



Australian Government

New Zealand Government

# Addendum to the Space Heating Comparison Methodology: Public Consultation

November 2024

A joint initiative of Australia, State and Territory and New Zealand Governments

### Authorship and moral rights

The Commonwealth of Australia (referred to as the Commonwealth) asserts the right to be recognised as author of the original material in this publication and this document should be attributed in the following manner: Space Heating Comparison Methodology: Public Consultation, Commonwealth of Australia 2024.

### Ownership of intellectual property rights

Unless otherwise noted, copyright (and any other intellectual property rights) in this publication is owned by the Commonwealth of Australia (referred to as the Commonwealth). The Commonwealth asserts the right to be recognised as owner of the original material in the following manner:

© Commonwealth of Australia 2024.

### **Creative Commons licence**

Space Heating Comparison Methodology: Public Consultation is licensed by the Commonwealth for use under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International licence with the exception of the Coat of Arms of the Commonwealth, the logo of the agency responsible for publishing the report, content supplied by third parties, and any images depicting people. For licence conditions see the Creative Commons website – Attribution 4.0 International page.

Inquiries about the licence and any use of this document should be emailed to copyright@dcceew.gov.au.



### Disclaimer

The Commonwealth has exercised due care and skill in preparing and compiling the information and data in this publication. While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure that the contents of this publication are factually correct, the Commonwealth does not accept responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of its contents. This publication is intended to provide reference material for general information purposes only. It does not constitute legal or other professional advice.

The department disclaims liability, to the extent permitted by law, for any liabilities, losses, damages and costs arising from any reliance on the information contained in this publication. You should seek legal or other professional advice in relation to your specific circumstances. The views and opinions expressed in this publication are those of the Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water.

Website addresses referenced in this paper are subject to change as websites and digital materials change over time.

### **Acknowledgement of Country**

We acknowledge the Traditional Owners of Country throughout Australia and recognise their continuing connection to land, waters and culture. We pay our respects to their Elders past and present.

### Using our logo

The Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water's logo and the Commonwealth Coat of Arms must not be used without the prior, specific, written permission of the Commonwealth. If you wish to seek permission, please contract <u>copyright@dcceew.gov.au</u>.

# Contents

1.0 Introduction	.4
2.0 Public consultation summary	.4
3.0 Updates to the comparison methodology	. 5
3.1 Solid fuel heaters – remove 2kW wood heater example	. 5
3.2 Solid fuel heaters – correction to reflect that low burn rates are more efficient than high burn rates	. 6
3.3 Solid fuel heaters – correction to example HSPF figures	. 8
3.4 Solid fuel heaters – Revised Tables	11
4.0 Next steps	19
Exploring policy options	19
Complex heater types	19
Get in touch	19

# **1.0 Introduction**

This addendum supplements and modifies the Space Heating Comparison Methodology: Public Consultation paper. It:

- summarises the results of the public consultation process
- clarifies updates to the comparison methodology based on the comments received
- communicates next steps.

# **2.0 Public consultation summary**

The Australian Government Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water publicly consulted on the Space Heating Comparison Methodology: Public Consultation paper from 28 August 2024 date to 27 September 2024. The department received 8 public submissions including from industry associations, manufacturers and suppliers, and academia:

- Alan Pears
- Australian Gas Infrastructure Group
- Australian Home Heating Association
- CDB Group
- Consumer Electronics Suppliers Association
- Daikin Australia
- Gas Appliance Manufacturers Association of Australia
- STIEBEL ELTRON.

Stakeholder messages included:

- specific suggestions to improve the technical accuracy of the proposed comparison methodology
- specific suggestions to improve the presentation of information in the consultation paper
- general statements about the usefulness of the comparison methodology and information resources to inform consumers and help them to choose the most suitable heating systems for their needs.

Table 1 below provides an overview of how the department has responded to specific suggestions for improving the comparison methodology or the presentation of information in the consultation paper.

