as well as (v) more, and pooled, funding. Further information and reference documents can be found here.

One CGIAR presents an opportunity for CGIAR to become a truly global organization and leader in research and innovation to transform our food, land, and water systems; a partner of choice locally and globally; and a more dynamic and stimulating place to work.

2. Why does CGIAR need this reform?

As CGIAR, we have an incredible 50-year track record of working with partners to translate groundbreaking research on agriculture, food, land, and water systems into tangible development outcomes on the ground. In the 21st century, however, we face a very different set of more complex and more interconnected challenges, evolving faster than before.

Climate change, biodiversity loss, and – recently – COVID-19 are just some examples of global threats that are inextricably linked with an unsustainable food system; and they pose immediate risks to our ability to end hunger and achieve or safeguard key development outcomes. Ultimately, we need to completely transform our food, land, and water systems to feed a growing population healthy diets without risking the stability of the natural processes that sustain our existence.

In the face of these momentous challenges, CGIAR’s offer to the world is more relevant than ever. Yet – to maximize our added value given the pace, scale, and complexity of the challenges we face – we need to come together as One CGIAR.

One CGIAR is based on the premise that CGIAR’s excellent leaders, scientists, and staff can deliver more when brought together under fewer institutional boundaries, supported by more unified systems, services, management, and governance.

More coherent decision-making and a streamlined operational structure will unlock opportunities for greater integration and collaboration within CGIAR and with partners.

For our people, this will be an opportunity to work for a truly global organization and leader in research and innovation, with greater interactions across disciplines and regions.

For our partners, One CGIAR will be more accessible and easier to work with both locally and globally, providing a one-stop shop to access all of our global capabilities.

One CGIAR will also mean more stable and predictable funding, given Funders’ strong commitment to and support for One CGIAR. In the long term, this will be an opportunity to diversify and significantly increase the overall volume of funding and make funding more stable and predictable, allowing us to focus on delivering impactful research.
3. What does One CGIAR mean for CGIAR’s people?

One CGIAR is about better harnessing the skills and passion of CGIAR’s excellent people. This was confirmed in the System Council members’ message to staff in November 2019:

“The greatest assets of CGIAR — and a key reason for our support — are its talented people, its partnerships, its global presence, and its record of impact. If CGIAR comes together as one, we believe our work can have even greater value.”

As part of One CGIAR, staff will work for a global leader in research and innovation on food, land, and water systems. For CGIAR’s world-class scientists, One CGIAR aims to enhance collaboration across disciplines and regions, unlock more stable and predictable funding, and foster more effective partnerships. For CGIAR’s corporate services and functions, One CGIAR is an opportunity for staff to help build and operate best-practice common policies, services, and systems. For all staff, One CGIAR can open up new avenues for professional growth and development.

a. What does One CGIAR mean for my job/role?

One CGIAR builds on the excellent collective capabilities and people of CGIAR’s Centers/Alliances, and the System Organization. The transition is about finding better ways for us to work together, to harness our skills and passion for higher impact. One CGIAR is not a cost-cutting or downsizing exercise.

As part of the transition, all roles will become part of a more integrated, global operational structure that spans all the CGIAR Centers/Alliances that join One CGIAR, as well as the System Organization.

As a result, some roles and reporting lines will change, whereas others will remain. The details of what will change, and when, are being developed with the support of the Design Working Groups (comprised of Directors General, Deputy Directors General, Science Leaders, and a range of our professional Communities of Practice) as part of the design of One CGIAR’s operational structure and will be known from early 2021.

b. What does One CGIAR mean for my research/project?

Business continuity is a key priority for the One CGIAR transition. In line with the endorsed One CGIAR recommendations, the process will be designed to ensure continued delivery of research/projects against existing commitments, and an orderly transition from the current generation of CGIAR Research Programs (CRP) to a new portfolio in line with the 2030 Research and Innovation Strategy and forthcoming 2022—24 Investment Plan.

Looking ahead, One CGIAR aims to build on our excellent work and track record of impact to deliver even more relevant and more impactful work, supported by increased and more predictable funding. In addition to the research portfolio that will be set out in three-year Investment Plans, for pooled funding, CGIAR will continue to pursue bilateral funding opportunities.

