

## Update of Major Projects

Over the page is a summary of the Council's Major projects:

### Please note before reviewing the “Large” project information:

- The Summary of “Large” projects will evolve over time as projects progress, are completed and new projects are initiated and is provided to inform the committee in performing its role of risk and assurance of the project management approach.
- Any project that achieves a score of 106 or more out of 160 qualifies as a “Large” or Major project and is included in this list.
- Executive is responsible for scheme financing/policy and Scrutiny will perform detailed reviews of any relevant project.
- The status (RAG – Red, Amber or Green) is provided as an overview. (RAG description, below, as agreed at the July 2016 A&G committee)

Green	All the elements of delivery are within acceptable parameters.
Amber	There are risks/issues with one or more elements of delivery. There is a plan in place to bring the project back within acceptable parameters and it is in the control of the project team.
Red	There are issues with one or more elements of delivery and there is no plan in place to mitigate or there is a plan emerging, but it is out of the control of the project team

- See the matrix below when reviewing the risk scores.

Impact	Catastrophic	17	22	23	24	25
	Major	12	18	19	20	21
	Moderate	6	13	14	15	16
	Minor	2	8	9	10	11
	Insignificant	1	3	4	5	7
		Remote	Unlikely	Possible	Probable	Highly Probable
Likelihood						

<b>Large projects summary</b>	<b>Previous period (RAG)</b>	<b>This period (RAG)</b>	<b>Direction of travel</b>
Older Person's Accommodation Phase 2(ASC)	<b>Green</b>	<b>Green</b>	Same
York Central	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Amber</b>	Same
Castle Gateway	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Amber</b>	Same
Local Plan	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Amber</b>	Same
Guildhall	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Amber</b>	Same
Community Stadium	<b>Green</b>	<b>Green</b>	Same
Adult Social care – Future focus	<b>Green</b>	<b>Green</b>	Same
Outer ring road (A1237)	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Amber</b>	Same
Housing development (HCA partnership)	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Amber</b>	Same
Specialist Disabled Children Short Break Facility	<b>Green</b>	<b>Green</b>	Same
Provision of School Places 2017-2023	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Amber</b>	Same
Allerton Waste Recovery Park (AWRP)	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Amber</b>	Same
Library Procurement Project	<b>Green</b>	<b>Green</b>	Same
Housing ICT Programme	<b>Green</b>	<b>Green</b>	Same
Smart Travel Evolution Programme STEP		<b>Amber</b>	
Procurement of ICT Services		<b>Green</b>	
Flood Risk		<b>Green</b>	
City Centre Access Project		<b>Amber</b>	
Parking Review		<b>Green</b>	
Sufficiency Strategy		<b>Green</b>	
Inclusion Review		<b>Green</b>	

## Detailed updates

<b>Project title</b>	Older Persons' Accommodation Programme Phase 2
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>To provide, and ensure the provision of, a range of accommodation to address the housing (and care) needs of the city's older residents. The Council's Executive on 30th July 2015 approved the Business Case for the Older Persons' Accommodation Programme in order to prepare the city for a 50% increase in the size of the over 75 population. This will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Deliver a 10 unit extension and refurbishment of Lincoln Court Independent living scheme</li><li>• Build a 29 unit extension to the Extra Care scheme at Marjorie Waite Court, plus provide 4 bungalows on the site</li><li>• Carry out community and stakeholder engagement to establish the demand for specialist older person's housing and the issues facility residents of the city in relation to age related housing.</li><li>• Complete procurement of a new residential care facility as part of the wider Health and Wellbeing Campus at Burnholme; and</li><li>• Encourage the development of additional residential care capacity, extra care and age related housing, supporting older people to continue to live independently in their own home.</li><li>• Complete the transfer of Haxby Hall care home to a care provider who will extend and enhance the provision on site.</li><li>• Review the Council's Independent Living stock to ensure it meets the needs of existing and new tenants and to seek opportunities to increase its capacity.</li></ul>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<b>GREEN</b>	
<b>Glen Lodge Extra Care scheme</b>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. William Birch &amp; Sons Ltd are due to start on site this month on works to integrate the original and new wings, this includes re-decoration of communal corridors in the original wing , the refurbishment of the original communal lounge and dining areas and the addition of a 'mini' laundry on the first floor. The work is scheduled to be completed by 31.3.19.</li><li>2. Officers are carrying out a review of the CYC Extra care operating model reflecting on the Housing LIN recommendations from the Glen</li></ol>	

Lodge project review to create an action plan.

### **Burnholme Health & Wellbeing Campus**

1. Our partner for the Care Home @ Burnholme have appointed their builder and now plan to begin construction in February 2019. We have limited their access to site until all contractual documents are signed. We have now received final versions of the lease and care contract documents. But the documents are still not signed. Archaeological work has been carried out on site.
2. Stage 2 procurement for the Sport Centre refurbishment is now complete. The cost submissions are with AECOM QS team to produce a cost evaluation report. Initial costs suggest that all tenders have come within the available budget. This work is due to start on site in February 19. The pitch and pond works are scheduled for Spring/Summer 2019. Temporary toilet and changing facilities have been delivered to site
3. Work continues to ensure that JRHT reinstate the cycle/foot path between the Burnholme site and Derwenthorpe. Work is likely to commence towards the end of winter.

### **Oakhaven Extra Care Facility**

1. Ashley House has appointed Places for People Housing Association as partner to be involved in the management of the Oakhaven Extra Care Scheme. Due to the lack of progress on site we have written to Ashley House to advise that we are mindful to recommend to executive that they no longer pursue working with them on the scheme.
2. An alternative route to deliver specialist older person's accommodation on this site will be sought over the spring.

### **Marjorie Waite Court Extra Care scheme**

1. The contracts have now been signed between Hobson Porter and CYC. The agreed contract sum is £5.433m. A pre-start meeting is being held on the 6<sup>th</sup> February 2019. Due to a delay in statutory services enabling works the start on site has now been agreed as 18<sup>th</sup> March 2019.
2. The next phase of work for the OPAP project team will be finalising the brief for the interior design element of the project, to include works required to integrate both the original wing and new extension.
3. The community halls staff are working with a community partner to develop a business plan for operating the community hall once complete.
4. The project will be managed to incorporate some of the lessons

learned from the Glen Lodge development. There will be a focus on staff and resident engagement, communication between teams and ensuring that the existing and new build elements of the scheme are well integrated.

### **Haxby Hall**

1. Following a Procurement exercise Yorkcare Homes have been selected as the preferred bidder to take forward the transformation of Haxby Hall care home. The scheme is reliant on the acquisition of the adjacent ambulance station. A possible site has been identified for the provisional of the ambulance station. Work is underway to negotiate the purchase of this land and design a scheme which will be acceptable in planning terms and will meet the needs of the ambulance service. A recent conversation with Yorkshire Housing has indicated that they are mindful to agree to sell the site to us.
2. We have prepared procurement documents to appoint a planning agent to advise on the likelihood of getting planning approval, and to take the scheme forward to planning.
3. Initial discussions with highways indicate that the alternative site for the ambulance station may be acceptable, but following a tree survey the Landscape architect has indicated that she would oppose any scheme on the site as it would require the removal of some mature trees.

### **Lincoln Court**

1. Following the decision, based on H&S advice that Lincoln Court has to be unoccupied for the duration of the construction works a design review was undertaken on the scheme that gained planning approval in December 2018. This design was predicated on the scheme remaining occupied and influenced the location of the boiler room and communal lounge. The design review has resulted in a re-submission of a planning application on the 16<sup>th</sup> January which will be determined by June 2019. The updated design now offers 15 new build wheel chair accessible apartments, an improved communal lounge which better integrates the original and new wings, a guest suite and increased office accommodation.
2. Following the design review an updated cost estimate has been produced by Aecom, this cost estimate in addition to the costs associated with re-locating tenants and consultant fees has resulted in a budget of £4.908m being requested to proceed with the project. A paper is being taken to Executive on 7<sup>th</sup> March outlining the business case in relation to this request.
3. Housing management colleagues are continuing with to work with

Lincoln Court tenants to identify suitable alternative accommodation. All tenants will have the choice to return to Lincoln Court when the works are completed or to remain in their alternative accommodation. The first tenants are due to move out this month, all tenants will be moved by the 31<sup>st</sup> May 2019.

4. Sewell Construction and their appointed design team are continuing to work up the detailed design for Lincoln Court, they will be providing a cost, based on the new design proposals by the end of February 2019. If Sewell Construction provide costs for the Centre of Excellence, Hob Moor Playing Fields and Lincoln Court that are within budget then they will be appointed to compete the works. Their proposed start on site date remains at 3<sup>rd</sup> June 2019 with an estimated completion of April 2020 for Lincoln Court and May 2020 for the Centre of Excellence.

### **New Independent Sector Extra Care Provision**

1. JRHT are progressing well with their replacement care home and extra care units at New Lodge. They have approached us to assist with marketing, stakeholder contacts and information distribution about the availability of the properties. The first phase is due for completion in Summer 19. Their show flat will be available from April 19
2. The Abbeyfield Society has secured planning consent and Homes England grant for the construction of a 25 home extension to their scheme at Regency Mews off Tadcaster Road. We have agreed nomination rights to a proportion of these homes. Work is expected to begin early in 2019.
3. Work has begun on site to construct a new care home on the former Fordlands care home site and is due for completion by the December 2019.

### **Future outlook**

The next period will include.

- Detailed costings from Sewell construction for the work on Lincoln Court
- Tree work around the boundary of Lincoln Court to enable the scheme to start in June 19
- Start on site for Burnholme Sports facility contract
- Start on site for pond work at Burnholme to help drain the pitches and provide a community amenity.
- The appointment of a contractor to carry out pitch improvement works at Burnholme Sports facilities.

- Enabling works for start on Site for Marjorie Waite Court.
- Brief for Interior Design scheme at Marjorie Waite Court.
- The appointment of a planning agent for the relocation of the ambulance station in Haxby.

<b>Key risks</b>			
<b>Risk (brief description/ consequence)</b>	<b>Control/action</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Net</b>
Project does not deliver the right number and type of care places required by the City.  Needs remain unmet.	- Regular market review. Mitigating Action - Modelling of predicted care levels to look at effect of the provision of different numbers of care places by type.	19	6
Increase in interest rates would impact negatively on borrowing.	- Use of capital receipts rather than borrowing. Mitigating Action - Ensure impact is capped or controlled through the contracts.	19	8
There is insufficient funding to deliver all of the elements of the project.	- Sale of vacant OPH sites and land at Burnholme. Mitigating Action - Alternative sources of funding to be identified and secured in order to achieve full project.	19	1
Failure to secure planning consent for key developments will mean that new care provision and associated facilities are not forthcoming.	- Careful pre-planning consideration of likely objections and possible mitigations. - Pre-planning public engagement. - The use of skilled advisers.	20	19
Delay to the transfer of Haxby Hall care home - A care home developer and provider have been procured to take on the operation of the care home from 1 March 2019. The transfer is subject to the	- Regular updates to Director and executive member. Increased resources being put into the work. Preferred bidder working with CYC to progress the work. Mitigating Actions - A temporary scheme for	21	15

<p>developer having a site that would allow them to develop a new care home in a 2 phase approach. This is reliant on acquiring the ambulance station site. Work is on going to acquire the site, acquire a site to relocate the ambulance station, get planning, design and build an ambulance station.</p>	<p>CYC to run the care home for a further 6 months to 1 year is being considered.</p>		
<p>The cost of running an in house extra care model becomes prohibitive - Extra Care models are traditionally delivered by housing associations who commission a registered care provider to deliver on site planned and reactive care. The CYC model is delivered by Housing management services and the council's care team. This service costs the council more than similar services purchased at Auden house, but allow flexibility and assurance. However as the number of extra care units is increased the cost of care at these schemes will also increase. This may highlight the cost difference between the inhouse and commissioned services. Recruitment, staff morale and ultimately service delivery may all be affected if we move to a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Business and financial management.</li> <li>- Options to combine on site care and housing teams to bring efficiencies being considered.</li> </ul> <p>Mitigating Action</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Maintain staff morale and focus through regular, open and honest briefings/updates; engagement through EPH Managers and staff groups; investment in staff training, support and development.</li> </ul>	<p>14</p>	<p>8</p>

commissioned model.			
<b>Reports to</b>	Executive, CMT, Project Board, DMT		
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr. Carol Runciman		
<b>Director responsible</b>	Corporate Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care: Sharon Houlden		
<b>Dependencies</b>	Burnholme Health & Wellbeing Campus Capital Programme		
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	<p>Executive July 2015  <a href="http://modgov.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=8840&amp;Ver=4">http://modgov.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=8840&amp;Ver=4</a></p> <p>Executive October 2015 - Grove House and Oakhaven Older Persons' Homes  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=8842&amp;Ver=4">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=8842&amp;Ver=4</a></p> <p>Executive July 2016 Demonstrating Progress on the Older Persons Accommodation Programme  <a href="http://modgov.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=9303&amp;Ver=">http://modgov.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=9303&amp;Ver=</a></p> <p>Executive November 2016 (Willow house OPH)  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=9307&amp;Ver=4">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=9307&amp;Ver=4</a></p> <p>Executive December 2016 - Older Persons' Accommodation Programme Update  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s111003/Older%20Persons%20Accommodation%20Programme%20Update.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s111003/Older%20Persons%20Accommodation%20Programme%20Update.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive Feb 2017 - Sale of Land at Fordlands Road as Part of the Older Persons' Accommodation Programme  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s112465/Sale%20of%20Land%20at%20Fordlands%20Road.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s112465/Sale%20of%20Land%20at%20Fordlands%20Road.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive March 2017 - Oakhaven Extra Care Facility: the sale of land to facilitate the development  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s113398/Oakhaven%20Extra%20Care%20Facility.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s113398/Oakhaven%20Extra%20Care%20Facility.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive March 2017 - Burnholme: the sale of land to facilitate the development of a Care Home; agreement to management arrangements for the Community &amp; Library facilities; disposal of the Tang Hall Library site  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s113384/Burnholme%20Report.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s113384/Burnholme%20Report.pdf</a></p>		

**Executive August 2017 - Investment in New Extra Care Accommodation for Older People at Marjorie Waite Court Following the Closure of Burton Stone Lane Community Centre**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s116717/Investment%20in%20New%20Extra%20Care%20Accommodation.pdf>

**Executive August 2017 - A Further Phase of the Older Persons' Accommodation Programme Deciding the Future of Woolnough House Older Persons' Home**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&MId=10190&Ver=4>

**Executive September 2017 - Demonstrating Delivery of the Older Persons' Accommodation Programme**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s117298/Older%20Persons%20Accommodation%20Programme.pdf>

**Executive October 2017 - Disposal of Willow House, Walmgate, York**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s117577/Willow%20House.pdf>

**Executive December 2017 - A Further Phase of the Older Persons' Accommodation Programme: Deciding the Future of Windsor House Older Persons' Home**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s119256/Deciding%20the%20future%20of%20Windsor%20House.pdf>

**Executive January 2018 - Securing a Sustainable Future for Haxby Hall Older Persons' Home**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s120959/Securing%20a%20Sustainable%20Future%20for%20Haxby%20Hall%20OPH.pdf>

**Health, Housing and Adult Social Care Policy and Scrutiny Committee January 2018 - Update on Older Persons' Accommodation Programme**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s120609/Older%20Persons%20Accommodaiton%20Programme.pdf>

**Executive February 2018 – Disposal of Willow House**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&MId=10196&Ver=4>

**Executive March 2018 - Investment at Lincoln Court to Create an Independent Living with Support Facility**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&MId=10189&Ver=4>

**Executive April 2018 - Deciding the Future of Morrell House Older Persons Home**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&MId=10197&Ver=4>

**Executive July 2018 – Delivering Improved Sports and Active Leisure Facilities at Burnholme**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s124728/Burnholme%20Leisure%20Facilities.pdf>

**Executive September 2018**

**Demonstrating Delivery of the Older Persons' Accommodation Programme and Preparing for Further Action**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s126105/Executive%2027th%20September%202018%20-%20Demonstrating%20delivery%20of%20the%20Older%20Persons%20Accommodation%20FINAL.pdf> \o "Link to document 'Demonstrating Delivery of the Older Persons' Accommodation Programme and Preparing for Further Action' pdf

**Executive October 2018 – A Further Phase**

<https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s127171/Next%20Phase%20nov%202018%20onward%20nov%20exec%20V2.pdf>

