

FILED

01/15/25

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

04:59 PM A2405020

ASSISTANT CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STEPHANIE WANG, in attendance

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE TREVOR PRATT, presiding

In the Matter of the Application of Bear PREHEARING) Valley Electric Service, Inc. (U 913 E)) CONFERENCE for a Certificate of Public Convenience) and Necessity to Acquire, Own, and) Application Operate the Bear Valley Solar Energy and) 24-05-020 Battery Storage Projects and Authorize) Ratemaking Associated with the Projects) Capital Investment and Operating) Expenses.)

> REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT Virtual Proceeding November 14, 2024 Pages 1 - 25 Volume 1

Reported by: Shannon Ross Winters, CSR No. 8916 Ashleigh E. Button, CSR No. 14013

	November 14, 2024 2
1	VIRTUAL PROCEEDING
2	NOVEMBER 14, 2024 - 1:31 P.M.
3	* * * * *
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE PRATT: Let's go on
5	the record. It is November 14th, 2024. This is the
6	Virtual Prehearing Conference for Application 24-05-020,
7	filed on May 17th, 2024, by Bear Valley Electric Service
8	Incorporated for approval of a Solar Project and Battery
9	Energy Storage Project.
10	I'm Trevor Pratt, the administrative law judge
11	assigned to this proceeding. Assigned Commissioner John
12	Reynolds is not available to join us today, and,
13	unfortunately, his advisor is also not available today.
14	The purpose of this prehearing conference is
15	discuss the issues in scope, schedule, and procedural
16	matters for this proceeding. These matters will be
17	determined by the assigned commissioner in an upcoming
18	scoping memo. Since this prehearing conference is being
19	held virtually, I will remind parties to refrain from
20	speaking and mute your lines until I direct you to
21	speak.
22	In Commission proceedings, the applicant and
23	those who have filed protests or responses are
24	automatically added to the service list. The Public
25	Advocates Office has filed and served a formal protest

	November 14, 2024 3
1	to the application. No other protests to the
2	application were filed and served; however, I will give
3	members of the public the opportunity to request party
4	status momentarily.
5	First, I will ask the representative for the
6	applicant to please state their name and spell their
7	last name for the record.
8	Ms. Jorrie.
9	MS. JORRIE: Good afternoon, your Honor. My
10	name is Katie Jorrie, K-a-t-i-e J-o-r-r-i-e, and I'm
11	here on behalf Bear Valley Electric Service
12	Incorporated.
13	ALJ PRATT: All right. Ms. Jorrie, I believe
14	we have two other speakers appearing for Bear Valley.
15	MS. JORRIE: We do, and I'll turn it over Sean
16	first to introduce himself and spell his name for the
17	record.
18	MR. MATLOCK: Good afternoon, your Honor.
19	Katie, thank you. Sean Matlock, S-e-a-n
20	M-a-t-l-o-c-k.
21	MS. JORRIE: This is Katie Jorrie again. We
22	also have Jon as well.
23	Jon, can you state your name for the record.
24	MR. PIEROTTI: Yes. Thank you.
25	Good afternoon, your Honor. Jon Pierotti,

4 J-o-n P-i-e-r-o-t-t-i. 1 2 ALJ PRATT: Thank you. 3 Next, I will ask the representatives for Public Advocates Office to please state their name and spell 4 it. 5 6 Thank you, your Honor. Matt Miley, MR. MILEY: 7 for the Public Advocates Office, M-a-t-t M-i-l-e-y. 8 ALJ PRATT: Thank you. 9 Does anyone present seek to become a party to this proceeding? 10 (No response.) 11 12 ALJ PRATT: At this time, if you have not 13 already, please send a chat message if you are in need 14 of being elevated because you cannot raise your hand. Off the record. 15 16 (Off the record.) 17 ALJ PRATT: Going back on the record. I've just checked, and we've not received any messages of 18 19 anyone requesting to be elevated. So I'm not hearing any requests for party status, so we're moving on. 20 21 In this application, Bear Valley Electric 22 Service proposed to categorize this proceeding as a 23 ratesetting proceeding. On June 20th, 2024, in 24 Resolution ALJ 176-3547, the Commission preliminarily 25 determined that the category of this proceeding is

	November 14, 2024 5
1	ratesetting. I agree and will recommend to the assigned
2	commissioner that the ratesetting categorization is
3	appropriate for this case. Please note that the
4	ratesetting categorization triggers ex parte rules,
5	described in Article 8 of the Commission's Rules of
6	Practice and Procedure.
7	Parties that communicate procedural issues with
8	me should do so by email and copy the entire service
9	list. Parties should not communicate with me about
10	non-procedural issues outside of a public forum that has
11	been noticed to the official service list of this
12	proceeding.
13	We are going to start with some questions about
14	what authority is sought from the Commission at this
15	time; for example, is the CPCN to build infrastructure,
16	authorization to enter into agreement or something else
17	being asked at this time by Bear Valley?
18	Ms. Jorrie.
19	MS. JORRIE: Yes, this is Katie Jorrie.
20	So we're seeking approval to enter into the
21	engineering procurement and construction for EPC
22	agreements for both the solar and battery projects, and
23	we're also seeking approval for the rate recovery
24	mechanism that Bear Valley is proposing to utilize to
25	recover the costs incurred by those agreements.