4. What does One CGIAR mean for CGIAR’s Centers and Alliances?

One CGIAR builds on the brands, people, partnerships, knowledge, assets, global presence, and track record of CGIAR’s Centers and Alliances. It is based on the premise that these can and must be configured differently to allow for greater integration, synergies, collaboration, and — ultimately — impact in the face of today’s complex global challenges.
The **inaugural CGIAR Executive Management Team (EMT)**, which took up its role on 1 September 2020, is tasked with working closely with Center/Alliance leadership to propose an integrated operational structure for One CGIAR, including a consolidation of CGIAR’s Centers and Alliances into a smaller number of operational units.

As a result, CGIAR’s Centers and Alliances will transition from self-standing institutions to the foundation and building blocks of a broader, dynamic, and more integrated One CGIAR that maintains and enhances their key assets.

A consultative process is currently underway to design the new operational structure for One CGIAR. Important input into this process is being provided by the Design Working Groups (see above). Based on that process, the details of what will change for Centers and Alliances, and by when, will be known in from early 2021.

### 5. What does One CGIAR mean for CGIAR’s funding?

The System Council, comprising CGIAR’s largest Funders, unanimously endorsed in November 2019 the **One CGIAR recommendations set out by the System Reference Group (SRG)**. Those recommendations include an ambition to double overall investment in CGIAR research from 2018 levels, and the achievement of at least 50% pooled funding by 2022 and at least 70% by 2024. In addition, the Funders endorsed adopting a more effective and universally applied approach to cost recovery, as well as a shift to multi-year funding commitments and approvals.

Taken together, these measures would translate into much greater and more predictable funding for CGIAR research and – conversely – reduced fragmentation and unproductive competition.

The **CGIAR Resource Mobilization, Communications and Advocacy Strategy**, released in June 2020, sets out in greater detail the pathways to mobilizing US$2 billion/year – on a sustainable basis – towards CGIAR research for development, by 2030 or sooner.

### 6. Will bilateral funding remain part of CGIAR’s funding mix?

Yes, bilateral funding will remain and will play a critical role in One CGIAR’s funding mix. Under One CGIAR, there will be a more coherent and coordinated approach to bilateral/non-pooled funding, enabling more ambitious and integrated funding that maximizes support for research activities and delivery of impact.

The profile of and conditions for bilateral funding opportunities will be redesigned under One CGIAR. In line with One CGIAR’s mission statement and impact focus to 2030, bilateral opportunities will need to contribute to the five Impact Areas for One CGIAR: nutrition, poverty, gender, climate and environment. The EMT, with support from the System Organization and CGIAR Communities of Practice, is leading the process to design the new approach to bilateral funding, to be rolled out in conjunction with the new research agenda and operational structure.
7. What is new and different about the 2030 Research and Innovation Strategy?

The 2030 Research and Innovation Strategy, submitted to the CGIAR System Council on 2 December, introduces important changes both in terms of CGIAR’s research focus and impact ambition, as well as how CGIAR will deliver research for development working with partners. These new directions can be summarized as follows:

- **Innovation focus**: Understanding that research done strategically within innovation systems provides the key route for knowledge to drive systems transformation;
- **Impact Areas**: Seeking multiple benefits across five SDG-relevant Impact Areas through a systems transformation approach;
- **Action Areas**: Deploying the full range of CGIAR’s capabilities, integrated into three broad Action Areas;
- **Seven key ways of working**: Adding up to a forward-thinking approach to how we work; and
- **One CGIAR**: Unified governance and management to enable much more streamlined and effective ways of working at scale.

8. How will unified governance be provided?

An essential component of One CGIAR is a unified governance arrangement that provides coherent strategic direction and oversight of CGIAR’s operations.

To bring about this arrangement, the General Assembly of the Centers decided on 31 July on the appointment of eight persons who will serve as the voting members of the CGIAR System Board for a three-year term from 1 September 2020. As of December, the Boards of 12 CGIAR Centers (10 Centers and one Alliance) have appointed those eight persons as a 2/3 voting majority on their respective Boards to enable unified governance of One CGIAR.

As part of that arrangement, each Center and Alliance will thus retain their Board, consisting of the eight voting members of the System Board, up to four Center/Alliance-specific voting members, and a small number of Center/Alliance-specific non-voting members.