<b>Project title</b>	York Central		
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019		
<b>Description</b>			
<p>York Central is a key strategic development site for economic growth and housing delivery for the city. The majority of the land is in the ownership of Network Rail and Homes England. CYC have a role to play in de-risking the site and accelerating delivery with public sector partners. In recent months, the site and the opportunity it presents have been positioned at all levels of Government as a priority site for support to enable delivery of locally-led regeneration and development schemes. The capacity for the site to contribute to the achievement of the local plan housing targets is also a key consideration.</p>			
<b>Current status</b>			
<b>AMBER</b>			
<p>The Outline Planning Application is undergoing consultation on the additional supporting material that has been submitted. The consultation will end on 1st March and a planning committee is anticipated in mid March. The detailed application for phase 1 infrastructure which is being prepared, will be submitted following this.</p> <p>Heads of Terms for a partnership agreement setting out how organisations will interact and their respective roles and responsibilities were agreed by Members at Executive on 17th January.</p> <p>Procurement by CYC of infrastructure delivery partners is progressing with tender documents nearing issue.</p> <p>The WY+TF full business cases was conditionally approved by WYCA PAT, and will progress to Investment Committee. The HIF FBC is in 'clarification' stage with investment panel consideration due in March.</p>			
<b>Future outlook</b>			
Tender documents to be issued in respect of off-plot infrastructure.			
<b>Key risks</b>			
<b>Risk (brief description/ consequence)</b>	<b>Control/action</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Net</b>

<p>Off plot infrastructure costs are unaffordable, driven by construction cost inflation, professional fees, abnormal costs and funding shortage.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Infrastructure scheme devised to match available budget</li> <li>2. Review of funding programmes and opportunities to aid viability of scheme</li> </ol> <p>Mitigating Actions</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Resourcing of controls</li> </ol>	<p>23</p>	<p>14</p>
<p>The proposed infrastructure to access the site is not feasible due to adverse reaction and inability to agree terms with Millennium Green Trustees.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Early 'positive' dialogue and maintained communication between the Millennium Green Trustees and Working Group.</li> <li>2. Decision made by CYC Exec to proceed with a western access option (A2) - alignment that does not require MG land (other than reserved land).</li> <li>3. Legal advisors review of position of Village Green application not being determined and Millennium Green lease.</li> <li>4. Counsel opinion sought and provided on most suitable strategic way forward (MG/Charities Commission negotiation/CPO/alternative alignment).</li> <li>5. All terms agreed with MG trustees prior to outline planning submission. All matters agreed and awaiting completion and entering into the final legal agreement.</li> </ol>	<p>24</p>	<p>22</p>
<p>Inability to secure all/ some identified HIF infrastructure funding due to:</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The timely and appropriate resourcing of co-development work.</li> <li>2. Identify what infrastructure is needed and a strategy for how it will be funded in</li> </ol>	<p>22</p>	<p>22</p>

<p>a) Delivery timescales</p> <p>b) Business case assessment</p>	<p>different funding availability scenarios.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. Resource HIF business case development process appropriately.</li> <li>4. Review infrastructure delivery programme and establish date by which RIBA stage 3 and Stage 4 will need to be instructed.</li> <li>5. Agree Governance Arrangements.</li> <li>6. Submission of planning application to assure on deliverability achieved.</li> <li>7. HIF application process has passed into the co-development phase.</li> </ol>		
<p>No availability of land for bridge landing point.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Response from Northern required confirming No Objection to disposal. No other outstanding responses or objections.</li> <li>2. Application for specific consent for disposal to be applied for once Northern response received.</li> </ol>	<p>22</p>	<p>17</p>
<p><b>Reports to</b></p>	<p>Executive, Economic Development and Transport Policy and Scrutiny Committee, Project steering group</p>		
<p><b>Exec member</b></p>	<p>Cllr Ian Gillies and Cllr Keith Aspden</p>		
<p><b>Director responsible</b></p>	<p>Neil Ferris – Corporate Director of Economy and Place</p>		
<p><b>Dependencies</b></p>	<p>Local Plan Policy, Economic Strategy, City Transport Policy</p>		
<p><b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny</b></p>	<p>Executive December 2015 – York Central and Access Project  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=8844&amp;Ver=4">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=8844&amp;Ver=4</a></p> <p>Executive July 2016 – York Central  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=9303&amp;Ver=4">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=9303&amp;Ver=4</a></p>		

**committee)**

**Executive November 2016 - Consultation on access options**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&MId=9307&Ver=4>

**Third party acquisitions**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s110392/York%20Central%20-%20Third%20Party%20Acquisition%20November%2016%20v7.pdf>

**Executive July 2017: Project and Partnership Update**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s115798/York%20Central%20Update.pdf>

**Executive November 2017 - Preferred Access Route and Preparation for Planning**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s118290/York%20Central.pdf>

**Executive March 2018 - York Central Access Construction**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&MId=10189&Ver=4>

**Executive June 2018 – Masterplan and Partnership Agreement**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s124296/York%20Central%20Exec%20Masterplan%20and%20PA%20June%2018%20V7.pdf>

**Executive July 2018 – Clifford’s Tower Visitors Centre Update**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s124737/Cliffords%20Tower%20Exec%20report%20July%202018.pdf>

**Decision Session – York Central Design Guidelines**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s125211/Report%20-%20York%20Central%20Design%20Guide.pdf>

**Executive August 2018**

**York Central Update – Western Access**

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s125516/York%20Central%20Update%20-%20Western%20Access%20V8.pdf>

**Executive January 2019**

**York Central Partnership Legal Agreement**

<https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s129230/York%20Central%20Exec%20-%20PA%20Jan%2019%20v%2011.pdf>

<b>Project title</b>	Castle Gateway
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>City of York Council (CYC) are one of the principal land owners in the area around Piccadilly, the Eye of York, St George's Field and the Foss Basin. This area is being referred to as the "Castle Gateway" and many parts of the area are underused, semi derelict or of poor quality. Many of the properties are for sale or owned by investors and there is a risk that the area will continue to be blighted or that important sites will be developed in a piecemeal manner. The area is urgently in need of a fresh vision to improve the locality and create a socially and economically sustainable future. As the principal landowner, CYC will be instrumental in delivering a joined-up regeneration of the area which will maximise social and economic benefits for the City.</p>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<b>AMBER</b>	
<b>Work Package 1</b>	
<p>St George's Field - The response to the application to divert the Yorkshire Water overflow sewer is due in mid-March. Their interim response was positive that the proposed design is achievable. Once confirmed there are approximately 8 weeks of design work remaining on the planning application, which will allow an application to be submitted at the end of May. Pre-application discussions are continuing, and by reducing the height of the multi-storey car park by one level we are hopeful that the application will be supported by Historic England and conservation officers.</p> <p>Castle Mills - the design parameters for the pedestrian/cycle bridge (confirming the minimum height to allow boats to pass and the maximum bridge gradient) have been agreed, and detailed design is progressing on that basis. To facilitate the design further survey work has been undertaken to establish the topography of both river banks and the river bed. Foundation design for the apartments is being prepared for submission to Yorkshire Water to ensure it does not impact on the sewer that crosses the site, and an application has been submitted to Northern Power to ascertain what scale of sub-station will be required to power the building. Pre-application discussions are ongoing to ensure full consideration is given to the setting of the heritage environment. The target is to submit the planning application at the same time as St George's Field in May.</p>	

Fishergate junction improvements - WSP have been commissioned to lead the West Yorkshire Transport Fund bid for the junction improvements and other highway interventions in the Castle Gateway and wider city. The inception meeting was held in early February and they are now working on the proposal to re-purpose the council's outstanding funding headroom from historic projects which are no longer proceeding.

Piccadilly - street and highway improvements to Piccadilly have been brought forward from work package 4. This is because the first private developer-led schemes on Piccadilly are nearing the point where they want to implement highway works in front of their development plots. Rather than this work proceed without coordination and being developer-led we have agreed that the council will undertake the comprehensive design for the whole street, then each developer will implement the section for which they are responsible. The design work will be complete by the end of April.

Public engagement - on Tuesday 5 February the next stage of the My Castle Gateway public engagement was launched, with a 2 hour public event summarising the work undertaken to date and the next steps. This was accompanied by a public blog on the project's website. A detailed programme of events will now begin, with the focus in February on defining the public's brief for the design of Piccadilly and exploring pedestrian and cycle movement strategies.

## **Work Package 2**

Castle and the Eye of York - public engagement will begin in the spring to consider what the new public spaces and realm should look and feel like, and the type, form and frequency of events that will take place. This will run through the spring and summer and will provide the architects, BDP, with a public development brief for the site.

York Museum Trust/English Heritage/CYC partnership - The partnership continues to meet monthly to drive delivery of our shared ambitions. A memorandum of understanding has been agreed, and YMT and EH are exploring opportunities for a shared visitor facility. The intention is for the council to have an agreement in principle in place to open up the rear of the Castle Museum to public access by the time the planning application for the new Foss pedestrian/cycle bridge is submitted in May.

Coppergate Shopping Centre - Steamrock have contacted the council to say that they intend to submit a number of revised options regarding the

extension of the head lease of the Coppergate Centre, but nothing has been received to date.

**Future outlook**

The next stage of My Castle Gateway will begin with a series of events in February considering how the new design for Piccadilly should work for pedestrians, vehicles and cyclists. This will allow a public's development brief for the street to be completed and submitted to the architect to guide their design. The engagement will complete by the start of March to allow the design work to begin.

Further discussions will take place with Historic England and planners to refine the height, materials and massing of the two planning applications in work package 1 in advance of the determination of the sewer diversion application.

The latest cost analysis of the masterplan are due this month. This will allow the development of the delivery strategy for work package 1. This strategy will be taken to Executive in the summer to seek approval for the proposed strategy and any necessary capital funding.

The Castle Gateway team will feed in to the development of a programme of events by Mediale to take place on Castle Car Park over the coming year. This will help to break the perception of the area as a car park, allow us to assess what does and doesn't work, and identify the infrastructure required for the long term redevelopment of the space to host events.

**Key risks**

<b>Risk (brief description/ consequence)</b>	<b>Control/action</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Net</b>
The project experiences a loss of public and/or political support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear approved vision for the area</li> <li>• My Castle Gateway engagement</li> <li>• Cross party engagement</li> <li>• Identified political champions</li> <li>• Clear articulation of scheme benefits</li> <li>• Clear and appropriate governance arrangements</li> <li>• Effective project management</li> <li>• Commitment to dedicated</li> </ul>	19	14

	<p>resources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Executive approvals for work packages 1 and 2</li> <li>• Public transparency as to issues and challenges to the project</li> <li>• Cost control</li> </ul> <p>Mitigating Action</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Next stage of the My Castle Gateway engagement about to commence</li> <li>• Continual updates through the My Castle Gateway social media accounts</li> <li>• Ongoing dialogue with all political party leaderships</li> <li>• Attendance at appropriate ward committees and public meetings</li> <li>• Short term and meanwhile uses to build public confidence in delivery</li> </ul> <p><u>Latest Update</u> Following a project risk workshop all major risks have been updated or replaced</p>		
The project proves to be financially unviable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Financial planning</li> <li>• Financial management</li> <li>• Contract management</li> <li>• Performance management</li> <li>• Procurement processes</li> <li>• Ongoing assessment of design iterations</li> </ul> <p>Mitigating Actions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cost analysis of each iteration of the design process</li> <li>• Masterplan broken down in to self-contained work packages</li> <li>• Seeking and applying for new regional and national funding streams</li> </ul>	20	20
Project partners fail to deliver, impacting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• York Museum Trust/English Heritage/City of York Council</li> </ul>	15	14

<p>on the masterplan</p>	<p>partnership</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ongoing Steamrock negotiations</li> <li>• Programme Management</li> <li>• Communication plan</li> <li>• Compartmentalising the masterplan to safeguard delivery in sections</li> </ul> <p>Mitigating Actions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Memorandum of Understanding between York Museums Trust/English Heritage/City of York Council setting out shared ambitions and delivery timescales</li> <li>• Leeds City Region Business Rates Pool funding secured for York Museums Trust to bring forward their design proposals</li> <li>• Ongoing negotiations with Steamrock over lease restructure</li> </ul>		
<p>Planning consent for work package 1 is refused or delayed</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Significant public engagement through My Castle Gateway</li> <li>• Communication with Members</li> <li>• Engagement with statutory bodies</li> <li>• Pre-application advice</li> <li>• Respond to and mitigate concerns</li> <li>• Commit sufficient resources</li> </ul> <p>Mitigating Actions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ongoing dialogue with statutory bodies</li> <li>• Public engagement through My Castle Gateway</li> <li>• Delayed planning application submission to respond to merging concerns and constraints</li> </ul>	<p>19</p>	<p>18</p>
<p>Planning Policy/Local Plan - The council's Local Plan is not adopted and/or there are</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of control over private developer planning applications</li> <li>• Reduced ability to seek developer contributions to deliver masterplan aims</li> </ul>	<p>14</p>	<p>14</p>

<p>issues with the sections and policies which relate to Castle Gateway</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Area of Opportunity policy does not reflect approved masterplan, hindering delivery</li> <li>• Foss Basin apartments can not be delivered due to flood plain designation</li> <li>• Loss of commercial return from apartments means masterplan is unviable</li> </ul> <p>Mitigating Actions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Engagement with the Local Plan team to prepare for the examination</li> </ul>		
<p>The necessary sewer diversions and/or easements are not possible or are prohibitively expensive</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Engaged with Yorkshire Water at strategic director level to ensure project buy in</li> <li>• Developed design solution with CYC consultants</li> <li>• Submitted application to divert in August and provided all information required</li> </ul> <p>Mitigating Actions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chase Yorkshire Water to respond by the delayed March deadline</li> </ul>	<p>20</p>	<p>18</p>
<p><b>Reports to</b></p>	<p>The Executive sponsor for the Castle Gateway is the Leader of the Council in his remit as Executive Member for Finance and Performance. The Executive have approved a whole series of recommendations over the last three years to advance the project, with delivery of these being the responsibility of the Castle Gateway Working Group. This working group was established in January 2017 and serves as the project board and meets on a monthly basis. It is chaired by the Director for Economy and Place, and the wider interests of the council are represented by the Assistant Directors for Regeneration and Asset Management; Planning and Sustainable Development; and Transport, Highways and the Environment. The group also has Heads of Service</p>		

	<p>for legal, finance and property.</p> <p>The working group is the key interface point with wider stakeholders, with the project manager and Assistant Director for Regeneration and Asset Management chairing the Castle Gateway Advisory Group (which consists of key stakeholders and principal custodians of the city) and the My Castle Gateway public engagement partnership. The interests of the formal partnership with York Museums Trust will also be represented by the same individuals and outcomes and decisions fed back in to the working group.</p> <p>The Castle Gateway project manager has defined expenditure levels under the Council's Contract Procedure Rules. Any decisions that are outside the remit of previous recommendations from the Executive are taken to the appropriate decision making route as set out by the council's governance and decision making framework. Dependent on the nature and scope of the issue this may be an officer decision, Executive Member decision, or require a full Executive decision.</p>
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr Ian Gillies
<b>Director responsible</b>	Neil Ferris – Corporate Director of Economy and Place
<b>Dependencies</b>	Local Plan Policy, City Transport Policy
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	<p>Executive October 2015 - York's Southern Gateway  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=8842&amp;Ver=4">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=8842&amp;Ver=4</a></p> <p>Executive November 2016 - Land Assets on Piccadilly  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s110378/Executive%20report%20-%20Update%20on%20land%20assets%20on%20Piccadilly.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s110378/Executive%20report%20-%20Update%20on%20land%20assets%20on%20Piccadilly.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive January 2017 – Update York Castle Gateway  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s112252/York%20Castle%20Gateway.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s112252/York%20Castle%20Gateway.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive August 2017 - Proposed Temporary Use of Part of Castle Car Park  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s116648/Castle%20Car%20Park.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s116648/Castle%20Car%20Park.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive April 2018 - Castle Gateway Masterplan  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=10197&amp;Ver=4">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=10197&amp;Ver=4</a></p>

	<p>Executive October 2018</p>
--	-------------------------------

Proposed Temporary Uses of Part of Castle Car Park

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s126509/Rose%20and%20Viking%20Hall.pdf>

<b>Project title</b>	Local Plan
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>The 'Local Plan' is a citywide plan which sets the overall planning vision and the spatial land use strategy for the city. It provides a portfolio of both housing and employments sites for at least a 15 year period and will set the Green Belt boundaries for York. In addition it incorporates both policies and approaches to set the context for development management decisions. Effectively, it sets out the opportunities and policies on what will or will not be permitted and where, including new homes and businesses.</p> <p>The Local Plan must be accompanied by an infrastructure delivery plan setting out the Council's approach to strategic infrastructure and its funding. All housing and employments sites included must be viable and deliverable this is directly linked to future approaches to planning gain i.e. CiL and S106.</p> <p>In response to both the Council resolution in autumn 2014, and the changed national and local context, officers have initiated or a series of work streams to inform the next stages of plan production. This relates to housing need, economic growth and the related need for employment land, and detailed site assessments.</p> <p>The production of the plan has to be in accordance with statute and national guidance. This includes a legal requirement to work with neighbouring authorities. It also means that the plan must be subject to Sustainability and Environmental Assessments. It will also ultimately be subject to an independent examination by a government inspector.</p>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<p><b>AMBER</b></p> <p>A report on Local Plan progress was taken to LPWG on 10th July and Executive on 13th July. The report sought to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• provide an update to Members on the work undertaken on the MOD sites highlighted in previous reports to LPWG and Executive;</li> <li>• seek the views of Members on the methodology and studies carried out to inform the housing and employment that the City is tasked with accommodating;</li> <li>• seek the views of Members on the most appropriate way of</li> </ul>	

- accommodating this future growth for consultation;
- ask for Members approval of non-housing and employment site specific policies for consultation; and
  - request the approval of Members for officers to undertake the necessary work to produce a draft plan based on the recommendations of the Executive for the purposes of consultation along with associated technical papers.

