

**White paper drafted under the
European Markets in Crypto-
Assets Regulation (EU) 2023/1114
for FFG C2F189JNL**

Preamble

00. Table of Contents

01. Date of notification.....	11
02. Statement in accordance with Article 6(3) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114	11
03. Compliance statement in accordance with Article 6(6) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114	11
04. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (a), (b), (c), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114.....	11
05. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), point (d), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114..	11
06. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (e) and (f), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114.....	12
Summary	12
07. Warning in accordance with Article 6(7), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114.....	12
08. Characteristics of the crypto-asset	12
09. Information about the quality and quantity of goods or services to which the utility tokens give access and restrictions on the transferability.....	13
10. Key information about the offer to the public or admission to trading.....	13
Part A – Information about the offeror or the person seeking admission to trading.....	13
A.1 Name.....	13
A.2 Legal form	13
A.3 Registered address.....	13
A.4 Head office.....	14
A.5 Registration date	14

A.6 Legal entity identifier	14
A.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law.....	14
A.8 Contact telephone number	14
A.9 E-mail address.....	14
A.10 Response time (Days)	14
A.11 Parent company.....	14
A.12 Members of the management body.....	14
A.13 Business activity	14
A.14 Parent company business activity	15
A.15 Newly established	15
A.16 Financial condition for the past three years	15
A.17 Financial condition since registration	15
Part B – Information about the issuer, if different from the offeror or person seeking admission to trading.....	16
B.1 Issuer different from offeror or person seeking admission to trading	16
B.2 Name.....	16
B.3 Legal form	16
B.4. Registered address.....	17
B.5 Head office.....	17
B.6 Registration date	17
B.7 Legal entity identifier	17
B.8 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law.....	17
B.9 Parent company	17
B.10 Members of the management body.....	17
B.11 Business activity	18

B.12 Parent company business activity	18
Part C – Information about the operator of the trading platform in cases where it draws up the crypto-asset white paper and information about other persons drawing the crypto-asset white paper pursuant to Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114.....	
C.1 Name.....	19
C.2 Legal form	19
C.3 Registered address.....	19
C.4 Head office.....	19
C.5 Registration date	19
C.6 Legal entity identifier	19
C.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law.....	19
C.8 Parent company	19
C.9 Reason for crypto-Asset white paper Preparation	19
C.10 Members of the Management body	20
C.11 Operator business activity.....	20
C.12 Parent company business activity	20
C.13 Other persons drawing up the crypto-asset white paper according to Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114.....	20
C.14 Reason for drawing the white paper by persons referred to in Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114	20
Part D – Information about the crypto-asset project	
D.1 Crypto-asset project name.....	20
D.2 Crypto-assets name	20
D.3 Abbreviation	20

D.4 Crypto-asset project description	20
D.5 Details of all natural or legal persons involved in the implementation of the crypto-asset project	21
D.6 Utility Token Classification	22
D.7 Key Features of Goods/Services for Utility Token Projects	22
D.8 Plans for the token	22
D.9 Resource allocation	23
D.10 Planned use of Collected funds or crypto-Assets	24
Part E – Information about the offer to the public of crypto-assets or their admission to trading	24
E.1 Public offering or admission to trading	24
E.2 Reasons for public offer or admission to trading.....	24
E.3 Fundraising target	25
E.4 Minimum subscription goals	25
E.5 Maximum subscription goals	25
E.6 Oversubscription acceptance.....	25
E.7 Oversubscription allocation.....	25
E.8 Issue price	25
E.9 Official currency or any other crypto-assets determining the issue price	25
E.10 Subscription fee	25
E.11 Offer price determination method	25
E.12 Total number of offered/traded crypto-assets.....	26
E.13 Targeted holders.....	26
E.14 Holder restrictions.....	26
E.15 Reimbursement notice	26

E.16 Refund mechanism.....	26
E.17 Refund timeline	26
E.18 Offer phases.....	26
E.19 Early purchase discount.....	26
E.20 Time-limited offer.....	26
E.21 Subscription period beginning	27
E.22 Subscription period end.....	27
E.23 Safeguarding arrangements for offered funds/crypto- Assets.....	27
E.24 Payment methods for crypto-asset purchase	27
E.25 Value transfer methods for reimbursement.....	27
E.26 Right of withdrawal	27
E.27 Transfer of purchased crypto-assets	27
E.28 Transfer time schedule.....	27
E.29 Purchaser's technical requirements	28
E.30 Crypto-asset service provider (CASP) name	28
E.31 CASP identifier	28
E.32 Placement form.....	28
E.33 Trading platforms name.....	28
E.34 Trading platforms Market identifier code (MIC)	28
E.35 Trading platforms access.....	28
E.36 Involved costs.....	28
E.37 Offer expenses	28
E.38 Conflicts of interest.....	29
E.39 Applicable law	29

E.40 Competent court.....	29
Part F – Information about the crypto-assets	29
F.1 Crypto-asset type.....	29
F.2 Crypto-asset functionality.....	30
F.3 Planned application of functionalities	31
A description of the characteristics of the crypto asset, including the data necessary for classification of the crypto-asset white paper in the register referred to in Article 109 of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114, as specified in accordance with paragraph 8 of that Article	31
F.4 Type of crypto-asset white paper	31
F.5 The type of submission	31
F.6 Crypto-asset characteristics.....	31
F.7 Commercial name or trading name.....	31
F.8 Website of the issuer	31
F.9 Starting date of offer to the public or admission to trading.....	31
F.10 Publication date.....	32
F.11 Any other services provided by the issuer.....	32
F.12 Language or languages of the crypto-asset white paper.....	32
F.13 Digital token identifier code used to uniquely identify the crypto-asset or each of the several crypto assets to which the white paper relates, where available.....	32
F.14 Functionally fungible group digital token identifier, where available	32
F.15 Voluntary data flag.....	32
F.16 Personal data flag.....	32
F.17 LEI eligibility.....	32
F.18 Home Member State.....	32

F.19 Host Member States.....	32
Part G – Information on the rights and obligations attached to the crypto-assets	33
G.1 Purchaser rights and obligations.....	33
G.2 Exercise of rights and obligations.....	33
G.3 Conditions for modifications of rights and obligations	33
G.4 Future public offers.....	33
G.5 Issuer retained crypto-assets	33
G.6 Utility token classification	34
G.7 Key features of goods/services of utility tokens.....	34
G.8 Utility tokens redemption	34
G.9 Non-trading request	34
G.10 Crypto-assets purchase or sale modalities.....	34
G.11 Crypto-assets transfer restrictions	34
G.12 Supply adjustment protocols	35
G.13 Supply adjustment mechanisms	35
G.14 Token value protection schemes	35
G.15 Token value protection schemes description	35
G.16 Compensation schemes	35
G.17 Compensation schemes description.....	35
G.18 Applicable law.....	36
G.19 Competent court	36
Part H – information on the underlying technology	36
H.1 Distributed ledger technology (DTL)	36
H.2 Protocols and technical standards.....	36

