# Furfural

| CAS number: | 98-01-1 |
| --- | --- |
| Synonyms: | 2-Furaldehyde, 2-furancarboxyadehyde |
| Chemical formula: | C5H4O2 |
| Structural formula: | — |

 Workplace exposure standard (amended)

| TWA: | **0.2 ppm (0.8 mg/m3)** |
| --- | --- |
| STEL: | **—** |
| Peak limitation: | **—** |
|  Notations: | **Carc .2, Sk.** |
| IDLH: | **100 ppm** |
| **Sampling and analysis:** The recommended value is quantifiable through available sampling and analysis techniques.  |

## Recommendation and basis for workplace exposure standard

A TWA of 0.2 ppm (0.8 mg/m3) is recommended to protect for upper respiratory tract and eye irritation in exposed workers.

## Discussion and conclusions

Furfural is commonly used as a solvent and as an intermediate in the production of various domestic and commercial products (ACGIH, 2018). Critical effects include upper respiratory tract irritation, eye irritation and photophobia.

Workers exposed to furfural concentrations between 1.6 and 4.2 ppm reported headaches, nasal bleeding, burning sensation and irritation of eyes, nose and throat as well as shortness of breath (ACGIH, 2018). ACGIH (2018) assigned a TLV-TWA of 0.2 ppm based on human case studies.

Limited carcinogenicity data are available in humans, but available animal data suggests increased incidence of cancer following oral and inhalation exposures (ACGIH, 2018; DFG, 2002).

A TWA of 0.2 ppm is recommended as assigned by ACGIH to protect for irritant effects in exposed workers.

## Recommendation for notations

Classified as a category 2 carcinogen according to the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS).

Not classified as a skin sensitiser or respiratory sensitiser according to the GHS.

A skin notation has been recommended based on evidence suggesting potential dermal absorption and adverse systemic effects in animals.

# Appendix

### Primary sources with reports

| Source Year set Standard  |
| --- |
| SWA 1991 TWA: 2 ppm (7.9 mg/m3) |
|  |
| ACGIH 2017 TLV-TWA: 0.2 ppm (0.8 mg/m3) |
| Summary of data:TLV-TWA of 0.2 ppm (0.8 mg/m3) recommended to minimise potential of upper respiratory tract and eye irritation in exposed workers. This value is based on human case studies, including studies which indicated that workers experienced nasal bleeding, burning sensation in eyes/nose/throat and shortness of breath or chest tightness, light sensitivity, among other complications, when exposed to concentrations between 1.6–4.2 ppm. No further explanation on derivation of TLV-TWA provided. Limited human carcinogenicity data exists, but animal studies suggest an increased incidence of tumours following oral and inhalation exposure, hence an A3 classification (confirmed animal carcinogen with unknown relevance to humans) was assigned. Insufficient information to recommend a STEL or SEN notation. Human data:* Workers exposed at concentrations between 5–16 ppm experienced eye and respiratory tract irritation, with some indicating nasal bleeding, throat dryness and sun sensitivity
* Two NIOSH health hazard evaluations reported similar symptoms in workers exposed to concentrations between 1.6 and 4.2 ppm; and concentrations between 1.6 and 2.1 ppm
* Genotoxicity testing involving human lymphocytes reported a strong induction of sister-chromatid exchange following exposure
* No evidence of sensitisation in humans.

Animal data:* LC50 (rats, 1 h inhalation): 995 ppm (males), 1056 ppm (females)
* LD50: 50–127 mg/kg (rats, oral)
* LD50: 192 mg/kg (rats, dermal), which supports a skin notation
* Eye and upper respiratory tract irritation reported in rats exposed to 38 ppm for 1 h/d, 5 d/wk for 7, 15 or 30 d. Hepatotoxicity was additionally reported at after 30 d exposure
* Immunotoxic effects reported in rats exposed to 40 ppm for 1 h/d, 5 d/wk for 7, 15 or 30 d
* NOAEL: <20 mg/m3 (5.1 ppm) based on rats exposed to concentrations (0–1,280 mg/m3, 3–6 h/d, 5 d/wk, 28 d); study findings indicated effects strongly linked to duration rather than concentration
* Inhalation: Dose-dependent increase in nasal epithelium adenomas and carcinomas in rats and mice (2-yr inhalation study, 4 dose groups ranging from 0–32 ppm, 6 h/d, 5 d/wk for 105 wk); at 32 ppm, increase in renal tubule degeneration, adenomas or carcinomas in male mice; no liver lesions reported in either mice or rats
* Both positive and negative genotoxicity test results have been presented, therefore the mutagenicity of furfural remains unclear.
 |
| DFG 2002 Not assigned |
| No MAK recommended for furfural due to evidence it can contribute to carcinogenic risk and a genotoxic mechanism cannot be disregarded.Summary of additional data:Human data:* Negative results for sister-chromatid exchange on human lymphocytes.