Item	Summary of stakeholder comment	Response
1	Solid fuel heaters	See section 3.1 below.
	Suggested correction to Appendix 4: No commercially available	
	wood heater has a maximum heat output of only 2kW.	
2	Solid fuel heaters	See section 3.2 below.
	Suggested correction to Appendix: Solid fuel heaters are not	
	more efficient at high burn rates. Solid fuel heaters operate	
	most efficiently at low burn rates, where less heat is lost up the	
	flue and more energy is transferred into the living space.	
3	Solid fuel heaters	See section 3.3 below.
	Suggested correction to the Heating Seasonal Performance	
	Factor (HSPF) figures in Figure 5: To better reflect actual	
	performance, the calculated HSPF for a 7kW output solid fuel	
	heater (Heater Unit 14) with a medium burn rate efficiency of	
	63% (see Appendix 4) should align closer to this nominal value.	
	However, the HSPF values for Hot, Average, and Cold zones are	
	0.57, 0.60, and 0.61, respectively—significantly lower than the	
	expected nominal efficiency.	
4	General	See '4.0 Next steps'
	Seeking confirmation of next steps, process and timings.	section below.
1		

Table 1: Response to public stakeholder comments on the comparison methodology

# 3.0 Updates to the comparison methodology

## 3.1 Solid fuel heaters – remove 2kW wood heater example

Table 5 and Appendix 4 of the consultation paper included an example of a 2kW wood heater for comparison purposes. But it is agreed that small capacity solid-fuel heaters are not commercially available, and this example product is deleted.

In Table 5 of the Comparison Methodology, delete Heater Unit 6, and update the numbering of Heater Units 7-20 to reduce by 1. Correspondingly, in Appendix 4, delete Heater Unit 6, and update the numbering of Heater Units 7-20 to reduce by 1. Complete versions of the updated Table 5 and affected parts of Appendix 4 are included below in section 3.4

# **3.2 Solid fuel heaters – correction to reflect that low burn rates are more efficient than high burn rates**

For the purposes of testing the comparison methodology for solid fuel heaters, the possibility of both increasing and decreasing efficiency with burn rate were considered. It is agreed that it is more typical that low burn rates are more efficient than high burn rates. The efficiency data for Heater Unit 13 (previously 14) is therefore updated to be 66% at high burn rate, 70% and medium burn rate, and 71% at low burn rate, and the calculated results updated in both Appendix 4 and Table 5.

The decrease in high burn rate efficiency from 67% to 66% results in a small increase in the full capacity rated input power and a small decrease in the full capacity rated efficiency. The increases in the medium and low burn rates efficiencies significantly decrease the annual energy use (and HSEC) and increase the HSPF for all zones. In **Appendix 4, Solid fuel combustion heaters, Test Data**, update the rows for Heater Unit 13 (previously 14) as shown below:

	Heater Unit	High Burn Rate: Maximum Heat Output = Full Capacity (kW)	High Burn Rate: Thermal Efficiency	High Burn Rate: Electrical Energy Consumption (kW)	Medium Burn Rate: Heat Output = "Half" Capacity (kW)	Medium Burn Rate: Thermal Efficiency	Medium Burn Rate: Electrical Energy Consumption (kW)
ľ	13 <del>14</del>	7	66% <del>67%</del>	0.14	5.6	70% <del>63%</del>	0.056

Heater Unit	Low Burn Rate: Heat Output = Min Capacity (kW)	Low Burn Rate: Thermal Efficiency	Low Burn Rate: Electrical Energy Consumption (kW)	Standby: Electrical Energy Consumption (W)
13 <del>14</del>	4.2	71% <del>60%</del>	0.028	5

In Appendix 4, Calculation Results, update the rows for Heater Unit 13 (previously 14) as shown below:

Heater	Technology Type	Full	Full	Full	Full	Standby,	Heating	Heating	Heating
Unit		Capacity:	Capacity:	Capacity:	Capacity:	Pia (W)	Season	Season	Season
		Rated	Rated	<b>Rated Input</b>	Rated		Total Load:	Total Load:	Total Load:
		Capacity	Capacity at	Power	Efficiency		HSTL_hot	HSTL_avg	HSTL_cold
		(kW)	2degC (kW)	(kW)			(kWh/y)	(kWh/y)	(kWh/y)
13 <del>1</del> 4	Solid Fuel Heater	7	7	10.75 <del>10.59</del>	65.1%	5	587	3801	9141
					<del>66.1%</del>				

