BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

CONTRACTOR

OF THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

05/06/25 04:59 PM A2407003

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALBERTO ROSAS, presiding

In the Matter of the Application of CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY (U60W), a California corporation, for an order (1) authorizing it to increase rates for water service by))))	STATUS CONFERENCE
\$140,558,101 or 17.1% in test year)	
2026, (2) authorizing it to increase rates on January 1, 2027 by \$74,162,564 or 7.7%, (3) authorizing it to increase rates on January 1, 2028 by \$83,574,190 or 8.1% in accordance with the Rate Case Plan, and (4) adopting other related rulings and relief necessary to)))))	Application 24-07-003
implement the Commissions ratemaking)	
policies.)	

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT Virtual Proceeding April 29, 2025 Pages 58 - 87 Volume 3

Reported by: Karly Powers, CSR No. 13991 Eoanna Kostapapas, CSR No. 13242

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Status Conference 59 April 29, 2025 VIRTUAL PROCEEDING 1 2 APRIL 29, 2025 - 1:34 P.M. 3 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ROSAS: In that case, 5 we are on the record. Good afternoon, everyone. We are on the record in Application 24-07-003. This is a 6 7 status conference. It is April 29, 2005. I'm sorry. 8 2025. The time is approximately 1:34 p.m. I am 9 Administrative Law Judge Alberto Rosas, and the commissioner assigned to this proceeding is Commissioner 10 11 Matthew Baker. 12 We will begin in -- with appearances in a 13 moment and then we will proceed in the outline of the 14 agenda that was put forth in the Commission's ruling 15 which scheduled today's status conference. That is the 16 same seven items that the parties addressed in their 17 joint status conference statement. And the first item on that list concerned 18 19 speakers list. So with that said, we will begin with 20 appearances. 21 We can begin with Cal Water. 22 MS. DOLQUEIST: Good afternoon, your Honor. 23 This is Lori Dolqueist, L-o-r-i, last name 24 D-o-l-q-u-e-i-s-t, appearing for California Water 25 Service.

```
Status Conference
April 29, 2025
```

ALJ ROSAS: Thank you, Counselor. 1 And for Cal Advocates. 2 3 MS. FISHER: Good afternoon. This is Emily 4 Fisher, F-i-s-h-e-r, appearing for Cal Advocates. Thank 5 you. 6 ALJ ROSAS: And thank you, Counselor. For City of Bakersfield. 7 MR. COLLOM: Good afternoon, your Honor. 8 9 Matthew Collom appearing on behalf of the City of Bakersfield. My last name is Collom; that is 10 C-o-l-l-o-m, "M" as in Mike. Thank you, your Honor. 11 12 ALJ ROSAS: Thank you, Counselor. 13 For City of Carson. 14 MS. CARSON: Good afternoon, your Honor. This 15 is Christine Carson for City of Carson. 16 ALJ ROSAS: Thank you, Counselor. 17 For California Water Association. MS. McKENNA: Good afternoon, your Honor. This 18 19 is Lilly McKenna for CWA. 20 ALJ ROSAS: Thank you, Counselor. 21 And for National Association of Water 22 Companies. 23 MS. BANOLA: Good afternoon, your Honor. This 24 is Sarah Banola appearing for National Association of Water Companies. My name is spelt S-a-r-a-h, last name 25

1	B, as in boy, -a-n, as in Nancy, -o-l-a.
2	ALJ ROSAS: Thank you, Counselor.
3	Now we are going to move on to the next item on
4	the agenda, which was a status update. I think the
5	parties joint status conference statement was
6	self-explanatory. I don't have any questions. I am
7	aware that the parties did file their joint status
8	conference statement on April 23rd. The day before that
9	they filed their joint motion for evidentiary hearing,
10	and there was also a procedural email that was served to
11	everyone on the service list on Friday, April 25th.
12	But other than the items that I just discussed,
13	those three, other other than the information in the
14	status update section of the joint status conference
15	statement, is there anything else regarding a status
16	update that the parties would like to bring up before we
17	move on?
18	MS. DOLQUEIST: Nothing else for California
19	Water Service, your Honor.
20	MS. FISHER: Nor for Cal Advocates, your Honor.
21	Thank you.
22	MR. COLLOM: Nothing from the City of
23	Bakersfield, your Honor.
24	MS. CARSON: Nothing from the City of Carson,
25	your Honor.

1	MS. McKENNA: Nothing from CWA. Thank you.
2	MS. BANOLA: (Line muted.)
3	ALJ ROSAS: And I take it from the silence
4	there is nothing from National Association of Water
5	Companies either; correct?
6	MS. BANOLA: Oh, yes. Sorry, your Honor. I
7	was on mute when I was speaking. Nothing from NAWC.
8	Thank you.
9	ALJ ROSAS: Thank you, Counselor.
10	Moving on to the third item, motions. Again,
11	the parties joint status conference statement was
12	self-explanatory. The Commission does not have any
13	questions. But if if anyone has anything else to
14	discuss on the subject of motions, please let me know.
15	(No response.)
16	ALJ ROSAS: Okay. Hearing none.
17	In that case, we will proceed with the next
18	item, which is number four, discovery. Again, the
19	parties joint status conference statement I found to be
20	quite self-explanatory. The Commission does not have
21	any questions.
22	Is there anything that any one of the parties
23	would like to address concerning discovery before we
24	continue?
25	(No response.)