H.3 Technology used.....	37
H.4 Consensus mechanism	38
H.5 Incentive mechanisms and applicable fees	40
H.6 Use of distributed ledger technology	42
H.7 DLT functionality description	42
H.8 Audit.....	42
H.9 Audit outcome	42
Part I – Information on risks	42
I.1 Offer-related risks.....	42
I.2 Issuer-related risks.....	44
I.3 Crypto-assets-related risks.....	46
I.4 Project implementation-related risks	51
I.5 Technology-related risks.....	51
I.6 Mitigation measures	52
Part J – Information on the sustainability indicators in relation to adverse impact on the climate and other environment-related adverse impacts	52
J.1 Adverse impacts on climate and other environment-related adverse impacts.....	52
S.1 Name	52
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	52
S.3 Name of the cryptoasset	52
S.4 Consensus Mechanism.....	53
S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees	55
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates.....	56
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	56
S.8 Energy consumption.....	56

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies	57
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	57
S.11 Energy intensity	57
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	57
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	57
S.14 GHG intensity	57
S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies	57
S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies.....	58

01. Date of notification

2025-07-22

02. Statement in accordance with Article 6(3) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

This crypto-asset white paper has not been approved by any competent authority in any Member State of the European Union. The person seeking admission to trading of the crypto-asset is solely responsible for the content of this crypto-asset white paper.

03. Compliance statement in accordance with Article 6(6) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

This crypto-asset white paper complies with Title II of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 of the European Parliament and of the Council and, to the best of the knowledge of the management body, the information presented in the crypto-asset white paper is fair, clear and not misleading and the crypto-asset white paper makes no omission likely to affect its import.

04. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (a), (b), (c), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

The crypto-asset referred to in this crypto-asset white paper may lose its value in part or in full, may not always be transferable and may not be liquid.

05. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), point (d), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Since the token has multiple functions (hybrid token), these are already conceptually not utility tokens within the meaning of the MiCAR within the definition of Article 3, 1. (9), due to the necessity "exclusively" being intended to provide access to a good or a service supplied by its issuer only.

06. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (e) and (f), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

The crypto-asset referred to in this white paper is not covered by the investor compensation schemes under Directive 97/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council or the deposit guarantee schemes under Directive 2014/49/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Summary

07. Warning in accordance with Article 6(7), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Warning: This summary should be read as an introduction to the crypto-asset white paper. The prospective holder should base any decision to purchase this crypto-asset on the content of the crypto-asset white paper as a whole and not on the summary alone. The offer to the public of this crypto-asset does not constitute an offer or solicitation to purchase financial instruments and any such offer or solicitation can be made only by means of a prospectus or other offer documents pursuant to the applicable national law. This crypto-asset white paper does not constitute a prospectus as referred to in Regulation (EU) 2017/1129 of the European Parliament and of the Council or any other offer document pursuant to Union or national law.

08. Characteristics of the crypto-asset

Pump.fun tokens this white paper refers to are crypto-assets other than EMTs and ARTs, which are available on the Solana blockchain (at the time of writing this white paper: 2025-07-16 and according to DTI FFG shown in F.14). The initial production of the 1,000,000,000,000 tokens (the so-called "mint") took place on July 10, 2025 13:10:28 +UTC (see transaction hash: <https://solscan.io/tx/vX5E5KCZcjwekAXs28NjfDEYoh2MtAv9PdWV1jpJUbSSiFzJ6y7o6bFDGb2Qqwid7ELz3TwV3t6sQzuLfUNMQBx>).

09. Information about the quality and quantity of goods or services to which the utility tokens give access and restrictions on the transferability

Not applicable.

10. Key information about the offer to the public or admission to trading

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH is seeking admission to trading on any Crypto Asset Service Provider platform in the European Union in accordance to Article 5 of REGULATION (EU) 2023/1114 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 31 May 2023 on markets in crypto-assets, and amending Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010 and (EU) No 1095/2010 and Directives 2013/36/EU and (EU) 2019/1937. In accordance to Article 5(4), this crypto-asset white paper may be used by entities admitting the token to trading after Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH as the person responsible for drawing up such white paper has given its consent to its use in writing to the respective Crypto Asset Service Provider. If a CASP wishes to use this white paper, inquiries can be made under info@crypto-risk-metrics.com.

Part A – Information about the offeror or the person seeking admission to trading

A.1 Name

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH

A.2 Legal form

2HBR

A.3 Registered address

DE, Lange Reihe 73, 20099 Hamburg, Germany

A.4 Head office

Not applicable.

A.5 Registration date

2018-12-03

A.6 Legal entity identifier

39120077M9TG001FE242

A.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH is registered with the commercial register in the the city of Hamburg, Germany, under number HRB 154488.

A.8 Contact telephone number

+4915144974120

A.9 E-mail address

info@crypto-risk-metrics.com

A.10 Response time (Days)

030

A.11 Parent company

Not applicable.

A.12 Members of the management body

Name	Position	Address
Tim Zölitz	Chairman	Lange Reihe 73, 20099 Hamburg, Germany

A.13 Business activity

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH is a technical service provider, who supports regulated entities in the fulfillment of their regulatory requirements. In this regard, Crypto Risk

Metrics GmbH acts as a data-provider for ESG-data according to article 66 (5). Due to the regulations laid out in article 5 (4) of the REGULATION (EU) 2023/1114 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 31 May 2023 on markets in crypto-assets, and amending Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010 and (EU) No 1095/2010 and Directives 2013/36/EU and (EU) 2019/1937, Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH aims at providing central services for crypto-asset white papers in order to minimize market confusion due to conflicting white papers for the same asset.

A.14 Parent company business activity

Not applicable.

A.15 Newly established

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH has been established since 2018 and is therefore not newly established (i. e. older than three years).

A.16 Financial condition for the past three years

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH's profit after tax for the last three financial years are as follows:

2024 (unaudited): negative 50.891,81 EUR

2023 (unaudited): negative 27.665,32 EUR

2022: 104.283,00 EUR.

As 2023 and 2024 were the years building Software for the MiCAR-Regulation which was not yet in place, revenue streams from these investments are expeted to be generated in 2025.

A.17 Financial condition since registration

This point would only be applicable if the company were newly established and the financial conditions for the past three years had not been provided in the bulletpoint before.

Part B – Information about the issuer, if different from the offeror or person seeking admission to trading

B.1 Issuer different from offeror or person seeking admission to trading

Yes

B.2 Name

At the time of writing this white paper (2025-16-07), the exact ownership or ownership structure behind the crypto-asset and the pump.fun platform is neither clear nor fully transparent.

According to official registers, a company exists within the UK under the name “BATON CORPORATION LTD”, which is associated with the crypto-asset and the platform (<https://find-and-update.company-information.service.gov.uk/company/14743013>, accessed on 2025-07-16). Whether this entity is formally considered an issuer cannot be independently confirmed.

Despite the considerable market capitalization and the dynamic spread of the token, there is a significant risk in the insufficient transparency with regard to the issuing entity (“issuer”) and the actual legal and personnel structure behind the project. There is currently no comprehensively verifiable information on the legal classification of the issuer, its corporate form, the register entries or the responsible management. This makes a clear legal classification difficult in the event of possible disputes, regulatory requirements or liability issues. In conjunction with known allegations against individual persons close to the project (including in connection with previous “rug pulls”), this creates an increased risk with regard to governance, compliance and the long-term integrity of the project. Investors must be aware that this lack of transparency can have a significant impact on the enforceability of rights and the legal assessment in the event of regulatory intervention.