The eight common Board members have been identified through a transparent and inclusive nominations process, led by a Nominations Committee appointed by the General Assembly of the Centers. Collectively, those members hold the breadth of skills, backgrounds, and perspectives required to ensure effective, high-level strategic direction and oversight to ensure a successful transition to and operations of One CGIAR.

9. Why does CGIAR need a more streamlined and integrated operational structure? How will integration be achieved?

With a view to aligning form and function, a new mission and impact focus must be matched by a fit-for-purpose operational structure. The structure will implement the endorsed One CGIAR recommendations calling for a small number of operational units, common policies and corporate services, as well as unified country and regional engagement.
The EMT is working closely with CGIAR leadership, staff, and partners to set out – at a high level – a proposed structure in line with those recommendations, for approval by the CGIAR System Board and endorsement by the System Council in early 2021. The high-level proposal will be based on the following characteristics:

- **Alignment** of form to function, with a structure that is optimized for delivery of the agreed One CGIAR mission and 2030 Research & Innovation Strategy;
- **Clarity** of reporting lines and decision-making based on delegation and empowerment to the appropriate level, and clear alignment of accountabilities and responsibilities;
- **Diversity** of people, skills and ideas, recognizing the need to keep renewing our talent and build our unique selling proposition;
- **Collaboration** across functions and disciplines, whichever way we are organized;
- **Accessibility** to partners, and facilitating knowledge sharing at global and local levels; and
- **Efficiency**, providing good value for money by maximizing impact per $ of funding.

10. **What is different from previous CGIAR reforms?**

One CGIAR builds on and goes beyond previous reforms in its ambition. It aims to elevate and fully harness CGIAR’s talented people, inter-disciplinary capabilities, strong partnerships for impact, and global presence by putting in place a truly unified and integrated governance and management structure.

11. **How will the transition to One CGIAR be implemented?**

The guiding principles, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and resourcing of the transition have been set out in the endorsed [One CGIAR recommendations](https://storage.googleapis.com/cgiarorg/2020/02/SMB16-10_ChairsSummary-Final.pdf) and subsequent decision-making by the CGIAR System Management Board (SMB) at its 16th meeting in January 2020¹, taking into account proposals made by the December 2019 Extraordinary General Assembly of the Centers². As these evolve, updates will be provided on the CGIAR website, here.

From February to September 2020, the Transition Consultation Forum (TCF) and six Transition Advisory Groups (TAG) brought together multi-stakeholder input and advice from across CGIAR, Funders, and partners to define in greater detail the One CGIAR destination and the pathways to reach it. From September, the process has been led by the EMT, overseen by the CGIAR System Board (SB).

While providing collective, coordinated leadership of the transition process as a whole, the three Managing Directors of the inaugural EMT each have specific focus areas within the broader transition process, as shown in Figure 1 below. The EMT will be supported in their efforts by dedicated teams from across CGIAR and the Transition Program Management Unit (TPMU), as well as ad hoc advisory groups and working groups to enable co-creation with broader internal and external constituencies.

---

¹ Chair’s Summary, 16th System Management Board Meeting, 28—29 January 2020, Amsterdam, The Netherlands (https://storage.googleapis.com/cgiarorg/2020/02/SMB16-10_ChairsSummary-Final.pdf)
Collective responsibilities of the inaugural CGIAR EMT:

- Enhancing the focus, relevance, and impact of CGIAR research
- Effective relationships within and across CGIAR, including, from October 2020, managing the deliverables and performance of Directors General
- Championing and actively promoting diversity and inclusion in CGIAR’s workplaces, policies, and programs; and the conduct of CGIAR’s operations according to the highest ethical standards

Claudia Sadoff, EMT Convener and Managing Director, Research Delivery and Impact

Elwyn Grainger-Jones, Managing Director, Institutional Strategy and Systems

Kundhavi Kadiresan, Managing Director, Global Engagement and Innovation

- Finalization of CGIAR’s 2030 Research Strategy
- Development of a 2022-2024 Investment Plan
- Effective delivery against existing commitments
- Performance management
- Convening the EMT, coordinating joint work

- Development of a proposed, integrated operational structure for One CGIAR, in consultation with CGIAR leadership and stakeholders, for endorsement by the System Board and System Council
- Design and roll-out of One CGIAR policies and services
- Continued role as Executive Director of the CGIAR System Organization, with associated cross-CGIAR coordination and delivery functions of System Management Office

- Delivery of CGIAR stakeholder engagement
- Implementation of the CGIAR Resource Mobilization, Communications, and Advocacy Strategy
- Design and roll-out of a One CGIAR country and regional engagement model
- Applying an innovation systems approach to AR4D
12. How will we ensure that One CGIAR is making progress against the intended outcomes of the change?