Animal data:* LC50: 175 ppm (rats, 6 h)
* LD50: 125 mg/kg (rats and mice, oral)
* Hamsters exposed to concentrations of 552 ppm (2,208 mg/m3) displayed eye and nose irritation, liver damage and significant weight loss
* Rats exposed to 40 ppm(160 mg/m3) for 1 h, 5 d/wk, 6 wk showed irritation in eyes and nose, and damage to type II pneumocytes
* Carcinogenic studies demonstrated an increase in the incidence of liver tumours in mice given 175 mg/kg.
 |
| SCOEL NA NA |
| No report. |
| OARS/AIHA NA NA |
| No report. |
| HCOTN NA NA |
| No report. |

### Secondary source reports relied upon

| Source |  | Year | Additional information |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NICNAS |  | 2013 | * LOAEL: 20 mg/m3 (rats, repeat dose inhalation) for respiratory tract effects
* NOAEL: 8 mg/m3 (rats, inhalation)
* Most *in vitro* genotoxicity studies produced positive results, whilst most *in vivo* studies had negative results
* Studies did not show evidence of reproductive or developmental toxicity.
 |
| IARC |  | 1995 | * Classified as Group 3 (not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity) based on limited evidence in animals.
 |
| US EPA |  | 1988 | * Oral RfD (0.003 mg/kg/d) based on LOAEL (11 mg/kg/d) for mild hepatocellular vacuolization (rat, oral sub-chronic study).
 |
| OECD |  | 2008 | * NOAEL and LOAEL of 77 and 448 mg/m3, respectively (hamsters, inhalation).
 |
| US NIOSH |  | 1994 | * TWA: 2 ppm (8 mg/m3)
* IDLH based on acute inhalation toxicity data in humans.
 |

### Carcinogenicity — non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogens

| Is the chemical mutagenic? | Insufficient data |
| --- | --- |
| Is the chemical carcinogenic with a mutagenic mechanism of action? | Insufficient data |
| **Insufficient data are available to determine if the chemical is a non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogen.** |  |

## Notations

| Source | Notations  |
| --- | --- |
| SWA | Skin |
| HCIS | Carcinogenicity – category 2 |
| NICNAS | Carc. Cat 3, Skin |
| EU Annex | Carcinogenicity – category 2 |
| ECHA | Carc. 2 |
| ACGIH | Carcinogenicity – A3, Skin |
| DFG | H (skin) |
| SCOEL | NA |
| HCOTN | NA |
| IARC | Carcinogenicity – Group 3 |
| US NIOSH | — |

NA = not applicable (a recommendation has not been made by this Agency); — = the Agency has assessed available data for this chemical but has not recommended any notations

### Skin notation assessment

| Calculation  |
| --- |
|

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Adverse effects in human case study: | *Insufficient Data* | 4.00 |   |   |
| Dermal LD50 ≤1000 mg/kg: | *Yes* | 3.00 |   |   |
| Dermal repeat-dose NOAEL ≤200 mg/kg: | *Insufficient Data* |   |   |   |
| Dermal LD50/Inhalation LD50 <10: | *Yes* |   |   |   |
| *In vivo* dermal absorption rate >10%: | *No data* |   |   |   |
| Estimated dermal exposure at WES >10%: | *No data* |   |   |   |
|   |   | 3 | **Consider assigning a skin notation** |

 |

### IDLH

| Is there a suitable IDLH value available? | Yes |
| --- | --- |

## Additional information

| Molecular weight: | 96.09 |
| --- | --- |
| Conversion factors at 25°C and 101.3 kPa:  | 1 ppm = 3.93 mg/m3; 1 mg/m3 = 0.255 ppm |
| This chemical is used as a pesticide: |[ ]
| This chemical is a biological product: |[ ]
| This chemical is a by-product of a process: |[ ]
| A biological exposure index has been recommended by these agencies: | [x]  ACGIH [ ]  DFG [ ]  SCOEL  |

## Workplace exposure standard history

| Year | Standard |
| --- | --- |
| 1991 | TWA: 2 ppm (7.9 mg/m3) |
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