B.3 Legal form

Due to the unclear structure (see B.2), this information cannot be provided with certainty. If “BATON CORPORATION LTD” can indeed be classified as the issuer: H0PO.

B4. Registered address

Due to the unclear structure (see B.2), this information cannot be provided with certainty. If "BATON CORPORATION LTD" can indeed be classified as the issuer: 82a James Carter Road, Mildenhall, Bury St. Edmunds, England, IP28 7DE.

B.5 Head office

Due to the unclear structure (see B.2), this information cannot be provided with certainty. If "BATON CORPORATION LTD" can indeed be classified as the issuer: 82a James Carter Road, Mildenhall, Bury St. Edmunds, England, IP28 7DE.

B.6 Registration date

Due to the unclear structure (see B.2), this information cannot be provided with certainty. If "BATON CORPORATION LTD" can indeed be classified as the issuer: 2023-03-20.

B.7 Legal entity identifier

A legal identifier was not available while drafting this white paper.

B.8 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law

Due to the unclear structure (see B.2), this information cannot be provided with certainty. If "BATON CORPORATION LTD" can indeed be classified as the issuer: 14743013.

B.9 Parent company

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-07-16).

B.10 Members of the management body

Name	Position
Intro	Due to the unclear structure (see B.2), this information cannot be provided with certainty. If "BATON CORPORATION LTD" can indeed be classified as the issuer, the following applies according to: https://find-

	and-update.company-information.service.gov.uk/company/14743013/officers.
COHEN, Alon, Mr.	Chief Operating Officer
KERLER, Dylan, Mr.	Chief Technology Officer
TWEEDALE, Noah Bernhard Hugo	Chief Executive

B.11 Business activity

Due to the unclear structure (see B.2), this information cannot be provided with certainty. In general, Pump.fun is a platform that enables users to create their own meme coins on the Solana blockchain in just a few seconds - without any programming knowledge. The business model promotes highly speculative projects with no content value or long-term substance. There are no protection mechanisms against fraudulent projects or sudden "rug pulls". Since any user can publish tokens without verification, there is a considerable risk of loss for buyers, particularly due to a lack of transparency and manipulative market mechanisms.

There are already ongoing legal proceedings in connection with the Pump.fun platform, particularly in the USA. Among other things, Pump.fun is accused in a lawsuit in the Southern District of New York of being involved in an alleged fraudulent scheme aimed at targeted price manipulation and misleading market practices. In addition, there are extensive allegations against individual platform executives, particularly in relation to previous involvement in so-called "rug pulls" - fraudulent token projects in which investors were systematically harmed after launch. These circumstances cast considerable doubt on the integrity and long-term legal security of the platform.

B.12 Parent company business activity

Not applicable.

Part C – Information about the operator of the trading platform in cases where it draws up the crypto-asset white paper and information about other persons drawing the crypto-asset white paper pursuant to Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

C.1 Name

Not applicable.

C.2 Legal form

Not applicable.

C.3 Registered address

Not applicable.

C.4 Head office

Not applicable.

C.5 Registration date

Not applicable.

C.6 Legal entity identifier

Not applicable.

C.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law

Not applicable.

C.8 Parent company

Not applicable.

C.9 Reason for crypto-Asset white paper Preparation

Not applicable.

C.10 Members of the Management body

Not applicable.

C.11 Operator business activity

Not applicable.

C.12 Parent company business activity

Not applicable.

C.13 Other persons drawing up the crypto-asset white paper according to Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Not applicable.

C.14 Reason for drawing the white paper by persons referred to in Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Not applicable.

Part D – Information about the crypto-asset project**D.1 Crypto-asset project name**

Long Name: "Pump.fun", Short Name: "PUMP" according to the Digital Token Identifier Foundation (www.dtif.org, DTI see F.13, FFG DTI see F.14 as of 2025-07-16).

D.2 Crypto-assets name

See F.13.

D.3 Abbreviation

See F.13.

D.4 Crypto-asset project description

The PUMP token is a crypto asset issued on the Solana blockchain. It serves as the token in the Pump.fun platform environment, a system for creating and distributing speculative meme coins. The token is intended to serve as a tool for community

incentivization, platform usage, and, if applicable, governance within the ecosystem. The PUMP token itself is not backed by real assets or revenue streams and does not constitute a securitized financial instrument in the traditional sense.

The purchase or holding of the token involves significant risks. The market price of PUMP is highly volatile, strongly driven by speculative expectations, and can change dramatically within a very short period of time – even to the point of total loss. The structural coupling of the token to a platform that specifically promotes speculative micro-projects (often without economic substance) significantly increases this risk.

Furthermore, there is no guarantee of sustainable use or long-term value development of the token. In addition, significant information about the issuer structure, the beneficial owners, and internal governance is only available to the public to a limited extent or not at all. This lack of transparency may result in regulatory and civil law uncertainties.

Against this background, the PUMP token is classified as a high-risk, purely speculative asset that is not suitable for risk-averse or inexperienced investors. A complete loss of capital is possible at any time.

D.5 Details of all natural or legal persons involved in the implementation of the crypto-asset project

Name	Position
Intro	Due to the unclear structure (see D.5), this information cannot be provided with certainty. If “BATON CORPORATION LTD” can indeed be classified as the issuer, the following applies according to: https://find-and-update.company-information.service.gov.uk/company/14743013/officers .
COHEN, Alon, Mr.	Chief Operating Officer
KERLER, Dylan, Mr.	Chief Technology Officer

TWEEDALE, Noah Bernhard Hugo	Chief Executive
KONSTANTINOV, Adrian, Mr.	Previously associated with the company. Current position or connection to the project is unclear.

D.6 Utility Token Classification

The token does not classify as a utility token.

D.7 Key Features of Goods/Services for Utility Token Projects

Not applicable.

D.8 Plans for the token

At the time of writing, there is no officially published or reliably confirmed roadmap for the PUMP token. Neither the responsible project team nor a verifiable issuer structure has published a binding schedule with defined milestones, technical releases, or strategic development goals.

Although there are isolated references in social media and unofficial channels to possible airdrops, governance features, or ecosystem expansion, these remain without formal confirmation, are not subject to any contractual commitments, and are not suitable as a reliable basis for investment decisions from a legal or economic perspective. This results in a significant information and planning deficit for investors.

The absence of a transparent, verifiable roadmap represents a significant project risk, as neither liabilities nor responsibilities regarding future development are traceable. Even potential announcements remain non-binding, revocable at any time, and legally unenforceable. Investors should be aware that all forward-looking statements regarding the PUMP token do not constitute a promise of implementation and are purely speculative in nature.

D.9 Resource allocation

The PUMP token was issued with a total amount, with allocation distributed among various interest groups. The distribution was communicated by the project, but is not technically coded in the blockchain and therefore cannot be independently verified or enforced.