Г

1	ALJ ROSAS: Okay. Hearing none.
2	In that case, let us continue. Item five,
3	concerned schedule, and item six, concerned evidentiary
4	hearing readiness. If it is fine with the parties, I
5	think I will just discuss both together since they are
6	related. The first thing I want to mention is the
7	procedural email that was received on behalf of Cal
8	Water on Friday, April 25th.
9	Ms. Dolqueist, can you just provide a brief
10	summary of that since we don't have that email in the
11	record yet?
12	MS. DOLQUEIST: Yes, your Honor.
13	We submitted an email on behalf of California
14	Water Service Company on Friday. We noted there that
15	Cal Water and the Public Advocates Office had reached an
16	agreement to mutually waive cross-examination of
17	witnesses and move on directly to briefing of disputed
18	issues. And it's our understanding none of the other
19	parties have any objection to this as well. If the
20	request to wave hearings is granted, then Cal Water and
21	Public Advocates also request to modify the procedural
22	schedule with respect to briefing. The date for the
23	opening brief would be May 30th as opposed to the
24	June 13th, which is currently what's in the scoping
25	memo. And the reply brief would be June 20th, which is

	April 29, 2025 64
1	shortly before the current date for the reply brief,
2	which is June 23rd.
3	The third thing is that the waiver of
4	evidentiary hearings, the parties wanted to clarify that
5	they would still have the opportunity to request oral
6	argument in this proceeding. The scoping memo says such
7	requests are due on June 10th.
8	ALJ ROSAS: Okay. Thank you, Counselor, for
9	that summary.
10	In terms of scheduling, the first day of
11	evidentiary hearings, which was essentially a
12	housekeeping day scheduled for Friday, May 9th starting
13	at 9:00 a.m., we are going to keep that on schedule.
14	That hearing will still take place.
15	And here are here are my thoughts regarding
16	the vacating of the evidentiary hearings or rather the
17	waving of rights to cross-examine witnesses. Although
18	the parties are waiving rights to cross-examination, the
19	Commission is reviewing the prepared testimony and wants
20	to reserve the right to ask questions of these witnesses
21	in order to perhaps seek clarification or inquire about
22	statements made in their prepared testimony. I will say
23	that with the anticipation that it was likely this
24	evidentiary hearing was going to move forward, I have
25	not personally reviewed any of the prepared testimony.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

	April 29, 2025 05
1	I don't know, if when I do, whether I may have
2	questions, so I don't want to I don't want the
3	Commission to give up that opportunity to ask clarifying
4	questions and make inquiries of these witnesses.
5	With that said, however, if if the parties
6	are waiving their rights to cross-examination and if the
7	Commission decides to move forward for the sole purposes
8	of, you know, asking a few inquiries and follow-ups of
9	some of the witnesses, if any, I can imagine that the
10	current evidentiary hearing schedule may be shortened
11	tremendously.
12	Maybe we would just hold two days during that
13	first week, which is the week of May 12th, and maybe, at
14	most, two days during the week of May 19th. And I'm
15	just throwing those dates out there because I don't know
16	whether we are going to request the attendance of any
17	witnesses. We might not, but I just want to throw that
18	out there that in the event that we do decide to invite
19	some of the witnesses to answer some clarifying
20	questions, I don't foresee that we would need the full
21	eight days during those two weeks. That's my point. I
22	think we we can consolidate that quite a bit. And
23	maybe once we do select those dates, maybe they won't be
24	full days; maybe they can be half days. Ideally, if we
25	do consolidate, it would be my hope that perhaps we

	April 29, 2025 66
1	would be looking at both Wednesdays and Thursdays of
2	both of those weeks. But we will get to that in a
3	moment.
4	I understand that I'm keeping the parties in
5	limbo, but I will commit to letting everyone know on
6	Friday, May 9th during that hearing. I will inform the
7	parties whether the Commission would like to hold
8	evidentiary hearings for purposes of asking questions of
9	the witnesses. But for the time being, I am going to
10	ask if we can keep those on calendar as maybe a
11	truncated schedule.
12	And in a moment I will ask to hear from the
13	parties, but let me just finish some of these points. I
14	also wanted to mention that if the only reason for
15	moving forward with some of these hearings is for the
16	Commission, through myself as administrative law judge,
17	to ask some brief clarifying questions or follow-up
18	questions of the witnesses, then perhaps we can hold
19	these hearings virtually. But if we do determine to
20	hold the evidentiary hearings virtually, there would be
21	no opportunity for the party representatives to ask
22	follow-up questions of the witnesses, essentially no
23	cross-examination, no redirect, et cetera. It would
24	just be a few moments of, you know, follow-ups. Again,
25	we might not have need any, but I just want to give

1	ourselves that opportunity in case we decide after our
2	review of the prepared testimony we will make that
3	determination of whether or not we want to invite some
4	or more of the witnesses. And because we might be
5	shortening the schedule from what we currently have, and
6	because I don't know if we do want to invite certain
7	witnesses to answer a few questions, at a certain point
8	probably definitely before the May 9th hearing, it
9	would be important to hear from the parties about the
10	

11 And I will probably ask -- strike that. Not 12 probably. I will definitely ask the parties for a 13 status conference statement that will be due to keep 14 things simple. Let's have it be due two days prior to 15 the May 9th hearing, so at least 48 hours before, so that could be filed and served before 9:00 a.m. on 16 Wednesday, May 7th. And we will discuss the items that 17 would be included in that status conference statement. 18 19 One of them would be the availability of the witnesses. 20 If there is any dates that they are not available, that 21 would be very helpful. And likewise, if for that 22 eight-day period that we already have on calendar, if 23 there are any days that counsel would not be available, 24 that would also be very helpful to know as part of that 25 status conference statement that we have -- if the

Commission decides to move forward with virtual hearings
for purposes of a limited scope of asking a few
follow-up questions, we would like to know which dates
we have to work with and which we do not.
And pardon the long the long-winded
statement. But at this point, I would like to hear from
the parties about the those points.
We will begin with Cal water.
MS. DOLQUEIST: Thank you, your Honor.
First, I will turn to the last thing you
discussed, which is the status conference statement due
two days before the May 9th sort of housekeeping day of
hearings. You mentioned 9:00 a.m., which is when
filings are first able to be done at the Commission. So
if you wanted it the morning of just say 10:00 a.m.
or by close of business the day before, on May 6th, just
for clarity on that one.
The second issue regarding availability of
witnesses for Cal Water, some of our witnesses, if we
are appearing for hearings, would be traveling from out
of state. So availability may differ if it's a virtual
hearing as opposed to an in-person hearing as far as the
timing, and we could address that on any information you
give. Or if there is confirmation beforehand that it
may be just virtual, then, you know, we could we

