Planning Board Meeting September 16, 2019 Council Chambers Minutes & General Account

Planning Board Members Present: Sarah Glanville, Chair; Art Wise, Vice Chair; Ed Stafford, Russ Walker, Lawrence Straughn (Alternate)

Planning Board Members Absent: Eddie Oakley

Council Member Representative: Rebecca Mann Rayborn

Staff Present: Matthew Johnson & Katie McBride

Visitors Present: Richard Newman, Sister Lucy Hennessy, Alex Toye, Joseph O'Brien, Stephen Monroe,

and Carol Brooks

Call to Order- Glanville called the meeting to order.

Roll Call- Johnson took roll call as follows:

Sarah Glanville- Present
Art Wise- Present
Eddie Oakley- Absent
Lawrence Straughn (Alternate) - Present
Ed Stafford- Present
Russ Walker-Present

Council Member Rayborn- Present

Approval of minutes from the August 12, 2019 meeting- Straughn made a motion to approve the minutes from the August 12th Planning Board meeting. Stafford made a second to the motion. The motion passed by unanimous vote.

Public Hearings:

Rezoning request 2019-02: Request to rezone property located at 111, 113, 115, and 121 and a portion of parcel #176232 from Single-Family Residential (SFR) to Conditional Zoning-Civic (CZ-CIV) for Maryfield, Inc. - Johnson gave a description of the parcels of land. He stated that the properties were currently zoned as SFR and were vacant. He noted that Maryfield acquired the properties with the intention of using them to expand the Pennybyrn campus in the future. Johnson said that Maryfield had applied for a rezoning in order to construct a single-story, short-term stay facility. He presented an illustrative depiction of the facility that had been submitted by the applicant to the Planning Board.

Johnson said that there were several zoning conditions that had been proposed. He said that the applicant had requested a slight variance in regard to the construction of sidewalks on the property. He noted that the Town generally required developers to extend the sidewalk across the entire frontage of the development. He stated that there were some topographical issues

that made the extension of the sidewalk difficult. Johnson said that the applicant had offered to extend the sidewalk and fencing to approximately forty-five feet to the north side of the primary drive entrance of the property. Johnson added that the applicant had requested a small variance in regard to the building and lot standards. He stated that Pennybyrn was a general, unified campus and that the applicant wanted the short-term stay facility to maintain that character. Johnson stated that the applicant had also requested to have a parking lot in the front of the property. He went over all the details of the applicant's development requests.

Johnson stated that the applicant held a neighborhood meeting. He said that they had sent out notification to all the property owners within five hundred feet. He noted that he went to the meeting, and that there were not many people in attendance. Johnson added that there were no citizens at the meeting that raised any concerns about the project at that time.

Johnson said that the rezoning request would not be consistent with the Land Development Ordinance (LDO) even though staff believed it was reasonable. He stated that the Planning Board would have to recommend that Council amend the comprehensive plan itself if they decided to approve the request.

Straughn discussed the details of the sidewalk extension and the potential construction of a turning lane adjacent to the property with Johnson.

Johnson stated that the applicant was present and could give the Planning Board more information about the project. Glanville called the applicant forward.

Sister Lucy Hennessy came forward, and stated that she was speaking on behalf of Pennybyrn. Hennessy said that the Sisters at Pennybyrn had been serving the Jamestown, High Point, and Greensboro communities since 1947. She said that they were pleased to be located in Jamestown and to be serving the elderly population. She noted that they were currently serving approximately 350 residents every day. She stated that the rehab center would enable the Sisters to care for those that came to Pennybyrn to recover from a hospital stay or an acute episode. She added that it would further allow them to assist people with the restoration of their strength and return home as quickly as possible. She stated that the rezoning request would enable Pennybyrn to serve the community in a new and a special way. She thanked the Planning Board for their time.

Alex Toye, the project engineer, came forward to address the Planning Board. He noted that the engineering firm that he worked for had maintained a working relationship with Pennybyrn for over fifteen years. Toye gave an overview of the project and the design plans for the transitional facility.

There was a brief discussion about the sidewalk design between Stafford and Toye.

Glanville opened the public hearing to anyone that would like to speak in favor or opposition of the rezoning request. There was no one. Glanville closed the public hearing and opened the floor to the Planning Board for discussion.

Glanville and Stafford discussed the zoning conditions for the parking lot of the facility with Johnson. Glanville was slightly concerned about the precedent that may be set if the Planning

Board allowed the facility to have a parking lot at the front of the property. Stafford noted that having the parking lot in the front of the property would reduce the number of stairs that people would have to climb that were receiving care at the facility. Toye discussed the various buffers and the design of parking lot area.

Stafford made a motion to recommend the approval of the zoning request to Town Council. Wise made a second to the motion.

McBride took a roll call vote as follows:

Art Wise- Aye Ed Stafford- Aye Russ Walker- Aye Lawrence Straughn- Aye

The motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wise made the following motion:

"I make a motion that the proposed zoning amendment be approved based on the following:

1. Even though the proposed zoning amendment is inconsistent with the adopted comprehensive plan of the Town of Jamestown, a change in conditions in meeting the development needs of the community has occurred since plan adoption. These changes include rezoning three Single Family Residential (SFR) parcels to Conditional Zoning-Civic (CZ-CIV). Furthermore, the Board finds that the proposed zoning amendment meets the development needs of the community because it is in keeping with the zoning and usage of adjacent parcels and reflects the current growth pattern of the surrounding neighborhood.

AND

- 2. The proposed zoning amendment is reasonable. The Planning Board considers the proposed zoning amendment to be reasonable because:
- A. The report of the Town staff finding the proposed zoning amendment to be reasonable is adopted by reference.
- B. The Planning Board further finds that the proposed zoning amendment is reasonable because the amendment will allow strategic growth in a neighborhood highly impacted by zoning initiatives of a neighboring jurisdiction.

AND

- 3. The *proposed zoning amendment is in the public interest*. The Planning Board considers the proposed zoning amendment to be in the public interest because:
- A. The report of the Town staff finding the proposed zoning amendment to be against the public interest is adopted by reference.
- B. The Planning Board further finds that the proposed zoning amendment is in the public interest because it will allow for growth of services available to the community in a location that makes good strategic sense.

AND

4. By approving this motion, the Board also recommends that the Town Council also hereby amends the Town of Jamestown Land Development Plan (comprehensive plan) to reflect the approved zoning amendment. "

Straughn made a second to the motion. The motion passed by unanimous vote.

Public Comment Period- Nobody signed up.

Adjournment- Walker made a motion to adjourn. Straughn made a second to the motion. The motion passed by unanimous vote.

The meeting ended at 7:10 pm.