	NOVEIIIDEI 14, 2024 6
1	ALJ PRATT: Thank you.
2	Public Advocates Office, do you have any
3	comments?
4	MR. MILEY: Nothing to add, your Honor.
5	ALJ PRATT: Okay.
6	What are those approvals that you need right
7	now as opposed to approvals that may be needed at a
8	later date?
9	MS. JORRIE: So the immediate approval would be
10	to enter into the agreements and to incur those costs of
11	the agreements, and then we anticipate following up with
12	Tier 1 advice letters, after the construction of the
13	project, to confirm the final construction cost and
14	final cost for each project, and also to establish the
15	memorandum accounts for the projects to track the tax
16	credits achieved by those projects. More detail on that
17	process is in the application, and I'm happy to expound
18	upon that.
19	ALJ PRATT: Thank you.
20	Public Advocates Office, do you have any
21	comments on that?
22	MR. MILEY: No comments, your Honor.
23	ALJ PRATT: From my understanding of the Bear
24	Valley Electric Service application, the purchase option
25	for the parcel for the solar facility has an expiration

1	date. Will approvals from the Commission still be
2	needed if this option expires?
3	MS. JORRIE: This is Katie Jorrie. The plan is
4	to seek an extension of the option. In order to do
5	that, we need a bit more certainty on how long we're
6	going to seek the extension, but we don't anticipate any
7	issues that would impact this proceeding going forward
8	at this time.
9	ALJ PRATT: All right.
10	Public Advocates Office, any comments?
11	MR. MILEY: No comments, your Honor.
12	ALJ PRATT: Thank you.
13	Could you please describe for us the current
14	status of the project development process; for example,
15	what design, planning, permitting and/or procurement
16	work has been completed to date, including status of the
17	California Environmental Quality Act compliance and
18	applicable local that is, county and/or city
19	discretionary permitting processes for these projects.
20	Ms. Jorrie.
21	MS. JORRIE: Yes. For the storage project, as
22	detailed in the application, we believe it is exempt
23	from the CEQA requirements, and so that one is the more
24	simple one.
25	For the solar, we do believe CEQA does apply,

	November 14, 2024
1	and so we have gone to San Bernardino County because we
2	also need other permitting such as a conditional use
3	permit from them as well. So they're currently acting
4	as the lead agency through the CEQA process.
5	So we had an initial meeting with them, a
6	pre-application meeting, in which they said it was
7	unlikely to result in any significant environmental
8	impacts, And so right now what is anticipated is the
9	initial study and a mitigated negative declaration.
10	So we are currently in the process of
11	finalizing that impact mitigated negative
12	declaration, MND, and so if I use that acronym, that's
13	what it is. That report is currently being drafted, and
14	we're expecting that to be submitted fairly quickly.
15	What that looks like after formal submission is
16	initial comments will be due from the County. There's
17	also an opportunity for the public to comment, and it's
18	30 days after it's been submitted for public
19	circulation, and then there is some back and forth in
20	public hearings. What those specifics will be will be
21	determined by the County itself. So we currently
22	anticipate final approval around March of 2025.
23	ALJ PRATT: Public Advocates Office, do you
24	have any comments on that?
25	MR. MILEY: Matt Miley for the Public Advocates

1	Office. No. No, comments, your Honor. Thank you.
2	ALJ PRATT: All right. So based upon my prior
3	review of the application, protest and response, I'm
4	inclined to recommend the following issues to the
5	assigned commissioner for the scope of this proceeding:
6	Will the approvals for the Commission still be
7	needed after Bear Valley Electrical Service's purchase
8	option for the solar project parcel expires;
9	Whether one or both projects proposed meet the
10	Public Utilities Code 399.14 criteria;
11	Whether the battery storage project is an
12	eligible renewable resource as defined by the Renewable
13	Portfolio Standard program;
14	Whether the two programs have independent
15	utility from each other;
16	Were the requests for proposals for the two
17	projects properly conducted;
18	Are the proposed contracts reasonable and in
19	the public interest;
20	Do they each provide reasonable Renewable
21	Portfolio Standard obligation fulfillment relative to
22	the costs of the proposed agreements;
23	What are the reasonable and prudent maximum
24	costs for these developments; and
25	What is the applicability of the California

1	Environmental Quality Act to the Commission's approval?
2	It's my understanding that Bear Valley and Cal
3	Advocates have met and prepared a joint proposed scope
4	of issues that differs what I have and would like to
5	jointly present that; is that correct, Ms. Jorrie?
6	MS. JORRIE: This is Katie Jorrie. That is
7	correct.
8	ALJ PRATT: Mr. Miley, is that correct?
9	MR. MILEY: Yes, your Honor. That's correct.
10	ALJ PRATT: If you would like, Ms. Jorrie
11	will you be presenting this?
12	MS. JORRIE: I'm happy to do so. Do you want
13	me to read the scope into the record? I can also share
14	the text via chat. What would be easiest for the court
15	reporter?
16	ALJ PRATT: I'd like you to read it into the
17	scope.
18	MS. JORRIE: Perfect. So before I read the
19	specific scoping questions, one of the big concepts in
20	our approach is the separate analysis of the projects,
21	meaning that we are looking at the solar project
22	independent from the storage project, which I believe
23	answers one of your scoping questions of do they each
24	have utility separately, and I would answer yes.
25	So for the scoping issues of the solar project,