	Apiri 29, 2025 09
1	could you know, we would have a more sure list, I
2	guess, based on that as well.
3	The last thing would be that if we do have even
4	a few days of hearings, we may want to reconsider the
5	briefing schedule that we proposed in our email. The
6	one thing I would note is that we did include in our
7	proposed schedule a bit of a longer time for the reply
8	briefs, and that was based on our experience in the last
9	rate case. Were there a lot of issues to address? I
10	think the ten-day period that the rate case plan
11	normally has just wasn't sufficient, so we would still
12	propose like a three-week between opening and reply.
13	And that's it for now, your Honor.
14	ALJ ROSAS: Thank you, Counselor.
15	And let's proceed in the speaking order, in
16	case anyone has any comments.
17	Cal Advocates?
18	MS. FISHER: Thank you, your Honor.
19	We appreciate the the possibility of holding
20	virtual hearings if if those are necessary, for brief
21	questions. The uncertainty about travel does pose some
22	difficulties for some of our witnesses who are who
23	would need to travel from Los Angeles. It's as you
24	know, I'm sure, it's difficult for state workers to make
25	last-minute travel arrangements. So, the sooner we

69

	April 27, 2025 70
1	could be informed about that or about the availability
2	of virtual hearings, the better, if that would be.
3	There was something else that I wanted to
4	discuss, and it's escaping my mind. But, we agree with
5	Cal Water that if brief if a few days of hearings are
6	necessary, that it may be appropriate to revisit the
7	briefing schedule. And if I remember the other thing,
8	I I'll pipe in later.
9	Thank you.
10	ALJ ROSAS: Thank you, Counselor.
11	City of Bakersfield.
12	MR. COLLOM: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.
13	I just kind of want to echo what my colleagues
14	are saying here, as well. We appreciate the the City
15	appreciates the possibility that virtual hearings may be
16	held. And we acknowledge that that that may that
17	that will likely result in a in an inability to
18	question and engage in cross-examination of those
19	witnesses. I think from from my client's particular
20	point of view, we are comfortable with that. We are
21	comfortable with waiving that.
22	Of course, we will comply with whatever the
23	Court decides here. But, yes, as far as again, as
24	far as the possibility of virtual hearings, we we are
25	in favor of that. Thank you, your Honor.

70

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	MS. CARSON: Hello, your Honor. We are
2	amenable to virtual hearings. It's preferable. And we
3	are comfortable with waiving cross-examination and
4	redirect and direct examination.
5	ALJ ROSAS: Thank you, Counselor.
6	CWA?
7	MS. McKENNA: Thank you, your Honor.
8	CWA's witness is also out of state. So we have
9	the same concerns regarding notice about the virtual or
10	in-person hearings. And then, CWA is comfortable with
11	the with waiving cross, as indicated in our in the
12	prehearing conference statement submitted by parties.
13	CWA has waived cross.
14	That's all, your Honor.
15	ALJ ROSAS: Thank you, Counselor.
16	And National Association of Water Companies.
17	MS. BANOLA: Good afternoon, your Honor.
18	NAWC's witness is also out of state. So,
19	subject to check, I believe they would also support
20	virtual hearings in the event that you would like to
21	question NAWC's witness.
22	And I know you mentioned you would let the
23	parties know by the May 9th status conference. But, we
24	would ask that if there's any if you know in advance,
25	if you could let the parties know sooner if the

1	Commission intends to cross-examination or ask
2	clarifying questions of any of the witnesses if you
3	have any information sooner than May 9th, just because
4	of the travel arrangements. But, I don't think we have
5	any other concerns at this time.
6	Thank you.
7	ALJ ROSAS: Okay. Thank you.
8	Before we have another round of discussion
9	regarding some of these points, let's just wrap up and
10	close the discussion regarding vir whether the
11	hearings if they do take place, whether those would
12	be virtual. I've heard from several of the other
13	parties saying that they agree with having virtual
14	hearings, that they are fine with waiving
15	cross-examination of witnesses, that if it's their own
16	witness that they are sponsoring, that they are fine
17	with waiving any rights to, you know, up for redirect
18	so, essentially, there will be no questions from the
19	parties. It would just be from the administrative law
20	judge. So, I've heard that from a few parties.
21	If there's anyone that has any objection to
22	that, let me know. Otherwise, I think we could it
23	seems like the overwhelming majority of the parties
24	present today are in agreement with moving forward with
25	a with virtual hearings, if we do decide to hold

1	them. But, I want to make sure that I'm not missing
2	anyone who might have some concerns.
3	So, I know we have speaking order. But, at
4	this point, if anyone has any concerns or objections
5	regarding moving forward with hearings with the
6	possibility of hearings, while at the same time not
7	having a right to ask any questions following any my
8	line of inquiry, please let me know now.
9	(No response.)
10	ALJ ROSAS: Hearing none, in that case, what
11	the Commission is going to do because we already have
12	a ruling that went out scheduling these hearings for
13	in-person the bulk of them in-person, the Commission
14	is going to send out a revised ruling indicating that
15	all of these hearings will be virtual. And for those
16	dates where a Webex link was not provided, that revised
17	ruling will include a Webex link for those dates. So,
18	all of those dates will now be virtual.
19	And, at the moment, that ruling is going to
20	include the full you know, eight days, plus the
21	May 9th housekeeping date. And the only reason we're
22	doing that is in case there's an issue with witnesses
23	not being available on a certain date. But, again, my
24	goal is that if during our discussion on May 9th, if I
25	inform everyone that yes, we do want to hold hearings,

	April 29, 2025 74
1	by then I'll have the status conference statement of
2	witness availability. And by May 9th, I'll also know
3	which witnesses, if any, we decide to ask follow-up
4	questions and inquiries of.
5	So, on May 9th, I'll have the total number of
6	potential witnesses. And we'll be able to truncate that
7	eight-day schedule down to as few days as possible,
8	once once we have that. And I hope I'm explaining
9	that well.
10	And so I don't forget Ms. Dolqueist's point,
11	yes, that status conference statement will be due close
12	of business on Tuesday, May 6th. So thank you,
13	Ms. Dolqueist.
14	Any other comments or points of discussion
15	regarding the fact that we are changing the in-person
16	evidentiary hearing dates to virtual?
17	(No response.)
18	ALJ ROSAS: Okay. Hearing none.
19	Now, just to briefly address the concerns
20	regarding the possibility that if we do move forward
21	with evidentiary hearings, that a revised briefing
22	schedule is necessary. I completely agree, and we'll
23	cross that bridge when we get to it. If at the May 9th
24	status conference, if I communicate to the parties that
25	the Commission has determined that we do not need to ask

