

This text is the resume written to be translated from Russian into other languages. The collective of authors strongly recommends those, who speak Russian, to read the full text of this article (32 pages), which can be freely downloaded from [www.dotu.ru](http://www.dotu.ru), [www.mera.com.ru](http://www.mera.com.ru) and some other sites. These sites also contain other information on COB (COB — is Russian abbreviator for the Conception of Social Security).

## «On Current Moment» №8 (80), 2008 г. (resume)

### Signs of Boundary Between Epochs

#### 1. On Solzhenitsyn's death

**Publishing of certain newspapers, magazines and even books may bring some benefit. — Koz'ma Prutkov.**

At night between August 3 and 4 Alexander Isayevitch Solzhenitsyn died. Official commentaries such as “the epoch has ended”, “the prophet has gone!” and so on were in style of “either good or nothing about deceased”. But if according to truth but not to lie? – Then conclusion is short: the idol of loyal unscrupulous idiots has gone.

Solzhenitsyn's “phenomenon” is that majority didn't wish to understand his role, which differs from cheap popular opinions – both “for him” and “against” as well.

Life shows that Solzhenitsyn voluntary became “a snitcher” by writing letters containing critics of Stalin, thus running away from the front (where anyone may be killed) to safer GULAG. After hysteria of denouncship and “supervigilance” (seized the USSR on 1930-s) and in conditions of military censorship any reproach of Stalin (i.e. supreme Commander-in-Chief) in letter from front could just lead to fetching up in GULAG with both sender and addressee. And Solzhenitsyn (if he wasn't a hopeless idiot) couldn't be ignorant of sequels of his correspondence. And not been a hopeless idiot, he could do that only being *possessed (in a church understanding of this term)*.

Mediocre writer Solzhenitsyn was “promoted” against “the dictatorship of Political Bureau”:

- 1) by clans of KGB: because “idiots” from Central Committee obstructed more competent swindlers from staff of internal KGB' mafias – *initiated on making world politics* – to satisfy their political-economical wants;
- 2) by CIA – because the USSR prevented the USA to rob “the third world”.

Historical-sociological views, conveyed in Solzhenitsyn's works, tell that he knew «which hands feed him» and didn't bark to his masters. It's necessary to explain the latter: there are topics that can't be omitted, if one in detail and unprejudicedly (i.e. freely) studies history of his people in the history of humanity. In particular, if one in detail and unprejudicedly researches history of any state in the course of world history, then he inevitably concerns with problematic of role of freemasons and origin of the Bible and historically established *pseudo religious cults based on it*<sup>1</sup>. Examples of such researches are works of A. Nechvolodov (author of «From ruins to sufficiency» (in Russian: «Ot razoreniya k dostatku», 1906), A. Selyaninov (author of “Secret power of freemasonry” (in Russian: “Tajnyaya sila masonstva”) in the past. And in nowadays works of the IP USSR are also the result of such unprejudiced research and understanding of world history.

If a researcher doesn't reach this problematic, then he is either superficial (not able “to see the wood behind trees”), or an engaged marionette manipulated by others, or both this cases at the same time: *these appraisals have become true at least since the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of XX century — when the process of globalization became directly noticeable almost in any village of any god-forgotten place.*

---

<sup>1</sup> For the explanation of pseudo-religious character of biblical cults read the book “Towards God's Kingdom” by IP USSR (in Russian “K Bogoderzhaviyu”).

Solzhenitsyn conveyed his economic views in the article “Rebuilding Russia”<sup>1</sup>. The article itself is the program of the USSR dismemberment by full compliance with directive NSC 20/1 (Aug 18, 1948) “U.S. objectives with respect to Russia”<sup>2</sup>. The article was published in the USSR in 1990 by the most popular and circulated newspapers (“Komsomolskaya Pravda”, “Literaturnaya Gazeta”<sup>3</sup>).

However there are too much “thoughtless people” in Russian government bodies. For instance, “Edinaya Rossiya” party leaders declared officially, that they “have been imbued” and have been prompted by Solzhenitsyn's ideas. Well, but if someone suddenly decides sincerely to “protect people” on the base of “Solzhenitsyn's ideas”, then people thus even can be obliterated. “Protecting people” on the base of “prophet Isayevitch”<sup>4</sup> is not suitable for revival of Russia.

As to claims of Alexander Solzhenitsyn and his admirers on notorious “communism” and “communist” regime, then Vasily Klyuchevsky gave the answer to them with his aphorism long before “prophet Isayevitch” was born: “*The society of righteous coexistence made of scoundrels*”.