Heater Unit	Technology Type	Heating Season Energy Consumption: HSEC _hot	Heating Season Energy Consumption: HSEC _avg	Heating Season Energy Consumption: HSEC_cold	Inactive Energy Consumption: IAEC _hot (kWh/y)	Inactive Energy Consumption: IAEC _average (kWh/y)	Inactive Energy Consumption: IAEC _cold (kWh/y)
		(kWh/y)	(kWh/y)	(kWh/y)			
13 <del>14</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	831 <del>983</del>	5399 <del>6308</del>	13069 <del>14965</del>	42.4	37.3	30.5

Heater Unit	Technology Type	Annual Energy Use: Hot (kWh/y)	Annual Energy Use: Average (kWh/y)	Annual Energy Use: Cold (kWh/y)	Overall Product Efficiency: HSPF _hot	Overall Product Efficiency: HSPF _average	Overall Product Efficiency: HSPF _cold
13 <del>14</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	874 <del>1025</del>	5437 <del>6346</del>	13100 <del>14996</del>	0.67 <del>0.57</del>	0.70 <del>0.60</del>	0.70 <del>0.61</del>

In **Table 5** update the row for Heater Unit 13 (previously 14) as shown below:

Heater	Technology	Characteristics	Size	Full	Full	Full	Annual	Annual	Annual	Overall	Overall	Overall
Unit	Туре			Capacity:	Capacity:	Capacity:	Energy	Energy	Energy	Product	Product	Product
				Rated	Rated	Rated	Use: Hot	Use:	Use: Cold	Efficiency:	Efficiency:	Efficien
				Capacity	Input	Efficiency	(kWh	Average	(kWh/y)	HSPF_	HSPF_	cy:
				(kW)	Power		/y)	(kWh/y)		hot	avg	HSPF_
					<sup>1</sup> (kW)							Cold
13 <del>14</del>	Solid Fuel	Fixed,	Medium	7	10.75	65.1%	874 <del>1025</del>	5437 <del>6346</del>	13100	0.67 <del>0.57</del>	0.70 <del>0.60</del>	0.70
	Heater	Adjustable Air			<del>10.59</del>	<del>66.1%</del>			<del>14996</del>			<del>0.61</del>
		Control, 3 data										
		points										

Complete versions of the updated Table 5 and affected parts Appendix 4 are included below in section 3.4.

# **3.3 Solid fuel heaters – correction to example HSPF figures**

For the purposes of testing the comparison methodology, a standby electricity consumption of 5W was implemented for heater unit 13 (previously 14), along with decreasing efficiency at lower burn rates. It is agreed that it is more typical to have no standby electricity consumption of solid fuel heaters, and to have increasing efficiency at lower burn rates. The efficiency data for heater unit 13 (previously 14) is therefore updated to be 66% at high burn rate, 70% and medium burn rate, and 71% at low burn rate, and the standby electricity consumption set to 0. The calculated results are updated in both Appendix 4 and Table 5, resulting in HSPF values of 71% for the hot zone, 70% for the average zone and 70% for the cold zone.

The efficiency changes implemented according to section 3.2 of this paper above, significantly decreased the annual energy use and increased the HSPF for all zones, bringing them close to the medium burn rate efficiency. Setting the standby electricity consumption to zero further decreases annual energy use, and in the hot zone this has a significant impact on HSPF, increasing the HSPF\_hot to 71%. There is no significant change in the HSPF for the average and cold zones.

Addendum to the Space Heating Comparison Methodology: Public Consultation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note: Capacity, Input Power, Energy Use and Product Efficiency are all based on the total energy use (e.g. gas plus electricity for gas space heaters). Input Power and Energy Use would also be separated out by fuel type in order to calculate running costs and greenhouse gas emissions.

