

# **ISOPROPYL ETHER**

CAS number: 108-20-3 Synonyms: Diisopropyl ether, 2-isopropoxypropane, isopropyl ether, 2,2'-oxybispropane Chemical formula: C6H14O Structural formula: --Workplace exposure standard (interim)

TWA: 250 ppm (1,040 mg/m<sup>3</sup>)

STEL: 310 ppm (1,300 mg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Peak limitation: —

Notations:

IDLH: 1,400 ppm

**Sampling and analysis:** The recommended value is quantifiable through available sampling and analysis techniques.

## Recommendation and basis for workplace exposure standard

An interim TWA of 250 ppm (1,040 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) is recommended to protect for eye and mucous membrane irritation in exposed workers.

A STEL of 310 ppm (1,300 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) is recommended to protect for intoxication and depression in relation to acute exposures in exposed workers.

A review of additional data sources is recommended at the next scheduled review.

# **Discussion and conclusions**

Isopropyl ether is primarily used as a solvent.

Critical effects of exposure are eye and mucous membrane irritation.

Limited human exposure data are available. In humans, exposures at 300 ppm resulted in one in three subjects reporting an unpleasant odour. Exposure at 800 ppm resulted in eye and nose irritation (ACGIH 2018). DFG (2005) derived MAK partially from the NOAEC of 480 ppm in rats for local and systemic effects; the next highest concentration of 3,300 ppm only increased liver and kidney weights. Therefore, given the concentration gap of about 10 fold and minor symptoms between the NOAEC and LOAEC in this study, it is not considered sufficient evidence to lower the TWA.

Given the absence of available long-term exposure data, an interim TWA of 250 ppm is recommended to limit irritant effects based on the recommendation by ACGIH (2018). Evidence of irritation in humans following short-term exposures supports the recommendation of a STEL at 310 ppm. A review of additional data sources is recommended at the next scheduled review to address the absence of chronic toxicological data.



# **Recommendation for notations**

Not classified as a carcinogen according to the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS).

Not classified as a skin sensitiser or respiratory sensitiser according to the GHS.

A skin notation is not warranted based on the available evidence.



# **APPENDIX**

#### Primary sources with reports

-	-						
Source	Year set S	itandard					
SWA	1991	TWA: 250 ppm (1,040 mg/m³); STEL: 310 ppm (1,300 mg/m³)					
ACGIH	2001	TLV-TWA: 250 ppm (1,040 mg/m³); TVL-STEL: 310 ppm (1,300 mg/m³)					
<ul> <li>TLV-TWA recommended to minimise the risk of eye and mucous membrane irritation in exposed workers (derivation of TLV-TWA not stated).</li> <li>Summary of data:</li> <li>Human data: <ul> <li>Exposure at 500 ppm for 15 min (inhalation) not considered irritating: <ul> <li>1/3 subjects reported an unpleasant odour at 300 ppm</li> <li>800 ppm for 5 min resulted in eye and nose irritation</li> </ul> </li> <li>Odour reported as more irritating than ethyl ether.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Animal data: <ul> <li>LD<sub>50</sub>: 4.6–11.4 g/kg (rats, oral)</li> <li>Acute oral exposure symptoms include intoxication, respiratory failure caused by depressant action and fatality</li> <li>Exposure at 10,000 ppm (monkeys, rabbits, guinea pigs, 1 h, 20 d, inhalation) symptoms included intoxication and depression</li> <li>Exposure at 1,000 ppm (monkeys, rabbits, guinea pigs, 3 h, 20 d, inhalation) produce no deleterious effects</li> <li>Dermal exposure reported to cause dermatitis.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>							
DFG	2005	MAK: 200 ppm (850 mg/m³)					
Derivation of M an unpleasant Summary of ac • LC <sub>50</sub> : 3 • Expose • no sp • the ma • NO • NO • NO • NO • NO • NO • NO • NO	IAK based on a odour at 300 pp ditional data: 36,000 ppm (mid ure at 480, 3,30 change in body ermatids, liver a e next highest c ales DEL 480 ppm fo L: >7,060 ppm ( L: 430 ppm (rat pmental toxicity ered a skeletal ve results in mu	NOEL of 480 ppm for local and for systemic effects and reports of om in humans. ce, 15 min) 0 and 7100 ppm (rats, 6 h/d, 5 d/wk, 90 d, inhalation): 7 weight, clinico-chemical parameters, number of sperms and and kidney weights, histopathological effects in any of the group oncentration of 3,300 ppm only increased liver and kidney weights in ar liver and kidney effects (rats, 90 d, inhalation) for neurotoxic effects s, 6 h/d, gestation day 6–15, inhalation) for maternal and r, only one usual finding was reported at 3,095 ppm which could be variation tagenic assays.					



Source	Year set	Standard
SCOEL	NA	NA
No report.		
OARS/AIHA	NA	NA
No report.		
HCOTN	NA	NA
No report.		

#### Secondary source reports relied upon

Source		Year	Additional information		
ECHA	✓	2011	<ul> <li>LD<sub>50</sub>: &lt;2,000 mg/kg (rabbit, dermal)</li> <li>Negative results in skin sensitiser study.</li> </ul>		
US NIOSH	✓	1994	• LC <sub>50</sub> : 28,486 ppm (rabbit).		

### Carcinogenicity — non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogens

Is the chemical mutagenic?

No

The chemical is not a non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogen.

### **Notations**

Source	Notations
SWA	NA
HCIS	NA
NICNAS	NA
EU Annex	NA
ECHA	NA
ACGIH	NA
DFG	NA
SCOEL	NA
HCOTN	NA
IARC	NA
US NIOSH	NA

NA = not applicable (a recommendation has not been made by this Agency); — = the Agency has assessed available data for this chemical but has not recommended any notations



#### Skin notation assessment

Calculation					
	Adverse effects in human case study:	no			
	Dermal LD <sub>50</sub> ≤1000 mg/kg:	no			
	Dermal repeat-dose NOAEL ≤200 mg/kg:				
	Dermal LD <sub>50</sub> /Inhalation LD <sub>50</sub> <10:				
	In vivo dermal absorption rate >10%:				
	Estimated dermal exposure at WES > 10%:				
		a skin notation is not warranted			

#### IDLH

Is there a suitable IDLH value available?

Yes, based on LEL

# Additional information

Molecular weight:	102.18		
Conversion factors at 25°C and 101.3 kPa:	1 ppm = Number mg/m³; 1 mg/m³ = Number ppm		
This chemical is used as a pesticide:			
This chemical is a biological product:			
This chemical is a by-product of a process:			
A biological exposure index has been recommended by these agencies:	ACGIH DFG SCOEL		

# Workplace exposure standard history

Year		Standard		
Click here to enter	year			

## References

American Conference of Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH<sup>®</sup>) (2018) TLVs<sup>®</sup> and BEIs<sup>®</sup> with 7<sup>th</sup> Edition Documentation, CD-ROM, Single User Version. Copyright 2018. Reprinted with permission. See the <u>TLVs<sup>®</sup> and BEIs<sup>®</sup> Guidelines section</u> on the ACGIH website.

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (2005) Diisopropyl ether – MAK value documentation.

European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (2011) Diisopropyl ether – REACH assessment.

US National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) (1994) Immediately dangerous to life or health concentrations – isopropyl ether.