1	our first scope would be:
2	Does the solar project comply with all the
3	elements of the Public Utilities Code Section 399.14;
4	Two, was the request for proposals for the
5	solar project properly conducted;
6	Three, should the Commission authorize Bear
7	Valley to enter to into the solar project engineering,
8	procurement and construction agreement, Solar EPC? Is
9	the proposed Solar EPC reasonable and in the public
10	interest;
11	Four, given San Bernardino County is reviewing
12	the California Environmental Quality Act, CEQA,
13	compliance of the solar project, what, if any, is the
14	role of the Commission regarding CEQA?
15	The next category is the storage project:
16	One, does the storage project satisfy Public
17	Utilities Code 451 just and reasonableness standard as
18	informed by the scoping questions below:
19	(a.), Is the storage project cost-effective
20	(such analysis can include, for example, the net market
21	value, a comparison to reasonable alternatives,
22	et cetera.)
23	(b.), Does the storage project provide value to
24	the grid and Bear Valley's customers in terms of
25	greenhouse gas emissions, peak demand reduction,

	November 14, 2024 12
1	reliability, investment deferral, and reduced power
2	outage risk;
3	Two, was the request for proposals for the
4	storage project properly conducted;
5	Three, should the Commission authorize Bear
6	Valley to enter into the storage project engineering,
7	procurement and construction agreement for Storage EPC?
8	Is the proposed Storage EPC reasonable and in the public
9	interest; and
10	Four, is the storage project exempt from CEQA?
11	So that is the scope of the issues, and I
12	believe Mr. Miley, do you want to talk through our
13	approach and the communications we've had?
14	MR. MILEY: Happy to.
15	Your Honor, is that fine with you?
16	ALJ PRATT: Yes. Please continue.
17	MR. MILEY: Okay. Thank you.
18	Based on Bear Valley's response to our protest
19	and discussion with Bear Valley, Public Advocates Office
20	position regarding the appropriate scope of review for
21	the storage portion of the project has evolved since we
22	filed our protest. As Ms. Jorrie outlined, as an
23	initial matter, we believe the solar project and the
24	energy storage project should be considered as distinct
25	from each other and as individual projects.

1	Just to start with the solar component, we
2	agree with Bear Valley's position in its reply to our
3	protest that it's appropriate to evaluate the solar
4	component under Public Utilities Code Section 399.14.
5	Section 399.14 is a statute that applies
6	specifically to the RPS program. It provides conditions
7	under which an electrical corporation may apply for
8	approval to construct and operate an eligible renewable
9	resource. We agree on its face that the solar component
10	appears to meet the definition of an eligible renewable
11	resource. It's the type of resource that's typically
12	brought under Public Utilities Code Section 399.14.
13	The appropriate scope of review for the solar
14	portion of the project, articulated by Ms. Jorrie,
15	includes whether or not the solar project complies with
16	all of the elements of Public Utilities Code Section
17	399.14.
18	As to the other three issues mentioned by
19	Ms. Jorrie, we support those issues being included
20	within scope.
21	I'll move to the storage component.
22	Cal Advocates does not think that there is any clear
23	statutory authority for evaluation of the storage
24	component of the project, and to the extent that the
25	Commission determines appropriate authorization and

	November 14, 2024 14
1	finds it reasonable to review the storage component, it
2	should break up the Solar Plus Storage Project into two
3	separate and distinct projects.]
4	The only possible authority we see to evaluate
5	the storage component is Public Utilities Code
6	Section 451, Just and Reasonable Standard; however, that
7	statute is really broad on its own.
8	If the Commission determines that there is
9	authority to review the storage component, we are
10	amenable to reviewing it under Section 451 only to the
11	extent that the Commission adopts a clear set of
12	parameters for what must be considered in determining
13	whether the storage project is just and reasonable.
14	I will note that in its application, Bear
15	Valley references Public Utilities Code Section 2835, et
16	seq. These statutes generally coverage the definition
17	and function of energy storage. Section 2835 is not
18	applicable as an authority for Bear Valley's proposed
19	energy storage project because the legislature exempted
20	small IOUs like Bear Valley in Section 2835 statutory
21	requirements. However, to extent that the Commission
22	finds it reasonable the review this storage component
23	under specific requirements, Section 2835 helps provide
24	a usable framework to further examine the storage
25	component.

	November 14, 2024
1	Cal Advocates does not suggest that the
2	Commission apply Section 2835 to Bear Valley's proposed
3	energy project because it is clearly exempted from the
4	statute; however, Cal Advocates does think that Section
5	2835 covers valuable guidance from the legislature about
6	what it deems important and necessary for the Commission
7	to consider regarding whether an energy storage project
8	is just and reasonable.
9	Thus, we used that statute as a basis for
10	crafting the scoping issues that we that have been
11	presented to your Honor.
12	Cal Advocates has conferred with Bear Valley
13	and we agree to the scope of issues that Ms. Jorrie
14	outlined; and the first of which, as was stated on the
15	record, is an analysis of whether the storage project
16	satisfies PU Code 451 Just and Reasonable Standard as
17	well as the two sub parts that Ms. Jorrie outlined
18	previously.
19	And I think I will leave it at that, your
20	Honor, for now and happy to hear any questions or
21	further comment from Ms. Jorrie as appropriate.
22	MS. JORRIE: Your Honor, if if I may? One
23	add this is Katie Jorrie.
24	One additional thing I wanted to highlight is
25	the what we intended with the proposed scoping was to