The Executive on 13th July decided in respect of the latest housing need assessment to 'accept the increased figure of 867 dwellings per annum based on the latest revised sub national and household projections published by ONS/CLG'. The report and background papers are available at the following link:

<http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CIId=733&MIId=10188>

In respect of employment need they decided to accept the updated Employment Land Review. In terms of sites for both housing and employment Members decided to accept minor changes to sites included in the Preferred Sites Consultation along with the addition of some new sites including the MOD sites at Imphal Barracks and Queen Elizabeth Barracks for housing and at Towthorpe Lines for employment. A range of non-site specific policy modifications were also approved.

Following agreement at Executive in July 2017 the Draft Plan (Pre-Publication Draft) commenced a 6 week on 18th September 2017, which ended on 30th October 2017.

The consultation was in accordance with the Council's adopted Statement of Community Involvement (2007). It was produced working alongside colleagues in the Communications Team and Communities and Equalities Team. The consultation included a city wide 'Our City' special distributed to all households with a response form, a letter to all people registered on the Local Plan database (approx 10,000), a dedicated website and response form and a series of drop in exhibitions across the city.

A report was taken to Local Plan Working Group and Executive in January 2018 which provided:

- a background summary of the previous iterations of draft policies and the circumstances which led to the rationale of the Executive decision to approve the Pre-Publication Draft Local Plan for consultation;
- a summary of the present national policy and legislative context,

including the “soundness” requirement and potential for Government intervention;

- a summary of responses to the Autumn 2017 Pre Publication Draft Local Plan Consultation; and
- Officers’ advice regarding appropriate responses to the Consultation outcomes.

The report also requested Members approval of the next steps in the York Local Plan making process, including further city wide consultation.

Executive on 25th January voted in favour of progressing with the Regulation 19 stage of plan production. Following decisions made at committee a composite Local Plan and Policies map and accompanying statutory documents were finalised. Consultation on the Local Plan Publication draft (Regulation 19) consultation commenced on 21st February and ran for 6 weeks until 4th April 2018.

The consultation ended on 4<sup>th</sup> April 2018 and a report was taken to LPWG on 2<sup>nd</sup> May and Executive on 8<sup>th</sup> May detailing the responses and requesting that Members consider whether the Local Plan should be submitted to the Secretary of State for examination. Members of the Executive resolved to recommend to Council that the Plan should be submitted for examination subject to amendments to the schedule of minor modifications (Annex G) agreed at the meeting regarding pub protection.

At an extraordinary meeting of the Full Council on 17<sup>th</sup> May 2018 members resolved to submit the Local Plan to the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) for independent examination.

The Plan was submitted to PINS on 25<sup>th</sup> May 2018. Please see following link;

<https://www.york.gov.uk/LocalPlanSubmission>

### **Future outlook**

The Inspectors wrote to the Council on 24<sup>th</sup> July 2018 with their initial observations on the Local Plan and a series of additional clarifications and evidence requirements. The letter was placed on the examination webpage and can be viewed at the following link:

<http://www.york.gov.uk/localplanexamination>

A holding response was sent to the Inspectors on 10<sup>th</sup> August (also available at link above) acknowledging the letter and setting out that a more detailed response setting out timescales would be provided early September.

An update report was taken to Local Plan Working Group on 20<sup>th</sup> September providing an update to members on the Local Plan and specifically relating to the issue of housing need in the context of new Government household projections published on 20<sup>th</sup> September 2018 and the Technical Consultation on National Policy and Guidance published on 26<sup>th</sup> October 2018.

A response was sent to the Inspectors on 13<sup>th</sup> November 2018 and can be viewed at the following link

<http://www.york.gov.uk/localplanexamination>

A response was received from the Inspectors on the 14<sup>th</sup> December and can be viewed on the Local Plan examination page (link above). The Inspectors agreed to move to initial hearing sessions on Duty to Co-operate (legal compliance), Objectively Assessed Housing Need (OAN) and greenbelt principle. A letter was sent by the programme officer on 11<sup>th</sup> January 2019 to respondents to the previous Regulation 19 consultation to outline the above and to ask for confirmation as to whether they would wish to participate in the hearing sessions – this is a requirement of the procedural guidance for plan examination published by the Planning Inspectorate (PINS). A notice will be sent from the programme officer to all respondents 6 weeks prior to the first hearing day and further information will be published on the examination page including a hearing schedule and matters, issues and questions from the Inspector.

A response was sent to the Inspectors on 29<sup>th</sup> January 2019 submitting a revised OAN report by GL Hearn and informing the Inspectors of the outcomes of the Visitor Survey required under Habitat Regulations and a meeting with Natural England on 4<sup>th</sup> February to discuss any potential implications for the submitted Plan. A report has been put on the Forward Plan for March Executive which will provide members with an update on the Local Plan including the revised OAN and further work undertaken in relation to the Habitat Regulation Assessment (HRA) and ask members to take any consequential decisions required prior to the forthcoming hearing sessions. The report will also be taken to Local Plan Working Group on 27<sup>th</sup> February 2019.

## **Key risks**

<b>Risk (brief description /consequence)</b>	<b>Control/action</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Net</b>
Unable to steer, promote or restrict development across its administrative area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Local Plan with associated core documents and evidence base submitted for examination in public.</li> <li>- Ongoing work in the LP team. LP team assessing weight of submitted policies in line with the NPPF to inform planning applications.</li> </ul>	19	18
The potential damage to the Council's image and reputation if a development plan is not adopted in an appropriate timeframe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Work to approve LDS continuing to develop a strong evidence base.</li> <li>- Approved LDS completed and Local Plan submitted for examination in public.</li> <li>- Ongoing work in the LP team, including EiP.</li> </ul>	19	18
Risks arising from failure to comply with the laws and regulations relating to Planning and the SA and Strategic Environmental Assessment processes and not exercising local control of developments, increased potential to lose appeals on sites which may not be the Council's preferred development options.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Procure appropriate legal and technical advice to evaluate risk as the plan progresses.</li> <li>- Risks arising from failure to comply with the laws and regulations relating to planning, the SA, the SEA and HRA processes.</li> </ul>	19	18
Financial risk associated with the Council's ability to utilise planning gain and deliver strategic infrastructure.	Develop Local Plan policies linked to planning gain, undertake viability and deliverability work and progress CIL.	19	18
Failure to submit or adopt a Local Plan  Consequence: Intervention by Government in local plan making	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Local Plan submitted for examination in public by PINs</li> <li>- Local Plan submitted for examination and ongoing work of the Local Plan</li> </ul>	19	18

	team, including EiP.		
<b>Reports to</b>	Executive, Local Plan Working Group		
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr. Ian Gillies & Cllr. Keith Aspden		
<b>Director responsible</b>	Neil Ferris – Corporate Director of Economy and Place		
<b>Dependencies</b>	Deliverability of York Central		
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	<p>Executive July 2015  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=8840&amp;Ver=4">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=8840&amp;Ver=4</a>  Document  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s98802/Report.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s98802/Report.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive June 2016  City of York Local Plan – Preferred Sites Consultation  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=9191&amp;Ver=4">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=9191&amp;Ver=4</a>  Document  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s106782/Final%20report%20for%20Executive%2022.06.16.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s106782/Final%20report%20for%20Executive%2022.06.16.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive December 2016 – Update on Preferred Sites Consultation and Next Steps  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s111019/City%20of%20York%20Local%20Plan.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s111019/City%20of%20York%20Local%20Plan.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive January 2017  Update on Local plan  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s112269/City%20of%20York%20Local%20Plan%20Update.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s112269/City%20of%20York%20Local%20Plan%20Update.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive July 2017  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s115803/Local%20Plan.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s115803/Local%20Plan.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive October 2017  Minerals and Waste Joint Plan - Submission  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s117549/Minerals%20and%20Waste%20Plan.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s117549/Minerals%20and%20Waste%20Plan.pdf</a></p> <p>Local Plan Working Group January 2018  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s120857/LP%20LPWG%20FINAL%20REPORT.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s120857/LP%20LPWG%20FINAL%20REPORT.pdf</a></p>		

	<p><b>Executive January 2018</b> <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s120988/Local%20Plan.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s120988/Local%20Plan.pdf</a></p> <p><b>Executive May 2018</b> <b>City of York Local Plan – Submission</b> <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s123448/LP%20Exec%20final.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s123448/LP%20Exec%20final.pdf</a></p> <p><b>Executive September 2018</b> <b>Supplementary Planning Documents to support the emerging York Local Plan</b> <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s126117/EXEC%20SPD%20report%20FINAL%20Sept%202018.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s126117/EXEC%20SPD%20report%20FINAL%20Sept%202018.pdf</a></p>
--	--

<b>Project title</b>	The Guildhall		
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019		
<b>Description</b>			
<p>City of York Council vacated the Guildhall in April 2013, moving to West Offices as part of the Admin Accommodation programme, in order to make approx £1m pa savings. An evaluation of potential future uses had already been undertaken, and following further feasibility work and review a decision on the Future of the complex was taken by Executive in October 2015. Approval was granted for detailed project development work to secure the future of the Guildhall as a serviced office venue; with virtual office and business club facilities, maximising the benefits of the different spaces within the complex, its heritage appeal, and also ensuring ongoing council use and public access in a mixed use development.</p>			
<b>Current status</b>			
<b>AMBER</b>			
<b>Statutory Consents / Approvals</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Executive approval 8 May 2018 to re-tender the works with some scope reduction / VE</li> <li>• Planning and LBC approvals granted 16 Feb 17</li> <li>• Executive approval for scheme delivery 16 Mar 2017</li> <li>• Full Council approval of budget requirement 30 Mar 2017</li> <li>• Grant Agreement letter signed with WYCA 7 Apr 2017 securing £2.347m of LGF funding from LCR LEP to support project delivery.</li> </ul>			
<b>Project Progress</b>			
<p>In response to the 8 May Executive approval a revised project programme was prepared for Project Board Approval on 15 June covering the re-design and re-tender process. Subsequent to the board meeting the programme was found to be inaccurate regarding timescale for the Quantity Surveying pretender activities, coupled with a failure of the Architectural Principle Designers failure to achieve the agreed date for production of information the programme has slipped significantly.</p>			
<b>Future outlook.</b>			
<p>The project team are awaiting instruction to proceed a decision is anticipated after the full council meeting on the 14th of February.</p>			
<b>Key risks</b>			
<b>Risk (brief description/</b>	<b>Control/action</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Net</b>

<b>consequence</b>			
Capital costs and /or gap between cost of repaying borrowing and income from lease/rentals exceeds agreed limit	LGF funding application for 'gap funding 'as soft load to secure delivery of LCR SEP objectives in partnership with CYC.	25	20
Costs of scheme exceed current budget estimate as scheme is developed in detail.	Project team approach - early contractor involvement - value engineering workshops	23	19
Gap between cost of repaying borrowing and income from lease/rental exceeds agreed limit.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Soft market testing</li> <li>• Robust marketing - selection and assessment process</li> <li>• LGF funding application for 'gap funding' to secure delivery of LCR SPE objectives in partnership with CYC</li> </ul>	23	19
Failure to secure pre-let on restaurant unit at appropriate value <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No offers at expected value</li> <li>• Failure to agree heads of terms</li> </ul>	<p>Soft market testing</p> <p>Robust marketing - selection and assessment process, may require re-marketing</p>	23	18
Quality of Tender Documentation - any deficiencies in the tender documents give rise to opportunity for the contractor to seek extra payment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pre tender review of documentation</li> <li>• Rectification of deficiencies</li> </ul>	19	19
<b>Reports to</b>	The Guildhall board reports to Economy and Place DMT and PM updates Executive member and Executive when required for updates and approvals.		
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr. Ian Gillies		
<b>Director responsible</b>	Neil Ferris – Corporate Director of Economy and Place		
<b>Dependencies</b>	Local plan		
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member</b>	Executive October 2015 <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=8842&amp;Ver=4">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=8842&amp;Ver=4</a>		

<p><b>meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b></p>	<p><b>Scrutiny – 13 June 2016</b>  <a href="http://modgov.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=144&amp;MId=9420&amp;Ver=4">http://modgov.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=144&amp;MId=9420&amp;Ver=4</a></p> <p><b>Exec – 14 July 2016</b>  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=9303&amp;Ver=4">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=9303&amp;Ver=4</a></p> <p><b>Planning application links</b></p> <p><b>16/01971/FULM   Alterations and refurbishment of Guildhall complex to create conference rooms, meeting rooms and offices, refurbishment and part rebuild of existing south range to provide cafe and ancillary accommodation, and erection of extension on north side of complex to form restaurant and office accommodation   The Guildhall Coney Street York YO1 9QN</b>  <a href="https://planningaccess.york.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&amp;keyVal=OCD5KESJMZK00">https://planningaccess.york.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&amp;keyVal=OCD5KESJMZK00</a></p> <p><b>16/01972/LBC   Alterations and refurbishment of Guildhall complex to create conference rooms, meeting rooms and offices, refurbishment and part rebuild of existing south range to provide cafe and ancillary accommodation, and erection of extension on north side of complex to form restaurant and office accommodation   The Guildhall Coney Street York YO1 9QN</b>  <a href="https://planningaccess.york.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&amp;keyVal=OCD5LDSJMZL00">https://planningaccess.york.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&amp;keyVal=OCD5LDSJMZL00</a></p> <p><b>Executive March 2017</b>  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s113442/Development%20of%20the%20Guildhall%20Complex.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s113442/Development%20of%20the%20Guildhall%20Complex.pdf</a></p> <p><b>Executive May 2018: The Development of the Guildhall Complex</b>  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s123444/Guildhall%20May%202018%20-%20Exec%20final%20update.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s123444/Guildhall%20May%202018%20-%20Exec%20final%20update.pdf</a></p> <p><b>Executive February 2019: Redevelopment Tender Evaluation &amp; Project Business Plan Appraisal</b>  <a href="https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s130231/Exec%20Guildhall%20May%202019%20Final%203.pdf">https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s130231/Exec%20Guildhall%20May%202019%20Final%203.pdf</a></p>
---	---

<b>Project title</b>	Community Stadium
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>The Community Stadium project will deliver a new football and rugby stadium for professional sport and community sport and leisure facilities for the city of York. The project also includes a new athletics facility for use by York Athletic Club as well as many community uses and work with community partners.</p> <p>The core project objectives are to provide a new Community Stadium within a new leisure facility complex on the grounds of the existing Huntington Stadium / Waterworld swimming pool.</p> <p>This project represents an opportunity to create one of the country's most far reaching community stadium complexes.</p>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<b>GREEN</b>	
<p>In the last six months of the project progress has been made as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Superstructure now complete across the commercial building, east stand, west stand and north stand, hub and leisure building.</li> <li>• South stand superstructure ongoing.</li> <li>• Commercial building changes have put the completion and opening of the cinema and restaurants back to October/ November 2019.</li> <li>• Internal fit outs and structure are progressing well.</li> <li>• Construction and the project are progressing well, noting the 4 month delay now confirmed on the commercial site due to requested changes by L&amp;G.</li> <li>• Tenant now secured for the lantern unit with legal agreements ready for execution.</li> <li>• The timetable included in the report to Executive for September 2018 highlights that the facilities will be complete and open by Summer 2019.</li> </ul>	
<b>Future outlook.</b>	
<p>The next steps for the project are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Roof and cladding for the North and South stands until end of March 2019.</li> </ul>	

- Completion of the road and connections build by end of July 2019.
- Completion of the commercial build by end of July 2019.
- Confirmation of tenants for the commercial unit by July 2019.
- Lantern tenant now confirmed.
- Confirmation of sponsorship and naming by Summer 2019.
- Stadium and leisure site build completion expected May/ June 2019.
- Commercial tenants fit out July 2019 to November 2019.
- Stadium and Hub Tenant fit out expected May to July 2019.
- Stadium and leisure Site open and operational Summer 2019.
- Commercial site opening October/ November 2019.