,	· · ·
1	any questions of the witnesses, then I presume that
2	maybe we can go with the briefing schedule that the
3	that was outlined in the April 25th procedural email,
4	which we're which was discussed on the record earlier
5	today. Or if we decide that we do want to ask a few
6	questions of witnesses, then we'll make that
7	determination of what that revised hearing schedule
8	I'm sorry the revised briefing schedule will be. So,
9	I'm in complete agreement with the parties regarding the
10	need to perhaps revisit the briefing schedule, if
11	necessary.
12	Before we move on regarding this item, any
13	other comments or concerns regarding this point of the
14	possibility of revising the briefing schedule?
15	(No response.)
16	ALJ ROSAS: Okay. Hearing none.
17	So, by my count, that status conference
18	statement that would be due by close of business on
19	Tuesday, May 6th, so far on my list, I have two things
20	that should be included or one, rather. No, two
21	things: Availability of witnesses, if there are any
22	dates that would not work for them regarding that time
23	frame that we've already outlined for evidentiary
24	hearings; and, likewise, if there are any dates that
25	counsel might not be available during that eight-week

	April 29, 2025 76
1	I'm sorry eight-day time period for evidentiary
2	hearings.
3	Anything from the parties before we move on to
4	miscellaneous matters?
5	(No response.)
6	ALJ ROSAS: Okay. Hearing none.
7	In that case, let's move on to what I've
8	labeled as miscellaneous matters. And this is from the
9	joint status conference statement. I see that Cal
10	Advocates plans to request leave to serve corrections to
11	its witness' direct testimony by Thursday, May 1st.
12	So let me inquire of Cal Advocates, what's the
13	status regarding those corrections, if any?
14	MS. FISHER: Thank you, your Honor.
15	Cal Advocates is currently preparing the
16	corrected testimony to be served by May 1st. And we
17	still plan to do that. If we can make that request
18	early to serve it here, that would we would prefer to
19	do that. Please let us know if there are anything
20	there are any other steps that you need us to take or to
21	make that request. Otherwise, we plan to go forward
22	with serving the corrections.
23	ALJ ROSAS: Thank you, Counselor.
24	I'll just turn it over to see if there are any
25	objections from the parties regarding the request.

	April 29, 2025 //
1	We can go in the speaking order or if anyone
2	just has any objection, just speak up now.
3	MS. DOLQUEIST: This is Lori Dolqueist for
4	Cal Water.
5	I don't have any objections to this plan.
6	However, I would note that if something from these
7	corrections requires you know, if there are
8	substantial corrections or make substantive changes
9	to Cal Advocates' testimony, it's possible that at that
10	point Cal Water may seek an opportunity to respond. If
11	they're just minor corrections, we likely would not.
12	So, again, no objection to the serving of corrected
13	testimony on May 1st, just wanted to note that point.
14	ALJ ROSAS: Thank you, Counselor.
15	Anyone else, any objections?
16	(No response.)
17	ALJ ROSAS: In that case, Cal Advocates request
18	for leave to serve corrections to its witness' direct
19	testimony by close of business Thursday, May 1st is
20	granted.
21	And another thing that I'm going to add to the
22	list of items to include in the status conference
23	statement is in regards to Ms. Dolqueist's point that
24	she just made. If there's any cause for concern from
25	the corrected direct testimony, if there is any

1	follow-up from the parties, please make an indication in
2	your status conference statement that you would be
3	requesting additional information in response to the
4	direct testimony that was served and filed.
5	The other item I wanted to address regarding
6	miscellaneous matters concerns confidential exhibits.
7	By my count in reviewing the joint exhibit list, it
8	seemed that there are four confidential exhibits which
9	were tendered by Cal Water. My request is if Cal Water
10	can reserve those, preferably as soon as possible this
11	week, and I'm looking at close of business tomorrow,
12	April 30th. And the reason is I think those links are
13	time sensitive, and I no longer have access. And some
14	Commission staff who were trying to review the
15	confidential materials, especially in light of the fact
16	that there may not be witnesses going forward for
17	subject to cross-examination, and we're trying to review
18	all of the prepared testimony including, you know,
19	exhibits and confidential exhibits.
20	So Ms. Dolqueist?
21	MS. DOLQUEIST: Yes, your Honor. We will
22	reserve the confidential exhibits by close of business
23	tomorrow.
24	ALJ ROSAS: Thank you, Counselor.
25	I'm just looking at my notes here to make sure

1	we are not forgetting anything.
2	I'm going to add another item for the parties
3	to include in the joint status conference statement,
4	which is due by close of business on Tuesday, May 6th,
5	and that is a speakers list. We can conclude the same
6	information has been included previously including email
7	and telephone number.
8	Another thing to add to the joint status
9	conference statement, if it applies but if for
10	whatever reason we need the parties need to revise or
11	finalize their joint exhibit list, if that can be
12	included as an attachment to that joint status
13	conference statement that way, if necessary, we can
14	discuss that at the May 9th hearing.
15	On my notes for discussion purposes, I had the
16	briefing schedule. We've already discussed those
17	points, and we are going to reserve a more thorough
18	discussion for the May 9th hearing. And at that point
19	we can once we know which steps are going to the
20	Commission will take, and we can discuss some more
21	concrete specific dates for that revised briefing
22	schedule.
23	Regarding one of the points that was raised in
24	Cal Water's procedural email on April 25th regarding the
25	waiver that the waiver of evidentiary hearings does

	April 29, 2025 80
1	not waive the ability of any party to request oral
2	argument in this proceeding, which is currently due by
3	June 10th, 2025. I know that is more advisory in
4	nature. That is not a motion to request, but I
5	completely understand and agree with that point. Point
6	taken.
7	Also, I just briefly want to add that in the
8	near future there may be a ruling or revision issued to
9	reflect the change to the schedule. So there is a
10	possibility that the parties may receive a ruling or
11	amendment reflecting certain changes.
12	I believe that concludes the items on my notes,
13	things that I wanted to discuss with the parties. Let's
14	take it back in the order speaking order just to make
15	sure there's nothing that's left on the table or to
16	avoid anything falling through the cracks.
17	Let's begin with Cal Water.
18	MS. DOLQUEIST: Thank you, your Honor.
19	The only other thing I wanted to raise, which I
20	believe was also raised in that procedural email, was
21	the Commission's rules allow the parties to submit a
22	motion for testimony to be received into evidence
23	without cross-examination. And that's what we would
24	expect to do in this proceeding. And we would either do
25	it shortly after the May 9th status conference, if there