	NOVEIIIDEL 14, 2024
1	also include the issues that you had circulated via
2	email; and so, the intent was to have the proposal be
3	the full scope of of the proceeding; and so, our
4	our intent was to incorporate the issues that you had
5	identified as well.
6	MR. MILEY: And, your Honor, this is Matt Miley
7	for the Public Advocates Office.
8	I should say that that we we support
9	those additional issues being included within scope, and
10	they are being presented jointly, but I will say that
11	the first issue in each for each of the respective
12	projects that we are proposing; that's where Cal
13	Advocates anticipates focusing its time, but we have no
14	objection and are are fine with the additional issues
15	that have been presented, and and we agree that
16	hopefully those issues capture some of the items that
17	your Honor put forward as well.
18	Thank you.
19	ALJ PRATT: Thank you both for putting in the
20	effort to come to a consensus behind the shared set of
21	issues, which kind of anticipates my next question for
22	you. Whether either you have any comments on the issues
23	that I have previously outlined, and how they might
24	differ from the joint proposal you've shared?
25	So, Ms. Jorrie, if you would like to take the

	November 14, 2024 17
1	first stab at at identifying the differences a bit
2	for me and clarifying why you feel those differences are
3	not appropriate?
4	MS. JORRIE: Yes. This is Katie Jorrie.
5	I will start with two that have been mentioned
6	that I think, based on some of the information shared
7	today, are now moot.
8	So, the first one you had asked about, the
9	March 25 option; and so, as we anticipate extending
10	that, I I don't believe a determination on whether we
11	move forward after that point is necessary at this time.
12	But the other option is, you had asked about the utility
13	of either projects separately, and given the analysis in
14	our scoping memo has been separated, I think that that
15	is already inherently brought into the separate analysis
16	of the projects.
17	ALJ PRATT: Thank you.
18	Anything else before I before I cut you off?
19	MS. JORRIE: I don't believe so. I will let
20	you know if there is, though. Thank you.
21	ALJ PRATT: Mr. Miley, any further comments on
22	that, on the same question?
23	MR. MILEY: Nothing further, your Honor.
24	ALJ PRATT: Oh, all right. Fair enough. So, I
25	guess we can move on to discussing the schedule a bit.

	November 14, 2024
1	So, now, is there a need for evidentiary
2	hearings? Are there any material facts that are in
3	dispute at present? Ms. Jorrie?
4	MS. JORRIE: Thank you, your Honor. Katie
5	Jorrie.
6	At this time, I don't believe evidentiary
7	hearings are necessary; however, as you can see by the
8	joint proposed schedule, I do believe having a a
9	motion for evidentiary hearings in the schedule does
10	provide us with that opportunity and certainty should
11	the need for evidentiary hearings arise to know how to
12	start that process.
13	ALJ PRATT: Okay. Mr. Miley?
14	MR. MILEY: Matt Miley for Public Advocates
15	Office. Thank you, your Honor.
16	We we would agree with the comments from
17	Ms. Jorrie regarding the motion being the appropriate
18	vehicle to to put that forward; and at this point, we
19	are still conducting discovery, et cetera, and we don't
20	have disputed material facts at issue at the moment
21	that could change but a schedule that provides for
22	that motion is is acceptable to Cal Advocates.
23	Thank you.
24	ALJ PRATT: All right. Thank you. And if you
25	wouldn't mind, for the purposes of the record, to

explain through the schedule that you had -- had sent 1 2 via email, Ms. Jorrie? MS. JORRIE: Yeah, this is Katie Jorrie. 3 No problem, your Honor. 4 5 So, the first item is the prehearing 6 conference, which is today, November 14th. 7 We anticipate the next step being scoping memo 8 three weeks after this prehearing conference. 9 Next is intervenor testimony, which we anticipate six weeks after the scoping memo is issued. 10 Next is rebuttal testimony, which we anticipate 11 12 three weeks after intervenor testimony is served. 13 Next is the motion for evidentiary hearings, 14 which we anticipate two weeks after rebuttal testimony is served. 15 16 We also have a place holder for evidentiary 17 hearings if necessary as a TBD. Next we have opening briefs, which are four 18 weeks after rebuttal testimony is served or evidentiary 19 20 hearings are held. 21 Next is reply briefs, which we anticipate three 22 weeks after opening briefs. 23 Next is the proposed decision, which we have an 24 anticipated date of June 20, 2025; 25 And, lastly, a final decision, which we

Prehearing Conference 20 November 14, 2024 1 anticipate July 2025. 2 ALJ PRATT: Thank you. 3 Mr. Miley, do you have any further comment on 4 that? 5 MR. MILEY: Nothing further, your Honor, and --6 and we support the schedule -- the proposed schedule 7 that Ms. Jorrie outlined. 8 Thank you. 9 ALJ PRATT: Okay. Thank you. 10 So, do -- do you have any other comments about 11 the scope, schedule or other procedural matters, 12 Ms. Jorrie? 13 MS. JORRIE: This is Katie Jorrie. 14 No, no additional comments; however, if you 15 have any questions, we are happy to answer them at this 16 time. 17 ALJ PRATT: Well, I might come back to you for 18 that. 19 MS. JORRIE: Okay. 20 ALJ PRATT: Mr. Miley, do you have any 21 further -- or comments about the scope, schedule or 22 other procedural matters? 23 MR. MILEY: Thank you, your Honor. Nothing 24 further beyond what's been presented. 25 Thank you very much.

