

BENZENE

CAS number:	71-43-2			
Synonyms:	Benzol, coal naphtha, cyclohexatriene, phenyl hydride			
Chemical formula:	C ₆ H ₆			
Workplace exposure standard (amended)				
TWA:	0.2 ppm (0.7 mg/m³)			
STEL:	-			
Peak limitation:	_			
Notations:	Carc. 1A, Sk.			
IDLH:	500 ppm			
Sampling and analysis:	The recommended value is readily quantifiable through currently available sampling and analysis techniques.			

Recommendation and basis for workplace exposure standard

A TWA of 0.2 ppm (0.7 mg/m³) is recommended to reduce the risk of leukaemia and other adverse effects in exposed workers.

Discussion and conclusions

Benzene is a known human carcinogen with evidence presented in various epidemiological studies in occupational settings and supported by experimental animal studies of both oral and inhalation routes. Exposure to benzene at the workplace is associated with increased risk of leukaemia, anaplastic anaemia and changes in hematologic parameters (ACGIH, 2018; HCTON, 2014).

The evidence suggests an indirect genotoxic mode of action *via* chromosomal aberrations in haematopoietic cells as the key mechanism in the development of leukaemia. Therefore, it is considered that a threshold concentration likely exists (ECHA, 2018; HCTON, 2014).

There are a range of LOAEL (0.5 ppm to 1 ppm) and NOAEL (0.6 ppm to 0.9 ppm) for critical effects of haematotoxicity, genotoxicity and carcinogenicity in exposed workers (HCTON, 2014; SCOEL, 1991). To account for uncertainties associated with LOAEL and NOAEL ranges, a factor of three was applied to the lowest value to derive a TWA of 0.2 ppm (rounding down; 0.7 mg/m³). This value is considered to reduce the risk of leukaemia and other adverse effects associated with exposure to benzene at the workplace (ECHA, 2018; HCTON, 2014).

Recommendation for notations

Classified as a category 1A carcinogen according to the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS).

Not classified as a skin sensitiser or respiratory sensitiser according to the GHS.

A skin notation is recommended based on the evidence for the potential significant contribution of dermal absorption to total dose.

APPENDIX

Primary sources with reports

Source	е	Year set	Standard				
SWA		2003	TWA: 1 ppm (3.2 mg/m³)				
ACGIH	4	2001	TLV-TWA: 0.5 ppm (1.6 mg/m³); TLV-STEL: 2.5 ppm (8 mg/m³)				
TLV-T	TLV-TWA and TLV-STEL recommended to reduce the risk of leukaemia in exposed workers.						
Summ	ary of da	ta [.]					
Humar	•						
	Critical adverse effects include changes in haematologic parameters, anaplastic anaemia and leukaemia.						
•	Reporte	ed human fat	ality after exposure to 20,000 ppm for 5–10 min				
•	 A study of 459 workers at a rubber production plant reported a significant correlation between haematologic parameters (numbers of large lymphocytes, white and red cell counts, and haemoglobin) and estimated exposure concentrations (Pliofilm study) 						
	o pc	ssible inaccu	rracies in haematological data were noted				
•	Estimat of 45 p		dditional leukaemia deaths per 1,000 related to a cumulative exposure				
•	Exposu	ire ≥1 ppm oʻ	ver a working lifetime resulted in excess leukaemia mortality				
•							
•	• TLV-STEL recommended based on a dose rate dependant hypothesis suggesting a threshold dose to target cells is required before bone marrow toxicity occurs						
•							
•	Potential of 20–40% of total exposure due to skin absorption based on scenario of direct contact combined with skin surface exposure to airborne concentration.						
Anima	l data:						
•	Study in rodents reported haematopoietic depression at 103 ppm (5 d)						
•	Studies in mice reported reduced bone marrow cellularity ≥100 ppm (6 h/d; 5 d/wk for 2 wk)						
•	Mice exposed to 300 ppm for 6 h/d, 5 d/wk for life developed myelogenous leukaemia (2/40 in the high dose group).						
Genoto	oxicity:						
•							
•	In vivo and in vitro assays report induction of clastogenesis, SCE and micronuclei						
•							
•							
DFG		2018	ΝΑ				
	K assign		s sufficient evidence of a human cancer risk associated with exposure.				