	April 29, 2025 81
1	are no questions from your Honor or others at the
2	Commission, or after whatever that last day of the
3	evidentiary hearing would be.
4	ALJ ROSAS: Sounds great, Counselor. Thank
5	you.
6	MS. FISHER: Emily Fisher with Cal Advocates.
7	Your Honor, we agree with what Ms. Dolqueist
8	just mentioned as far as the the motion to receive
9	testimony into evidence. And I did want to just make
10	sure that as far as Cal Advocates confidential exhibits,
11	there was no need for us to reserve those and that they
12	are still accessible by your Honor.
13	ALJ ROSAS: Thank you, Counselor.
14	I have not made a thorough search of all of the
15	exhibits or documents that were labeled confidential, so
16	I do not know. But in an abundance of caution, it would
17	be great if they can be reserved. And I'll I only
18	counted four on the list. But if I missed any, please
19	let me know. But whatever was provided previously that
20	was marked as confidential, if that could be reserved,
21	that would be great.
22	And this wasn't just a point that I found for
23	some of the documents, but some of the staff members,
24	part of the my team were also made same points
25	that they were not able to access some of the

1	confidential documents. And as I'm speaking, I'm
2	looking at the joint exhibit list that was an attachment
3	to the joint status conference statement. And unless
4	I'm mistaken, I'm only seeing four documents that were
5	included there labeled with in red as confidential.
6	But if I'm missing anything and if not and if I'm
7	not mistaken, those are all Cal Water Service's
8	exhibits. So if I'm missing anything from other parties
9	that are that were tendered as confidential, if you
10	could reserve those, that would be great.
11	MS. FISHER: Yes, your Honor. I will be happy
12	to do that. Thank you.
13	ALJ ROSAS: Thank you.
14	Now, let's move on to City of Bakersfield.
15	MR. COLLOM: That's right. That's me, your
16	Honor. Matt Collom, again, for the City of Bakersfield.
17	I don't think I have any questions or concerns.
18	Thank you for your guidance today. We will be certain
19	to give those three items: The availability of
20	witnesses, any dates that we may not be able to appear
21	during the the eight-day evidentiary hearings, as
22	well as the speakers list as well. We will be sure to
23	get that in on our joint statement. I think that's it
24	from me. Thank you.
25	ALJ ROSAS: Okay. Thank you, Counselor.

	April 29, 2025 83
1	City of Carson.
2	MS. CARSON: Nothing further, your Honor.
3	ALJ ROSAS: California Water Association.
4	MS. McKENNA: Thank you, your Honor. CWA has
5	no further comments.
6	ALJ ROSAS: National Association of Water
7	Companies.
8	MS. BANOLA: Thank you, your Honor. NAWC also
9	has no further comments. Thank you.
10	ALJ ROSAS: And just to make sure we are not
11	forgetting it anything, I know that Ms. Fisher, if
12	I'm not mistaken, there was one point that you wanted to
13	raise earlier but you lost your train of thought.
14	Did you already address it or is there anything
15	else that you wanted to discuss?
16	MS. FISHER: Thank you, your Honor. If there
17	was a train, it's gone. So I think everything has been
18	covered.
19	ALJ ROSAS: Okay. Thank you.
20	In that case, thank you all very much, again,
21	for working together to prepare that joint motion of
22	evidentiary hearing and the joint status conference
23	statement.
24	And, again, I wish I had some more concrete
25	information at this point, but I just don't know whether

	April 29, 2025 84
1	we are going to move forward with wanting to ask some
2	inquiries of some of the witnesses. I doubt that we
3	would need to ask inquiries of all.
4	And, again, that scope would be very, very
5	focused and just points of clarification or follow-ups.
6	And I'm glad that everyone is in agreement that that can
7	take place virtually. I'm hoping that the parties will
8	receive an amended ruling from the Commission regarding
9	those evidentiary hearing dates and for those dates that
10	were scheduled as in-person. That amended ruling will
11	reflect those dates, and those hearings will take place
12	virtually. And, again, the same dates and time periods
13	are going to apply. But in the event that the
14	Commission makes the determination, which will be
15	conveyed to the parties during the May 9th hearing, the
16	determination of whether we are going to move forward
17	with asking questions of witnesses.
18	I'm hoping that during the May 9th hearing I
19	will also have a abridged evidentiary hearing schedule.
20	It would definitely not be the full eight days. And I'm
21	anticipating and hoping that maybe on some of the dates
22	that we do meet, maybe it would just be half days as
23	opposed to the full days. But we can discuss that after
24	I have heard of witness availability and counsel

25 availability and trying to cross-reference to see which

	-
1	witnesses we may need to invite.
2	Again, thank you all very much for working
3	diligently and efficiently to try to resolve this
4	matter. And I appreciate the efforts of trying to, you
5	know, waive cross-examination and move forward with a
6	briefing schedule. I see that as a move to try to, you
7	know, expedite and efficiently resolve this matter
8	sooner rather than later, and I applaud the parties
9	joint efforts.
10	Lastly, just we don't have to go into
11	speaking order, but if there is anything else that
12	anyone wants to raise now, you can let me know.
13	(No response.)
14	ALJ ROSAS: Okay. Hearing none.
15	In that case, thank you all very much. We will
16	reconvene Friday, May 9th. I look forward to the
17	parties joint status conference statement before then.
18	Thank you all very much. This status conference is
19	adjourned. We are off the record.
20	(At the hour of 2:17 p.m., this matter having
21	been concluded, the Commission then
22	adjourned.)]
23	* * * * *
24	
25	

Status	s Cor	nferenc	е
April	29,	2025	

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, EOANNA KOSTAPAPAS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 13242, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON APRIL 29, 2025.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS MAY 05, 2025.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Econn Kostapapas
21	EOANNA KOSTAPAPAS CSR NO. 13242
22	
23	
24	
25	
	DIBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION STATE OF CALLEORNIA