	November 14, 2024 21
1	ALJ PRATT: All right. I I I do have one
2	follow-up question for the scope of issues for both of
3	you and for my own clarity.
4	In your joint proposal, it appears that you are
5	saying the storage project is no longer considered
6	eligible renewable portfolio standard resource; is that
7	correct?
8	MS. JORRIE: This is
9	ALJ PRATT: Ms. Jorrie?
10	MS. JORRIE: Yes, this is Katie Jorrie.
11	So, in our application, we had presented two
12	primary pathways, which were really a backup with the
13	CPCN process. In in an effort to in an effort to
14	streamline the analysis, we had originally proposed
15	using the 399.14 framework to analyze the storage
16	project as well; and so but after discussion with Cal
17	Advocates, we came to the agreement that the 451 just
18	and reasonableness as supplemented with additional, kind
19	of, guidance on energy-storage specific issues was a
20	an appropriate approach; and so, while we we don't
21	have any comments about the prior approach, because
22	in in our mind there are multiple ways in which this
23	project can be approved given that we have reached
24	agreement with Cal Advocates on the path forward, we
25	support that joint pathway forward at this time.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	ALJ PRATT: Thank you.
2	Mr. Miley, do you have any further comment to
3	elaborate further than you already have a little bit in
4	your presentation?
5	MR. MILEY: Well well, see, your Honor, Cal
6	Advocates' position is that this storage component does
7	not qualify under 399.14 for some of the reasons that we
8	have already articulated and also, I would like to our
9	protest outlines that as well.
10	So, the the approach that we came up with
11	jointly, you know, satisfies us regarding the
12	appropriate authority and standard of review for that
13	project but, you you know, I will say that we do
14	maintain our position that 399.14 is not applicable to
15	the storage project; and the scope of issues again, that
16	has been presented to your Honor, implicitly captures
17	that and certainly does capture what we think is an
18	acceptable standard of review to evaluate the storage
19	component.
20	Thank you.
21	ALJ PRATT: All right. Thank you both. And I
22	just wanted to note for the record that Suzanne Thompson
23	from Commissioner Reynolds Office has joined us. I am
24	not exactly sure at what moment, but she she has.
25	And with that, if there are no further

1	questions or comments, Ms. Jorrie?			
2	MS. JORRIE: Nothing from me, your Honor.			
3	Thank you.			
4	ALJ PRATT: Mr. Miley, any further comments or			
5	questions?			
6	MR. MILEY: Nothing further for Cal Advocates.			
7	Thank you, your Honor.			
8	ALJ PRATT: Then thank you both for your			
9	participation today.			
0	We are adjourned and off the record.			
1	(At the hour of 3:03 P.M., this matter having			
2	been concluded, the Commission then			
3	adjourned.)			
4	* * * * *			
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
0				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, ASHLEIGH BUTTON, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 14013, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON NOVEMBER 14, 2024.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS JANUARY 14, 2025.
16	
17	
18	
19	_
20	Milion Rith
21	ASHIEIGA BOTTON CSR NO. 14013
22	CSK NO14015
23	
24	
25	
	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
I, SHANNON ROSS WINTERS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
NO. 8916, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
THIS MATTER ON NOVEMBER 14, 2024.
I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
EXECUTED THIS JANUARY 14, 2025.
ShRaha
SHANNON ROSS WINTERS CSR NO. 8916
CSK NO. 8910

1	additional 15:24 16:9, 14 20:14 21:18	application 3:1,2 4:21 6:17,24 7:22 9:3 14:14	С
-	adjourned 23:10,13	21:11	
1 6:12	adopts 14:11	applies 13:5	Cal 10:2 13:22 15:1,4,
14th 19:6	advice 6:12	apply 7:25 13:7 15:2	12 16:12 18:22 21:16, 24 22:5 23:6
176-3547 4:24	Advocates 4:4,7 6:2, 20 7:10 8:23,25 10:3	approach 10:20 12:13 21:20,21 22:10	California 7:17 9:25 11:12
2	12:19 13:22 15:1,4,12	approval 5:20,23 6:9	capture 16:16 22:17
20 19:24	16:7,13 18:14,22 21:17, 24 23:6	8:22 10:1 13:8	captures 22:16
2024 4:23	Advocates' 22:6	approvals 6:6,7 7:1 9:6	case 5:3
2025 8:22 19:24 20:1	afternoon 3:9,18,25	approved 21:23 arise 18:11	categorization 5:2,4
20th 4:23	agency 8:4	Article 5:5	categorize 4:22
25 17:9	agree 5:1 13:2,9 15:13	articulated 13:14 22:8	category 4:25 11:15
2835 14:15,17,20,23	16:15 18:16	assigned 5:1 9:5	CEQA 7:23,25 8:4
15:2,5	agreement 5:16 11:8 12:7 21:17,24	authority 5:14 13:23	11:12,14 12:10 certainty 7:5 18:10
3	agreements 5:22,25	14:4,9,18 22:12	cetera 11:22 18:10
	6:10,11 9:22	authorization 5:16	change 18:21
30 8:18	ALJ 3:13 4:2,8,12,17,24	13:25	chat 4:13 10:14
399.14 9:10 11:3 13:4, 5,12,17 21:15 22:7,14	6:1,5,19,23 7:9,12 8:23 9:2 10:8,10,16 12:16 16:19 17:17,21,24 18:13,24 20:2,9,17,20	authorize 11:6 12:5	checked 4:18
3:03 23:11		В	circulated 16:1
	21:1,9 22:1,21 23:4,8	heek 4.47 0.40 00.47	circulation 8:19
4	alternatives 11:21	back 4:17 8:19 20:17	city 7:18
151 11:17 14:6,10 15:16	amenable 14:10	backup 21:12 based 9:2 12:18 17:6	clarifying 17:2
21:17	analysis 10:20 11:20	basis 15:9	clarity 21:3
8	15:15 17:13,15 21:14	battery 5:22 9:11	clear 13:22 14:11
•	analyze 21:15 and/or 7:15,18	Bear 3:11,14 4:21 5:17,	Code 9:10 11:3,17
8 5:5	answers 10:23	24 6:23 9:7 10:2 11:6,	13:4,12,16 14:5,15 15:16
Α	anticipate 6:11 7:6	24 12:5,18,19 13:2 14:14,18,20 15:2,12	comment 8:17 15:21
	8:22 17:9 19:7,10,11,	behalf 3:11	20:3 22:2
acceptable 18:22 22:18	14,21 20:1 anticipated 8:8 19:24	Bernardino 8:1 11:11	comments 6:3,21,22 7:10,11 8:16,24 9:1
accounts 6:15		big 10:19	16:22 17:21 18:16
achieved 6:16	anticipates 16:13,21	bit 7:5 17:1,25 22:3	20:10,14,21 21:21 23: 4
acronym 8:12	appearing 3:14	break 14:2	Commission 4:24
Act 7:17 10:1 11:12	appears 13:10 21:4 applicability 9:25	briefs 19:18,21,22	5:14 7:1 9:6 11:6,14
acting 8:3	applicable 7:18 14:18	broad 14:7	12:5 13:25 14:8,11,21 15:2,6 23:12
add 6:4 15:23	22:14	brought 13:12 17:15	Commission's 5:5
	applicant 3:6	build 5:15	10:1