In Appendix 4, Solid fuel combustion heaters, Test Data, further update the rows for Heater Unit 13 (previously 14) as highlighted below:

Heater Unit	High Burn Rate: Maximum Heat Output = Full Capacity (kW)	High Burn Rate: Thermal Efficiency	High Burn Rate: Electrical Energy Consumption (kW)	Medium Burn Rate: Heat Output = "Half" Capacity (kW)	Medium Burn Rate: Thermal Efficiency	Medium Burn Rate: Electrical Energy Consumption (kW)
13 <del>1</del> 4	7	66% <del>67%</del>	0.14	5.6	70% <del>63%</del>	0.056

Heate Unit	r Low Burn Rate: Heat Output = Min Capacity (kW)	Low Burn Rate: Thermal Efficiency	Low Burn Rate: Electrical Energy Consumption (kW)	Standby: Electrical Energy Consumption (W)
13 <del>1</del> 4	4.2	71% <del>60%</del>	0.028	0 <del>5</del>

In **Appendix 4, Calculation Results**, further update the rows for Heater Unit 13 (previously 14) as highlighted below:

Heater	Technology Type	Full	Full	Full	Full	Standby,	Heating	Heating	Heating
Unit		Capacity:	Capacity:	Capacity:	Capacity:	Pia (W)	Season	Season	Season
		Rated	Rated	<b>Rated Input</b>	Rated		Total Load:	Total Load:	Total Load:
		Capacity	Capacity at	Power	Efficiency		HSTL_hot	HSTL_avg	HSTL_cold
		(kW)	2degC (kW)	(kW)			(kWh/y)	(kWh/y)	(kWh/y)
13 <del>1</del> 4	Solid Fuel Heater	7	7	10.75 <del>10.59</del>	65.1%	05	587	3801	9141
					<del>66.1%</del>				

Heater Unit	Technology Type	Heating Season Energy Consumption: HSEC _hot (kWh/y)	Heating Season Energy Consumption: HSEC _avg (kWh/y)	Heating Season Energy Consumption: HSEC _cold (kWh/y)	Inactive Energy Consumption: IAEC _hot (kWh/y)	Inactive Energy Consumption: IAEC _average (kWh/y)	Inactive Energy Consumption: IAEC _cold (kWh/y)
13 <del>1</del> 4	Solid Fuel Heater	831 <del>983</del>	5399 <del>6308</del>	13069 <del>14965</del>	0 4 <mark>2.4</mark>	0 <del>37.3</del>	0 <del>30.5</del>

Heater Unit	Technology Type	Annual Energy Use: Hot (kWh/y)	Annual Energy Use: Average (kWh/y)	Annual Energy Use: Cold (kWh/y)	Overall Product Efficiency: HSPF _hot	Overall Product Efficiency: HSPF _average	Overall Product Efficiency: HSPF _cold
13 <del>14</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	831 <del>874 1025</del>	5399 <del>5437 6346</del>	13069 <del>13100</del> <del>14996</del>	0.71 <del>0.67</del> <del>0.57</del>	0.70 <del>0.60</del>	0.70 <del>0.61</del>

In **Table 5** further update the row for Heater Unit 13 (previously 14) as highlighted below:

Heater	Technology	Characteristics	Size	Full	Full	Full	Annual	Annual	Annual	Overall	Overall	Overall
Unit	Туре			Capacity:	Capacity:	Capacity:	Energy	Energy	Energy	Product	Product	Product
				Rated	Rated	Rated	Use: Hot	Use:	Use: Cold	Efficiency:	Efficiency:	Efficien
				Capacity	Input	Efficiency	(kWh	Average	(kWh/y)	HSPF_	HSPF_	cy:
				(kW)	Power		/v)	(kWh/y)		hot	avg	HSPF_
					<sup>2</sup> (kW)						Ū	cold
13 <del>14</del>	Solid Fuel	Fixed,	Medium	7	10.75	65.1%	831 <del>874</del>	5399 <del>5437</del>	13069	0.71 <del>0.67</del>	0.70 <del>0.60</del>	0.70
	Heater	Adjustable Air			<del>10.59</del>	<del>66.1%</del>	<del>1025</del>	<del>6346</del>	<del>14996</del>	<del>0.57</del>		<del>0.61</del>
		Control, 3 data										
		points										

Complete versions of the updated Table 5 and affected parts of Appendix 4 are included below in section 3.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Note: Capacity, Input Power, Energy Use and Product Efficiency are all based on the total energy use (e.g. gas plus electricity for gas space heaters). Input Power and Energy Use would also be separated out by fuel type in order to calculate running costs and greenhouse gas emissions.