**In other words, what is the problem: falsity of ideals? or ideals are true, but unrealizable in community of scoundrels?**

- Jesus Christ affirmed that the problem was not in unreliability of ideas: «*Law and the prophets were proclaimed until John*<sup>6</sup>; *since that time the gospel of the Kingdom of God has been preached, and every is forcing his way into it*» (Gospel of Luke, 16:16)<sup>7</sup> «... *Our Father who is in heaven, Hallowed be Your name. Your kingdom come. Your will be done, On earth as it is in heaven.*» (Gospel of Matthew, 6:9, 10)<sup>8</sup>.
- Scoundrels declared Christ’s teaching about formation of Heaven Kingdom on earth as a heresy<sup>9</sup>, and convince everyone that the problem is in unreliability of ideas of communism – Kingdom of Heaven on Earth, which must be built by efforts of people themselves under God’s leadership.

## 2. Georgia’s problems

### 2.1. Georgian-Ossetian conflict

During August 8-12, 2008 in South Ossetia at the territory of post-Soviet Georgia Russian and Georgian troops fought against each other.

Official position of Russia is that military operations were started on the night of August 8, 2008 by Georgia, incited and purposefully trained for aggression by the USA. The ground for beginning military operations by Georgia was the restoration of its territorial integrity and neutralization of separatists. And the neutralization of South-Ossetian «separatism» by Tbilisi’s regime took the form of residential areas bombardment of Tskhinval (the capital of S. Ossetia) with multiple rocket launcher system “Grad”. After that Georgian troops invaded Tskhinval and engaged in extermination of civilians, including those who concealed themselves from bombardment and bombing at shelters. Russian actions at S. Ossetia were a suppression of new (3<sup>rd</sup> during previous 100 years) act of Ossetians genocide

<sup>1</sup> [http://lib.ru/PROZA/SOLZHENICYN/s\\_kak\\_1990.txt](http://lib.ru/PROZA/SOLZHENICYN/s_kak_1990.txt) (in Russian)

<sup>2</sup> [http://www.sakva.ru/Nick/NSC\\_20\\_1.html](http://www.sakva.ru/Nick/NSC_20_1.html)

<sup>3</sup> After that publication no one objection to Solzhenitsyn on the substance of his harmful nonsense was published, despite that such objections were among people. I.e., **in post-Stalin Soviet Union communist propaganda INDEED was not permitted – it was suppressed.**

<sup>4</sup> Solzhenitsyn’s patronymic name Isayevitch sounds close to prophet Isaiah.

<sup>5</sup> “Law and the prophets” in Christ’s times “Old Testament”.

<sup>6</sup> Saint John the Baptist

<sup>7</sup> <http://nasb.scripturetext.com/luke/16.htm>

<sup>8</sup> <http://nasb.scripturetext.com/matthew/6.htm>

<sup>9</sup> Its Latin name — «Milleniarism» (millenarism), and Greek name — «chiliasm» (premillenarism). This heresy was suppressed as far back as 1000 AD, so nowadays only those who are interested in history of Christianity formation and development know about existence of this heresy and contents of its teaching.

## Signs of Boundary Between Epochs

by Georgia (whilst most of Ossetians have both Georgian and Russian citizenship), and compulsion of Saakashvili's regime to peace.

Georgia, supported by the USA, some of their allies, vassals and RusZion liberals, blamed Russian leadership for aggression.

The West ignores:

- national minorities' reluctance to live under Tbilisi's power;
- Tbilisi's policy on population of Abkhazia and South Ossetia for many years.

However, the West was not consolidated in blaming Russia.

Russia accused the USA and their allies for "double standards", using which they are trying to realize their non-public strategic geopolitical interests at the expense of peoples of S. Ossetia, Abkhazia and Russia. Also Russia "non-political correctly" publicly called Saakashvili as "American project".

### 2.2. Local scale of examination

The essence of the matter in brief: Abkhazia, South Ossetia and some other territories were passed inside Soviet Georgia borders in 1920–1936 during elaborating the system of USSR administrative division.

This predetermines the failure of modern Georgian "elite" politics directed at the same time:

- to Georgia's exit from the USSR membership;
- and to conservation of borders obtained during the USSR membership<sup>1</sup>.