commissioner 5:2 9:5 22:23	court 10:14
communicate 5:7,9	coverage 14:16
communications	covers 15:5
12:13	CPCN 5:15 21:13
comparison 11:21	crafting 15:10
completed 7:16	credits 6:16
compliance 7:17	criteria 9:10
11:13	current 7:13
complies 13:15	customers 11:24
comply 11:2	cut 17:18
component 13:1,4,9, 21,24 14:1,5,9,22,25 22:6,19	D
concepts 10:19	date 6:8 7:1,16 19:24
concluded 23:12	days 8:18
conditional 8:2	decision 19:23,25
conditions 13:6	declaration 8:9,12
conducted 9:17 11:5	deems 15:6
12:4	deferral 12:1
conducting 18:19	defined 9:12
conference 19:6,8	definition 13:10 14:16
conferred 15:12	demand 11:25
confirm 6:13	describe 7:13
consensus 16:20	design 7:15
considered 12:24 14:12 21:5	detail 6:16
	detailed 7:22
construct 13:8	determination 17:10
construction 5:21 6:12,13 11:8 12:7	determined 4:25 8:21
continue 12:16	determines 13:25 14:8
contracts 9:18	determining 14:12
copy 5:8	development 7:14
corporation 13:7	developments 9:24
correct 10:5,7,8,9 21:7	differ 16:24
cost 6:13,14	differences 17:1,2
cost-effective 11:19	differs 10:4
costs 5:25 6:10 9:22,24	discovery 18:19
county 7:18 8:1,16,21	discretionary 7:19
11:11	discussing 17:25

drafted 8:13 **due** 8:16 Ε easiest 10:14 effort 16:20 21:13 elaborate 22:3 **Electric** 3:11 4:21 6:24 electrical 9:7 13:7 elements 11:3 13:16 **elevated** 4:14,19 eligible 9:12 13:8,10 21:6 email 5:8 16:2 19:2 emissions 11:25 energy 12:24 14:17,19 15:3,7 energy-storage 21:19 engineering 5:21 11:7 12:6 enter 5:16,20 6:10 11:7 12:6 entire 5:8 environmental 7:17 **s** 13:25 14:8 8:7 10:1 11:12 **EPC** 5:21 11:8,9 12:7,8 establish 6:14 evaluate 13:3 14:4

discussion 12:19

21:16

dispute 18:3

disputed 18:20

distinct 12:24 14:3

22:18 evaluation 13:23 evidentiary 18:1,6,9,

11 19:13,16,19 evolved 12:21

examine 14:24

Index: commissioner..gas

exempt 7:22 12:10 **exempted** 14:19 15:3 expecting 8:14 expiration 6:25 expires 7:2 9:8 explain 19:1 expound 6:17 extending 17:9 extension 7:4,6 extent 13:24 14:11,21

F

face 13:9 facility 6:25 facts 18:2,20 Fair 17:24 fairly 8:14 feel 17:2 **filed** 3:2 12:22 final 6:13,14 8:22 19:25 finalizing 8:11 **finds** 14:1,22 fine 12:15 16:14 focusing 16:13 follow-up 21:2 formal 8:15 **forum** 5:10 forward 7:7 16:17 17:11 18:18 21:24,25 framework 14:24 21:15 fulfillment 9:21 **full** 16:3 function 14:17