The relative shares are broken down as follows (source: Phemex Academy, Pump.fun PUMP Token Investment Analysis, available at: <https://phemex.com/academy/pumpfun-pump-token-investment-analysis>):

- 33% for the Initial Coin Offering (ICO), of which
 - 18% for the private sale
 - 15% for the public sale According to CoinDesk, these tokens were fully released at the Token Generation Event (TGE) without any vesting periods or technical lock-up mechanisms (<https://www.coindesk.com/markets/2025/07/12/pumpfun-swiftly-raises-500m-in-public-sale-at-4b-fully-diluted-valuation>).
- 24% for community and ecosystem purposes, with half released immediately according to CoinGecko Guide (<https://www.coingecko.com/learn/pump-fun-guide-how-to-create-your-own-memecoins>) and the remaining shares reportedly to be released linearly over twelve months.
- 20% for the project team. According to an analysis by Tokenomist.ai (<https://tokenomist.ai/pump-fun>), a so-called “1-year cliff” with subsequent linear release over three years is to apply – however, there is no on-chain verifiable technical implementation for this.
- 13% for existing investors with allegedly identical vesting conditions as for the team (see Tokenomist.ai).
- 8% for various other purposes (including foundation, livestreaming campaigns, exchange liquidity), whereby a large portion of these tokens were also fully released at the TGE according to BraveNewCoin (<https://bravenewcoin.com/insights/pump-token-distribution-begins-after-record-600m-sale-when-trading-starts>).

The above information is based entirely on third-party sources that cannot be verified and are not guaranteed. Investors should be aware that this information should not be relied upon as a reliable source or indication for any action.

The actual distribution of tokens can be traced on-chain: <https://solscan.io/token/pumpCmXqMfrsAkQ5r49WcJnRayYRqmXz6ae8H7H9Dfn#holders>. The investor must be aware that a public address cannot necessarily be assigned to a single person or other entity why the economic justification and thus possible future actions cannot be determined.

D.10 Planned use of Collected funds or crypto-Assets

Not applicable, as this white paper was drawn up for the admission to trading and not for collecting funds for the crypto-asset-project.

Part E – Information about the offer to the public of crypto-assets or their admission to trading

E.1 Public offering or admission to trading

The white paper concerns the admission to trading (i. e. ATTR) on any Crypto Asset Service Providers platform that has obtained the written consent of Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH as the person drafting this white paper.

E.2 Reasons for public offer or admission to trading

As already stated in A.13, Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH aims to provide central services to draw up crypto-asset white papers in accordance to COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) 2024/2984. These services are offered in order to minimize market confusion due to conflicting white papers for the same asset drawn up from different Crypto Asset Service Providers. As of now, such a scenario seems highly likely as a Crypto Asset Service Provider who drew up a crypto-asset white paper and admitted the respective token in the Union has no incentive to give his written consent to another Crypto Asset Service Provider according to Article 5 (4 b) of the REGULATION (EU) 2023/1114 to use the white paper for his regulatory obligations, as this would 1.

strengthen the market-positioning of the other Crypto Asset Service Provider (who is most likely a competitor) and 2. also entail liability risks.

E.3 Fundraising target

Not applicable.

E.4 Minimum subscription goals

Not applicable.

E.5 Maximum subscription goals

Not applicable.

E.6 Oversubscription acceptance

Not applicable.

E.7 Oversubscription allocation

Not applicable.

E.8 Issue price

Not applicable.

E.9 Official currency or any other crypto-assets determining the issue price

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.10 Subscription fee

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.11 Offer price determination method

Once the token is admitted to trading its price will be determined by demand (buyers) and supply (sellers).

E.12 Total number of offered/traded crypto-assets

As stated on the website (<https://solscan.io/tx/vX5E5KCZcjwekAXs28NjfDEYoh2MtAv9PdWV1jpJUbSSiFzJ6y7o6bFDGb2Qqwid7ELz3TwV3t6sQzuLfUNMQBx>) a total of 1,000,000,000,000 tokens were minted.

E.13 Targeted holders

ALL

E.14 Holder restrictions

The Holder restrictions are subject to the rules applicable to the Crypto Asset Service Provider as well as additional restrictions the Crypto Asset Service Providers might set in force.

E.15 Reimbursement notice

Not applicable.

E.16 Refund mechanism

Not applicable.

E.17 Refund timeline

Not applicable.

E.18 Offer phases

Not applicable.

E.19 Early purchase discount

Not applicable.

E.20 Time-limited offer

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.21 Subscription period beginning

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.22 Subscription period end

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.23 Safeguarding arrangements for offered funds/crypto- Assets

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.24 Payment methods for crypto-asset purchase

The payment methods are subject to the respective capabilities of the Crypto Asset Service Provider listing the crypto-asset.

E.25 Value transfer methods for reimbursement

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.26 Right of withdrawal

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.27 Transfer of purchased crypto-assets

The transfer of purchased crypto-assets are subject to the respective capabilities of the Crypto Asset Service Provider listing the crypto-asset.

E.28 Transfer time schedule

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.29 Purchaser's technical requirements

The technical requirements that the purchaser is required to fulfil to hold the crypto-assets of purchased crypto-assets are subject to the respective capabilities of the Crypto Asset Service Provider listing the crypto-asset.

E.30 Crypto-asset service provider (CASP) name

Not applicable.

E.31 CASP identifier

Not applicable.

E.32 Placement form

Not applicable.

E.33 Trading platforms name

The trading on all MiCAR-compliant trading platforms is sought.

E.34 Trading platforms Market identifier code (MIC)

Not applicable.

E.35 Trading platforms access

This depends on the trading platform listing the asset.

E.36 Involved costs

This depends on the trading platform listing the asset. Furthermore, costs may occur for making transfers out of the platform (i. e. "gas costs" for blockchain network use that may exceed the value of the crypto-asset itself).

E.37 Offer expenses

Not applicable, as this crypto-asset white paper concerns the admission to trading and not the offer of the token to the public.

E.38 Conflicts of interest

MiCAR-compliant Crypto Asset Service Providers shall have strong measurements in place in order to manage conflicts of interests. Due to the broad audience this white-paper is addressing, potential investors should always check the conflicts of Interest policy of their respective counterparty.

E.39 Applicable law

Not applicable, as it is referred to on "offer to the public" and in this white-paper, the admission to trading is sought.

E.40 Competent court

Not applicable, as it is referred to on "offer to the public" and in this white-paper, the admission to trading is sought.

Part F – Information about the crypto-assets

F.1 Crypto-asset type

The crypto-asset described in the white paper is classified as a crypto-asset under the Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation (MiCAR) but does not qualify as an electronic money token (EMT) or an asset-referenced token (ART). It is a digital representation of value that can be stored and transferred using distributed ledger technology (DLT) or similar technology, without embodying or conferring any rights to its holder.

The asset does not aim to maintain a stable value by referencing an official currency, a basket of assets, or any other underlying rights. Instead, its valuation is entirely market-driven, based on supply and demand dynamics, and not supported by a stabilization mechanism. It is neither pegged to any fiat currency nor backed by any external assets, distinguishing it clearly from EMTs and ARTs.