Conclusions, in brief:

1. Post-Soviet Russia (as the successor of the USSR) doesn't and can't bear the responsibility for all happened on August 8–12, 2008 in South Ossetia, Abkhazia and Georgia.
2. It is the historical fact, that Georgian Nazism<sup>2</sup> in relation to nations founded inside administrative borders of Georgia until disintegration of the USSR was stopped in early 1990-s and is deterring by Russia until present days. It is foolish to regret that Russia didn't indulged Nazism policy of Georgia towards autonomous regions population — because Russia under Boris Yeltsin regime long time did, but not so consecutive and active as Tbilisi wanted.
3. If Saakashvili regime, not understanding the algorithmic of Georgia disintegration inertly going during last 20 years, got used to speeding-up this process — these are problems of Saakashvili and Georgian "elite" at all.
4. Besides that, these are problems of Saakashvili regime's transatlantic sponsors and curators.

The last circumstance binds to move on global level examination of events at South Ossetia on August 8--12, 2008.

### 2.3. Global level of examination

Without support from USA post-Soviet Georgia would disintegrated long ago under influence of its internal algorithmic. But such variant doesn't suit to USA now and before. Also the USA are not suited with preservation of territorial integrity of Russia and moreover — with reintegration processes on former-USSR territory; *under certain conditions stipulated mostly by Russia's policy*, some nations which never were members of Russian empire or the USSR, from both Europe and Asia may join in these processes.

From the beginning of 2<sup>nd</sup> half of XX century this is real political practice substantiated by USA' official documents. One of these documents — NSC 20/1 1948 "U.S. objectives with respect to Russia"<sup>3</sup>:

**«...we are entirely within our own rights, and need feel no sense of guilt, in working for the destruction of concepts inconsistent with world peace and stability and for their replacement by ones of tolerance and international collaboration. It is not our business to calculate the internal**

---

<sup>1</sup> "Elites" of "independent" Ukraine (Crimea, Donetsk Basin, Left-bank Ukraine) and Moldavia (Transnistria (Pridnestrovie)) have analogous problems.

<sup>2</sup> The essence of Nazism is in annihilation of other nations' culture and also other nations sometimes.

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.contr-tv.ru/print/2015/> (in Russian), [http://www.sakva.ru/Nick/NSC\\_20\\_1.html](http://www.sakva.ru/Nick/NSC_20_1.html) (in English)

**developments to which the adoption of such concepts might lead in another country, nor need we feel that we have any responsibility for those developments. ...** (Printed in bold while quoting by us: this — is declaration of amorality in US' policy, which [i.e. amorality] is not inexcusable for *true democracy*).»

Till today the U.S. politics moves in channel of this 60-year old directive and subsequent documents, which adapt its principles to changing historical conditions. This is the course to complete world dominion of the USA, grounds for which found its expression in the book by Zbignev Brzezinski “The Grand Chessboard. American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives”<sup>1</sup>.

According to global policy principles, which USA executes after 1945, for USA bosses Georgia is, first of all, the position for “rapid deployment forces” and elements of USA global military infrastructure deployment. But USA can't use this region, until there are Russian troops on Georgian territory, inherited from the USSR.

In this part petty-parasitic interests of Georgian “elite” on suppression of Georgian national minorities “separatism” and Russian peacemaking contingent withdrawal out of Georgia's borders coincide with USA' interests.

“Blitzkrieg”, to which USA supposedly trained Georgian Army, hasn't achieved its goals ((1) to change administrations in “insurgent regions”; or (2) to involve Russia into a protracted war). I.e. USA enticed Saakashvili to the first variant, but really prepared the second variant.

Nor the first, nor the second really happened.

On the one hand it displeases USA and stirs up Saakashvili's embarrassment close to psychic frustration, and on the other hand, military-political Russia's victory provokes enthusiasm of those society sections both in Russia and abroad, which, if put it mildly, have little liking of the USA for its *politics mendacity and dissimulation*.

Saakashvili himself and his regime at present time are exclusively Georgian internal problems depended on that post-Soviet Georgia has no true sovereignty; and there are Georgians who have to solve these problems, but not at the expense of Ossetians, Abkhazians or Russians.

Moreover, there is an opinion that USA intended to use Georgian territory in planned aggression against Iran. So Saakashvili's “spontaneous activity” in assault to South Ossetia (which provoked Russian Army involving in conflict) frustrated this plan and caused hysterics of Bush administration representatives<sup>2</sup>. In this case, not American military head-quarters' but their bosses' “left hand doesn't know what their right hand is doing”:

- some of them design war on Iran with Georgian participation and with Ukrainian air defence sub-units for defending objectives at Georgian territory,
- and others, in order to ruin this war (using “fighting fire on fire” method) forestally organize Georgia military venture at S. Ossetia, thus after armed conflict with Russia Georgia becomes unsuitable for using in USA war against Iran.