### Key risks

Risk (brief description/ consequence)	Control/action	Gross	Net
YCFC go out of business before the stadium is operational	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Deliver project without delay to ensure all legal agreements signed become active at point of occupation.</li> <li>2. Ongoing review of accounts of YCFC as part of proposed occupancy agreement.</li> <li>3. Mitigating CYC's financial exposure as part of risk transfer to bidders.</li> <li>4. Ongoing project meetings with YCFC to review position</li> <li>5. Ongoing review of clubs financial position and accounts.</li> </ol>	19	19
Not realising estimated commercial return on commercial proposals to the full value expected.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Savills report supports figures as proposed</li> <li>b. Potential to increase the amount of retail in the final scheme</li> <li>c. Reduce the outputs of the project</li> </ol> <p>Agreement now in place with sectional completion and first payment already received. £1.4m at risk of the overall £10.8m. Project revenues are sufficient to allow this to</p>	19	19

	proceed with minimal risk.		
Project exceeds existing financial parameters agreed under current March 2016 approvals.	Additional capital investment from CYC, funding from alternative sources or stadium sponsorship. Increase commercial aspects to generate further income. VE possible in areas like second floor of leisure.	19	19
Failure to find tenants for the Lantern unit and commercial unit	Tenant for the lantern is at agreement for lease and awaiting legal sign off. Commercial agents have been appointed for the commercial unit.	19	19
Stadium certification and licensing - Work on the licensing and certification for the new stadium is currently behind schedule. A new programme has been implemented and resourced by GLL to address the risk of not achieving compliance by the target opening date.	Plan agreed with the Safety Advisory Group by GLL on completing and submitting the documentation in good time. DBOM contract places all risk of certification and operation on GLL. CYC contract manager and stadium project manager reviewing and agreeing documentation and process on a weekly basis.  Licensing and certification is GLL's responsibility for the site. Stadium Management contract now in place and signed and resource now allocated to deliver these documents in the agreed timescales. CYC's role is to manage GLL and their contracted deliverables.	19	18
The commercial development has made a number of changes to the layout of the building for secured tenants. This has resulted in a 4 month	Contract completion and handover are subject to penalties if late. Potential to accept delay if only minor and has no real impact on CYC or its partners.	14	14

<p>delay to the handover and opening of the commercial site. This may impact completion of the stadium and leisure site due to works on roads and services.</p>			
<p><b>Reports to</b></p>	<p>Project team report to the Director of Finance and prepare reports to the project Board. The project Board Chair updates Exec and Full Council when needed. Subject to Audit and Governance scrutiny.</p>		
<p><b>Exec member</b></p>	<p>Cllr. Nigel Ayre</p>		
<p><b>Director responsible</b></p>	<p>Ian Floyd – Deputy Chief Executive and Corporate Director of Customers and Corporate Services</p>		
<p><b>Dependencies</b></p>	<p>Yearsley review. The continued operation of Yearsley is Potentially linked to the DBOM contract proposed.</p>		
<p><b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b></p>	<p>Full Council March 2016:  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=331&amp;MId=8836&amp;Ver=4">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=331&amp;MId=8836&amp;Ver=4</a></p> <p>Executive December 2016  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s111121/Stadium%20Project_Dec16%20Exec%20Report_VERSION%20A_vF.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s111121/Stadium%20Project_Dec16%20Exec%20Report_VERSION%20A_vF.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive March 2017  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s113417/Community%20Stadium%20Leisure%20Facilities.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s113417/Community%20Stadium%20Leisure%20Facilities.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive July 2017  <b>Community Stadium &amp; Leisure Facilities Report</b>  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s116230/Stadium%20Project.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s116230/Stadium%20Project.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive October 2017  <b>Community Stadium Project Report</b>  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s117601/Community%20Stadium.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s117601/Community%20Stadium.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive 27<sup>th</sup> September 2018  <b>Community Stadium Project Update</b>  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s126189/Stadium%20Exec%20Report_27th%20Sept%202018_vF.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s126189/Stadium%20Exec%20Report_27th%20Sept%202018_vF.pdf</a></p>		

<b>Project Title</b>	ASC - Future Focus
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>Demand for Adult Social Care rises each year. People are living longer into old age requiring support, there are more people living longer with complex long term conditions and there are increasing numbers of young adults in transition to adult services with complex needs.</p> <p>This increased demand for services coincides with significant financial pressure arising from reduced Local Authority funding, legislative changes driven by the Care Act and an increased public expectation of Adult Social Care and rightly an expectation of high quality, personalised and flexible support for those who are eligible for care.</p> <p>The goal of health and care services is to help older, vulnerable or disabled adults who have ongoing support needs to live well and have a good life. A “good life” means living independently at home wherever possible, with opportunities to spend time with other people and to do things which are meaningful to that individual.</p> <p>Current ways of supporting adults do not consistently result in everyone achieving all of their goals and living well where they want to live. People and families are not always helped enough to look after themselves and each other. Services can be overly paternalistic and lack the choice and control that services users rightly demand.</p> <p>Social care is often a vital part of enabling people to live independent lives but it is far from being the only component to enable people to live fulfilled lives. We must be ready to have different conversations which take full account people’s assets, strengths, knowledge and skills to build and harness the contributions of people, their personal networks, social capital and their local communities. This will support greater wellbeing and independence.</p> <p>The nature and scale of these challenges requires a fundamental shift in how Adult Social Care is delivered to ensure financial sustainability and to help those with social care needs, their families and carers have a better quality of life.</p> <p>There is an emerging consensus that community based models of social work based on Asset Based Conversations that will support a collaborative approach alongside communities, families and carers are the most effective</p>	

way to approach the challenges outlined above. A review of national best practice and emerging evidence to identify the elements of such approaches that are likely to be of key importance for any operating model that seeks achieve both improved lives and financial sustainability for Adult Social Care.

To be successful this will entail:

Changing culture and reducing bureaucracy, with an emphasis on having deeper and more specific conversations based upon what people, their families and carers want in terms of their outcomes;

Focussing on maximising the Assets, Skills Knowledge and Strengths of individuals and their communities in maintaining health, wellbeing and independence and thereby helping people develop and maintain skills that will maximise their independence in the long term;

Reaching people earlier and being more accessible in local communities;

Helping people access community solutions and improve their connections with others to reduce isolation and loneliness;

Emphasising the importance of being highly responsive when people are in crisis and developing a plan that helps them to regain as much independence as possible;

Making the best use of digital and technological solutions to support employees to be more effective and efficient in their work, and help people lead independent lives.

Working closely with Community and Health Partners to make best use of resources and ensure that people receive the right care, in the right place at the right time.

### **Current status**

**GREEN**

Work completed this period as follows:

#### Continue with new structure including rationalisation of JDs

Continue working with HR to reshape the current structure and rationalise job descriptions in Care Management teams. The outline team organisational chart is still being prepared, however the priority amalgamation of frontline teams (CAAT and LTT) has been agreed and this plan shared with union representatives though the directorate meetings. An

issue has arisen that corresponding system changes required may not be completed due to ICT resources over Q4. This issue will be raised in Mosaic Governance Board to attempt to resolve in a timely fashion. See issues.

#### Continue rollout of review processes

Initial meetings have taken place with Review Managers in the service to outline the proposed changes to review processes in light of the new operating model opportunities as planned. Legal agreement on streaming reviews to allow us to target the highest priority has been received and allows us to plan and schedule accordingly.

#### Initiate project closure processes and agree business as usual responsibilities.

Whilst a significant amount of change will have taken place by the 1st April 2019, it is envisaged that continual adaptation of the processes to realise the benefits, maintenance and changes to the systems as well as training and support of staff will remain a requirement for the business. The project will outline any residual requirements and work with senior management to establish capacity within the business to agree resource support these.

#### **Future outlook**

The plan for the next period is as follows:

Progress the York Model: Meeting with a number of local authorities and NHS colleagues on the unique work of the York Model which engages reviews as an integral part of the process and collects and collates data to inform community and care commissioning.

Continue with new structure: Continue working with HR to reshape the current structure and rationalise job descriptions in Care Management teams as per agreed timeline. Included in this is resolution of the issues with the mosaic work. The details of this requirement will be worked up into a detailed work package with shortlist solutions.

Continue rollout of review processes: To continue to work with review managers in the service to outline the proposed changes to review processes in light of the new operating model opportunities. To investigate the resources required to initial self reviews where appropriate. To profile all reviews (overdue and future) by complexity and cost.

Planned for this period yet not completed: Plan for project closure processes and agree business as usual responsibilities. Whilst a significant

amount of change will have taken place by the 1st April 2019, it is envisaged that continual adaptation of the processes to realise the benefits, maintenance and changes to the systems as well as training and support of staff will remain a requirement for the business. The project will outline any residual requirements and work with senior management to establish capacity within the business to agree resource support these.

**Key risks**

<b>Risk (brief description/ consequence)</b>	<b>Control/Action</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Net</b>
<p>Material inaccuracies in the assumptions and/ or benefits and / or costs of the future model have been miscalculated.</p> <p>Savings not realised despite change.</p>	<p>Objective external appraisal of Benefits / Savings.</p> <p>Benefits will have been sensitivity tested as part of the Full Business Case created in Phase 1 before Proceeding into Phase 2.</p>	14	8
<p>The changes do not realise the required levels of financial benefits.</p> <p>Despite changes, external or unforeseen factors prevent full extent of savings to be realised putting ASC financial Savings plan at risk.</p>	<p>An extensive and tested Cost/Benefit Analysis to be created during the design phase with an agreed Benefits Realisation Plan. Benefits will be monitored throughout the lifecycle of the programme, with updates at key milestones for all Stakeholders.</p> <p>The project approach will seek to deliver the changes in a stepped and structured manner, identifying any false assumptions and seeking to maximise benefits during deployment.</p> <p>The project will identify key command and control data sets to oversee the effects of change in process or procedure against expectations.</p>	19	14
<p>The VoY CCG may have different CHC targets and</p>	<p>Early engagement and identification of risk benefit</p>	15	11

<p>priorities to CYC (now raised as Issue in Verto PMS). There may be insufficient sponsorship from leadership in the CCG and CYC to pursue joint working and processes. Reduction in delivered benefits against Business Case.</p>	<p>sharing opportunities will ensure all parties feel engaged in the process.</p>		
<p><b>Reports to</b></p>	<p>The Programme uses existing Management Structures in the HHASC Directorate and uses DMT as its Programme Board.</p>		
<p><b>Exec member</b></p>	<p>Cllr. Carol Runciman</p>		
<p><b>Director responsible</b></p>	<p>Sharon Houlden: Corporate Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care</p>		
<p><b>Dependencies</b></p>	<p>Market development, Comprehensive Information, Advice and Guidance for ASC</p>		
<p><b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b></p>	<p>Health, Housing and Adult Social Care Policy Scrutiny Committee – November 2017  Future Focus Update Report  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s118247/Future%20Focus%20Report_November_2017_v2.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s118247/Future%20Focus%20Report_November_2017_v2.pdf</a></p> <p>Additional Adult Social care Support and Resources Executive May 2018  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MIId=10198&amp;Ver=4">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MIId=10198&amp;Ver=4</a></p>		

<b>Project title</b>	Outer Ring Road (A1237)
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>This project increases the capacity of 7 roundabouts on the ring road to reduce orbital and radial journey times. Upgrades would be to a similar standard to the A59 and A19 roundabouts with 3 lane approaches and 2 lane exits on the A1237. The enhancements will be designed to accommodate future dualling where possible.</p>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<b>AMBER</b>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. With the exception of some landscaping, works at Jcn 1 Wetherby Rd are now complete.</li> <li>2. Continuous effort is going in to securing agreements for land purchase at Monks Cross (MX). Whilst this is slow there are some areas of progress.</li> <li>3. In connection with the HiF bid to Homes England / DfT for a grant to accelerate housing at Clifton Moor, CYC hosted a visit by the assessment team in early January 2019.</li> <li>4. The Project Team have been considering how the proposed dualling scheme (A19 Rawcliffe - A64 Hopgrove) impacts on the design and construction of the YORR Junction scheme.</li> <li>5. Meetings are in progress to consider preparations for a start of construction work at MX in early 2019.</li> <li>6. A notification to remove hedgerows at Jcn 7 Monks Cross has been accepted and allowed by the Local Planning Authority.</li> <li>7. Design work has been in progress for a proposed four arm junction at Jcn 3 Clifton Moor.</li> <li>8. Planning has taken place to undertake the necessary surveys and preparatory work at Jcn 3 Clifton Moor.</li> </ol>	
<b>Future outlook</b>	
Planned Activity for February 2019	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Look ahead to start of construction at Jcn 7 MX and ensure necessary approvals are in place. Review arrangements for dealing with Great Crested Newts at this location.</li> <li>2. Plan access to land at Jcn 7 MX and ensure landowners aware of CYC requirements.</li> <li>3. Implement hedge trimming and coppicing at Jcn 7 MX to enable</li> </ol>	

<p>construction work to commence without impact on nesting birds.</p> <p>4. Organise and hold photo opportunity for completion of Jcn 1 Wetherby Road upgrade.</p> <p>5. Commence noise surveys at Jcn 7 MX.</p> <p>6. Commence public engagement for upgrade proposals at Jcn 3 Clifton Moor &amp; Jcn 4 Wigginton Rd.</p> <p>7. Plan and implement surveys for Jcns 3 &amp; 4. Commence initial meetings with the landowners.</p>			
<b>Key risks</b>			
<b>Risk (brief description/ consequence)</b>	<b>Control/action</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Net</b>
<p>Land acquisition - Risk of programme delay due to uncertainty of acquiring land in a timely and efficient manner.</p> <p>This could lead to programme delays, which in turn will lead to inability to deliver the junction upgrades in accordance with the timetable set by WYCA.</p>	<p>DVA have been appointed to acquire land on behalf of CYC by private agreement.</p> <p>It is proposed that a Compulsory Purchase Order will be drafted in parallel to the private negotiations. If private negotiations become protracted or break down, the CPO will be enacted. This mitigation plan is likely to be successful in future junction upgrades, but the short lead in time for Wetherby Road means that this will not be possible to arrange.</p>	20	19
<p>WYCA withdraw funds for YORR Improvements. All projects overseen by WYCA in the Growth Fund (inc WY+TF) are under review by HM Government. Failure to deliver projects within the control period 2016-2021 may result in the withdrawal of funds.</p>	<p>Comprehensive Project planning to ensure timely delivery of the project.</p> <p>To ensure the project is planned and managed efficiently. A Senior PM has been appointed to undertake this.</p>	18	13
<p>Planning approval is required for two of the junction upgrades. Getting</p>	<p>Commencement of talks with the Planning Authority to understand what reports and</p>	14	13

<p>these approvals could be time consuming and cause programme delays. At this stage it is not thought that the principle of getting planning approval is a problem.</p>	<p>activities will be needed to be undertaken.</p> <p>Project planning to ensure the sequencing of the preparation for and submission of a planning application. The control period 2016-2021 presents sufficient time at this point to achieve this.</p>		
<p>Great Crested Newts (GCN) are present on the south west side of the A1237 at Monks Cross. This affects two segments of the proposed works. A GCN relocation licence was granted by Natural England in October 2018. However the landowner did not grant access to enable the provision of a hibernaculum on their land. This feature will have to be provided elsewhere and whilst negotiations with another landowner are favourable, this will require a change to the licence. Whilst this change has been notified to Natural England, the consequence is that the trapping of newts is delayed until spring 2019. This means that no work can take place on the two segments affected until the newts have been cleared.</p> <p>Dec 2018 - The work of the ecologist is being carefully managed. A revised application should be</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The change to the Natural England licence has been resubmitted to gain a revised permission in early 2019.</li> <li>2. The Ecology consultant has been instructed to implement trapping at the earliest juncture.</li> <li>3. The design of the junction upgrade is being considered for minor alterations to reduce the impact.</li> <li>4. The contractor's programme will be adjusted to accommodate this risk.</li> <li>5. As a key risk, this matter is being given a weekly level of scrutiny.</li> </ol>	<p>19</p>	<p>14</p>