For each of the One CGIAR recommendations, the System Reference Group (SRG) articulated expected outcomes and associated impacts. The EMT will monitor progress against these outcomes through a clear results framework for the transition. This results framework will include a set of key performance indicators, for which baselines and targets will be set, and progress monitored at defined intervals.

13. How can CGIAR staff and stakeholders get involved?

Co-creation and collaboration are at the core of the transition to One CGIAR. Specific opportunities for staff and stakeholders to contribute towards next steps will be set out in greater detail. In the meantime, information and resources on the transition will be made available here.

14. What is the timeline for the transition?

The transition to One CGIAR is a program of multiple interconnected work streams, each representing different but mutually supportive dimensions of integration. While each work stream has its detailed timeline and work plan, Figure 2 sets out a high-level overview of key 2020—21 milestones.

In the near term, the focus of the transition is to seek approval of the 2030 Research & Innovation Strategy, to develop a 2022—24 Investment Plan, and set out a high-level proposal for an integrated operational structure. For policies and services, and country and regional engagement, the focus in 2020 will be on scoping and design, followed by a prioritized and phased roll-out starting in 2021.
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Figure 2: Key 2019—21 milestones in the transition to One CGIAR

13-14 Nov: 9th Meeting of the CGIAR System Council
- System Reference Group recommendations for One CGIAR unanimously endorsed

28-29 Jan: 16th meeting of the System Management Board
- Key decisions on transition steps and modalities

5-7 May: 17th meeting of the System Management Board
- Approved ToR, launched search for inaugural EMT

31 July: SMB decision to appoint the inaugural CGIAR EMT, with effect from 1 Sept

8 Oct: SC ad hoc call

23 Nov: System Board meeting

16-17 Dec: 11th Meeting of the CGIAR System Council
- Proposed 2030 Research Strategy (for approval)
- 2022—24 Investment Plan process and outline (for strategic guidance)

By Feb 2021:
- System Council endorsement of One CGIAR operational structure
- Q2 2021: System Council to recommend/System Council to approve final 2022—24 Investment Plan

By Feb 2021:
- System Board to recommend/System Council to approve final 2022—24 Investment Plan

WE ARE HERE

12-13 Dec: Extraordinary General Assembly of the Centers
- Proposed adaptations, transition modalities

28 Feb: GA decision to form an inclusive Nominations Committee for a reconstituted SMB/System Board

Feb: TCF and TAGs launched

30 April: TAG3 advice on ToR for inaugural CGIAR EMT

25 June: TAG7 CGIAR RM, Comms and Advocacy Strategy

31 July: GA decision to appoint voting members of the CGIAR System Board with effect from 1 Sept

July-August: 'Checklist' for Center/Alliance governance decisions finalized by TAG3 and endorsed by SMB

1 Aug: 1st draft 2030 Research Strategy delivered by TAG2

1 Sep: System Board and EMT in place

Sept: Handover of remaining inputs by TAGs to the EMT, System Board (in the case of TAG3)

Oct-Dec: Center Board sessions

2021 One CGIAR pledging moment, in conjunction with UN Food Systems Summit

Sept: Center/Alliance Board decision-making to revise Center governing instruments and appoint the 8 voting members of the System Board as a minimum 2/3 voting majority on their respective Boards, in line with 'Checklist' developed by TAG3 and endorsed by SMB in August

2021 One CGIAR pledging moment, in conjunction with UN Food Systems Summit
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15. How are the risks facing One CGIAR being assessed and mitigated?

A comprehensive risk management framework has been developed to identify, assess and mitigate key risks facing One CGIAR. Risks have been segmented by their ‘threat level’ to ensure that risk ownership and mitigation follow-up are well defined. The EMT will focus on the management and mitigation of critical risks, while low risks will be managed by individual workstreams. TPMU plays a coordinating role to ensure that risks are managed and elevated appropriately.