## **3.4 Solid fuel heaters – Revised Tables**

Heater Unit	Technology Type	Characteristics	Size	Full Capacity: Rated Capacity (kW)	Full Capacity: Rated Input Power <sup>3</sup> (kW)	Full Capacity: Rated Efficiency	Annual Energy Use: Hot (kWh /y)	Annual Energy Use: Average (kWh/y)	Annual Energy Use: Cold (kWh/y)	Overall Product Efficiency: HSPF_ hot	Overall Product Efficiency: HSPF_ avg	Overall Product Efficien cy: HSPF_ cold
1	Air Conditioner <sup>*</sup> (Non-ducted)	Avg GEMS Register 1.75- 2.25kW non- ducted	Small	2	0.5	426%	47	292	742	4.06	3.80	3.55
2	Electric Resistance	Portable, Thermostatic control	Small	2	2	100%	181	1098	2619	0.93	0.99	1.00
3	Electric Resistance	Portable, Two- setting, No thermostatic control	Small	2	2	100%	189	1152	2749	0.89	0.94	0.95
4	Electric Resistance	Portable, One- setting, No thermostatic control	Small	2	2	100%	198	1207	2880	0.85	0.90	0.91

Table 5: Summary of example space heating comparison methodology outputs, by product size, technology type and climate zone

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Note: Capacity, Input Power, Energy Use and Product Efficiency are all based on the total energy use (e.g. gas plus electricity for gas space heaters). Input Power and Energy Use would also be separated out by fuel type in order to calculate running costs and greenhouse gas emissions.

<sup>\*</sup> Explanation of air conditioner values are provided in Appendix 4: Example data and results.

5	Gas Space Heater	Portable, Thermostatic Control, 2 data points	Small	2	2.5	80.6%	203	1311	3159	0.83	0.83	0.83
6	Solid Fuel Heater	Fixed, Adjustable Air Control, 3 data points	Small	2	3.03	<del>66.1%</del>	<del>281</del>	<del>1802</del>	4276	0.60	0.60	0.61
67	Gas Decorative App.	Fixed, Thermostatic Control, 2 data points	Small	2	4.4	45.8%	358	2310	5561	0.47	0.47	0.47
7 <del>8</del>	Air Conditioner* (Non-ducted)	Avg GEMS Register 6.5- 7.5kW non- ducted	Medium	7	1.8	390%	135	918	2499	4.8	4.2	3.7
8 <del>9</del>	Ducted Air Conditioner*	Avg GEMS Register 6.5- 7.5kW ducted	Medium	7	1.8	388%	165	1009	2689	4.4	3.9	3.4
9 <del>10</del>	Electric Resistance	Multiple Portable (e.g. 2.4kW + 2.4kW + 2.2kW), Thermostatic Control	Medium	7	7	100%	627	3837	9160	0.94	0.99	1.00
10 <del>11</del>	Electric Resistance	Multiple Fixed (e.g. 3kW + 4kW), Thermostatic Control	Medium	7	7	100%	715	3913	9215	0.82	0.97	0.99

11 <del>12</del>	Gas Space Heater	Fixed, Thermostatic Control, 2 data points	Medium	7	8.9	78.6%	750	4824	11576	0.78	0.79	0.79
12 <del>13</del>	Ducted Gas	Fixed, Thermostatic Control, 3 data points	Medium	7	9.33	75.0%	787	4942	11884	0.75	0.77	0.77
13 <del>1</del> 4	Solid Fuel Heater	Fixed, Adjustable Air Control, 3 data points	Medium	7	10.75 <del>10.59</del>	65.1% <del>66.1%</del>	831 <del>87</del> 4 <del>1025</del>	5399 <del>5437</del> <del>6346</del>	13069 <del>14996</del>	0.71 <del>0.67</del> <del>0.57</del>	0.70 <del>0.60</del>	0.70 <del>0.61</del>
14 <del>15</del>	Air Conditioner* (Non-ducted)	Avg GEMS Register 11- 13kW non- ducted	Large	12	2.9	391%	248	1563	4253	5.0	4.3	3.7
15 <del>16</del>	Ducted Air Conditioner*	Avg GEMS Register 11- 13kW ducted	Large	12	3.0	392%	274	1684	4494	4.5	4.0	3.5
16 <del>17</del>	Electric Resistance	Multiple Fixed (e.g. 4kW + 4kW + 4kW), Thermostatic Control	Large	12	12	100%	1134	6628	15731	0.89	0.98	1.00
17 <del>18</del>	Gas Space Heater	Multiple Fixed (e.g. 6kW + 6kW), Thermostatic Control, 2 data points	Large	12	15.3	78.6%	1282	8267	19841	0.79	0.79	0.79