Status	Cor	nference
April	29,	2025

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, KARLY POWERS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 13991, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON APRIL 29, 2025.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS MAY 05, 2025.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	KANVERI
21	KARLY POWERS CSR NO.#13991
22	
23	
24	
25	
	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

-	9	appearing 59:24 60:4, 9,24 68:20	business 68:16 74:12 75:18 77:19 78:11,22 79:4	
-a-n 61:1	9:00 64:13 67:16 68:13	Application 59:6		
-o-l-a 61:1	9th 64:12 66:6 67:8,15	applies 79:9 appreciates 70:15	С	
1	68:12 71:23 72:3 73:21, 24 74:2 5 23 79:14 18		C-O-L-L-O-M 60:11	
10:00 68:15	Α	April 59:2,7 61:8,11 63:8 75:3 78:12 79:24	Cal 59:21 60:2,4 61:20 63:7,15,20 68:8,19	
10th 64:7	a.m. 64:13 67:16 68:13,	argument 64:6	69:17 70:5 76:9,12,15 77:4,9,10,17 78:9 79:24	
12th 65:13	15	arrangements 69:25	calendar 66:10 67:22	
13th 63:24	access 78:13	72:4	California 59:24 60:17	
19th 65:14	acknowledge 70:16	assigned 59:10	61:18 63:13	
1:34 59:2,8	add 77:21 79:2,8	Association 60:17,21,	Carson 60:13,14,15	
1st 76:11,16 77:13,19	additional 78:3	24 62:4 71:16	61:24 71:1	
· · ·	address 62:23 68:23	attachment 79:12	case 59:4 62:17 63:2 67:1 69:9,10,16 73:10,	
2	69:9 74:19 78:5	attendance 65:16	22 76:7 77:17	
2005 59:7	addressed 59:16	availability 67:10,19 68:18,21 70:1 74:2	cetera 66:23	
	administrative 59:4,9	75:21	changing 74:15	
2025 59:2,8	66:16 72:19	aware 61:7	check 71:19	
20th 63:25	advance 71:24		Christine 60:15	
23rd 61:8 64:2	Advocates 60:2,4 61:20 63:15,21 69:17	В	City 60:7,9,13,15 61:22 24 70:11,14	
24-07-003 59:6	76:10,12,15 77:17	Baker 59:11		
25th 61:11 63:8 75:3 79:24	Advocates' 77:9	Bakersfield 60:7,10 61:23 70:11	clarification 64:21	
29 59:2,7	afternoon 59:5,22		clarify 64:4	
	60:3,8,14,18,23 71:17 agenda 59:14 61:4	Banola 60:23,24 62:2,6 71:17	clarifying 65:3,19 66:17 72:2	
3	agree 70:4 72:13 74:22	based 69:2,8	clarity 68:17	
30th 63:23 78:12	agreement 63:16	begin 59:12,19,21 68:8	client's 70:19	
	72:24 75:9	behalf 60:9 63:7,13	close 68:16 72:10 74:11 75:18 77:19	
4	Alberto 59:9	bit 65:22 69:7		
48 67:15	ALJ 60:1,6,12,16,20	boy 61:1	78:11,22 79:4 colleagues 70:13	
	61:2 62:3,9,16 63:1 64:8 69:14 70:10 71:5,	bridge 74:23	Collom 60:8,9,10 61:22	
6 15 72:7 73:10 74:18		briefing 63:17,22 69:5	70:12	
6th 68:16 74:12 75:19 79:4	75:16 76:6,23 77:14,17 78:24	70:7 74:21 75:2,8,10,14 79:16,21	comfortable 70:20,21 71:3,10	
	amenable 71:2	briefly 74:19	comments 69:16	
7	Angeles 69:23	briefs 69:8	74:14 75:13	
746 07 47	anticipation 64:23	bring 61:16	Commission 62:12,20	
7th 67:17	appearances 59:12,20	bulk 73:13	64:19 65:3,7 66:7,16 68:1,14 72:1 73:11,13	

April 29, 2025	
74:25 78:14 79:20 Commission's 59:14 commissioner 59:10	cross-examination 63:16 64:18 65:6 66:23 70:18 71:3 72:1,15 78:17
commit 66:5	cross-examine 64:17
communicate 74:24	current 64:1 65:10
Companies 60:22,25 62:5 71:16	CWA 60:19 62:1 71:6, 10,13
Company 63:14	CWA's 71:8
complete 75:9	
completely 74:22	D
comply 70:22	D-O-L-Q-U-E-I-S-T
concern 77:24	59:24
concerned 59:18 63:3	date 63:22 64:1 73:21, 23
concerns 71:9 72:5 73:2,4 74:19 75:13 78:6 conclude 79:5	dates 65:15,23 67:20 68:3 73:16,17,18 74:16 75:22,24 79:21
concrete 79:21	day 61:8 64:10,12
 conference 59:7,15,17 61:5,8,14 62:11,19 67:13,18,25 68:11 71:12,23 74:1,11,24 75:17 76:9 77:22 78:2 79:3,9,13 confidential 78:6,8,15, 19,22 confirmation 68:24 consolidate 65:22,25 continue 62:24 63:2 correct 62:5 corrected 76:16 77:12, 25 corrections 76:10,13, 22 77:7,8,11,18 	68:12,16 days 65:12,14,21,24 67:14,23 68:12 69:4 70:5 73:20 74:7 decide 65:18 67:1 72:25 74:3 75:5 decides 65:7 68:1 70:23 determination 67:3 75:7 determine 66:19 determined 74:25 differ 68:21 difficult 69:24 difficulties 69:22 direct 71:4 76:11 77:18
counsel 67:23 75:25	direct 71:4 76:11 77:18, 25 78:4
Counselor 60:1,6,12, 16,20 61:2 62:9 64:8 69:14 70:10 71:5,15 76:23 77:14 78:24 count 75:17 78:7 Court 70:23 cross 71:11,13 74:23	directly 63:17 discovery 62:18,23 discuss 62:14 63:5 67:17 70:4 79:14,20 discussed 61:12 68:11 75:4 79:16