16. Are there structural or legal obstacles to making One CGIAR happen?

CGIAR contracted Lalive Law, a global law firm, to deliver a comprehensive legal opinion on the proposed governance and management changes. Its summary findings, available on the CGIAR website, conclude that there are no legal impediments for implementing One CGIAR Unified Governance. The detailed legal opinion can be found here.

17. How is the One CGIAR transition being impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic?

The CGIAR System Council, SMB, and Centers have all acted quickly to assess and respond to the risks associated with the COVID-19 pandemic, including its implications for the transition to One CGIAR.

Recognizing the unprecedented nature of this crisis – and the overriding priority to protect the health, safety, and security of staff and partners – there is strong support to continue and to accelerate, where possible, the transition to One CGIAR. As a fully integrated, aligned, and operational One CGIAR we can maximize our contribution to addressing the world’s needs, today and in the future.

Further information on CGIAR’s response is available here.

18. How was the One CGIAR destination defined and agreed?

The One CGIAR recommendations were developed by the multi-stakeholder System Reference Group (SRG) from late 2018 to October 2019, supported by the CGIAR System Management Board (SMB) in October 2019, and unanimously endorsed by the CGIAR System Council in November 2019.

Detailed aspects of the destination were further defined and the associated target dates adapted by

---

3 Chair’s Summary, 15th System Management Board Meeting, 28 October 2019 (https://storage.googleapis.com/cgiarorg/2019/10/bc714624-smb15-05_chairssummary-approved.pdf)
the SMB at its 16th meeting in January 2020⁵, taking into account proposals made by the December 2019 Extraordinary General Assembly of the Centers⁶.

The process
The System Council, at its 6th meeting in Berlin, Germany in May 2018, acknowledged that there was “Funder appetite for an expanded shared agenda with increasing shared agenda/pooled funding over time” and that “efforts to deliver on these elements are directly linked to the System’s capacity to rationalize itself to remove ongoing fragmentation in effort”⁷.

The System Council agreed to take these conversations forward through a whole-of-system reference group, which was formed in late 2018. The SRG was co-chaired by Tony Cavalieri of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and Marco Ferroni, Chair of the SMB, and it comprised 22 members: ten members of the System Council, including Funders as well as representatives of regional constituencies; all ten members of the SMB, including six Center-affiliated members; and the conveners of Center Board Chairs and Directors General. Representatives of the CRP and Platform Leaders as well as the Independent Science for Development Council (ISDC) also participated in the SRG’s deliberations.

From late 2018 to October 2019, the SRG undertook a highly consultative and collaborative process of co-creation to set out its One CGIAR recommendations to the System Council. Apart from intense collaboration within the broad and representative SRG itself — including through three working groups — the SRG co-chairs engaged stakeholders across CGIAR and its key partners, including Center Board Chairs and Directors General, Science Leaders, communities of practice, Funders, the regional constituencies of the System Council, as well as national agricultural research and extension services (NARES) and their networks.

Overall, the SRG process spanned more than 70 group calls and many more bilateral calls in 2019, five in-person SRG meetings and two retreats, and its recommendations benefited from in-person workshops of Science Leaders, on shared services, and of the SMB’s Audit and Risk Committee (ARC) and the System Council’s Assurance and Oversight Committee (AOC) on risk. Draft versions of the SRG’s recommendations were circulated on four occasions before they were posted on the CGIAR website for System Council consideration on 30 October. Written comments were logged and responded to in a comments log that was shared with the SRG as well as all Center Board Chairs and Directors General.

19. What analyses underpinned the SRG’s recommendations?

The SRG’s recommendations were based on years of cumulative analysis and evaluative evidence of CGIAR. The SRG also formed working groups to carry out deep-dives into research focus, modalities, and institutional arrangements. It commissioned studies on governance options and AR4D modalities, and its recommendations benefited from technical workshops on science, shared services, and risk. The SRG itself encompassed centuries of combined experience of CGIAR, and it systematically reached out to others to benefit from a wider range of ideas and perspectives.
CGIAR is a global research partnership for a food-secure future. CGIAR science is dedicated to reducing poverty, enhancing food and nutrition security, and improving natural resources and ecosystem services. Its research is carried out by 15 CGIAR Research Centers in close collaboration with hundreds of partners, including national and regional research institutes, civil society organizations, academia, development organizations and the private sector.
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