<p>submitted to English Nature in late Dec 2018. This does not reduce the risk but ensures that the admin arrangements are in place. The GCN are only present in two segments of the proposed junction upgrade and there is scope to work around this in the early stages of the project.</p>			
<p>Purchase and access to land at Monks Cross - There are five areas of land to purchase at Monks Cross to accommodate the junction upgrade. Two landowners have entered into discussions with CYC's agents and discussions are positive. However the other three landowners are proving difficult to get hold of. One is a bank, one is a trust and the final one is a business which is not active.</p>	<p>DVS, land agents acting on behalf of CYC have been instructed to go ahead with all speed to purchase the necessary land. This is reviewed weekly.</p> <p>Feb 2019 - Following two months of intense activity, progress has been made on all but one of the land parcels. The remaining land owned by Standad &amp; Commercial is still proving difficult to acquire. Recent progress with the agents has resulted in positive responses but no action to allow CYC to initially remove the hedgerows.</p> <p><u>Actions</u> CYC could enter into an early entry agreement with the landowners and pay some of the cost of the land in advance.</p> <p>Feb 2019 - In order to mitigate, the following can be offered:</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>19</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 50% advanced payment as above;</li> <li>- Accommodation works to suit the owner e.g. fencing and gates</li> <li>- Take down and dispose of existing shed.</li> <li>- Purchase of whole field as highway improvement requirements may blight its future potential.</li> </ul>		
<b>Reports to</b>	Project reports into the Transport board; Project Board and Lead Member Board		
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr. Ian Gillies & Cllr. Peter Dew		
<b>Director responsible</b>	Neil Ferris – Corporate Director of Economy and Place		
<b>Dependencies</b>	LTP4, Local plan		
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	<p>Executive West Yorkshire Transport Fund – 24 November 2016  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s110381/WYTF%20Exec%20Nov%202016%20v5.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s110381/WYTF%20Exec%20Nov%202016%20v5.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive July 2017  Proposed York Outer Ring Road Improvements – Approach to Deliver  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s115804/York%20Outer%20Ring%20Road.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s115804/York%20Outer%20Ring%20Road.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive December 2018  A1237 Outer Ring Road – Dualling Update  <a href="https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s128823/Exec%20report%20-%20YORR%20Dualling%20-%202020-12-18%20Final.pdf">https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s128823/Exec%20report%20-%20YORR%20Dualling%20-%202020-12-18%20Final.pdf</a></p>		

<b>Project title</b>	Housing Development Programme (HCA Partnership)
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
Programme of council-led housing delivery in partnership with the HCA.	
<b>Current status</b>	
<b>AMBER</b>	
<u>Lowfield</u>	
<p>The first package of enabling works, including removal and maintenance of trees, crushing of foundations and creation of haul roads on site has been agreed with the contractor NMCN. NMCN have been procured through a direct award on the YorCivils framework, the contract is being finalised, to be signed prior to start on site. Work to the trees is expected to start on site on 18.02.2019 to avoid nesting season. A public consultation for the work works was held on 7th February at Acomb library and was attended by around 25 people.</p> <p>The ITT for the main contractor was published at the start of January. Four contractors have been taken through to this final phase and tenders are expected back on March 25th. Unfortunately one contractor appears to be withdrawing from the process but the other three are very eager.</p>	
<u>Askham Bar and Burnholme</u>	
<p>The tender for the programmes multidisciplinary design framework was published on February 4th. The framework will bring in the architects and supporting design team for sites in the programme over the next 5 years. There has been a huge amount of interest so far with over 250 people EOIs in the first 2 weeks.</p>	
<u>Self and Community Build</u>	
<p>Interviews for the new self and community build enabler role took place in January. A candidate has been selected and is expected to start on March 4th.</p> <p>Custom Build Homes have been identified as the sale agent for the self-build plots.</p>	
<b>Future outlook</b>	
<u>Lowfield</u>	

- Enabling works will have started on site and all tree works will have been completed. S278 agreement with transport should have been progressed closer to a resolution, which will allow the new access works to be undertaken.
- Planning application for rear access car park at 108-110 Tudor Road should be approved, allowing this work to be added onto the access works when on site.
- Homes England Accelerated funding contract agreed. This money can then begin to be drawn down, also freeing up the original Lowfield Enabling Budget.
- Ashfield Football Pitch tender information should have been progressed to a first finished design. With the submitting target of March.
- Estate agent and conveyancing procurements published.

### **Askham and Burnholme**

- Project team site visits conducted, followed by starting to procure site surveys in preparation for the multidisciplinary team joining.
- First stage of design team tender closed and evaluated. Final four selected for the ITT stage.

### **Self-Build and Community Build**

- New employee to start in post and begin acquainting themselves with the future programme.
- Custom Build Homes to be appointed to supporting role and promotional information to start being prepared for the 6 plots at Lowfield.

### **Key risks**

<b>Risk (brief description/ consequence)</b>	<b>Control/action</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Net</b>
Exec approval given to utilise £4.5m of HRA resources to deliver the project. This is supplemented by sales receipts.	Regularly updating of costs and revenue analysis prior to release of tender documents. <u>Actions</u> Value engineering to reduce costs and additional revenue/grant opportunities explored.	19	18
A major sewer crosses the	Close partnership working with	19	18

<p>site which needs to be diverted such that houses can be built on the site.</p>	<p>Yorkshire Water to ensure works are carried out on schedule and budget.</p> <p><u>Actions</u> Phase construction such that works take place on the part of the site unaffected by the sewer diversion. Or delay start on site.</p>		
<p>An increase in build costs above those estimated in the financial model would harm the feasibility of the housing development projects</p>	<p>Utilisation of cost consultants in estimating likely build costs at today's prices and in the future allowing for inflation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continued monitoring of market trends to ensure an accurate picture is in place regarding foreseen construction costs</li> <li>• Continued re-appraisal of scheme to help bring costs down where required</li> <li>• Cost control as part of project management on site</li> </ul>	<p>20</p>	<p>18</p>
<p>A steep decline in either the demand for houses or the sales and rental prices would affect the financial viability of the project</p>	<p>Long term housing trends have shown a significant rising market. Close liaison with local estate agents to understand the York market and likely asking prices for houses on proposed developments. York has a strong housing market.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continued monitoring of housing market</li> <li>• Options to amend projects to reduce costs and therefore maintain the required financial margin</li> </ul>	<p>19</p>	<p>18</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Options to further mix the tenure of schemes in a market recession e.g. increase the amount of affordable housing or change houses for sale into rental properties</li> </ul>		
<b>Reports to</b>	<p>Project team consisting of officers at the council who will advise on specialisms such as legal, housing, finance, and procurement. This project team feeds into the Housing Delivery Programme Board, which consists of senior officers and managers. The board guides decisions shaping the programme, setting objectives, scope, and timelines for projects. The board reports into the HCA as part of the partnership arrangement as well as One Public Estate. When milestones are met the programme will report into 'Capital and Asset Board' and 'Health, Housing and Adult Social Care' and 'Employment and Place' Management Teams for co-ordination and major project issues. Decisions taken by the Council Management Team followed by Executive.</p>		
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr. Helen Douglas		
<b>Director responsible</b>	Sharon Houlden: Corporate Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care		
<b>Dependencies</b>	None		
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	<p>December 2017 Executive Meeting  Delivering Health &amp; Wellbeing Facilities for York Sports Pitches at the Askham Ashfield Estate and a Health Hub at Burnholme  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s119262/Delivering%20Health%20and%20Wellbeing%20facilities%20for%20York.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s119262/Delivering%20Health%20and%20Wellbeing%20facilities%20for%20York.pdf</a></p> <p>December 2017 Executive Meeting  Establishing a Delivery Model and the Scope of the Programme  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s119302/Housing%20Delivery%20Programme.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s119302/Housing%20Delivery%20Programme.pdf</a></p> <p>December 2017 Executive Meeting  Delivering the Lowfield Scheme  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s119289/Delivering%20the%20">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s119289/Delivering%20the%20</a></p>		

	<p>Lowfield%20Scheme.pdf</p> <p><b>March Executive meeting - approval of project inception</b> <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=931">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&amp;MId=931</a></p> <p><b>July 2018 Executive Meeting</b> <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s124782/Housing%20Delivery%20Programme%20Executive%20Report%20-%2012th%20July%202018.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s124782/Housing%20Delivery%20Programme%20Executive%20Report%20-%2012th%20July%202018.pdf</a></p> <p><b>October 2018 Executive Meeting</b> <b>Duncombe Barracks, Burton Stone Lane</b> <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s126458/Duncombe%20Barracks.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s126458/Duncombe%20Barracks.pdf</a></p>
--	---

<b>Project title</b>	Specialist Therapeutic Short Breaks
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>City of York Council with its partners are planning to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deliver a feasibility study to explore the opportunities and benefits of building a Specialist Disabled Children Short Break Facility in York.</li> <li>To expand the Family Intensive Rapid Support Team (FIRST) to incorporate a therapeutic short break residential element and to explore the opportunities and benefits of increasing the service offer to neighbouring Local Authorities across the region.</li> </ol> <p>The project is part of the wider development of services for disabled children and young people across the city and provides the council with an opportunity to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Invest capital in developing a ‘Disability Centre of Excellence’ which has the potential to be a leader in innovative practice both regionally and nationally.</li> <li>– Make York Home for more disabled children and young people by reducing out of Area placements.</li> <li>– Develop and invest in service provision in order to generate future savings and income generating potential.</li> <li>– Deliver better outcomes for disabled children and young people including those with the most complex needs.</li> </ul> <p>FIRST is a specialist Clinical Psychology led service that supports families with children/ young people who have a learning disability, autism and the most complex behavioural needs. FIRST provides intensive assessment and intervention for children and their family at the point of potential placement breakdown.</p> <p>The proposed Specialist Disabled Children Short Break Facility would potentially incorporate and replace the short break residential provision currently provided at The Glen and Glen House.</p>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<b>GREEN</b>	
<p>Planning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All planning applications (Centre of Excellence, Playing Fields, Sub</li> </ul>	

Station) have been successful and all conditions agreed.

#### Procurement

- Identified contractor is working with Design Team, as part of pre contract service agreement to develop a guaranteed Maximum Price that can be agreed.
- Joint procurement with Lincoln Court development, so links and dependencies are being managed

#### Design

- RIBA stage 4 design has been completed.
- Engagement and consultation continues to take place with parents / carers, front line staff and partner agencies.
- Contractor proposals and costings have been developed for playing field development.

#### Communication

- On line monthly updates have been circulated to all stakeholders.
- Design planning group continues to adopt a co-production approach of involving front line staff and parents.
- Communication team with CYC, School and Contractor representation has been set up to manage the future communication strategy.

#### Finance

- Initial cost estimate from Contractor has been submitted. This is significantly over budget.
- Value engineering workshops have been completed with Architects, Contractor, ME specialists.
- All VE options have been consulted and agreed with practice.
- A range of options have been considered in order to bring project back within budget including re design and going back out to the market.

#### Legal

- Capital Grant Agreement is being completed with CYC legal team in order to confirm and draw down NHSE capital grant.
- Deed of Variation is being completed to incorporate changes to PFI agreement in relation to the playing fields.

#### Governance

- Project Board have been informed on cost position.
- Options have been outlined.
- Project Board has given 3 weeks to continue VE process. If this does not bring project back within budget, other options will be progressed.

- CMT have been updated on progress and cost position.

### Future outlook

- Value engineering process completed
- Cost update submitted by Contractor
- CGA legal work completed
- Deed of Variation submitted to PFI funders, Academy, DfE and CYC for approval
- Project Board updated on progress and decision made about awarding contract following agreed GMP or going back out to market
- Playing field contractor proposals agreed subject to the above
- Enabling works started

### Key risks

Risk (brief description/ consequence)	Control/action	Gross	Net
Budget Overspend - Costs increase and exceed allocated budget	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Finance reporting at every Project Board</li> <li>• Identified finance lead</li> <li>• Effective management of contingency</li> </ul>	20	21
Planning application delayed or refused	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• pre application</li> <li>• work with planning officer to address issues</li> </ul> <p>Mitigating Action</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• proactive engagement with local community</li> <li>• proactive engagement with planning officer / department</li> <li>• Anticipated issues addressed as part of feasibility</li> </ul>	23	23
Section 77 application to DfE to build on playing fields delayed or refused	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Early initiation</li> <li>• Involvement of CYC lead</li> <li>• Conversation with DfE lead</li> <li>• Compensation and mitigation in place</li> </ul> <p>Mitigating Action</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proactively identify and address application barriers and issues</li> </ul>	23	18

Parents are not happy with the process and or the proposed changes to provision	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Co production approach</li> <li>• Resource committed to managing co production and engagement plan</li> </ul> <p>Mitigating Action</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Co production approach to planning and development</li> <li>• Communication and engagement plans in place for parents</li> </ul>	20	19
Playing fields compensation can not be delivered at back of school	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Contract landscape architect to scope out the works, issues and risks.</li> </ul>	23	23
<b>Reports to</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The project is accountable to a Project Board chaired by Amanda Hatton - Director CEC.</li> <li>• The project Board contains representation from Children's Social Care, Health, Education, Adult Services and Finance.</li> <li>• The project Board is accountable to CEC DMT chaired by Amanda Hatton, Director of Children, Education and Communities.</li> <li>• The project Sponsor is Amanda Hatton.</li> </ul>		
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr Keith Myers		
<b>Director responsible</b>	Amanda Hatton - Director of Children, Education and Communities		
<b>Dependencies</b>	None		
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	<p>Executive August 2017 - Re-Commissioning a Short Break Service for Adults with a Learning Disability based at Flaxman Avenue, York  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s116684/Short%20Breaks%20Flaxman.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s116684/Short%20Breaks%20Flaxman.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive January 2018  Develop a Centre of Excellence for Disabled Children and their Families in York  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s120973/Centre%20of%20Excellence%20for%20Disabled%20Children%20Executive%20Paper%20April%202018%20Final.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s120973/Centre%20of%20Excellence%20for%20Disabled%20Children%20Executive%20Paper%20April%202018%20Final.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive April 2018 - Revised Recommendation in Relation to the Capital Budget  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s122950/Centre%20of%20Excellence%20for%20Disabled%20Children%20Executive%20Paper%20April%202018%20Final.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s122950/Centre%20of%20Excellence%20for%20Disabled%20Children%20Executive%20Paper%20April%202018%20Final.pdf</a></p>		

<b>Project title</b>	Provision of School Places
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>The need to provide sufficient school places for current and future residents is a key statutory duty of CYC and will build an educational infrastructure for the city by forecasting likely demand and supply of school places over the medium term (until 2022/23).</p> <p>The aims of this project are to identify where and when additional school places will be required, and work with central government and the school community to provide places in good or outstanding schools.</p> <p>CYC is provided with some 'Basic Need' funding from central government for this purpose and will need to ensure that this and other sources of funding are used to best effect in those areas of greatest need, and to ensure that all educational provision is sustainable in the longer term, working with the school community.</p> <p>The project represents a significant priority for City of York council in delivering educational opportunities for residents.</p>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<b>AMBER</b>	
<p>Throughout late 2018 and early 2019 the major focus of the project is on communicating – principally with schools and multi-academy trusts – both the general project approach to build awareness and confidence in the aims of the project, but also awareness of the headline figures of the future need for school places in each of the 4 secondary and 17 primary planning areas. This builds upon earlier project work in building a robust and resilient data model to take account of many variables as they change. The key variables are capacity, births, migration, additional housing and parental preference with forecasting work undertaken on an annual basis. As new datasets are published in early 2019, these conversations with partners will need to mature and develop. The project includes many statutory tasks and processes within the project framework to aide the project's aims - these include annual processes around school admissions and school buildings.</p> <p>This project has five major work streams: capacity; communication; data modelling; forecasting; and policy. Many of these are by the nature of the project and subject area annual or cyclical in each school year, with many related dependencies between them.</p>	

## **Capacity**

- Work on the capacity of the school estate is now complete after a comprehensive review of all school buildings and teaching spaces in the past 18 months that included site visits to ascertain the capacity of each school's estate.
- Identification of all potential teaching spaces, including those that could be recommissioned from alternative uses, has greatly improved the ability of the LA to effectively plan for future provision of school places, in some schools this has increased the number of school places available without capital funding.
- This process will next be reviewed in late 2019 to continue to provide an accurate baseline for capacity moving forward.
- Producing and signing off 'Net Capacity' calculations with schools is in progress and will be completed within the next month. This data will continue to be used for the 'SCAP' process with central government, where it will be used for future funding calculations.

## **Communication**

- The admission policies and numbers for the 2020/21 school year, which included several revised admission numbers and for which a public consultation took place between 08 October and 07 December 2018, has now been 'determined' by the Executive Member for Education, Children and Young People.
- Communication continues to take place with local schools and academy trusts in the city re: future forecasts. A presentation to highlight the future pressures in school place planning took place this past month to Head teachers and Academy Trust CEOs in the city, and later to all school head teachers. Schools continue to approach the LA where they wish to propose their own visions for expansion. We continue to offer schools and academy trusts the opportunity to discuss trends and findings in forums such as clusters or multi-academy trust groupings, or in smaller discussions between officers and school leadership/governing bodies.
- Schools and academy trusts continue to jointly identify potential solutions in the form of Planning Area Action Plans (PAAPs) which are next due for update in early 2019. The scope of PAAPs have been defined to provide data on top of Planning Area Forecasts and they include
  - i) data on the expected number of additional dwellings and pupil yield;
  - ii) the effects of additional strategic housing sites on demand in the year of entry; and
  - iii) the effects of additional strategic housing sites on demand in other year groups.
- There will be significant work to maintain alignment between Forecasts

and Action Plans as the datasets evolve, and throughout the emerging Local Plan period.