Furthermore, the crypto-asset is not categorized as a financial instrument, deposit, insurance product, pension product, or any other regulated financial product under EU law. It does not grant financial rights, voting rights, or any contractual claims to its

holders, ensuring that it remains outside the scope of regulatory frameworks applicable to traditional financial instruments.

F.2 Crypto-asset functionality

The PUMP token is functionally linked to the Pump.fun platform. This platform enables the automated creation, initial trading, and pricing of so-called meme coins on the Solana blockchain. The token itself does not serve as a technical means of payment within the platform, but rather represents a project-related crypto asset that is directly linked to the growth and use of the Pump.fun ecosystem. There is a factual connection in that the token plays a central role in brand identity, user engagement, and platform communication. User interactions on the platform – particularly around token creation and viral token trends – are closely linked to the visibility and market dynamics of the PUMP token. In addition, the token was introduced into the platform's environment and publicly marketed in connection with its activities.

This functional link entails significant risks. The PUMP token is not integrated into any core technical function of the platform, meaning that there is no structural dependency between the asset and the actual platform performance. The platform has no contractual or technical obligation to use or support the token for specific functions or to maintain its value. The token value is therefore entirely dependent on the free market and speculative perception. Furthermore, it is unclear whether and to what extent platform revenues, fees, or internal mechanisms are related to the token. There is also no transparent disclosure as to whether the token is intended for governance decisions, participations, or revenue models. There is no publicly audited or legally binding commitment regarding the permanent or functional integration of the token into the platform structure.

The Pump.fun platform itself is subject to significant operational, legal, and economic risks. It is a largely unregulated system that allows the creation of speculative tokens by any third party without content review or technical safeguards. The platform is not subject to regulatory oversight, there are no standardised KYC/AML procedures, and there are no safeguards against fraudulent behaviour, market manipulation or so-called “rug pulls”. In addition, the legal structure behind the platform is opaque and there are

documented legal disputes against individual players in the project. Against this background, the use of, interaction with, or indirect dependence on the Pump.fun ecosystem may pose significant financial, regulatory, and reputational risks for investors.

F.3 Planned application of functionalities

All functionalities referred to in F.2 have already been applied. There were no statements made to further functionalities for the token as of 2025-07-16.

A description of the characteristics of the crypto asset, including the data necessary for classification of the crypto-asset white paper in the register referred to in Article 109 of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114, as specified in accordance with paragraph 8 of that Article

F.4 Type of crypto-asset white paper

The white paper type is "other crypto-assets" (i. e. "OTHR").

F.5 The type of submission

The white paper submission type is "NEWT", which stands for new token.

F.6 Crypto-asset characteristics

The tokens are crypto-assets other than EMTs and ARTs, which are available on the Solana blockchain.

The tokens are fungible (up to 6 digits after the decimal point). The tokens are a digital representation of value, and have no inherent rights attached as well as no intrinsic utility.

F.7 Commercial name or trading name

See F.13.

F.8 Website of the issuer

<https://pump.fun/board>

F.9 Starting date of offer to the public or admission to trading

2025-08-19

F.10 Publication date

2025-08-19

F.11 Any other services provided by the issuer

It is not possible to exclude a possibility that the issuer of the token provides or will provide other services not covered by Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 (i.e. MiCAR).

F.12 Language or languages of the crypto-asset white paper

EN

F.13 Digital token identifier code used to uniquely identify the crypto-asset or each of the several crypto assets to which the white paper relates, where available

ZDR1PTM67

F.14 Functionally fungible group digital token identifier, where available

C2F189JNL

F.15 Voluntary data flag

Mandatory.

F.16 Personal data flag

The white paper does contain personal data.

F.17 LEI eligibility

The issuer should be eligible for a Legal Entity Identifier.

F.18 Home Member State

Germany

F.19 Host Member States

Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden

Part G – Information on the rights and obligations attached to the crypto-assets

G.1 Purchaser rights and obligations

There are no rights or obligations attached for/of the purchaser.

G.2 Exercise of rights and obligations

As the token grants neither rights nor obligations, there are no procedures and conditions for the exercise of these rights applicable.

G.3 Conditions for modifications of rights and obligations

As the token grants no legal binding rights nor obligations, there are no procedures and conditions for the exercise of these rights applicable.

An adjustment of the technical infrastructure necessary to exercise the promised governance rights, declining functionality due to dilution, changing rights within the voting platforms, and all other adverse effects for investors may occur at any time.

G.4 Future public offers

Information on the future offers to the public of crypto-assets were not available at the time of writing this white paper (2025-07-16). This means that future public offers cannot be ruled out. This may have negative consequences for investors at any time.

G.5 Issuer retained crypto-assets

According to project information, a significant portion of the total supply of PUMP tokens was reserved for the project team, early investors, and various internal purposes (e.g., foundation, ecosystem fund, livestreaming campaigns). Together, these so-called issuer-retained assets account for over a third of the total token volume. It is particularly critical that the actual control over these holdings – including lock-up periods, access rights, and distribution logic – is not transparently disclosed and not technically secured via smart contracts.

Neither wallet allocations nor verifiable vesting plans have been publicly documented. It is therefore not possible to determine when, by whom, and under what conditions

these tokens can be released or sold. For investors, this structural lack of transparency means that any assumptions about long-term holding periods or insider restrictions are purely speculative. The actual situation is as follows: The issuer (or the project team behind it) could dispose of significant quantities of tokens at any time and place them on the open market without notice.

This poses a fundamental risk for investors: any market movement, whether due to sudden selling pressure, shifts in investment, or internal reallocations, can lead to massive and short-term unpredictable losses in value. Since there is no contractually or regulatory binding commitment to a holding period, the market is constantly exposed to a potentially asymmetrical distribution of information and power - to the benefit of those responsible for the project and to the detriment of the public.

G.6 Utility token classification

No

G.7 Key features of goods/services of utility tokens

As the crypto-asset grants no access to neither goods nor services this information is not applicable.

G.8 Utility tokens redemption

Not applicable.

G.9 Non-trading request

The admission to trading is sought.

G.10 Crypto-assets purchase or sale modalities

Not applicable, as the admission to trading of the tokens is sought.

G.11 Crypto-assets transfer restrictions

The crypto-assets as such do not have any transfer restrictions and are generally freely transferable. The Crypto Asset Service Providers can impose their own restrictions in agreements they enter with their clients. The Crypto Asset Service Providers may

impose restrictions to buyers and sellers in accordance with applicable laws and internal policies and terms.

G.12 Supply adjustment protocols

No, there are no fixed protocols that can increase or decrease the supply implemented as of 2025-06-10. Nevertheless, it is possible that the owner of the smart-contract has the ability to increase or decrease the token-supply in response to changes in demand. Also, it is possible to decrease the circulating supply, by transferring crypto-assets to so called "burn-adresses", which are adresses that render the crypto-asset "non-transferable" after sent to those adresses.

G.13 Supply adjustment mechanisms

The mint authority (the entity who can create new tokens of that crypto-asset), as stated in the data account, has the potential right to change the supply of the crypto-assets. However, since the mint authority was revoked, it should not be possible to increase the token supply, however the whole data account could be updated which then in turn could lead to a situation that total supply could be altered again.

