

Phys 251A Problem Set 5

Date posted: October 6, 2023

Due date: October 13, 2023

1. Consider two Hamiltonians $H_1 = A^\dagger A$ and $H_2 = AA^\dagger$ for some operator A that is not necessarily Hermitian.

(a) Show that H_1 and H_2 have a non-negative spectrum (all eigenvalues are non-negative).

We calculate, for a normalized eigenvector ψ_1 of H_1 with eigenvalue λ ,

$$\lambda = \langle \psi_1 | H_1 | \psi_1 \rangle = \langle \psi_1 | A^\dagger A | \psi_1 \rangle = \|A | \psi_1 \rangle\|^2$$

which is clearly non-negative. The proof is identical for H_2 with $A \leftrightarrow A^\dagger$.

(b) Show that H_1 and H_2 have the same spectrum at nonzero energies by relating their eigenvectors.

Suppose $H_1 | \psi_1 \rangle = A^\dagger A | \psi_1 \rangle = \lambda_1 | \psi_1 \rangle$, where $\lambda_1 \neq 0$. Then, acting to the left by A we have

$$AA^\dagger A | \psi_1 \rangle = A \lambda_1 | \psi_1 \rangle \implies H_2 A | \psi_1 \rangle = \lambda_1 A | \psi_1 \rangle$$

such that $A | \psi_1 \rangle$ is an eigenvector of H_2 of the same eigenvalue. Likewise, the eigenstates of H_2 can be mapped onto those of H_1 by the action of A^\dagger such that the two spectra are in one-to-one correspondence.

2. In this problem we will use the tools developed in problem (1) solve the spectrum of following Hamiltonian exactly.

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{2V_0}{\cos^2(x/a)}, \quad V_0 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2ma^2}$$

Here the particle is confined to lie in the interval $-\pi a/2 < x < \pi a/2$. At the endpoints we impose $\psi(x = \pm \pi a/2) = 0$ in accordance with the fact that the potential is infinite at these points.

(a) Rewrite the above problem in a non-dimensionalized form, with $y = x/a$ and $H_0 = H/V_0$.

Using $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} = \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{d^2}{dy^2}$, we have

$$H_0 = \frac{H}{V_0} = -\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \frac{2}{\cos^2 y}$$

(b) Define the operator $A = \frac{d}{dy} + \tan y$ and compute the Hamiltonians $H_1 = A^\dagger A$ and $H_2 = AA^\dagger$. Recall that

$$\left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^\dagger = -\frac{d}{dy}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 = A^\dagger A &= -\frac{d^2}{dy^2} - \frac{d}{dy} \tan(y) + \tan(y) \frac{d}{dy} + \tan^2 y \\ &= -\frac{d^2}{dy^2} - \left[\frac{d}{dy}, \tan y\right] + \tan^2 y = -\frac{d^2}{dy^2} - \sec^2 y + \tan^2 y \\ &= -\frac{d^2}{dy^2} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &= AA^\dagger = -\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \frac{d}{dy} \tan(y) - \tan(y) \frac{d}{dy} + \tan^2 y \\ &= -\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \left[\frac{d}{dy}, \tan y \right] + \tan^2 y = -\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \sec^2 y + \tan^2 y \\ &= -\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \frac{2}{\cos^2 y} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

- (c) One of H_1 and H_2 is particularly simple. Solve for its entire spectrum.

H_1 is a free particle Hamiltonian up to a constant. The eigenstates are labeled by positive integers $n = 1, 2, \dots$. The eigenstates of even parity are $\cos ny$, where n is odd, and the eigenstates of odd parity are $\sin ny$, where n is even. Either way, the action of $-\frac{d^2}{dy^2}$ on these states is n^2 , so that the eigenvalues are $n^2 - 1$

- (d) Show that the more complicated Hamiltonian has no zero energy states that satisfy the boundary condition (hint: use that $\frac{d}{dx} \sec(x) = \sec(x) \tan(x)$)

If $H_2\psi = AA^\dagger\psi = 0$ then we have $\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle = \|A^\dagger\psi\|^2$ such that $A^\dagger\psi = 0$ or

$$\frac{d}{dy}\psi = \tan(y)\psi.$$

The solution $\psi(y) = C \sec(y)$ is unique up to a constant C , because this is an ordinary differential equation. But $\sec(y)$ blows up at the boundaries, let alone vanishing. So there is no allowable zero energy state.