- Continued communication with residents, elected members, and with others partners throughout the lifetime of this project. Communication with developers of some forthcoming housing sites continues as do those with those officers overseeing the draft Local Plan and future infrastructure plan for the city.
- An audit of this project took place this month to ensure that standards are being maintained and establish whether this project complies with the project management approach employed by the council.

### **Data Modelling**

- Provisional submission of the annual SCAP process in July 2018, for central government review and formal sign off has still not been signed off by central government. Having only had minor feedback to date, we expect to formally sign off SCAP with no changes.
- Work has begun to map census data to catchment areas in the primary and secondary forecasting models for the forthcoming 2019 data model.

### **Forecasting**

- Continued development of data sets especially where significant housing is proposed, and these forecasts used to plan for future need and work alongside colleagues in economic development and other major projects. Several data analyses and reports have been modelled that can be deployed where there is a need for an indepth analysis of pupil attendance and preference trends to aide discussions on the future planning of school places and admission arrangements.

### **Policy**

- The annual refresh of admissions and school places policies have now been undertaken and 'determined' by the Executive Member for Education, Children and Young People. These changes, which are in line with central government guidance and best practice, have led to a more robust set of policies and extended priority for some previously looked after children.
- In order to maximise the limited Basic Need funding, potential additional funding streams continue to be investigated. Priority areas continue to be supported with a limited amount of Basic Need funding, through the policies employed. Future spending needs to include supporting the growth in the numbers of pupils with additional needs - though this is outside the scope of this project, it is part of the parallel Inclusion Review.
- Delivery of a very small number of basic need funding schemes for the

current and upcoming financial year is ongoing at St. Mary's and Westfield.

## **Future outlook**

The main focus of this period:

### **Capacity**

- Signing off capacity figures with individual schools leading to a better baseline of total city-wide buildings capacity into 2019. Increased capacity figures in some planning areas have reduced the net number of places required substantially.
- Final formal sign off of the 2018 SCAP return is overdue, though this is dependent on work of the Department for Education.

### **Communication**

- Continued engagement with local schools and academy trusts to gather their views and identify any potential plans about how they could assist in providing for future need, following the publishing of Planning Area Action Plans (PAAPs) on [yorkeducation.co.uk](http://yorkeducation.co.uk) for 17 primary and 4 secondary planning areas across the city, as well as city wide headlines at primary and secondary level.
- Identify related projects that may arise as a result of this project's findings - particularly those at secondary level. Some initial projects have already been identified and these proposals are included in the PAAPs. Annual live admission data is now available and we do not expect to need to plan for any more additional school places.

### **Forecasting**

- Continue working toward the 2019 data model, including confirming planning areas with DfE, and refining forecasting methodology.
- Work will begin over the next period to calculate the cost per place of expected future expansions when assessing the viability of projects to increase the number of school places available in a planning area.

### **Policy**

- Seek continued support to update and seek authorisation regarding project approach, scope and processes - to focus on the business changes as well as the business as usual processes identified initially in the project plan
- Continued work around a refined planning policy approach re: Housing Developer Contributions (HDCs) for current and future developers is in review with colleagues in Planning to ensure compliance with wider CYC policy and agreements re: Local Plan.
- In response to feedback from the auditing of this project, plans are now

in place to monitor the project risk register periodically, in order to evidence effective management of risk.

### Project Plan

- Further develop, communicate and agree the project plan.
- The project officer is now in place, to take forward the planning for the later stages of the project into an implementation period where projects start to be delivered.

### Key risks

Risk (brief description /consequence)	Control/action	Gross	Net
<p>Cost per place too high - The project delivers a sufficient number of places but with a cost per place that is too high – stretching the ability of funding to meet all needs. New places created are of a high per pupil cost.</p>	<p>Project to work first to increase existing schools capacity at lowest cost over new school provision - utilising existing infrastructure rather than new schools at high revenue cost and high entry costs e.g. land, overheads.</p>	19	13
<p>Places provided too early for schools -The project delivers a sufficient number of new places before these places are required by residents, leading to under subscription of other schools.</p>	<p>Engagement with stakeholders (schools, MATs, DfE, developers) to ensure that places are provided only once demand is known and is in the process of being delivered. LA manages supply to minimise significant under subscription at existing schools.</p> <p>Continued examination of educational infrastructure and development of parallel data sets where significant housing is proposed, these forecasts are used to plan for future need</p>	19	13
<p>Multi Academy Trusts (MATs) with schools in York do not engage</p>	<p>Plan to engage with MATs at an early stage in the project through the York Schools and Academies</p>	19	12

with the project to deliver sufficient school places on existing sites.	Board (YSAB), providing MATs with data and potential solutions.		
Lack of financial resources - Housing developer contributions (HDCs from S106/CIL), direct CYC funding planned for and Basic Need (BN) capital funding for government is insufficient (when taken together) for the total costs.	Plan for the estimated costs of educational infrastructure early as part of the Local Plan process - identifying indicative costs and recognising that HDCs and BN will not meet total funding requirement.	19	13
Data quality is low, inputs are delayed, or assumptions are flawed; Forecast methodology and data inputs fails to provide accurate forecasts	Quality assuring methodology with DfE through SCAP process, and internally delivering this work through Business Intelligence	18	12
<b>Reports to</b>	Project is overseen by Children, Education and communities Directorate Management Team and Executive Member for Children and Young People as necessary.		
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr Keith Myers		
<b>Director responsible</b>	Amanda Hatton - Director of Children, Education and Communities		
<b>Dependencies</b>	Local Plan Policy, Economic Strategy, City Transport Policy		
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	Executive February 2018 Admission arrangement for the 2019/20 school year <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s121171/Final%20Admissions%20Report%20-%201920%20for%20Executive.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s121171/Final%20Admissions%20Report%20-%201920%20for%20Executive.pdf</a>		

<b>Project title</b>	Allerton Waste Recovery Park (AWRP)
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>Allerton Waste Recovery Park is an exciting new facility which will bring together state-of-the-art technologies to make the most of the North Yorkshire's and the city of York's waste.</p> <p>In December 2010, CYC entered into a Joint Waste Management Agreement (JWMA) with North Yorkshire County Council (NYCC). This supported NYCC entering into a contract with AmeyCespa (identified as preferred bidder in 2009) for the provision of a long term (25 year) Waste management service. The objective of this is to deliver a long term, sustainable alternative to landfill for the treatment of residual waste.</p> <p>The facility, when built, will safeguard our future cost in terms of disposing of residual waste, will generate energy and produce ensure more material can be recycled. Amey will then operate the facility on behalf of North Yorkshire County Council and the City of York Council for 25 years.</p> <p>The project represents a significant investment for City of York council.</p>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<b>AMBER</b>	
<p>AWRP has been operational since the beginning of March. NYCC and CYC finance are closely monitoring performance (financial and non-financial) and are working closely with Amey and YorWaste to ensure that any issues are identified and measures are put in place to ensure that the facility is operating at its optimal level.</p> <p>The final details of the shared waste disposal arrangement is expected to be completed by April 2019.</p>	
<b>Future outlook</b>	
<p>Now that the facility is operational, it was agreed at CMT that the project, from a CYC perspective, will close this period and CYC Officers will continue to oversee the joining working until the shared arrangements with NYCC are in place in the next quarter 2019.</p> <p>The Project is managed by NYCC and is subject to their agreed project framework, a Gateway review will be conducted to assess the Project from</p>	

a CYC perspective.

The Project will close with an AMBER rating, but the risks around recycling targets will be picked up and monitored through operational working with NYCC and Amey.

**Key risks**

<b>Risk (brief description /consequence)</b>	<b>Control/action</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Net</b>
Arrangements with NYCC need agreeing with respect to the operation of the facility, waste disposal and financials.	The arrangements are built into the contract however; there are early items to resolve with respect to increasing capacity at Harewood Whin. Continue dialogue with NYCC, agree on terms to move forward.  Process now nearing completion and will be ready for agreement in the autumn.	19	14
Residents don't see the benefits of the Waste strategy.	Develop communications plan and strategy for AWRP and how this links with the council's other strategies on Waste and Renewable energy.  Key communications staff key into the project. Work ongoing with the contractor on educational programme.	15	14
Changes in government and/or government policies /legislation	Change processes in the schedule and contract, external legal advisers in place, quarterly review of waste law list by external technical advisers.  Ongoing monitoring of waste law list and advisers will highlight any substantial changes which result in impacts on the contract. Project advisor developing procedures and processes to effectively manage the contract and react to any potential change proposals.	22	13

<b>Reports to</b>	North Yorkshire County Council manage the project. The project reports into a Joint Project Board with representatives from Amey Cespa, the investors, NYCC and CYC.		
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr Andrew Waller		
<b>Director responsible</b>	Neil Ferris – Corporate Director of Economy and Place		
<b>Dependencies</b>	None		
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	<p>Economy &amp; Place Scrutiny Committee October 2017  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s117446/Allerton%20Park%20PP%20Presentation.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s117446/Allerton%20Park%20PP%20Presentation.pdf</a></p> <p>Economy &amp; Place Scrutiny Committee  March 2018  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s121801/AWRP%20project%20update%2026-2-2018.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s121801/AWRP%20project%20update%2026-2-2018.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive April 2018  Allerton Waste Recovery Park  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s122959/AWRP%20project%20update%2013042018%20final.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s122959/AWRP%20project%20update%2013042018%20final.pdf</a></p>		

<b>Project title</b>	Library Procurement Project		
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019		
<b>Description</b>			
<p>The Library and Archives Service: to procure a library and archives operator from 1 April 2019 using an open tender process. York Council has a statutory duty to provide a comprehensive and efficient library service under the Public Libraries &amp; Museums Act 1964, section 7.</p> <p>Explore Libraries and Archives Mutual are the Council's current operator and their contract ends on 31 March 2019.</p> <p>This project seeks to carryout a city-wide consultation to establish the local need for the service, before specifying the future service.</p> <p>The open procurement process will aim to ensure best value for a future contract.</p>			
<b>Current status</b>			
<b>GREEN</b>			
<p>CYC opened the second tender period on Monday 14th January 2019 as planned, following the competitive procedure with negotiation(CPN). The closing date was set as Monday 28 January 2019.</p> <p>The project team are now in the process of evaluating the bids which is expected to take until Friday 15 February 2019.</p>			
<b>Future outlook</b>			
<p>The next period will see the conclusion of the evaluation and announcement of the successful bidder. The initial announcement will be subject to contract award.</p> <p>Work during this period will be focussed on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concluding the evaluation</li> <li>• Announcement and feedback to bidders</li> <li>• Preparing the legal contract and all the associated property leases</li> </ul> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Awarding the contract</p> <p>Mobilisation of the successful bidder to ensure a 1st April 2019 start</p>			
<b>Key risks</b>			
<b>Risk (brief description)</b>	<b>Control/action</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Net</b>

<b>/consequence)</b>			
<b>Reports to</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Reports will be processed through the CEC DMT, CMT and then onto the Executive or Full Council for decision making.</li> <li>- The project will be managed day to day at a service level, with a project manager and a working group to support technical input from across the organisation as required.</li> <li>- Reports will be processed through the CEC DMT, CMT and then onto the Executive or Full Council for decision making.</li> </ul>		
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr Nigel Ayre		
<b>Director responsible</b>	Amanda Hatton - Director of Children, Education and Communities		
<b>Dependencies</b>			
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	<p>Scrutiny Report, November 2017 – Explore York Libraries and Archives  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=669&amp;MId=9995&amp;Ver=4">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=669&amp;MId=9995&amp;Ver=4</a></p> <p>Scrutiny Report, March 2018 – Library Consultation Feedback  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s122304/Library%20Consultation%20Feedback%20Paper.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s122304/Library%20Consultation%20Feedback%20Paper.pdf</a></p> <p>Scrutiny Report, May 2018  Library Service: Comprehensive Statement of Need  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s123755/Cover%20Report.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s123755/Cover%20Report.pdf</a>  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/mgAi.aspx?ID=49152#mgDocuments">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/mgAi.aspx?ID=49152#mgDocuments</a></p> <p>Explore York Libraries &amp; Archives  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s123776/Explore%20Libraries%20Biannual%20Update.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s123776/Explore%20Libraries%20Biannual%20Update.pdf</a></p> <p>Executive June 2018 – Procurement of Operator  <a href="http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s124303/Libraries%20reprocurement%20XI.pdf">http://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s124303/Libraries%20reprocurement%20XI.pdf</a></p>		

<b>Project title</b>	Housing ICT Programme
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>The Housing ICT Programme is a major ICT enabled business transformation and culture change programme which will deliver a new operating model and systems for Housing and Building Repairs Services, based on customer centric, holistic services to be delivered with first point of contact resolution at a place, time and manner that suits the customer.</p>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<b>GREEN</b>	
<b>PROGRESS DURING REPORTING PERIOD</b>	
<b>Summary:</b>	
<p>The programme status has returned to green again now that the risk around procurement resource and the approvals timeline has been resolved.</p>	
<p>The evaluation phase is now close to completion. From the initial desktop scoring and moderation, suppliers have been shortlisted to those we have the concerns over for compliance with mandatory requirements.</p>	
<p>Reference site visits continue to be arranged and will be completed by the first week in February for the remaining sites to visit. All supplier system demonstrations are now booked in and all rooms booked and evaluators invited. These will take place between the 23 – 30 January. The final moderation of all evaluation and sign off for contract award will take place at the February Programme Board.</p>	
<p>Service design workshops to design the future ‘to-be’ journey are now complete and documented for implementation ahead of the expected departure of the Programme Team Business Analyst. A full business change readiness assessment is being pulled together to support implementation planning. This will be supported by an action plan that the business will develop and lead.</p>	
<p>All programme assumptions and dependencies have been reviewed again and a summary of those that have changed is attached separately.</p>	
<p>Ongoing account management with Northgate continues. A response has</p>	

been issued to recent communications received from the Northgate CEO requesting a re-think on our current procurement.

**General:**

<b>Status:</b>	<b>Green</b>	On track and/or no major issues being encountered.
----------------	--------------	--

The programme status has returned to green again now that the risk around procurement resource and the approvals timeline has been resolved. Long-term commitment to the programme from the Procurement Team, along with a change to the approvals process, means that the risk of encountering delays has been reduced. The contract award for the Housing ICT contract will now be approved by Programme Board and signed-off by Tom Brittain.

The evaluation phase is now close to completion. From the initial desktop scoring and moderation, the suppliers have been shortlisted to the four that we have the concerns over for compliance with mandatory requirements. Bidder 'M' has been removed from the process at this stage due to significant failings in their bid.

Reference site visits continue to be arranged and will be completed by the first week in February for the remaining sites to visit. All supplier system demonstrations are now booked in and all rooms booked and evaluators invited. These will take place between the 23 – 30 January. All scores for demonstrations will be submitted at the end of each session and the Programme Team will collate all scores for the 4 demos to feed into the overall evaluation.

The final moderation of all evaluation will take place at the February Programme Board. At that meeting Programme Board will be given a summary of:

- Initial supplier scores from the first round of desktop evaluation
- A summary of all issues, benefits and points to note identified at all site visits
- A summary of the demonstration scores
- A summary of the items/issues we will be conducting due diligence with prior to signing the contract.

Programme Board will be presented with a recommendation for the contract award from the Programme Team to discuss and approve. This is for the contract award and will be subject to due diligence with the supplier over any outstanding issues we have prior to contract signature by the end of March.

Service design workshops to design the future 'to-be' journey, identify data requirements and where the new technology solution can enable better processes for Housing Services are now complete and documented for implementation ahead of the expected departure of the Programme Team Business Analyst. Handover meetings with AF and the team as well as other key stakeholders are being completed before the end of January.

A full business change readiness assessment is being pulled together to support implementation planning. An action plan will be pulled together by Heads of Service once the assessment is published to address the key business change and culture barriers currently facing the programme that we expect to run alongside implementation, within the business.

All programme assumptions and dependencies have been reviewed again and a summary of those that have changed is attached separately.

Ongoing account management with Northgate continues. The Chief Executive of Northgate has written to Mary Weastell to request a face to face meeting and for us to cancel our procurement and instead upgrade with Northgate. A response has been sent back via Mary outlining previous account failures and explaining the process we have taken (using the LASA framework). This letter is available on request.