Dolqueist 59:22,23 mine 64:17 61:18 63:9,12 68:9 :1 65:10 74:13 77:3 78:20,21 9 62:1 71:6, Dolqueist's 74:10 77:23 due 64:7 67:13,14 68:11 74:11 75:18 79:4 Ε -E-I-S-T earlier 75:4 early 76:18 64:173:21, echo 70:13 5,23 67:20 eight-day 67:22 74:7 ,17,18 74:16 76:1 eight-week 75:25 4:10,12 email 61:10 63:7,10,13 69:5 75:3 79:6,24 ,14,21,24 8:12 69:4 **Emily** 60:3 engage 70:18 18 67:1 escaping 70:4 essentially 64:11 5:7 68:1 66:22 72:18 event 65:18 71:20 tion 67:3 evidentiary 61:9 63:3 64:4,11,16,24 65:10 66:19 66:8,20 74:16,21 75:23 **d** 74:25 76:1 79:25 examination 71:4 exhibit 78:7 79:11 69:22 exhibits 78:6,8,19,22 76:11 77:18, experience 69:8 explaining 74:8 F 62:18,23 2:14 63:5 F-I-S-H-E-R 60:4 79:14.20 fact 74:15 78:15 61:12 68:11 favor 70:25

Index: Commission's..hearing

discussion 72:8,10

disputed 63:17

73:24 74:14 79:15,18

file 61:7

filed 61:9 67:16 78:4

filings 68:14

finalize 79:11

fine 63:4 72:14,16

finish 66:13

Fisher 60:3,4 61:20 69:18 76:14

follow-up 66:17,22 68:3 74:3 78:1

follow-ups 65:8 66:24

foresee 65:20

forget 74:10

forgetting 79:1

forward 64:24 65:7 66:15 68:1 72:24 73:5 74:20 76:21 78:16

found 62:19

frame 75:23

Friday 61:11 63:8,14 64:12 66:6

full 65:20,24 73:20

G

give 65:3 66:25 68:24

goal 73:24

Good 59:5,22 60:3,8, 14,18,23 71:17

granted 63:20 77:20

guess 69:2

Н

half 65:24

hear 66:12 67:9 68:6

heard 72:12,20

hearing 61:9 62:16 63:1,4 64:14,24 65:10 66:6 67:8,15 68:22 73:10 74:16,18 75:7,16 76:6 79:14,18

April 29, 2025			
hearings 63:20 64:4,	inquiry 73:8	11	moving 62:10 66:15
11,16 66:8,15,19,20 68:1,13,20 69:4,20	intends 72:1	long 68:5	72:24 73:5
70:2,5,15,24 71:2,10,20	invite 65:18 67:3,6	long-winded 68:5	mute 62:7
72:11,14,25 73:5,6,12, 15,25 74:21 75:24 76:2	issue 68:18 73:22	longer 69:7 78:13	muted 62:2
79:25	issues 63:18 69:9	Lori 59:23 77:3	mutually 63:16
held 70:16	item 59:18 61:3 62:10,	Los 69:23	N
helpful 67:21,24	18 63:2,3 75:12 78:5 79:2	lot 69:9	
hold 65:12 66:7,18,20 72:25 73:25	items 59:16 61:12	M	Nancy 61:1 National 60:21,24 62:
holding 69:19	67:17 77:22		71:16
Honor 59:22 60:8,11,	J	made 64:22 77:24	NAWC 62:7
14,18,23 61:19,20,23,		majority 72:23	NAWC's 71:18,21
25 62:6 63:12 68:9 69:13,18 70:12,25 71:1,	joint 59:17 61:5,7,9,14 62:11,19 76:9 78:7	make 65:4 67:2 69:24	note 69:6 77:6,13
7,14,17 76:14 78:21	79:3,8,11,12	73:1 75:6 76:17,21 77:8 78:1,25	noted 63:14
hope 65:25 74:8	judge 59:4,9 66:16	materials 78:15	notes 78:25 79:15
hours 67:15	72:20	matters 76:4,8 78:6	notice 71:9
housekeeping 64:12	June 63:24,25 64:2,7	Matthew 59:11 60:9	number 62:18 74:5
68:12 73:21	К	Mckenna 60:18,19 62:1 71:7	79:7
I	keeping 66:4	memo 63:25 64:6	0
Ideally 65:24	kind 70:13	mention 63:6 66:14	objection 63:19 72:21
imagine 65:9	KING 70.10	mentioned 68:13	77:2,12
important 67:9	L	71:22	objections 73:4 76:25
in-person 68:22 71:10		Mike 60:11	77:5,15
73:13 74:15	L-O-R-I 59:23	^{:8} minor 77:11	Office 63:15
inability 70:17	labeled 76:8		opening 63:23 69:12
include 69:6 73:17,20 77:22 79:3	last-minute 69:25 law 59:4,9 66:16 72:19	miscellaneous 76:4,8 78:6	opportunity 64:5 65:3 66:21 67:1 77:10
included 67:18 75:20	leave 76:10 77:18	missing 73:1	opposed 63:23 68:22
79:6,12	letting 66:5	modify 63:21	oral 64:5
including 78:18 79:6	light 78:15	moment 59:13 66:3,12	order 64:21 69:15 73:
indicating 73:14	likewise 67:21 75:24	73:19	77:1
indication 78:1	Lilly 60:19	moments 66:24	outline 59:13
inform 66:6 73:25	limbo 66:5	morning 68:15	outlined 75:3,23
information 61:13	limited 68:2	motion 61:9	overwhelming 72:23
	23 72:3 78:3 79:6 link 72:16 17	motions 62:10,14	P
informed 70:1	links 78:12	move 61:3,17 63:17	
inquire 64:21 76:12	list 59:18,19 61:11 69:1	64:24 65:7 68:1 74:20 75:12 76:3,7	p.m. 59:2,8
inquiries 65:4,8 74:4	75:19 77:22 78:7 79:5,		pardon 68:5