- (e) Use problem (1) of this problem set to deduce the entire spectrum of both $H_{1,2}$.

We have already determined the spectrum of H_1 . By problem 1 we can act with A on an eigenstate of H_1 to obtain an eigenstate of H_2 . This works for all states except for $\cos(y)$, which is a zero mode of A . So the eigenvalues of H_2 are $n^2 - 1$ for $n \geq 2$. The eigenstates of H_2 are then

$$\begin{cases} A \sin(ny) = n \cos(ny) + \tan(y) \sin(ny) & \text{for } n = 2, 4, 6, \dots \\ A \cos(ny) = -n \sin(ny) + \tan(y) \cos(ny) & \text{for } n = 3, 5, 7, \dots \end{cases}$$

- (f) Find the eigenstates and eigenvalues of H_0 and its dimensionalized version H .

$H_0 = H_2 + 1$ so the eigenstates are the same as those of H_2 and the eigenvalues are n^2 for $n \geq 2$. The eigenstates of H are also the same; written in terms of x they are

$$\begin{cases} n \cos(nx/a) + \tan(x/a) \sin(nx/a) & \text{for } n = 2, 4, 6, \dots \\ -n \sin(nx/a) + \tan(x/a) \cos(nx/a) & \text{for } n = 3, 5, 7, \dots \end{cases}$$

and the eigenvalues are $V_0 n^2$ for $n \geq 2$.

3. Consider a charged particle, in two dimensions, in a position independent magnetic field $B = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \partial_x A_y - \partial_y A_x$. Note that \mathbf{A} must be position dependent. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{\pi^2}{2m}, \quad \pi = -i\hbar\nabla - e\mathbf{A}.$$

where $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is the “dynamical momentum” in the presence of the magnetic field.

- (a) Compute the Heisenberg equations of motion for x and y and physically justify the labeling of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ as the “dynamical momentum.”

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}x = \frac{1}{2m}[x, \boldsymbol{\pi}^2] = \frac{1}{2m}[x, \boldsymbol{\pi}] \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} + \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot [x, \boldsymbol{\pi}] = \frac{1}{m}i\hbar\pi_x$$

and similarly for y such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{m}\boldsymbol{\pi}$$

where $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$. So $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is mass times velocity, which justifies labeling it “dynamical momentum”

- (b) Define a gauge transformation of an operator “ M ” as $M \rightarrow e^{-ie\phi(\mathbf{r})/\hbar} M e^{ie\phi(\mathbf{r})/\hbar}$, where M acts on wavefunctions $\psi(\mathbf{r})$. Show that, under a gauge transformation, the dynamical momentum $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is replaced by a similar dynamical momentum except with \mathbf{A} replaced by $\mathbf{A} - \nabla\phi(\mathbf{r})$ (which does not change the magnetic field).

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\pi} \rightarrow e^{-ie\phi(\mathbf{r})/\hbar} (-i\hbar\nabla - e\mathbf{A}) e^{ie\phi(\mathbf{r})/\hbar} &= e^{-ie\phi(\mathbf{r})/\hbar} (e^{ie\phi(\mathbf{r})/\hbar} (-i\hbar\nabla) + [-i\hbar\nabla, e^{ie\phi(\mathbf{r})/\hbar}] - e\mathbf{A}) \\ &= -i\hbar\nabla - e(\mathbf{A} - \nabla\phi(\mathbf{r})) \end{aligned}$$

as desired

- (c) Compute $[\pi_x, \pi_y]$ in terms of the magnetic field B .

$$[\pi_x, \pi_y] = [-i\hbar\partial_x - eA_x, -i\hbar\partial_y - eA_y] = i\hbar e(\partial_x A_y - \partial_y A_x) = i\hbar eB$$

