

Confidential Report

Our Ref: 26/01897BSupp/10/15

Notified Body for PPE Directive, Construction Products Regulation & Marine Equipment Directive I.D. No. 0338 & 0339 British Carpet Technical Centre Wira House, West Park Ring Road, Leeds, LS16 6QL



Tel No: +44 (0)113 2591999



> Tel: +44 (0)113 259 1999 Web:http://www.bttg.co.uk Email:CSLeeds@bttg.co.uk

> > Page 1 of 5

20 October 2015

Our Ref: 26/01897BSupp/10/15 Your Ref:

Client:	Polyflor Ltd PO Box 3 Radcliffe New Road Whitfefield Manchester M45 7NR
Job Title:	Fire Test on One Sample of Vinyl Flooring
Clients Order Ref:	2212015
Date of Receipt:	2 July 2015
Description of Sample:	One sample of vinyl flooring, referenced:-
Product Name:	Polyflor Prestige PUR/Polyflor Pearlazzo PUR/Polyflor Palettone
Nominal Thickness, mm: Weight per unit area kg/m2: Batch No: Shade:	PUR 2.0 2.95 7Z168 9725 Dark Berry
Work Requested:	BCTC were requested to carry out a fire test on the sample supplied to AS ISO 9239-1.
	This is a supplementary report to the one issued on the 24 th July 2015 under our report reference 26/01897B/07/15





> Tel: +44 (0)113 259 1999 Web:http://www.bttg.co.uk Email:CSLeeds@bttg.co.uk

20 October 2015

Page 2 of 5

Our Ref: 26/01897BSupp/10/15 Your Ref:

Polyflor Ltd

FIRE TESTS ACCORDING TO AS ISO 9239-1:2003 Reaction to fire tests for Floorings - Part 1: Determination of the burning behaviour using a radiant heat source (ISO 9239-1:2002)

Date of Test: 13/07/2015

Conditioning

The specimens were conditioned in accordance with BS EN 13238:2002. The substrate used was a fibre cement board (ISO 390) with a thickness of (6 ± 1) mm and a density of $(1,800\pm200)$ Kg/m³ representing the standard substrate of Class A1fl or A2fl.

Procedure

The test was carried out in accordance with AS ISO 9239-1. The sponsor sampled and cut the specimens to the dimensions stated.

Specimens were individually placed in the combustion chamber and allowed to preheat for two minutes under a radiant panel, which gives an imposed radiant flux ranging from approximately 11.0 kW/m^2 to 1.0 kW/m^2 along the specimen.

The pilot flame used was the line burner as described and was applied to the surface of the specimen for 10 minutes and then removed.

The flame front was measured at the end of the test or at 30 minutes if applicable.

Test termination was considered to be when the flame front self extinguished or at 30 minutes, which ever is the sooner.

The heat flux from the panel incident on the specimen when self extinguished or at 30 minutes (critical heat flux CHF or HF-30) was calculated from a prior calibration.





> Tel: +44 (0)113 259 1999 Web:http://www.bttg.co.uk Email:CSLeeds@bttg.co.uk

20 October 2015

Page 3 of 5

Our Ref: 26/01897BSupp/10/15 Your Ref:

Polyflor Ltd

Results

The test results relate to the behaviour of the test specimens of a material under the particular conditions of test; they are not intended to be the sole criterion for assessing the full potential fire hazard of the materials in use.

<u>Specimen</u>	Direction	Smoke Ol	oscuration	<u>Maximum</u>	Heat Flux-30	Critical Heat	Duration of
<u>No.</u>	of spec.	<u>Max %</u>	<u>% x min</u>	Flame front	<u>(HF-30)</u>	<u>Flux (CHF)</u>	<u>Flaming</u>
				<u>(mm)</u>	<u>(kW/m²)</u>	<u>(kW/m²)</u>	<u>(sec)</u>
			400		10.0		
1	Machine	60	128	118	10.6	10.6	734
2	Across	57	95	119	10.6	10.6	745
3	Across	61	141	156	9.9	9.9	727
4	Across	53	127	120	10.6	10.6	725
Mean of 3 specs.	Across	57	121	132	10.4	10.4	732

Distance		Time for each specimen to burn (s)				
<u>Burnt (mm)</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>		
50	183	168	175	176		
100	263	228	230	230		
150			282			

Note

One specimen was initially tested in each direction and whichever direction gave the worst result a further two specimens were tested. Only the results of the 3 specimens in the same direction were used to calculate the mean results.

The specimens of floor covering were tested adhered to a 6mm fibre cement board , as defined in BS EN 13238:2010 using Styccobond F44 adhesive.





> Tel: +44 (0)113 259 1999 Web:http://www.bttg.co.uk Email:CSLeeds@bttg.co.uk

20 October 2015

Page 4 of 5

Our Ref: 26/01897BSupp/10/15 Your Ref:

Polyflor Ltd

Uncertainty of measurement has not been taken into account when presenting the test result. The relevant uncertainty value is included as an annex which forms an integral part of the report.

Reported by:	23-Newroll	B Marsden (Mrs), Fire Technician
Countersigned by:		P Doherty, Operational Head

Enquiries concerning this report should be addressed to Customer Services.





> Tel: +44 (0)113 259 1999 Web:http://www.bttg.co.uk Email:CSLeeds@bttg.co.uk

20 October 2015

Page 5 of 5

Our Ref: 26/01897BSupp/10/15 Your Ref:

Polyflor Ltd

Uncertainty Budget - Annex

The uncertainty budget for AS ISO 9239:2003 was determined as follows:-

Overall

The uncertainty varies down the length of the panel therefore:

At position between a Euroclass B to C + 15%

At position between a Euroclass C to D + 15.5%

At position between a Euroclass D to E + 17.5%