## 2.4. “Tough patriots”: in Russia and in USA

However, side by side with this, not all “true patriots” in Russia understand that this Russian military-politic victory doesn't “appear out of the blue” or “happen by itself” to Dmitry Medvedev's and Vladimir Putin's delight and despite of their intentions, which, – according to opinion of “true patriots”, – think only about how to enter “the world association” by themselves and to finally “surrender Russia to their bosses from the West”. This Russian military-political victory was made ready namely by post-Yeltsin regime, which represents the result of many years purposeful activity of one of internal KGB<sup>3</sup> mafia, which created present regime at post-Yeltsin Russia.

<sup>1</sup> Brzezinski Z. “The Grand Chessboard. American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives”. Basic Books. Our opinion on this book was stated at analytical report “Egoist is like one sitting for long in a well” (available in English at [www.dotu.ru](http://www.dotu.ru)).

<sup>2</sup> <http://noviny.narod.ru/smi-00000110.html>; <http://worldcrisis.ru/crisis/485985>. (in Russian)

<sup>3</sup> Look at «On Current Moment» № 7 (79), 2008 г. “Once again: liberalism — is the enemy of freedom” (in Russian) ([www.dotu.ru](http://www.dotu.ru), [mera.com.ru](http://mera.com.ru)). Besides that, we remind again that, special services all over the world — are a kind of

**Generally speaking, in order to be true patriot, but not a “tough patriot”, it is necessary to cultivate global-strategic thinking.**

“Tough patriots” trouble and danger for people is instantly that according to lack of global-strategic thinking they easily may be involved in this or that “trickery” in channel of strategies hostile to their motherland. This concerns not only “tough patriots” from RusZionia, but also from all other countries.

Essentially this became nervousness subject of Zbignew Brzezinski – “elite”-patriotic American with Polish roots US national security advisor to J. Carter, and also hater of Russia and follower of US complete world dominion conception. While having global-strategic thinking, Brzezinski for many years is displeased with George W. Bush’s administration policy.

- **“Bush’s and his encirclement’s nearsighted and non-professional actions at world arena threaten to follow USA to break-up”**
- **“Administration’s recklessness will bring politic benefits to USA main geopolitical rivals – Russia and China”**

Even now Zbignew doesn’t change these estimations maiden in 2005.

And though Zbignew Brzezinski as US “elite” patriot is right in his estimations of George Bush Junior administration policy consequences, but Bush’s administration carries out namely that policy, because it is also a puppet – not concerning some third world power, but concerning “world backstage – world hidden forces”. “World backstage” works out on global scale: for this purpose USA have to create global significance revolutionary force – “Islamic” caliphate, – to begin with Afro-Eurasian and primarily nuclear-armed (Pakistan has nuclear weapon); and to let this psycho-Trotskyist [i.e. revolutionary; from the term “permanent revolution” by Lev Trotsky] “caliphate” appear, it is necessary to bring down states of traditional Islam — George W. Bush administration is obliged to do this after September 11, 2001 (9/11). The administration is doing it with obstinacy, which may be used to better things, and despite of common Americans wishes, which don't understand what is going on and majority of them think that Bush just “behaves in a queer way” according to his weak-mindedness and alcoholic past.

### ***3. Russia’s main problem***

However, in the history of centuries-old battle for development of originality of multinational Rus’ Civilization military-politic victory over USA at South Ossetia on August 2008 as to its importance and long-term consequences is just one of many “local importance” fights. And itself it is not rather important, *if the basis of Russia’ policy has no global strategy of victory and equally no own conception of globalization.*

In particular, there wasn’t qualitative military-technical superiority over Georgian party during military actions at South Ossetia.

This is straight consequence of that social-economic policy, leaded by Boris Yeltsin’s regime during all 1990-s, resulted in degradation of military-industrial complex (MIC) schools and design offices. And it is necessary to rise them up from almost nought.

But this is only upper layer of military-technical character problems. Deeper layer problems are that Russian MIC fell into stagnation before the beginning of Perestroika. As a result, many models of military weapons and equipment, with low level of unification and standardization and not defined purpose according to military actions tactics and strategy, were designed and adopted. Besides that, Russian MIC lost arms race against USA and NATO by many military equipment characteristic parameters.

But there are also consequences of even deeper layer of problems: of moral-worldview character. They resulted in non-adequateness of world-understanding and of management, organizational, tech-

---

“gloves”, by means of which hidden (“backstage”) politic forces — freemasonry, church orders, church mafias, znakhars clans — are acting. And enough often several “arms” simultaneously have been dressed into the same “glove”. (For the meaning of the word “znakhar” read [http://dotu.ru/2005/09/09/about\\_cob\\_in\\_brief/](http://dotu.ru/2005/09/09/about_cob_in_brief/))

nological, scientific, technical and all other decisions on society life worked out on base of wrong world-understanding.