18 <del>19</del>	Ducted Gas	Fixed,	Large	12	15.75	75.0%	1299	8315	20036	0.76	0.77	0.77
		Thermostatic										
		Control, 3 data										
		points										
19 <del>20</del>	Solid Fuel	Fixed,	Large	12	18.46	65.0%	1343	8761	21399	0.75	0.74	0.73
	Heater	Adjustable Air										
		Control, 3 data										
		points										

## Appendix 4, Solid fuel combustion heaters

Product Type and HSEC Calculation Method:

Heater Unit	Technology Type	Characteristics	HSEC Calculation Method
<del>6</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	Fixed, Adjustable Air Control, 3 data points	<del>5</del>
13 <del>14</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	Fixed, Adjustable Air Control, 3 data points	5
19 <del>20</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	Fixed, Adjustable Air Control, 3 data points	5

Test Data:

Heater Unit	High Burn Rate: Maximum Heat Output = Full Capacity (kW)	High Burn Rate: Thermal Efficiency	High Burn Rate: Electrical Energy Consumption (kW)	Medium Burn Rate: Heat Output = "Half" Capacity (kW)	Medium Burn Rate: Thermal Efficiency	Medium Burn Rate: Electrical Energy Consumption (kW)
6	2	<del>67%</del>	<del>0.04</del>	<del>1.6</del>	<del>63%</del>	<del>0.016</del>
13 <del>1</del> 4	7	66% <del>67%</del>	0.14	5.6	70% <del>63%</del>	0.056
19 <del>20</del>	12	65%	0	9.6	70%	0

#### Test Data, continued

Heater Unit	Low Burn Rate: Heat Output = Min Capacity (kW)	Low Burn Rate: Thermal Efficiency	Low Burn Rate: Electrical Energy Consumption (kW)	Standby: Electrical Energy Consumption (W)
6	<del>1.2</del>	<del>60%</del>	<del>0.008</del>	θ
13 <del>1</del> 4	4.2	71% <del>60%</del>	0.028	05
19 <del>20</del>	8.4	75%	0	0

### Appendix 4, Calculation Results:

Heater	Technology Type	Full	Full	Full	Full	Standby,	Heating	Heating	Heating
Unit		Capacity:	Capacity:	Capacity:	Capacity:	Pia (W)	Season	Season	Season
		Rated	Rated	Rated Input	Rated		Total Load:	Total Load:	Total Load:
		Capacity	Capacity at	Power	Efficiency		HSTL_hot	HSTL_avg	HSTL_cold
		(kW)	2degC (kW)	(kW)			(kWh/y)	(kWh/y)	(kWh/y)
1	AC <sup>◊</sup> (Non-ducted)	2	1.6, 2.5	0.5	426%	2.4	168	1086	2612
2	Electric Resistance	2	2	2	100%	5	168	1086	2612
3	Electric Resistance	2	2	2	100%	5	168	1086	2612
4	Electric Resistance	2	2	2	100%	5	168	1086	2612
5	Gas Space Heater	2	2	2.5	80.6%	0.4	168	1086	2612
<del>6</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	<del>2</del>	<del>2</del>	<del>3.03</del>	<del>66.1%</del>	θ	<del>168</del>	<del>1086</del>	<del>2612</del>
6 <del>7</del>	Gas Decorative App.	2	2	4.4	45.8%	0.4	168	1086	2612
7 <del>8</del>	AC <sup>◊</sup> (Non-ducted)	7	5.7, 6.5	1.8	390%	4.9	587	3801	9141
8 <del>9</del>	Ducted AC <sup>◊</sup>	7	5.7, 6.5	1.8	388%	12.1	587	3801	9141
9 <del>10</del>	Electric Resistance	7	7	7	100%	15	587	3801	9141
10 <del>11</del>	Electric Resistance	7	7	7	100%	15	587	3801	9141
11 <del>12</del>	Gas Space Heater	7	7	8.9	78.6%	0.7	587	3801	9141
12 <del>13</del>	Ducted Gas	7	7	9.33	75.0%	5	587	3802	9142

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>•</sup> For air conditioners (AC), an average of the GEMS register data was used to define inputs; the two values of rated capacity at 2°C are the default value provided by the ACCM and the average of the GEMS data for this product size; the rated input power is defined at 7°C; and the rated efficiency is the average ACOP.