G

gas 11:25

Prehearing Conference Ν

November 14, 2024		index. ge	
generally 14:16	incorporate 16:4	12:22 13:14,19 15:13,	maximum 9:23
give 3:2	Incorporated 3:12	17,21,22,23 16:25 17:4, 19 18:3,4,5,17 19:2,3	meaning 10:21
Good 3:9,18,25	incur 6:10	20:7,12,13,19 21:8,9,10	mechanism 5:24
greenhouse 11:25	incurred 5:25	23:1,2	meet 9:9 13:10
grid 11:24	independent 9:14	July 20:1	meeting 8:5,6
guess 17:25	10:22	June 4:23 19:24	members 3:3
guidance 15:5 21:19	individual 12:25	K	memo 17:14 19:7,10
	information 17:6		memorandum 6:15
н	informed 11:18	K-A-T-I-E 3:10	mentioned 13:18 17:5
hand 4:14	infrastructure 5:15	Katie 3:10,19,21 5:19 7:3 10:6 15:23 17:4	message 4:13
happy 6:17 10:12 12:14	inherently 17:15	18:4 19:3 20:13 21:10	messages 4:18
15:20 20:15	initial 8:5,9,16 12:23	kind 16:21 21:18	met 10:3
hear 15:20	intended 15:25		Miley 4:6 6:4,22 7:11
hearing 4:19	intent 16:2,4	L	8:25 10:8,9 12:12,14,17 16:6 17:21,23 18:13,14
hearings 8:20 18:2,7,9, 11 19:13,17,20	interest 9:19 11:10 12:9	lastly 19:25	20:3,5,20,23 22:2,5 23:4,6
held 19:20	intervenor 19:9,12	lead 8:4	mind 18:25 21:22
helps 14:23	introduce 3:16	leave 15:19	mitigated 8:9,11
highlight 15:24	investment 12:1	legislature 14:19 15:5	MND 8:12
holder 19:16	IOUS 14:20	letters 6:12	moment 18:20 22:24
Honor 3:9,18,25 4:6	issue 16:11 18:20	list 5:9,11	momentarily 3:4
6:4,22 7:11 9:1 10:9 12:15 15:11,20,22 16:6,	issued 19:10	local 7:18	moot 17:7
17 17:23 18:4,15 19:4 20:5,23 22:5,16 23:2,7	issues 5:7,10 7:7 9:4 10:4,25 12:11 13:18,19 15:10,13 16:1,4,9,14,	long 7:5 longer 21:5	motion 18:9,17,22 19:13
hour 23:11	16,21,22 21:2,19 22:15		move 13:21 17:11,25
	item 19:5	M	moving 4:20
	items 16:16	M-A-T-L-O-C-K 3:20	multiple 21:22
identified 16:5		M-A-T-T 4:7	N
identifying 17:1	J	M-I-L-E-Y 4:7	N
impact 7:7 8:11	J-O-N 4:1	maintain 22:14	needed 6:7 7:2 9:7
impacts 8:8	J-O-R-R-I-E 3:10	March 8:22 17:9	negative 8:9,11
implicitly 22:16	joined 22:23	market 11:20	net 11:20
important 15:6	joint 10:3 16:24 18:8	material 18:2,20	non-procedural 5:10
inclined 9:4	21:4,25	Matlock 3:18,19	note 5:3 14:14 22:22
include 11:20 16:1	jointly 10:5 16:10 22:11	Matt 4:6 8:25 16:6	noticed 5:11
included 13:19 16:9	Jon 3:22,23,25	18:14	November 19:6
includes 13:15 including 7:16	Jorrie 3:8,9,10,13,15, 21 5:18,19 6:9 7:3,20, 21 10:5,6,10,12,18	matter 12:23 23:11 matters 20:11,22	

Prehearing Conference Index: objection..representative November 14, 2024

	permitting 7:15,19 8:2	processes 7:19	Quality 7:17 10:1 11:1:
0	Pierotti 3:24,25	procurement 5:21	question 16:21 17:22
objection 16:14	place 19:16	7:15 11:8 12:7	21:2
obligation 9:21	plan 7:3	program 9:13 13:6	questions 5:13 10:19, 23 11:18 15:20 20:15
Office 4:4,7 6:2,20 7:10	planning 7:15	programs 9:14	23:1,5
8:23 9:1 12:19 16:7 18:15 22:23	point 17:11 18:18	project 6:13,14 7:14,21 9:8,11 10:21,22,25	quickly 8:14
official 5:11	portfolio 9:13,21 21:6	11:2,5,7,13,15,16,19,23 12:4,6,10,21,23,24	R
opening 19:18,22	portion 12:21 13:14	13:14,15,24 14:2,13,19	K
operate 13:8	position 12:20 13:2 22:6,14	15:3,7,15 21:5,16,23 22:13,15	raise 4:14
opportunity 3:3 8:17	power 12:1	projects 5:22 6:15,16	rate 5:23
18:10	Practice 5:6	7:19 9:9,17 10:20 12:25	ratesetting 4:23 5:1,2
opposed 6:7	PRATT 3:13 4:2,8,12,	14:3 16:12 17:13,16	-
option 6:24 7:2,4 9:8	17 6:1,5,19,23 7:9,12	properly 9:17 11:5 12:4	reached 21:23
17:9,12	8:23 9:2 10:8,10,16 12:16 16:19 17:17,21,	proposal 16:2,24 21:4	read 10:13,16,18
order 7:4	24 18:13,24 20:2,9,17,	proposals 9:16 11:4	reasonable 9:18,20,2 11:9,21 12:8 14:1,6,13
originally 21:14	20 21:1,9 22:1,21 23:4, 8	12:3	22 15:8,16
outage 12:2	pre-application 8:6	proposed 4:22 9:9,18,	reasonableness
outlined 12:22 15:14, 17 16:23 20:7	prehearing 19:5,8	22 10:3 11:9 12:8 14:18 15:2,25 18:8 19:23 20:6	11:17 21:18
outlines 22:9	preliminarily 4:24	21:14	reasons 22:7
	prepared 10:3	proposing 5:24 16:12	rebuttal 19:11,14,19
P	present 4:9 10:5 18:3	protest 9:3 12:18,22	received 4:18
P-I-E-R-O-T-T-I 4:1	presentation 22:4	13:3 22:9	recommend 5:1 9:4
P.M. 23:11	•	protests 3:1	record 3:7,17,23 4:15, 16,17 10:13 15:15
parameters 14:12	presented 15:11 16:10,15 20:24 21:11	provide 9:20 11:23 14:23 18:10	18:25 22:22 23:10
parcel 6:25 9:8	22:16	prudent 9:23	recover 5:25
parte 5:4	presenting 10:11	PU 15:16	recovery 5:23
participation 23:9	previously 15:18	public 3:3 4:3,7 5:10	reduced 12:1
Parties 5:7,9	16:23	6:2,20 7:10 8:17,18,20,	reduction 11:25
parts 15:17	primary 21:12	23,25 9:10,19 11:3,9,16 12:8,19 13:4,12,16	references 14:15
party 3:3 4:9,20	prior 9:2 21:21	14:5,15 16:7 18:14	relative 9:21
	problem 19:4	purchase 6:24 9:7	reliability 12:1
path 21:24	procedural 5:7 20:11, 22	purposes 18:25	renewable 9:12,20
pathway 21:25	Procedure 5:6	put 16:17 18:18	13:8,10 21:6
pathways 21:12	proceeding 4:10,22,	putting 16:19	reply 13:2 19:21
peak 11:25	23,25 5:12 7:7 9:5 16:3		report 8:13
Perfect 10:18	process 6:17 7:14 8:4,	Q	reporter 10:15
permit 8:3	10 18:12 21:13	qualify 22:7	representative 3:5