The Soviet MIC at Stalin times developed to correct direction, but before 1970-s beginning it deviated in its development to bureaucratism, dynasty careerism, and in technical sphere — unsystematic imitation and non-system “asymmetric” answers to potential enemy.

Society can't conceal information of instant strategic significance: it imprints on all production, — *though not everyone can read it* (it is necessary to see the worked with its completeness and integrity and to select indeed significant facts).

Russia's main problem is that everything from the very beginning must be done in the best way, everywhere in common to all global significance strategy according to full function of management — not under governor's indications, but under initiative by provinces.

All this demands for domination of different moral, different ethics, different culture of personal psychic activity in society.

While lacking these, after failure of certain operations against Russia its enemies may just “procrastinate” undertaking some demonstrations of *power — real or illusive*. As answer to these demonstrations RusZion ruling “elite” by its unsystematic copying of foreign elaborations and by fussy unsystematic “asymmetric” answers (not laying in channel of any global-politic strategy) would turn by itself Russia's past victories and preconditions to new victories *into Russia's future defeats*.

**And “tough patriots” (which have not cultivated global-strategic situation perception and global-strategic thinking) aren't able to withstand to strategically appointed and disciplined in strategy channel West and its periphery (even such petty as Saakashvili's regime).**

#### ***4. Through watershed between epochs***

The problems of USA and of the whole West result from that its intellectuals during all 20<sup>th</sup> century have not understand that bourgeois-liberal way of life is still alive in the beginning of 21<sup>st</sup> century only because the Marxist Project of the general capitalism crisis solution, started by the “world backstage” in the first half of 19<sup>th</sup> century, failed. This failure was engendered by Russia's Bolshevism under Stalin's leadership that quite deliberately and purposefully tired the Marxist Project out. After that the Marxist Project became lacking vitality and came to nought in USSR during 33 years after Josef Stalin's removal<sup>1</sup>.

West strategists, those who follow to bourgeois-liberal doctrine, don't understand that.

But also *Biblical global-politic Project of whole world buying up with all its inhabitants and all their property by means of racial mafia-corporate Judaists' monopoly for usury* lost its capacity for work, because its principles have not been changed during 3000 years, but mankind, on which it [project] have been realized, becomes different and follows to change, having hastened rates of its changing<sup>2</sup>.

While remaining under 1) the power of Biblical Project and 2) trying to express unique originality under its restrictions, Russia can't compete with USA in strategic system-forming “creative” level and it is doomed to failure. The West doesn't understand that, so does Saakashvili, but they realize that unconsciously and therefore they continue behave like this until they feel something different — something indeed of globally creative.

However Russia has opportunities to defeat the West and free it, and these opportunities consist of honesty and creative potential realization on the basis of Biblical Project denial with all its manifesta-

---

<sup>1</sup> Look at IP USSR' works “Ford and Stalin: how to live in a humaneness” (available in English) and “Judas's sin of the XX congress' [of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union]” (in Russian).

<sup>2</sup> Look at IP USSR' works “Dead Water” и “The Sorrowful Legacy of Atlantis” (“Trotskyism is ‘Yesterday’, but not ‘Tomorrow’ ”) (both in Russian).

## Signs of Boundary Between Epochs

---

tions. And every human is able to do this: to overcome the biblical legacy in himself not asking opinions on this theme of one or another “elitist” or “authorities”.

All transitions across watersheds between epochs are held exactly so: people, according to their understanding and their will, refuse to support something obsolete and start to create something new. As a result, former “elites” lost their power and passed to historical non-existence. The present boundary is characterized by that for overcoming it mankind must give up crowd-“elitarism” in the past and go down to humaneness the God’s Realm on the Earth, being created by people’s efforts under God’s leadership. But scoundrels persuade all others that this is impossible. And it is veritable truth: it’s impossible for scoundrels, but if stop to be a scoundrel, then it is inevitable...

Therefore, the main science nowadays is psychology, which differs from graphomania on psychological themes, and which has to bring keys to personal and collective moral-psychological problematic revealing and solving. On such way there have been opened opportunities to exclude armed conflict with the West at 6<sup>th</sup> level of generalized means of ruling<sup>1</sup>, i.e. to avoid next world war. But this demands, first of all, for working on your own, for self-improvement, in order to be able to influence effectively on course of events in Life using own discretion.

Internal Predictor of the USSR  
18–26 August 2008 г.

---

<sup>1</sup> About the generalized means of ruling and weapon read in “Dead water” by IP USSR (in Russian) and in “The Last Gambit” (available in English).