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CLAIM

The ornamental design for a portion of a shoe, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front left perspective view of a first embodiment of a portion of a shoe showing our new design;
FIG. 2 is front view of the design shown in FIG. 1;
FIG. 3 is a rear view of the design shown in FIG. 1;
FIG. 4 is a left side view of the design shown in FIG. 1;
FIG. 5 is a right side view of the design shown in FIG. 1;
FIG. 6 is a top view of the design shown in FIG. 1;
FIG. 7 is a bottom view of the design shown in FIG. 1;
FIG. 8 is front left perspective view of a second embodiment of a portion of a shoe showing our new design;
FIG. 9 is front view of the design shown in FIG. 8;
FIG. 10 is a rear view of the design shown in FIG. 8;
FIG. 11 is a left side view of the design shown in FIG. 8;
FIG. 12 is a right side view of the design shown in FIG. 8;
FIG. 13 is a top view of the design shown in FIG. 8; and,
FIG. 14 is a bottom view of the design shown in FIG. 8.

The broken lines shown in the figures are included for the purpose of illustrating portions of the portion of the shoe and form no part of the claimed design.
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