Addendum to the Space Heating Comparison Methodology: Public Consultation

13 <del>14</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	7	7	10.75 <del>10.59</del>	65.1%	05	587	3801	9141
					<del>66.1%</del>				
14 <del>15</del>	AC <sup>◊</sup> (Non-ducted)	12	9.8, 10.1	2.9	391%	19.2	1007	6517	15670
15 <del>16</del>	Ducted AC <sup>◊</sup>	12	9.8, 10.0	3.0	392%	20.7	1007	6517	15670
16 <del>17</del>	Electric Resistance	12	12	12	100%	15	1007	6517	15670
17 <del>18</del>	Gas Space Heater	12	12	15.3	78.6%	0.7	1007	6517	15669
18 <del>19</del>	Ducted Gas	12	12	15.75	75.0%	5	991	6416	15429
19 <del>20</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	12	12	18.46	65.0%	0	1007	6517	15670

Calculation Results, continued

Heater Unit	Technology Type	Heating Season Energy Consumption: HSEC _hot (kWh/y)	Heating Season Energy Consumption: HSEC _avg (kWh/y)	Heating Season Energy Consumption: HSEC _cold (kWh/y)	Inactive Energy Consumption: IAEC _hot (kWh/y)	Inactive Energy Consumption: IAEC _average (kWh/y)	Inactive Energy Consumption: IAEC _cold (kWh/y)
1	AC <sup>^</sup> (Non-ducted)	41	286	736	6	6	5
2	Electric Resistance	168	1086	2607	13	12	12
3	Electric Resistance	176	1140	2737	13	12	12
4	Electric Resistance	185	1195	2867	13	12	12
5	Gas Space Heater	202	1310	3158	1.1	1.0	1.0
<del>6</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	<del>281</del>	<del>1802</del>	<del>4276</del>	<del>0.0</del>	0.0	0.0
6 <del>7</del>	Gas Decorative App.	355	2307	5559	3.4	3.0	2.4
7 <del>8</del>	AC <sup>^</sup> (Non-ducted)	123	905	2488	12	13	11
8 <del>9</del>	Ducted AC <sup>^</sup>	135	977	2662	30	32	27
9 <del>10</del>	Electric Resistance	587	3801	9123	40	36	37
10 <del>11</del>	Electric Resistance	587	3801	9123	127	112	92
11 <del>12</del>	Gas Space Heater	744	4819	11572	6	5	4
12 <del>13</del>	Ducted Gas	745	4904	11853	42.4	37.3	30.5
13 <del>14</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	831 <del>983</del>	5399 <del>6308</del>	13069 <del>14965</del>	0 42.4	0 <del>37.3</del>	0 <del>30.5</del>
14 <del>15</del>	AC <sup>^</sup> (Non-ducted)	200	1512	4211	48	51	43
15 <del>16</del>	Ducted AC <sup>^</sup>	223	1629	4448	52	55	46
16 <del>17</del>	Electric Resistance	1007	6516	15640	127	112	92
17 <del>18</del>	Gas Space Heater	1276	8261	19837	6	5	4
18 <del>19</del>	Ducted Gas	1257	8278	20005	42.4	37.3	30.5
19 <del>20</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	1343	8761	21399	0.0	0.0	0.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>^</sup> For air conditioners (AC), an average of the GEMS register data was used to define inputs; inactive energy consumption was calculated from the 0.4 multiplied by the standby power (Pia) times inactive hours, as per the ACCM; HSEC was determined from Annual Energy Use minus Inactive Energy Consumption; and Overall Product Efficiency was calculated from the ratio of HSTL to HSEC.