### **Business Change**

<b>Status:</b>	<b>Green</b>	On track and/or no major issues being encountered.
----------------	--------------	--

- Evaluation of Tenders continues.
- Work has continued on production of a Business Readiness Report and proposals for an Action Plan.
- Monthly meetings with Kelly Conn – Service Improvement Team Leader, Housing and Fiona Brown – Change Manager, Building Services continue to facilitate updates on changes, where quick wins could be had which will benefit the Business now and the Programme long term.

### **ICT**

<b>Status:</b>	<b>Green</b>	On track and/or no major issues being encountered.
----------------	--------------	--

- SX3 Split project initiation meeting and initial consultancy completed. Project Initiation document currently being completed.

- Current SX3 contract is with NPS and CYC Legal teams. SX3 Split may require a new contract, or multiple contracts for Housing and Revenues & Benefits systems. Northgate have yet to advise as to their preferred approach.
- ICT resources for the evaluation of Tenders, site visits and demonstrations have been arranged.
- Technical Assurance Group meetings continue last held on 8<sup>th</sup> January to review the progress of the SX3 Split project and data migration strategy document received from Chelmsford.

### BI Hub

<b>Status:</b>	<b>Green</b>	On track and/or no major issues being encountered.
----------------	--------------	--

- Support to project at technical assurance group.
- Recruitment of individual to assist with DQ.
- Initial discussions held about Server / “Data warehouse” to be used by project, as step pre-migration.
- Some data support given around Housing Jigsaw, RTB and Abritas, in terms of actions that could be completed to simplify migration.
- Board report on Full specification, technical and business rules, of Server / “Data warehouse” to be used by project, as step pre-migration.

### Future Outlook

#### General

- Completing all site visits and demonstrations and proposing a contract award to Programme Board
- Programme audit progress or update received.
- Continuing forward planning for contract award, contract negotiation and implementation planning, including team resources.

#### Business Change

- Undertake evaluation of tenders, site visits and system demonstrations.
- Finalise Business Readiness Assessment Report with a proposed action plan for early 2019
- Continue to attend Service and Operational Manager Meetings.
- Support SMEs through the evaluation of tenders process
- Continue work on systems analysis, identifying any potential quick wins for the business liaising with representatives from Housing and Building Services.
- Continue to investigate pockets of data identified outside of main systems and assess their value for migration etc.
- Continue to review system architecture linking to identified pockets of

data, data issues etc working closely with colleagues from the IT work stream.

**ICT**

- Undertake evaluation of tenders, site visits and system demonstrations.
- SX3 Split project to progress with completion of initial split on test server and initial review of integrations and interfaces.

**BI Hub**

- Purchase server
- Update service reports due to implications of field additions to Servitor completed
- Update finance reports due to implications of field additions to Servitor completed
- Supplier visits and demonstrations
- Improve DQ through role

**Key risks**

Risk (brief description /consequence)	Control/action	Gross	Net
There is a risk that we change some of the systems that affect other directorates or service areas (for example, Servitor feeds into Highways). This is because we don't understand what other service areas use the current systems for.	The assumption on the programme is that we will not remove or reduce the current data or functionality in other service areas that are out of scope. Systems that are being retained (for example NPS for Revs and Bens and Servitor for Highways) will continue to use them and we will replicate an interface or integration into the new system/solution. During implementation we will map what the replacement system will impact on as we configure it.	18	18
There is a risk that the business fails to adopt new ways of working because morale or wellbeing of staff in CYC drops due to negative perception of the programme or poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Post implementation support team</li> <li>• Clear expectations set with managers/service areas to adopt new ways of working</li> </ul> Ensuring Operational Managers are fully on board with proposed changes to	19	19

perception of how we have previously delivered change.	working practices and procedures. Update of policies and procedures as necessary making sure these are clearly, effectively and in a timely manner shared with Staff giving training as and where appropriate.		
Approved budget insufficient and/or funding reduced/ removed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Funding approved in business case and set aside based on initial market research / indicative costing.</li> <li>- Submission of revised business case for additional funding at a senior level.</li> <li>- Retain high profile of project at senior level and clearly make clear ongoing revenue and reputational risks of failure to deliver.</li> </ul>	19	19
<p>Resistance to change - Inability and/or unwillingness to adopt new ways of working and/or technologies.</p> <p>Failure to realise the efficiencies and potential benefits of a new integrated system &amp; improved service channels for customers.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Stakeholder engagement and communication plan and comprehensive and regular communications with all business areas.</li> <li>- Change management best practice approach and ensuring the business is fully involved, from start to end, about the goals of the programme.</li> <li>- Sufficient staff training programme which runs alongside rollout of new system.</li> <li>- Any areas of extreme concern or resistance reported to HSMT/BSMT and discussed as to what appropriate actions can be put into place.</li> <li>- Ongoing positive sell from senior management teams to ensure engagement across</li> </ul>	20	20

	<p>services and beyond.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Ongoing engagement of staff inputting into the programme and testing.</li> <li>- Co-ordinated communication plan for customers and investment into training for digitally excluded customers.</li> <li>- Business readiness assessment</li> </ul>		
<p>Conflicting priorities - Impact of other corporate and/or departmental programmes, projects, initiatives or business as usual activities.</p> <p>Failure to deliver aims &amp; objectives to agreed cost and quality.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Change management process.</li> <li>- Contingency planning.</li> <li>- Effective communication corporately and across Housing &amp; Building Services.</li> <li>- Clarity at the end of Phase 1 April 2018.</li> <li>- Compliance with corporate governance requirements plus high profile &amp; commitment at all levels of the organisation.</li> <li>- Reporting into the DSP workstream.</li> </ul>	20	20
<p>New System - Inability of new system to integrate and/or interface with other corporate and/or external systems.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- SMART requirements. Comprehensive detailed ITT.</li> <li>- Clearly defined contractual agreement, expectations, requirements and selection criteria.</li> <li>- Technical Assurance Group.</li> <li>- Clearly identified interfaces / external requirements, regular reviews and communication with ongoing projects, corporate teams and external providers.</li> <li>- Lead person with an understanding of the needs of the service areas.</li> </ul>	20	20
<p>Data Migration - Inability and/or failure to migrate sufficient, accurate, good</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Data cleansing.</li> <li>- Detailed data migration strategy.</li> </ul>	23	23

<p>quality and/or unique data to new system.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Appropriate specialist involvement.</li> <li>- Lead person with an understanding of the needs of the service areas.</li> <li>- Sign off for a Data Cleansing resource</li> </ul> <p>*BI to update controls*</p>		
<p><b>Reports to</b></p>	<p>A monthly Housing ICT Programme Board meets to oversee key project decisions, the RAID and progress for the programme. This board reports into the HHASC Directorate Management team and is chaired by the Assistant Director of Housing with key representatives from the HHASC senior management team.</p>		
<p><b>Exec member</b></p>	<p>Cllr. Helen Douglas</p>		
<p><b>Director responsible</b></p>	<p>Sharon Houlden: Corporate Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care</p>		
<p><b>Dependencies</b></p>	<p>None</p>		
<p><b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b></p>	<p>Executive December 2017: Procurement of ICT Managed Services  <a href="https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s119247/Procurement%20of%20ICT%20Managed%20Services.pdf">https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s119247/Procurement%20of%20ICT%20Managed%20Services.pdf</a></p>		

<b>Project title</b>	Smart Travel Evolution Programme - STEP
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>STEP is a programme of delivery – not research – that will drive York towards being the first city in the UK truly ready for the coming revolution in managing whole city mobility, through utilising new data and the gradual adoption of connected and autonomous vehicles.</p> <p>Preparing York to be the <b>FIRST SMART TRANSPORT CITY</b> in the UK.</p> <p>Building a <b>PLATFORM</b> to ensure the City can meet the challenges of <b>BIG DATA, CONNECTED</b> and <b>AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES</b> and <b>FULL IP</b> connectivity.</p> <p>STEP adds a <b>MODELING</b> layer that allows us to run City wide models at varying scales, in near real time. This allows us to understand the way the transport network is performing, and improves our <b>TRANSPORT PLANNING</b> activities.</p> <p>This allows us implement a third, <b>DECISION MAKING</b> layer to provide better real-time management of the transport network and implement transport policies more effectively.</p>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<b>AMBER</b>	
<p>In the last reporting period:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Modelling specification has been developed towards completion.</li> <li>• Data platform specification has been developed further.</li> <li>• Communications surveys have been reviewed and comments made ready for tender spec writing.</li> <li>• Some potential suppliers engaged for market feedback on capabilities and industry pricing</li> <li>• Project uploaded into Verto system.</li> <li>• Meeting with the Police held to share plan to carry out RSIs - Initial feedback positive.</li> <li>• Procurement and legal reviewed overall work packages - Initial feedback positive.</li> </ul>	
<b>Future outlook</b>	

In the next reporting period:

- Modelling spec will be completed and submitted to procurement for review.
- Approval for RSI locations and dates will be sought from network management team.
- Further investigation into GLOSA capabilities and options for York.
- IP communications spec will be detailed near completion.
- Data platform spec will be developed further.

**Key risks**

Risk (brief description /consequence)	Control/action	Gross	Net
There is a possibility that the suppliers will fail to deliver their works on time.	Suppliers will be engaged during work plan creation. <u>Actions</u> Suppliers will be engaged during work plan creation and they will sign up to accept the time-scales defined through the procurement process. Close supervision of suppliers by PM.	15	14
Project costs can be estimated, however all estimates contain an element of error. Costs estimates could be significantly inaccurate.	Use of model costings to estimate costs. <u>Actions</u> Where possible, model costings will be used to estimate costs. Furthermore, experienced team members with experience of similar projects shall be engaged to sense-check cost estimates. Suppliers shall also be engaged at an early opportunity with respect to costings and frameworks with fixed prices shall be utilised where appropriate.	19	12
There is a large element of system architecture design and implementation required to deliver the project and this will require strict control of	Establish the role of systems integrator as the single point 'design authority' for systems architecture and standards implementation. <u>Actions</u> A systems integrator has been	24	14

<p>system integration and adherence to agreed standards by suppliers.</p>	<p>established as single point 'design authority' for systems architecture and standards implementation - Jon Wade. The use of standards will be agreed at the first stages of the project so costs and associated affects of design decisions can be understood and accommodated with the project scope. Integration issues will for a main element of regular project update and progress meetings and tracking.</p>		
<p>Inadequately resourced strategic modelling team.</p>	<p>Internal discussions as to inhouse resource. Risk raised to programme manager. <u>Actions</u> Awaiting strategic modelling resource.</p>	<p>21</p>	<p>21</p>
<p>GLOSA has been chosen as a 'MUST' deliverable, however GLOSA has only been done in research projects and is hard to implement without disrupting traffic control methods.</p>	<p>Research into other LA projects, discussions with industry consultants who have worked with GLOSA and careful choosing of a site to implement it. Sites should be kept to a minimum as proof of concept.</p>	<p>21</p>	<p>14</p>
<p>Due to a delayed start with the project and a combination of all the project elements taking longer than assessed when feasibility was done. Early estimates showing mid 2021 but completion needed by 2020.</p>	<p>Close management &amp; planning of the project by PM and QA process will help mitigate future delays and aim to accelerate progress back on track. The Project will focus on 'what is deliverable before research' to minimise 'unknowns-delays' and ensure that value for money can be demonstrated to DfT.</p>	<p>21</p>	<p>14</p>
<p><b>Reports to</b></p>	<p>The STEP board reports in to Economy and Place DMT and PM updates executive member and Executive when required for updates and approvals.</p> <p>Key decisions are agreed by the Transport Board before</p>		

	reaching executive member or Executive.
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr Andrew Waller
<b>Director responsible</b>	Neil Ferris – Corporate Director of Economy and Place
<b>Dependencies</b>	None
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	

<b>Project title</b>	Procurement of ICT Services
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>Procure a technology provider to deliver the City of York Council's (CYC) essential managed network services that all the council's other Information and Communications Technology (ICT) services require to be highly available and fit for purpose.</p> <p>Network connectivity, be that internal or external, has become known as the fourth utility, and is a vital requirement to the council's frontline and supporting services as they cannot function without this service being in place, and it also underpins the council's current and future digital programme.</p>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<b>GREEN</b>	
<p>The complexity of this project requires a specific set of expertise to help put together our requirements and to help advise on the best route to market and whether to pursue a joint procurement with Harrogate Borough Council. To this end we sought 3 quotes through the procurement system for an external consultant on 23rd January 2019, with a closing date of 1st February 2019.</p> <p>We have chosen a consultancy company that meets the requirements of the project. They have extensive experience of national and regional policy around DCMS fibre roll out initiatives, they also have significant experience of large scale multi organisation procurements such as the one for our new MSA/Strategic Partner.</p> <p>We are awaiting final confirmation from the CCS AD that we can appoint the consultant. Procurement team are due to get back to the chosen provider of the consultant today (as today is the documented day for final decision from the Council).</p> <p>Once the consultant is appointed we expect a 'kick off' meeting to be scheduled very quickly, we are aiming for no later than mid - February, this will allow us to clarify any issues; solidify joint understanding of scope and to confirm the key milestones and outputs we expect the consultant to deliver.</p>	

Roy Grant updated School Forum (major stakeholder) on the current progress of the project on the 4th February 2019. This is an essential part of our communication plan as there is a risk that stakeholders such as schools (increasingly Academies) can choose to procure their services from elsewhere. Keeping them engaged and informed is one mitigating action.

The core team within ICT involved with this project, are continuing to progress with putting together key information that will be required for this project; important information includes lessons learned from previous MSA; review of existing infrastructure and consideration of new requirements for our Infrastructure.

### Future outlook

By next period we should have a couple of our key outputs from the consultant which is a report on the best approach to the procurement (joint with HBC or not) and also an early outline programme/project plan.

### Key risks

Risk (brief description /consequence)	Control/action	Gross	Net
New MSA partner agreement needs to be in place by September 2019 as current MSA contract ends then. This is not likely so current contract will need a waiver to extend to cover the period during the procurement	TBC	16	11
If any current partners in the MSA opt not to come with us for the new procurement it impacts on costs of the new MSA. Budget issue for CYC	<p>Keeping schools informed via School Forum</p> <p><u>Actions</u> Ensuring that the negotiated prices for partners such as schools shows a demonstrable saving in new MSA.</p> <p>Providing an update to School Forum verbally on 4th February 2019 and in May 2019 a paper will be presented.</p>	19	14

HBC requirements complicate CYC's procurement and contract	Decision point early on whether to procure jointly. Report and recommendations by external consultant.  <u>Actions</u> Separate the two procurements	10	5
Additional specialist resource required to write specification – needs DCMs and fibre experience.  May be difficult to source. Additional cost for this resource	Carefully crafted tender for specialist requirement with an agreed budget.  Returns expected February 1st 2019 for consultants. <u>Actions</u> Some of the requirements could be put together by PM	9	4
<b>Reports to</b>	Head of ICT/ Director level/CMT/Executive		
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr Ian Gillies		
<b>Director responsible</b>	Neil Ferris – Corporate Director of Economy and Place		
<b>Dependencies</b>	None		
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	Executive December 2017: Procurement of ICT Services <a href="https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s119247/Procurement%20of%20ICT%20Managed%20Services.pdf">https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s119247/Procurement%20of%20ICT%20Managed%20Services.pdf</a>		

<b>Project title</b>	Flood Risk – York 5 Year Plan
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>CYC are working closely with the Environment Agency to deliver a range of localised improvements to the cities flood defences, this work – the York Five Year Plan – has been developed following the floods and is supported by Government investment. In addition to this we are working with the EA on a catchment scale study to identify opportunities for wider interventions across the River Ouse catchment to further reduce future flood risks into the long term.</p>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<b>GREEN</b>	
<p>CYC continue to work closely with the EA on the delivery of the York Flood Alleviation Scheme as part of the York Five Year Plan.</p> <p>CYC are members of the Programme Board and EA continue to attend quarterly Executive Member for the Environment Decision Sessions and Economy &amp; Place Overview and Scrutiny bi-annually.</p> <p>19 flood cells have been identified and schemes to improve existing or build new defenses are in varying stages of development in a prioritised manner across the city to deliver the projects stated required outcomes, as determined by Defra, to better protect 2000 homes and businesses in the city.</p> <p>The Outline Business Case has been agreed by the EA Large Project Review Group for all 19 flood cells.</p> <p>4 cells will be taken through full business case and planning approvals in early 2019 with the first cell to begin the construction phase immediately in April 2019.</p> <p>Works to install 8 new pumps and raise all operational equipment to the first floor level have been completed at the Fos Barrier, further works to the flood gate and the surrounding defences will progress in 2019.</p>	
<b>Future outlook</b>	
<p>Continuation of development of each flood cell detailed design and Full Business Case development, future reports will identify any specific key information for individual cells.</p>	