- (d) Compute the Heisenberg equations of motion for π_x and π_y and discuss them in the context of the classical Lorentz force law.

we have

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}\pi_x = \frac{1}{2m}[\pi_x, \pi_y^2] = i\hbar eB \frac{\pi_y}{m}$$

and

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}\pi_y = \frac{1}{2m}[\pi_y, \pi_x^2] = -i\hbar eB \frac{\pi_x}{m}$$

such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{\pi} = \frac{e}{m}\boldsymbol{\pi} \times (B\hat{z})$$

which is the Lorentz force; force is equal to velocity cross product with the magnetic field.

4. Charged particle in a field II

- (a) Compare the commutation relation $[\pi_x, \pi_y]$ to that of x and p , and the charged particle Hamiltonian to that of the Harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian. Write down ladder operators such that

$$H = \frac{\pi^2}{2m} = \hbar\omega \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Compare to the so-called “cyclotron frequency” of classical mechanics. Eigenstates of $a^\dagger a$ here are called “Landau levels.”

The commutation relations are very similar, except scaled up by eB . Without loss of generality we suppose that $eB > 0$. We can therefore construct ladder operators

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar eB}}(\pi_x + i\pi_y), \quad a^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar eB}}(\pi_x - i\pi_y)$$

such that $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$. For $eB < 0$, replace the prefactor with $1/\sqrt{2\hbar|eB|}$ and exchange the roles of a and a^\dagger . The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \hbar\omega \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

where $\omega = |eB|/m$ can be identified as the cyclotron frequency. In quantum mechanics, therefore, cyclotron orbits are quantized with a harmonic oscillator spectrum. The different quantized orbits, labeled by $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the eigenvalue of $a^\dagger a$, are known as “Landau levels.”

- (b) It seems that we have gone from a continuum of states to a discrete set as soon as we have included a magnetic field. This is not the case, however, and each Landau level has many states inside it. To see this, we will construct operators that act “within” a Landau level. Consider the operators

$$R_x = x - \lambda\pi_y, \quad R_y = y + \lambda\pi_x$$

where x and y are the usual position operators in two dimensions. Solve for λ such that $[R_x, \pi] = 0$ and $[R_y, \pi] = 0$. Conclude that $[R_{x,y}, a^\dagger a] = 0$, such that R_x and R_y act “within” each Landau level (they can be simultaneously diagonalized with the number operator).

We have $[R_x, \pi_y] = [R_y, \pi_x] = 0$ regardless of λ , since, for example, both x and π_y commute with π_y . We therefore compute

$$[R_x, \pi_x] = [x, \pi_x] - \lambda[\pi_y, \pi_x] = i\hbar + i\hbar eB\lambda$$

and

$$[R_y, \pi_y] = [y, \pi_y] + \lambda[\pi_x, \pi_y] = i\hbar + i\hbar eB\lambda$$

such that we must choose $\lambda = -1/(eB)$. Because R_x and R_y commute with both π_x and π_y , they commute with the number operator $a^\dagger a$. Since they therefore do not change the eigenvalue of $a^\dagger a$, they act within a Landau level.

- (c) Compute $[R_x, R_y]$. Write down ladder operators b and b^\dagger that each commute with both of a and a^\dagger . Show that there are infinitely many states within a Landau level.

We have

$$[R_x, R_y] = [x + \pi_y/eB, y - \pi_x/eB] = -i\frac{\hbar}{eB}.$$

Then we can define, for example

$$b = \frac{\sqrt{eB}}{\sqrt{2\hbar}}(R_x - iR_y), \quad b^\dagger = \frac{\sqrt{eB}}{\sqrt{2\hbar}}(R_x + iR_y),$$

for $eB > 0$ without loss of generality, such that $[b, b^\dagger] = 1$. There are many other choices of ladder operators as well; there is no Hamiltonian inside the Landau level here so there is nothing fixing the relative scaling between the “canonical pair” R_x and R_y other than symmetry.