representatives 4:3 seeking 5:20,23 statutory 13:23 14:20 U request 3:3 11:4 12:3 send 4:13 step 19:7 requesting 4:19 separate 10:20 14:3 storage 7:21 9:11 understanding 6:23 17:15 10:22 11:15,16,19,23 10:2 requests 4:20 9:16 12:4,6,7,8,10,21,24 separated 17:14 usable 14:24 requirements 7:23 13:21,23 14:1,2,5,9,13, 14:21,23 separately 10:24 17:13 17,19,22,24 15:7,15 **Utilities** 9:10 11:3,17 21:5,15 22:6,15,18 13:4,12,16 14:5,15 **Resolution** 4:24 seq 14:16 streamline 21:14 utility 9:15 10:24 17:12 resource 9:12 13:9,11 served 3:2 19:12,15,19 **study** 8:9 21:6 utilize 5:24 **service** 3:11 4:22 5:8, 11 6:24 submission 8:15 respective 16:11 V **response** 4:11 9:3 Service's 9:7 **submitted** 8:14.18 12:18 set 14:11 16:20 suggest 15:1 Valley 3:11,14 4:21 result 8:7 5:17.24 6:24 9:7 10:2 **share** 10:13 supplemented 21:18 11:7 12:6,19 14:15,20 review 9:3 12:20 13:13 support 13:19 16:8 shared 16:20,24 17:6 14:1,9,22 22:12,18 15:12 20:6 21:25 significant 8:7 Valley's 11:24 12:18 reviewing 11:11 14:10 **Suzanne** 22:22 13:2 14:18 15:2 simple 7:24 Reynolds 22:23 valuable 15:5 small 14:20 Т **risk** 12:2 vehicle 18:18 solar 5:22 6:25 7:25 9:8 **role** 11:14 10:21,25 11:2,5,7,8,9, talk 12:12 **RPS** 13:6 13 12:23 13:1,3,9,13,15 W tax 6:15 14:2 **rules** 5:4,5 **TBD** 19:17 sought 5:14 wanted 15:24 22:22 terms 11:24 S speakers 3:14 ways 21:22 testimony 19:9,11,12, **specific** 10:19 14:23 weeks 19:8,10,12,14, S-E-A-N 3:19 14,19 21:19 19.22 San 8:1 11:11 text 10:14 specifically 13:6 work 7:16 satisfies 15:16 22:11 thing 15:24 specifics 8:20 satisfy 11:16 Thompson 22:22 spell 3:6,16 4:4 schedule 17:25 18:8.9. **Tier** 6:12 stab 17:1 21 19:1 20:6,11,21 time 4:12 5:15,17 7:8 standard 9:13,21 11:17 **scope** 9:5 10:3,13,17 16:13 17:11 18:6 20:16 14:6 15:16 21:6 22:12. 11:1 12:11,20 13:13,20 21:25 18 15:13 16:3,9 20:11,21 today 17:7 19:6 23:9 start 5:13 13:1 17:5 21:2 22:15 18:12 track 6:15 **scoping** 10:19,23,25 state 3:6.23 4:4 11:18 15:10,25 17:14 triggers 5:4 19:7.10 stated 15:14 turn 3:15 Sean 3:15,19 status 3:4 4:20 7:14,16 type 13:11 Section 11:3 13:4,5,12, statute 13:5 14:7 15:4, typically 13:11 16 14:6,10,15,17,20,23 9 15:2.4 statutes 14:16 **seek** 4:9 7:4,6