G.14 Token value protection schemes

No, the token does not have value protection schemes.

G.15 Token value protection schemes description

Not applicable.

G.16 Compensation schemes

No, the token does not have compensation schemes.

G.17 Compensation schemes description

Not applicable.

G.18 Applicable law

The token is not subject to any predetermined court jurisdiction. Competent court likely depends on the location of any particular party and/or the location of any particular transaction with the token.

G.19 Competent court

The token is not subject to any predetermined court jurisdiction. Competent court likely depends on the location of any particular party and/or the location of any particular transaction with the token.

Part H – information on the underlying technology

H.1 Distributed ledger technology (DTL)

See F.13.

H.2 Protocols and technical standards

The tokens were created with Solana's Token Program, a smart contract that is part of the Solana Program Library (SPL). Such tokens are commonly referred to as SPL-token. The token itself is not an additional smart contract, but what is called a data account on Solana. As the name suggests data accounts store data on the blockchain. However, unlike smart contracts, they cannot be executed and cannot perform any operations. Since one cannot interact with data accounts directly, any interaction with an SPL-token is done via Solana's Token Program. The source code of this smart contract can be found here <https://github.com/solana-program/token>.

The Token Program is developed in Rust, a memory-safe, high-performance programming language designed for secure and efficient development. On Solana, Rust is said to be the primary language used for developing on-chain programs (smart contracts), intended to ensure safety and reliability in decentralized applications (dApps).

Core functions of the Token Program:

`initialize_mint()` → Create a new type of token, called a mint

`mint_to()` → Mints new tokens of a specific type to a specified account

`burn()` → Burns tokens from a specified account, reducing total supply

`transfer()` → Transfers tokens between accounts

`approve()` → Approves a delegate to spend tokens on behalf of the owner

`set_authority()` → Updates authorities (mint, freeze, or transfer authority)

These functions ensure basic operations like transfers, and minting/burning can be performed within the Solana ecosystem.

In addition to the Token Program, another smart contract, the Metaplex Token Metadata Program is commonly used to store name, symbol, and URI information for better ecosystem compatibility. This additional metadata has no effect on the token's functionality.

H.3 Technology used

1. Solana-Compatible Wallets: The tokens are supported by all wallets compatible with Solana's Token Program
2. Decentralized Ledger: The Solana blockchain acts as a decentralized ledger for all token transactions, with the intention to preserving an unalterable record of token transfers and ownership to ensure both transparency and security.
3. SPL Token Program: The SPL (Solana Program Library) Token Program is an inherent Solana smart contract built to create and manage new types of tokens (so called mints). This is significantly different from ERC-20 on Ethereum, because a single smart contract that is part of Solana's core functionality and as such is open source, is responsible for all the tokens. This ensures a high uniformity across tokens at the cost of flexibility.

4. Blockchain Scalability: With its intended capacity for processing a lot of transactions per second and in most cases low fees, Solana is intended to enable efficient token transactions, maintaining high performance even during peak network usage.

Security Protocols for Asset Custody and Transactions:

1. Private Key Management: To safeguard their token holdings, users must securely store their wallet's private keys and recovery phrases.
2. Cryptographic Integrity: Solana employs elliptic curve cryptography to validate and execute transactions securely, intended to ensure the integrity of all transfers.

H.4 Consensus mechanism

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS). The core concepts of the mechanism are intended to work as follows:

Core Concepts

1. Proof of History (PoH):

Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, intended to creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.

Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, intended to enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.

2. Proof of Stake (PoS):

Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while intended to enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process

1. Transaction Validation:

Transactions are broadcasted to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.

2. PoH Sequence Generation:

A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a

cryptographic clock for the network.

3. Block Production:

The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.

4. Consensus and Finalization:

Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives

1. Incentives for Validators:

Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.

Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.

2. Security:

Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.

Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, intended to enhance network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.

3. Economic Penalties:

Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

H.5 Incentive mechanisms and applicable fees

1. Validators:

Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This is intended to provide an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:

Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share the rewards earned by the

validators. This is intended to encourage widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.

3. Economic Security:

Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing is intended to deter dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.

Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost is intended to incentivize participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties.

Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

1. Transaction Fees:

Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which is intended to keep the fees low and predictable.

Fee Structure: Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.

2. Rent Fees:

State Storage: Solana charges so called "rent fees" for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees are intended to help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.

3. Smart Contract Fees:

Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This is intended to ensure that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

H.6 Use of distributed ledger technology

No, DLT is neither operated by the issuer nor a third party acting on the issuer's behalf.

H.7 DLT functionality description

Not applicable.

H.8 Audit

As we are understanding the question relating to "technology" to be interpreted in a broad sense, the answer to whether an audit of "the technology used" was conducted is "no, we can not guarantee, that all parts of the technology used have been audited". This is due to the fact this report focusses on risk, and we can not guarantee that each part of the technology used was audited.

H.9 Audit outcome

Not applicable.

Part I – Information on risks

I.1 Offer-related risks

1. Regulatory and Compliance

This white paper has been prepared with utmost caution; however, uncertainties in the regulatory requirements and future changes in regulatory frameworks could potentially impact the token's legal status and its tradability. There is also a high probability that other laws will come into force, changing the rules for the trading of the token. Therefore, such developments shall be monitored and acted upon accordingly.

2. Operational and Technical

Blockchain Dependency: The token is entirely dependent on the blockchain the crypto-asset is issued upon (as of 2025-07-21). Any issues, such as downtime, congestion, or security vulnerabilities within the blockchain, could adversely affect the token's functionality.

Smart Contract Risks: Smart contracts governing the token may contain hidden vulnerabilities or bugs that could disrupt the token offering or distribution processes.

Connection Dependency: As the trading of the token also involves other trading venues, technical risks such as downtime of the connection or faulty code are also possible.

Human errors: Due to the irrevocability of blockchain-transactions, approving wrong transactions or using incorrect networks/addresses will most likely result in funds not being accessible anymore.

Custodial risk: When admitting the token to trading, the risk of losing clients assets due to hacks or other malicious acts is given. This is due to the fact the token is held in custodial wallets for the customers.

3. Market and Liquidity

Volatility: The token will most likely be subject to high volatility and market speculation. Price fluctuations could be significant, posing a risk of substantial losses to holders.

Liquidity Risk: Liquidity is contingent upon trading activity levels on decentralized exchanges (DEXs) and potentially on centralized exchanges (CEXs), should they be involved. Low trading volumes may restrict the buying and selling capabilities of the tokens.

4. Counterparty

As the admission to trading involves the connection to other trading venues, counterparty risks arise. These include, but are not limited to, the following risks:

General Trading Platform Risk: The risk of trading platforms not operating to the highest standards is given. Examples like FTX show that especially in nascent industries, compliance and oversight-frameworks might not be fully established and/or enforced.

Listing or Delisting Risks: The listing or delisting of the token is subject to the trading partners internal processes. Delisting of the token at the connected trading partners could harm or completely halt the ability to trade the token.