<b>Key risks</b>			
<b>Risk (brief description /consequence)</b>	<b>Control/action</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Net</b>
<p>Significant investment is being made in order to put in place the appropriate measures in the identified 19 flood cells. The objective is to put in place measures to derisk in all 19 areas. There will be disagreement around the allocation and prioritisation and there is a risk that the programme is influenced by subjective argument rather than the evidence base and the outcomes are not achieved.</p>	<p>Ensure there is the appropriate stakeholder engagement. CYC engage fully with EA and other partners in the working groups. Evidence base in place to justify the measures for the risk areas.</p> <p><u>Actions</u> CYC fully engaged in the working groups with the EA and resident and business engagement</p>	20	19
<p>Understanding Foss barrier risk and impact of works upstream</p>	<p>There is a full understanding of the impact of the operation of the Foss barrier.</p> <p><u>Actions</u> Work with the EA on modelling scenarios and ensure that this is fed into the evidence base.</p>	20	19
<p>A sum of £45m has been allocated to be invested in the measures across the 19 flood cells. With this value of investment there may be an unrealistic expectation of investment in individual cells and the consequent measures that are put in place.</p>	<p>Development of evidence base that supports the measures in the 19 cells in the context of the wider programme. Engage with residents and businesses and ensure that they have access to the case for the measures and reasoning.</p> <p><u>Actions</u> Engage in resident and business engagement and work with the EA on developing the evidence base.</p>	20	19

<b>Reports to</b>	The Project board sits within the Environment Agency. The Environment Agency have a formal programme board in compliance with PRINCE2 methodology, CYC are a member of the board. The lead Executive member receives a quarterly report and it is the subject of a bi-annual report to Economy and Place scrutiny committee. This approach to governance has been approved and adopted by Executive.
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr Andrew Waller
<b>Director responsible</b>	Neil Ferris – Corporate Director of Economy and Place
<b>Dependencies</b>	None
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	Executive February 2017: CYC Response to the Independent Flood Inquiry <a href="https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s112541/CYC%20Response%20to%20Independent%20Flood%20Inquiry.pdf">https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s112541/CYC%20Response%20to%20Independent%20Flood%20Inquiry.pdf</a>

<b>Project title</b>	City Centre Access
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>York is one of the most visited places in the UK with over 7 million visitors and each year hosts a number of events and festivals that attract a growing number of people from across the world into the city for the benefit of its economy and residents. In addition the desirability of the City as a place to live, raise children and grow old is well documented. These factors of success are however vulnerable and precious and easily lost as they rely heavily on societies perceptions of the safety offered by a City such as York. York can also boast one of the nation's most iconic sites in its boundaries, York Minster.</p> <p>Keeping the residents and visitors safe by protecting the public and the economy of York is a key role and priority for the Council. The consequences of an attack on the City would be severe. Measures to improve the protection within the city are within this project.</p>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<b>AMBER</b>	
<p>Formed a working group.</p> <p>Worked with the Racecourse, North Yorkshire Police and Counter Terrorism Unit to develop temporary arrangements for this season at the Racecourse.</p> <p>Following police advice, temporary measures in the city centre have been installed. These will remain until the permanent measures are installed.</p> <p>Researched and procured security consultants specialising in hostile vehicle mitigation with experience of working in an historical context.</p> <p>Site visits and assessments to review the city centre and its vulnerabilities have been undertaken.</p> <p>Devised a proposal to prioritise areas of the city centre to implement an experimental traffic regulation order in line with Executive Members wishes for a scheme that has the minimum disruptive impact on access.</p>	
<b>Future outlook</b>	

The decision was made at the end of September to use an Experimental Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) for six months from mid November to test the traffic restrictions before implementing permanent measures.

An Executive Report for consideration in June will seek a decision on the Experimental Traffic Regulation Order and approval to implement permanent security measures. In the mean time officers are looking at how to accelerate delivery, which is complicated by the presence of utilities and potentially archaeology.

The construction of any measures will need to be phased to allow the city centre to continue to operate during construction, which may well impact upon the timescales for delivery of all measures by Christmas 2019 as intended hence this being classed as a risk. Alternative temporary measures are therefore being considered to continue to mitigate the risk posed by a hostile vehicle.

**Key risks**

<b>Risk (brief description /consequence)</b>	<b>Control/action</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Net</b>
No control over the project and no methodology	Apply agreed project framework. This will lead from the business plan and the Exec report (8th Feb). Pre-project phase – risk assessment, Initiation documentation, identification of stakeholder groups (inc. disability) , identification of business justification (cost, resource, etc), governance, approach. <b>Actions</b> Risk workshop (18th June), Governance structure (with roles needs) with initiation documentation needs approving at Transport board 20th July. Project Manager role temporary covered and project management and administration support now starting.	24	24
Lose political support for the programme	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clear briefing and decision making process within the Project initiation documentation. Clear communication plan/</li> </ul>	23	23

	<p>strategy for public engagement and communication.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Effective stakeholder engagement (strategy and plan).</li> <li>• Ensuring the approach to stakeholder management is inclusive and consistent.</li> </ul>		
<p>Lack of stakeholder engagement due to the stakeholders themselves or lack of resource and time to properly engage with them. Some stakeholders missed/not identified.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear briefing and decision making process within the Project initiation documentation. Clear communication plan/strategy for public engagement and communication.</li> <li>• Effective stakeholder engagement (strategy and plan).</li> <li>• Ensuring the approach to stakeholder management is inclusive and consistent.</li> <li>• Engage with scrutiny on disabled parking strategy</li> </ul>	24	23
<p>Lack of expertise in terms of delivering the solution (CYC) as well as lack of resource. This includes the types of measures, installation, lack of understanding around the aesthetics of the measures fitting into the historic environment.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appoint design experts</li> <li>• Convene a working group</li> <li>• Working brief</li> <li>• Site meetings with the design experts</li> <li>• Work with the CTU and meet regularly.</li> <li>• Identify project managers within CYC to lead identify themes</li> </ul>	25	22
<p>Inconsistent advice in terms of delivering the solution (external).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Design experts appointed.</li> <li>• Work with the CTU, NYP, CPNI and meet regularly</li> <li>• Informal communication to ensure decisions and discussion is had at the steering group. The meeting is minuted.</li> <li>• Working group RAID log</li> </ul>	25	22

<b>Reports to</b>	Programme reports to the Transport Board and is advised by the York Counter Terrorism Working Group There is a CYC internal working group working on the detail. This group is chaired by the Assistant Director for Transport, Highways and Environment.
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr Ian Gillies
<b>Director responsible</b>	Neil Ferris – Corporate Director of Economy and Place
<b>Dependencies</b>	None
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	Executive February 2018: City Transport Access Measures <a href="https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s121198/City%20Transport%20Access%20Measures.pdf">https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s121198/City%20Transport%20Access%20Measures.pdf</a>  Executive September 2018: City Centre Access Update and Priority 1 Proposals <a href="https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s126199/Executive%20Report%2027%20September%202018%20-%20City%20Centre%20Access%20Update%20and%20Priority%201%20Proposals%20Post%20Scruti.pdf">https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s126199/Executive%20Report%2027%20September%202018%20-%20City%20Centre%20Access%20Update%20and%20Priority%201%20Pr oposals%20Post%20Scruti.pdf</a>

<b>Project title</b>	Parking Review
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>This project deals with the whole approach to the management of parking related services and enforcement.</p> <p>Historically there have been a number of issues relating to the delivery of the Parking services. More than 25% of footfall in the customer centre is for Parking related matters. This has been attributed to complex policy, under performing IT systems and associated processes and lack of available online services for customers. There is an opportunity to resolve a number of issues with a change project with an ICT back office system change as the catalyst supported by a developed parking strategy and technological roadmap for the next 10+ years.</p> <p>Following on from the implementation of the new back office system the parking strategy will lay out where parking services needs to be and the impacts this has on safety, congestion, environment, local economy and all those who live, work and visit in York to be implemented overtime:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wayfinding</li> <li>• Online information and self-service</li> <li>• Minster Badge on street review and being made virtual</li> <li>• Parking enforcement and back office policy and procedural review on the back of the new ICT back office system</li> <li>• Discount or Incentive Schemes, working with suppliers and partners such as York BID</li> <li>• Review and rationalisation of all York parking permits</li> <li>• Better integrated systems, such as back office, car park machines, enforcement mobile ICT and customer services. In addition connection to key council systems including council tax, FMS and business intelligence through the CYC CRM system.</li> <li>• New ways to pay for parking including work through the smart city approach (STEP programme), including pay on exit and a move to cashless parking</li> <li>• Emissions management. Review of the positive impacts parking can have on air quality, including through incentives for ultra low emission vehicles linked to car parking tariffs and parking permits and for diesel emission vehicles charging more.</li> <li>• Longer term future planning around electric and autonomous vehicles and integrating car parking systems around the infrastructure they</li> </ul>	

- require. Includes electric vehicle charging.
- Digitisation of Traffic Regulation orders to support the digitations of parking in terms of virtual permits, where to park, issuing of Penalty Charge Notices (PCNs) and online objections.
  - GDPR complaint vehicle usage data. This part of the work, using ANPR, will help to built up a picture of car park usage, for example to see the level of repeat customers to each car park, if they are residents or visitors etc.
  - Review of all current parking policies and a more immediate review of data governance polices

### Current status

**GREEN**

The main part of this work is focused on the delivery of a new ICT back office system for Parking Services. As this is the first highlight report for this programme through Verto there is no previous progress to update on other than the project is currently on track to deliver this.

### Future outlook

In this next period the following highlevel work will be taken forward.

- Initial PIA (Privacy Impact Assessment) - to be completed by Business Area
- Document as-is processes & gather business requirements
- Gather and document all technical requirements & draw out technical documents required
- Define procurement approach
- Sign off of final business requirements for procurement
- Procurement document creation

### Key risks

Risk (brief description /consequence)	Control/action	Gross	Net
TBC			
<b>Reports to</b>	The project is steered by the Parking working group and reports to the Transport Board		
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr Andrew Waller		
<b>Director responsible</b>	Neil Ferris – Corporate Director of Economy and Place		
<b>Dependencies</b>	None		
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another</b>	Executive February 2017: Parking Review <a href="https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s103397/Car%20Parking%20report.pdf">https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s103397/Car%20Parking%20report.pdf</a>		

<b>member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	
---	--

<b>Project title</b>	Sufficiency Strategy
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>City of York Council utilises many placement options in order to look after children and young people who are not able to live within their immediate birth family. City of York Council's mainstream and short break foster carers are recruited and trained to look after York's most vulnerable children and young people.</p> <p>To deliver on our commitment to the Making York Home vision and the aims of the Child in Care Strategy our priorities are;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The recruitment of new foster carers</li> <li>• The retention of the current fostering workforce, and</li> <li>• Increasing the options and flexibility of other placement provisions including residential.</li> </ul>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<p><b>GREEN</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Foster Care support, training and remuneration proposals presented to Lead Member and CMT</li> <li>• Implementation of proposals started with staff team</li> <li>• Contract extended with existing residential provider to allow time for procurement of new provision</li> <li>• Briefing with CFO re residential and other sufficiency development. Agreed to present proposals to June Executive</li> <li>• Learning and practice from other LAs collated and meetings set up with areas of good practice</li> </ul>	
<b>Future outlook</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft service specification summaries</li> <li>• Agree property criteria and share with CYC Property team</li> <li>• Identify property timeline including purchase, planning / OFSTED registration, service adaptations.</li> <li>• Explore different funding sources including Social Finance</li> <li>• Develop detailed budget</li> <li>• Map and rate existing IFA provision based on cost, volume, quality</li> <li>• Identify process for structured conversations with IFA re future partnership arrangements</li> </ul>	

<b>Key risks</b>			
<b>Risk (brief description /consequence)</b>	<b>Control/action</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Net</b>
<b>FC recruitment</b> - Changes do not impact positively on Foster Carer recruitment therefore placement sufficiency	TBC	20	19
<b>New Provision Sufficiency</b> - New provision does not effectively respond to current and sufficiency challenges	TBC	20	19
<b>New provision costs</b> - New provision exceeds agreed capital and revenue budgets	TBC	21	20
<b>Gap in residential provision</b> - Procurement process creates a gap in provision due to existing contract ending before new contract in place	TBC	21	19
<b>Providers capacity and interest in proposals</b> - Scope of the new provision and volume/complexity of placements required is not of interest to providers.	TBC	23	19
<b>Reports to</b>	CEC DMT and Project Board		
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr Andrew Waller		
<b>Director responsible</b>	Amanda Hatton – Corporate Director of Children Education and Communities		
<b>Dependencies</b>	None		
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>			

<b>Project title</b>	Inclusion Review
<b>Reporting period</b>	February 2019
<b>Description</b>	
<p>The purpose of the inclusion review will be to ensure that The Local Authority and School Community work together to maximise the opportunity for early intervention and prevention and to improve the outcomes of children and young people with special educational needs and/or disabilities (SEND).</p> <p>The review will examine the current configuration of services and the use of funding to support SEND to ensure that there is greater clarity and accountability around the use and impact of resources. The pressures on the High Needs funding block within the designated schools grant (DSG) means that there are accelerating financial pressures in meeting the needs of children and young people with SEND.</p> <p>A key purpose of the Inclusion Review is ensuring that the pressures on High Needs funding are being managed effectively whilst ensuring that the local authority is able to deliver its statutory duties articulated in the Children and Families Act, 2014 and the SEND code of practice.</p>	
<b>Current status</b>	
<b>GREEN</b>	
<p>Phase 3 of the Inclusion Review has started and a steering group appointed. The programme plan will be developed by this group using the recommendations from phase 2 of the review.</p> <p>The strategic principles developed in stage 2 have been agreed and shared with the Council's Executive, Schools forum and members of the York Schools and Academies Board.</p> <p>Capital works have taken place at Hob Moor Oaks primary special school (August 2018) and capital works have been agreed to support the development of the post 19 local education offer at Blueberry academy and Askham Bryan College.</p> <p>Expressions of interest for secondary satellite provision have been received and a project plan is in the early stages of development.</p> <p>A feasibility study is taking place with a primary school to support the development of a proposed primary ERP provision.</p>	

Preliminary work to review the Behaviour and Attendance Partnership protocols and processes is underway.

A steering group has been established to oversee the academy conversion of the Danesgate Community. This will put in place a commissioning agreement with the South Partnership Multi-Academy Trust which will define the future relationship between the local authority and Danesgate when it becomes an alternative provision academy.

### **Future outlook**

The Inclusion Review Steering Group will meet monthly between February and May to identify the current pressures on the High Needs block and to develop recommendations which will be reported to Schools Forum in May and July 2019.

The capital projects identified through the work of the steering group will have project plans developed to manage the delivery of each project.

### **Key risks**

<b>Risk (brief description /consequence)</b>	<b>Control/action</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Net</b>
There are insufficient specialist and mainstream places for children and young people with SEND. This will mean that the LA is failing to deliver its statutory sufficiency duty.	Work taking place both through the School Place Planning Project and the Inclusion Review is identifying where additional mainstream and specialist places are needed. The LA has received additional capital funding from the DfE to provide places for children with SEND and an outline programme of capital works has been developed. <u>Actions</u> Work has taken place at Hob Moor Oaks to meet the needs of children with complex autism. Project plans are being developed for an additional primary enhanced resource provision and a secondary satellite.	21	20
The financial pressures on the High needs	- The current use of high needs funding is being reviewed and	21	20

<p>block are not managed effectively which would create an increasing deficit.</p>	<p>potential savings identified. The development of more in city provision for children and young people with SEND is reducing cost post 19 as more young people are staying in city rather than accessing residential placements out of city.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The development of joint commissioning processes across education, health and care will help to mitigate pressures on the High Needs DSG.</li> <li>- Development of alternative provision pathways which are locality based will help to reduce transport costs.</li> </ul> <p><u>Actions</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Development of more provision through completion of capital projects.</li> <li>- Review of alternative provision to ensure a graduated response to children with SEMH as their primary need has been developed.</li> </ul>		
<b>Reports to</b>	CEC, DMT		
<b>Exec member</b>	Cllr Keith Myers		
<b>Director responsible</b>	Amanda Hatton - Director of Children, Education and Communities		
<b>Dependencies</b>	None		
<b>Link to paper if it has been to another member meeting (e.g. executive, council, a scrutiny committee)</b>	<p>Executive November 2018: The Inclusion Review and the Special Needs Capital Grant</p> <p><a href="https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s127183/The%20Inclusion%20Review%20and%20SEN%20Capital%20Grant%20Executive%20final%20draft.pdf">https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s127183/The%20Inclusion%20Review%20and%20SEN%20Capital%20Grant%20Executive%20final%20draft.pdf</a></p>		