Index: hearings..pardon

part 67:24

parties 59:16 61:5,7,16 62:11,19,22 63:4,19 64:4,18 65:5 66:4,7,13 67:9,12 68:7 71:12,23, 25 72:13,19,20,23 74:24 75:9 76:3,25 78:1 79:2,10

party 66:21

period 67:22 69:10 76:1

personally 64:25

pipe 70:8

place 64:14 72:11

plan 69:10 76:17,21 77:5

plans 76:10

point 65:21 67:7 68:6 70:20 73:4 74:10 75:13 77:10,13,23 79:18

points 66:13 68:7 72:9 74:14 79:17,23

pose 69:21

possibility 69:19 70:15,24 73:6 74:20 75:14

potential 74:6

prefer 76:18

preferable 71:2

preferably 78:10

prehearing 71:12

prepared 64:19,22,25 67:2 78:18

preparing 76:15

present 72:24

presume 75:1

previously 79:6

prior 67:14 procedural 61:10 63:7,

21 75:3 79:24 proceed 59:13 62:17

69:15

proceeding 59:1,10 64:6 propose 69:12 proposed 69:5,7 provide 63:9 provided 73:16 Public 63:15,21 purposes 65:7 66:8 68:2 79:15 put 59:14

Q

question 70:18 71:21

questions 61:6 62:13, 21 64:20 65:2,4,20 66:8,17,18,22 67:7 68:3 69:21 72:2,18 73:7 74:4 75:1,6

R

raised 79:23

rate 69:9,10

reached 63:15

readiness 63:4

reason 66:14 73:21 78:12 79:10

received 63:7

reconsider 69:4

record 59:5,6 63:11

redirect 66:23 71:4

related 63:6

75:4

remember 70:7

reply 63:25 64:1 69:7, 12

representatives 66:21

request 63:20,21 64:5 65:16 76:10,17,21,25 77:17 78:9

requesting 78:3

requests 64:7

requires 77:7 reserve 64:20 78:10,22 79:17

respect 63:22

I -- /A

respond 77:10

response 62:15,25 73:9 74:17 75:15 76:5 77:16 78:3

result 70:17

review 67:2 78:14,17

reviewed 64:25

reviewing 64:19 78:7

revise 79:10

revised 73:14,16 74:21 75:7,8 79:21

revising 75:14

revisit 70:6 75:10

rights 64:17,18 65:6 72:17

Rosas 59:4,9 60:1,6, 12,16,20 61:2 62:3,9,16 63:1 64:8 69:14 70:10 71:5,15 72:7 73:10 74:18 75:16 76:6,23 77:14,17 78:24

round 72:8

ruling 59:14 73:12,14, 17,19

S

S-A-R-A-H 60:25

Sarah 60:24

schedule 63:3,22 64:13 65:10 66:11 67:5 69:5,7 70:7 74:7,22 75:2,7,8,10,14 79:16,22 scheduled 59:15 64:12 scheduling 64:10

73:12 scope 68:2

scoping 63:24 64:6

section 61:14 seek 64:21 77:10 **select** 65:23 self-explanatory 61:6 62:12.20 send 73:14 sensitive 78:13 serve 76:10,18 77:18 **served** 61:10 67:16 76:16 78:4 service 59:25 61:11,19 63:14 serving 76:22 77:12 shortened 65:10 shortening 67:5 shortly 64:1 silence 62:3 simple 67:14 **sole** 65:7 **sooner** 69:25 71:25 72:3 **sort** 68:12 **speak** 77:2 **speakers** 59:19 79:5 speaking 62:7 69:15 73:3 77:1 specific 79:21 **spelt** 60:25 sponsoring 72:16 staff 78:14 starting 64:12

state 68:21 69:24 71:8, 18

statement 59:17 61:5, 8,15 62:11,19 67:13,18, 25 68:6,11 71:12 74:1, 11 75:18 76:9 77:23 78:2 79:3,9,13

statements 64:22

status 59:7,15,17 61:4, 5,7,14,15 62:11,19

Index: part..status

67:13,18,25 68:11	travel 69
71:23 74:1,11,24 75:17 76:9,13 77:22 78:2	traveling
79:3,8,12	tremend
steps 76:20 79:19	truncate
strike 67:11	truncate
subject 62:14 71:19 78:17	Tuesday 79:4
submitted 63:13 71:12	turn 68:1
substantial 77:8	
substantive 77:8	
sufficient 69:11	uncertai
summary 63:10 64:9	understa
support 71:19	understa
т	update (
telephone 79:7	
ten-day 69:10	vacating
tendered 78:9	view 70:
terms 64:10	vir- 72:10
testimony 64:19,22,25 67:2 76:11,16 77:9,13,	virtual 5 69:20 70

6 9:21,23,25 72:4	waving 64:17	
eling 68:20	Webex 73:16,17	
endously 65:11	Wednesday 67:17	
cate 74:6	Wednesdays 66:1	
cated 66:11	week 65:13,14 78:11	
day 74:12 75:19	weeks 65:21 66:2	
68:10 76:24	witness' 76:11 77:18	
	witnesses 63:17 64:17,20 65:4,9,17,19	
U	66:9,18,22 67:4,7,10,19 68:19 69:22 70:19 72:2,	
rtainty 69:21	15 73:22 74:3,6 75:1,6, 21 78:16	
erstand 66:4		
erstanding 63:18	work 68:4 75:22	
te 61:4,14,16	workers 69:24	
, , , ,	wrap 72:9	

67:276:11,1677:9,13, 19,25 78:4,18 18 74:16 thing 63:6 64:3 68:10 69:3,6 70:7 77:21 79:8 things 67:14 75:19,21 thoughts 64:15 three-week 69:12 throw 65:17 throwing 65:15 Thursday 76:11 77:19 Thursdays 66:1 time 59:8 66:9 69:7 72:5 73:6 75:22 76:1 78:13 timing 68:23 today 72:24 75:5 today's 59:15 tomorrow 78:11,23 total 74:5

V

ing 64:16 70:20 2:10 **1** 59:1 68:1,21,25 69:20 70:2,15,24 71:2, 9,20 72:12,13,25 73:15, virtually 66:19,20 W waive 63:16 waived 71:13 waiver 64:3 79:25 waiving 64:18 65:6 70:21 71:3,11 72:14,17 wanted 64:4 66:14 68:15 70:3 77:13 78:5 water 59:21,24 60:17, 21,25 61:19 62:4 63:8, 14,15,20 68:8,19 70:5 71:16 77:4,10 78:9

Water's 79:24

wave 63:20