5. Liquidity

Liquidity of the token can vary, especially when trading activity is limited. This could result in high slippage when trading a token.

6. Failure of one or more Counterparties

Another risk stems from the internal operational processes of the counterparties used. As there is no specific oversight other than the typical due diligence check, it cannot be guaranteed that all counterparties adhere to the best market standards.

Bankruptcy Risk: Counterparties could go bankrupt, possibly resulting in a total loss for the clients assets hold at that counterparty.

I.2 Issuer-related risks

Overall, as described in B.2, the entire structure behind the issuer is unstable and poses significant risks for investors.

1. Insolvency

As with every other commercial endeavor, the risk of insolvency of the issuer is given. This could be caused by but is not limited to lack of interest from the public, lack of funding, incapacitation of key developers and project members, force majeure (including pandemics and wars) or lack of commercial success or prospects.

2. Counterparty

In order to operate, the issuer has most likely engaged in different business relationships with one or more third parties on which it strongly depends on. Loss or changes in the leadership or key partners of the issuer and/or the respective counterparties can lead to disruptions, loss of trust, or project failure. This could result in a total loss of economic value for the crypto-asset holders.

3. Legal and Regulatory Compliance

Cryptocurrencies and blockchain-based technologies are subject to evolving regulatory landscapes worldwide. Regulations vary across jurisdictions and may be subject to significant changes. Non-compliance can result in investigations, enforcement actions, penalties, fines, sanctions, or the prohibition of the trading of the crypto-asset impacting

its viability and market acceptance. This could also result in the issuer to be subject to private litigation. The beforementioned would most likely also lead to changes with respect to trading of the crypto-asset that may negatively impact the value, legality, or functionality of the crypto-asset.

4. Operational

Failure to develop or maintain effective internal control, or any difficulties encountered in the implementation of such controls, or their improvement could harm the issuer's business, causing disruptions, financial losses, or reputational damage.

5. Industry

The issuer is and will be subject to all of the risks and uncertainties associated with a memecoin-project, where the token issued has zero intrinsic value. History has shown that most of this projects resulted in financial losses for the investors and were only set-up to enrich a few insiders with the money from retail investors.

6. Reputational

The issuer faces the risk of negative publicity, whether due to, without limitation, operational failures, security breaches, or association with illicit activities, which can damage the issuer reputation and, by extension, the value and acceptance of the crypto-asset.

7. Competition

There are numerous other crypto-asset projects in the same realm, which could have an effect on the crypto-asset in question.

8. Pump.fun risks

Pump.fun presents significant structural, operational, legal, and investor protection risks. It enables the anonymous, unregulated creation of tokens by any user without due diligence, technical audits, or oversight. The platform facilitates high-frequency, meme-based token launches, which often lack substance or longevity, making it a breeding ground for market manipulation, rug pulls, and exit scams. There are no safeguards

against malicious actors, no enforced KYC/AML protocols, and no protective mechanisms for users. Additionally, there is no clear legal entity transparently accountable for the platform's operation, and public records suggest active litigation and unresolved regulatory concerns involving project insiders. The absence of a governance framework, investor recourse, or auditability further increases the likelihood of sudden, unanticipated changes that may severely affect token holders. Use of the platform involves extreme financial, legal, and reputational risk.

9. Involved persons

The principal individuals behind Pump.fun - identified as Dylan Kerler, Noah Tweedale, and Alon Cohen - pose a series of material risks that directly impact token holders and the platform's integrity. These founders operate with high levels of pseudonymity, offering minimal public or legal transparency about their backgrounds, affiliations, or responsibilities. Notably, one co-founder, Dylan Kerler, has past associations with purported "rug pull" schemes, documenting a history of investor losses. Additionally, these individuals lack verifiable reputations in regulated financial markets, do not appear to be subject to any known compliance or oversight frameworks, and are reportedly involved in ongoing U.S. litigation and regulatory scrutiny. Without clear accountability - no public audits, no real-world identity verification, no legal recourse - investors are exposed to substantial execution, reputational, and legal risk if any of the principals act negligently or opportunistically. Their control over large token reserves, combined with structural opacity and unverified claims, introduces a pronounced asymmetric risk borne by token holders.

10. Unanticipated Risk

In addition to the risks included in this section, there might be other risks that cannot be foreseen. Additional risks may also materialize as unanticipated variations or combinations of the risks discussed.

I.3 Crypto-assets-related risks

1. Valuation

As the crypto-asset does not have any intrinsic value, and grants neither rights nor obligations, the only mechanism to determine the price is supply and demand. Historically, most crypto-assets have dramatically lost value and were not a beneficial investment for the investors. Therefore, investing in these crypto-assets poses a high risk, and the loss of funds can occur.

2. Market Volatility

Crypto-asset prices are highly susceptible to dramatic fluctuations influence by various factors, including market sentiment, regulatory changes, technological advancements, and macroeconomic conditions. These fluctuations can result in significant financial losses within short periods, making the market highly unpredictable and challenging for investors. This is especially true for crypto-assets without any intrinsic value, and investors should be prepared to lose the complete amount of money invested in the respective crypto-assets.

3. Liquidity Challenges

Some crypto-assets suffer from limited liquidity, which can present difficulties when executing large trades without significantly impacting market prices. This lack of liquidity can lead to substantial financial losses, particularly during periods of rapid market movements, when selling assets may become challenging or require accepting unfavorable prices.

4. Asset Security

Crypto-assets face unique security threats, including the risk of theft from exchanges or digital wallets, loss of private keys, and potential failures of custodial services. Since crypto transactions are generally irreversible, a security breach or mismanagement can result in the permanent loss of assets, emphasizing the importance of strong security measures and practices.

5. Scams

The irrevocability of transactions executed using blockchain infrastructure, as well as the pseudonymous nature of blockchain ecosystems, attracts scammers. Therefore,

investors in crypto-assets must proceed with a high degree of caution when investing in if they invest in crypto-assets. Typical scams include – but are not limited to – the creation of fake crypto-assets with the same name, phishing on social networks or by email, fake giveaways/airdrops, identity theft, among others.

6. Blockchain Dependency

Any issues with the blockchain used, such as network downtime, congestion, or security vulnerabilities, could disrupt the transfer, trading, or functionality of the crypto-asset.

7. Smart Contract Vulnerabilities

The smart contract used to issue the crypto-asset could include bugs, coding errors, or vulnerabilities which could be exploited by malicious actors, potentially leading to asset loss, unauthorized data access, or unintended operational consequences.

8. Privacy Concerns

All transactions on the blockchain are permanently recorded and publicly accessible, which can potentially expose user activities. Although addresses are pseudonymous, the transparent and immutable nature of blockchain allows for advanced forensic analysis and intelligence gathering. This level of transparency can make it possible to link blockchain addresses to real-world identities over time, compromising user privacy.