Calculation Results, continued

Heater	Technology Type	Annual Energy	Annual Energy	Annual Energy	Overall Product	Overall Product	Overall Product
Unit		(kWh/y)	(kWh/y)	(kWh/y)	_hot	_average	_cold
1	AC <sup>^</sup> (Non-ducted)	47	292	742	4.06	3.80	3.55
2	Electric Resistance	181	1098	2619	0.93	0.99	1.00
3	Electric Resistance	189	1152	2749	0.89	0.94	0.95
4	Electric Resistance	198	1207	2880	0.85	0.90	0.91
5	Gas Space Heater	203	1311	3159	0.83	0.83	0.83
<del>6</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	<del>281</del>	<del>1802</del>	<del>4276</del>	<del>0.60</del>	<del>0.60</del>	<del>0.61</del>
6 <del>7</del>	Gas Decorative App.	358	2310	5561	0.47	0.47	0.47
7 <del>8</del>	AC <sup>^</sup> (Non-ducted)	135	918	2499	4.8	4.2	3.7
8 <del>9</del>	Ducted AC <sup>^</sup>	165	1009	2689	4.4	3.9	3.4
9 <del>10</del>	Electric Resistance	627	3837	9160	0.94	0.99	1.00
10 <del>11</del>	Electric Resistance	715	3913	9215	0.82	0.97	0.99
11 <del>12</del>	Gas Space Heater	750	4824	11576	0.78	0.79	0.79
12 <del>13</del>	Ducted Gas	787	4942	11884	0.75	0.77	0.77
13 <del>14</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	831 <del>874 1025</del>	5399 <del>5437 6346</del>	13069 <del>13100</del>	0.71 <del>0.67</del> <del>0.57</del>	0.70 <del>0.60</del>	0.70 <del>0.61</del>
				<del>14996</del>			
14 <del>15</del>	AC <sup>^</sup> (Non-ducted)	248	1563	4253	5.0	4.3	3.7
15 <del>16</del>	Ducted AC <sup>^</sup>	274	1684	4494	4.5	4.0	3.5
16 <del>17</del>	Electric Resistance	1134	6628	15731	0.89	0.98	1.00
17 <del>18</del>	Gas Space Heater	1282	8267	19841	0.79	0.79	0.79
18 <del>19</del>	Ducted Gas	1299	8315	20036	0.76	0.77	0.77
19 <del>20</del>	Solid Fuel Heater	1343	8761	21399	0.75	0.74	0.73

# 4.0 Next steps

## **Exploring policy options**

There is no easy way for consumers to compare the energy performance of different heater types, such as air conditioners (heat pumps), gas space heaters and electric resistance heaters. The outputs of the comparison methodology could be used to communicate to consumers performance information about space heaters including their capacity, annual energy use, energy efficiency, running costs and greenhouse gas emissions. Accessible performance information would help consumers to choose the most suitable heating systems for their needs.

The department will be exploring options for enabling consumers to make easy energy performance comparisons of residential space heaters. Subject to appropriate approvals, including through the Equipment Energy Efficiency (E3) Program, the department may release a Regulation Impact Statement (RIS) for public comment and submissions in 2025. It would describe the policy problem to be resolved, why government action is needed, what policy options are being considered, what is the likely net benefit of each option, and who will be consulted and how.

### Complex heater types

Some work has been done, and is continuing, to develop methods for the more complex heater types, such as electric resistance underfloor heating systems (including directly under flooring, in-screed and in-slab) and hydronic (gas, electric resistance, solar or heat pump) heating systems. The Space Heating Comparison Methodology: Public Consultation paper focused on describing a comparison methodology that initially applies to the more common simple heater types.

Work will continue to develop calculation methods for more complex heaters, based on a similar approach to the calculation methods already developed for the simpler heaters. The department will consult with relevant stakeholders for these technologies as part of developing these product methods. Once ready, the product method papers for more complex heaters will be published for broader consultation.

## Get in touch

For enquiries about this work, please contact:

- for Australian stakeholders, the Australian Government Department of Climate Change, Energy the Environment and Water (DCCEEW), by emailing <a href="mailto:spaceheating@dcceew.gov.au">spaceheating@dcceew.gov.au</a>.
- for New Zealand stakeholders, the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority (EECA) of New Zealand, by emailing <u>star@eeca.govt.nz</u>.