9. Regulatory Uncertainty

The regulatory environment surrounding crypto-assets is constantly evolving, which can directly impact their usage, valuation, and legal status. Changes in regulatory frameworks may introduce new requirements related to consumer protection, taxation, and anti-money laundering compliance, creating uncertainty and potential challenges for investors and businesses operating in the crypto space. Although the crypto-asset do not create or confer any contractual or other obligations on any party, certain regulators may nevertheless qualify the crypto-asset as a security or other financial instrument under their applicable law, which in turn would have drastic consequences for the crypto-asset, including the potential loss of the invested capital in the asset. Furthermore, this could lead to the sellers and its affiliates, directors, and officers being

obliged to pay fines, including federal civil and criminal penalties, or make the crypto-asset illegal or impossible to use, buy, or sell in certain jurisdictions. On top of that, regulators could take action against the issuer as well as the trading platforms if the regulators view the token as an unregistered offering of securities or the operations otherwise as a violation of existing law. Any of these outcomes would negatively affect the value and/or functionality of the cryptot-asset and/or could cause a complete loss of funds of the invested money in the crypto-asset for the investor.

10. Counterparty risk

Engaging in agreements or storing crypto-assets on exchanges introduces counterparty risks, including the failure of the other party to fulfill their obligations. Investors may face potential losses due to factors such as insolvency, regulatory non-compliance, or fraudulent activities by counterparties, highlighting the need for careful due diligence when engaging with third parties.

11. Reputational concerns

Crypto-assets are often subject to reputational risks stemming from associations with illegal activities, high-profile security breaches, and technological failures. Such incidents can undermine trust in the broader ecosystem, negatively affecting investor confidence and market value, thereby hindering widespread adoption and acceptance.

12. Technological Innovation

New technologies or platforms could render Solana's design less competitive or even break fundamental parts (i.e., quantum computing might break cryptographic algorithms used to secure the network), impacting adoption and value. Participants should approach the crypto-asset with a clear understanding of its speculative and volatile nature and be prepared to accept these risks and bear potential losses, which could include the complete loss of the asset's value.

13. Community and Narrative

As the crypto-asset has no intrinsic value, all trading activity is based on the intended market value is heavily dependent on its community and the popularity of the

memecoin narrative. Declining interest or negative sentiment could significantly impact the token's value.

14. Interest Rate Change

Historically, changes in interest, foreign exchange rates, and increases in volatility have increased credit and market risks and may also affect the value of the crypto-asset. Although historic data does not predict the future, potential investors should be aware that general movements in local and other factors may affect the market, and this could also affect market sentiment and, therefore most likely also the price of the crypto-asset.

15. Taxation

The taxation regime that applies to the trading of the crypto-asset by individual holders or legal entities will depend on the holder's jurisdiction. It is the holder's sole responsibility to comply with all applicable tax laws, including, but not limited to, the reporting and payment of income tax, wealth tax, or similar taxes arising in connection with the appreciation and depreciation of the crypto-asset.

16. Anti-Money Laundering/Counter-Terrorism Financing

It cannot be ruled out that crypto-asset wallet addresses interacting with the crypto-asset have been, or will be used for money laundering or terrorist financing purposes, or are identified with a person known to have committed such offenses.

17. Market Abuse

It is noteworthy that crypto-assets are potentially prone to increased market abuse risks, as the underlying infrastructure could be used to exploit arbitrage opportunities through schemes such as front-running, spoofing, pump-and-dump, and fraud across different systems, platforms, or geographic locations. This is especially true for crypto-assets with a low market capitalization and few trading venues, and potential investors should be aware that this could lead to a total loss of the funds invested in the crypto-asset.

18. Timeline and Milestones

Critical project milestones could be delayed by technical, operational, or market challenges.

I.4 Project implementation-related risks

As this white paper relates to the "Admission to trading" of the crypto-asset, the implementation risk is referring to the risks on the Crypto Asset Service Providers side. These can be, but are not limited to, typical project management risks, such as key-personal-risks, timeline-risks, and technical implementation-risks.

I.5 Technology-related risks

As this white paper relates to the "Admission to trading" of the crypto-asset, the technology-related risks mainly lie in the settling on the Solana-Network.

1. Blockchain Dependency Risks

Solana Network Downtime: Potential outages or congestion on the Solana blockchain could interrupt on-chain token transfers, trading, and other functions.

Scalability Challenges: Despite Solana's comparatively high throughput design, unexpected demand or technical issues might compromise its performance.

2. Smart Contract Risks

Vulnerabilities: The smart contract governing the token could contain bugs or vulnerabilities that may be exploited, affecting token distribution or vesting schedules.

3. Wallet and Storage Risks

Private Key Management: Token holders must securely manage their private keys and recovery phrases to prevent permanent loss of access to their tokens, which includes Trading-Venues, who are a prominent target for dedicated hacks.

Compatibility Issues: The tokens require Solana-compatible wallets for storage and transfer. Any incompatibility or technical issues with these wallets could impact token accessibility.

4. Network Security Risks

Attack Risks: The Solana blockchain may face threats such as denial-of-service (DoS) attacks or exploits targeting its consensus mechanism, which could compromise network integrity.

Centralization Concerns: Although claiming to be decentralized, Solana's relatively smaller number of validators/concentration of stakes within the network compared to other blockchains and the influence of the Solana Foundation (as of 2025-03-09) might pose centralization risks, potentially affecting network resilience.

5. Evolving Technology Risks: Technological Obsolescence: The fast pace of innovation in blockchain technology may make Solana or the SPL token standard appear less competitive or become outdated, potentially impacting the usability or adoption of the token.

I.6 Mitigation measures

None.

Part J – Information on the sustainability indicators in relation to adverse impact on the climate and other environment-related adverse impacts

J.1 Adverse impacts on climate and other environment-related adverse impacts

S.1 Name

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH

S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier

39120077M9TG001FE242

S.3 Name of the cryptoasset

Pump.fun

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS). The core concepts of the mechanism are intended to work as follows:

Core Concepts

1. Proof of History (PoH):

Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, intended to creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.

Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, intended to enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.

2. Proof of Stake (PoS):

Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while intended to enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process

1. Transaction Validation:

Transactions are broadcasted to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.

2. PoH Sequence Generation:

A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a

cryptographic clock for the network.

3. Block Production:

The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.

4. Consensus and Finalization:

Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives

1. Incentives for Validators:

Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.

Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.

2. Security:

Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.

Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, intended to enhance network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.

3. Economic Penalties:

Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

1. Validators:

Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This is intended to provide an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:

Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share the rewards earned by the validators. This is intended to encourage widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.

3. Economic Security:

Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing is intended to deter dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.

Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost is intended to incentivize participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties.

Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

1. Transaction Fees:

Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which is intended to keep the fees low and predictable.

Fee Structure: Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.

2. Rent Fees:

State Storage: Solana charges so called "rent fees" for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees are intended to help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.

3. Smart Contract Fees:

Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This is intended to ensure that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates

2024-07-16

S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates

2025-07-16

S.8 Energy consumption

2067.55345 kWh/a

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components: To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the networks Solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.10 Renewable energy consumption

27.0081797971 %

S.11 Energy intensity

0.00000 kWh

S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled

0.00000 tCO₂e/a

S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased

0.70063 tCO₂e/a

S.14 GHG intensity

0.00000 kgCO₂e

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers

developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction. Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from <https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables>.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction. Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from <https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity> Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