Source						
	Year set	Standard				
SCOEL	1991	TWA: 1 ppm (3.25 mg/m³)				
TWA recommended to minimise the risk of haematotoxic effects, chromosomal damage and leukaemia.						
Summary of additional data:						
 Not pot 	ssible to est	ablish a LOAEL or NOAEL for haematotoxic effects in humans				
 LOAEL: 1–10 ppm (3.2–32 mg/m³) for chromosomal aberrations in peripheral lymphocytes of exposed workers 						
 Skin a 	bsorption ma	ay contribute to total intake and skin notation is recommended				
 LOAEL: 10 ppm (32 mg/m³) for non-genotoxic effects of haematopoietic system in mice (178 and 70 d) 						
	L: 1–10 ppm nuclei) in rats	(3.2–32 mg/m ³) for chromosomal damage (induction of SCE and s and mice.				
OARS/AIHA	NA	NA				
No report.						
HCOTN	2014	TWA: 0.2 ppm (0.7 mg/m³)				
		ological studies involving worker exposure and associated ity and carcinogenicity.				
Summary of a						
 Epidemiologic studies and case studies provide clear evidence of causal association between exposure to benzene and leukaemia 						
		oplying UF of 3 to a 'starting point' of 0.6 ppm (2 mg/m ³)				
 'starting point' LOAEL range (0.5–1 ppm) and NOAEL (0.6–0.9 ppm) range from studies on workers and haematotoxicity, genotoxicity and carcinogenicity 						
 LD₅₀: > 8,260 mg/kg (rabbit, dermal) 						
 A skin notation recommended based on a calculated critical absorption value (CAV) exceeding the, 'criteria threshold value' of 0.35 µg/cm²/h 						
 CAV for benzene calculated at 200–400 μg/cm²/h 						
Predominantly negative results in bacterial mutagenicity assays						
Positive in vivo chromosomal aberration assays and micronucleus tests						
Carcinogenicity likely through chromosomal aberrations						
 Weigh 	t of evidence	e suggests indirect genotoxic mode of action.				
Secondary source reports relied upon						

Source		Year	Additional information	
NICNAS	~	2001	•	Dermal absorption rate reported at 0.4 mg/cm ² /h.
US EPA	~	2003		Unit risk calculation analysis (based on Pliofilm data) considered different combinations of factors concerning the extrapolation model used and choice of exposure estimates Unit risk estimates for 1 ppm (3.2 mg/m^3) range from $8.6 \times 10^{-5} - 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$.

Source		Year	Additional information		
ECHA	~	2018	 Concluded a threshold mode of action for chromosomal damage (aneugenicity and clastogenicity) in workers Derived TWA OEL of 0.05 ppm for chromosomal damage in bone marrow OEL based on a LOAEC of 1 ppm (for chromosomal damage in peripheral lymphocytes of workers) and the application of uncertainty factors of 2 (intraspecies) and 10 (ECHA guidance for LOAC to NOAEC conversion) Proposed OEL is considered to be associated with no significant residual cancer risk and prevention of other adverse effects No STEL Skin notation warranted. 		

Carcinogenicity — non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogens

Is the chemical mutagenic?

No

The chemical is not a non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogen.

Notations

Source	Notations
SWA	Carc. 1A
HCIS	Carcinogenicity – category 1A
NICNAS	Carcinogenicity – category 1A
EU Annex	Carcinogenicity – category 1A
ECHA	Carcinogenicity – category 1A
ACGIH	Carcinogenicity – 1A; Skin
DFG	Carcinogenicity – 1; H (skin)
SCOEL	Carcinogenicity – A
HCOTN	Carcinogenicity – category 1A; Skin
IARC	Carcinogenicity – Group 1
US NIOSH	_

NA = not applicable (a recommendation has not been made by this Agency); — = the Agency has assessed available data for this chemical but has not recommended any notations

Skin notation assessment

Calculation	
Adverse effects in human case study:	
Dermal LD ₅₀ ≤1000 mg/kg:	
Dermal repeat-dose NOAEL ≤200	
mg/kg:	
Dermal LD ₅₀ /Inhalation LD ₅₀ < 10:	
<i>In vivo</i> dermal absorption rate >10%:	

Calculation		
Estimated dermal exposure at WES > 10%:	yes	
		insufficient data to assign a skin notation

IDLH

Is there a suitable IDLH value available? Yes

Additional information

Molecular weight:	78.11
Conversion factors at 25°C and 101.3 kPa:	1 ppm = Number mg/m ³ ; 1 mg/m ³ = Number ppm
This chemical is used as a pesticide:	
This chemical is a biological product:	
This chemical is a by-product of a process:	\checkmark
A biological exposure index has been recommended by these agencies:	✓ ACGIH ✓ DFG ✓ SCOEL

Workplace exposure standard history

Year	Standard
Click here to enter year	

References

American Conference of Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH[®]) (2018) TLVs[®] and BEIs[®] with 7th Edition Documentation, CD-ROM, Single User Version. Copyright 2018. Reprinted with permission. See the <u>TLVs[®] and BEIs[®] Guidelines section</u> on the ACGIH website.

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (2018) List of MAK and BAT Values – MAK value documentation.

European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (2018) Opinion on scientific evaluation of occupational exposure limits for Benzene – REACH assessment.

EU Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits (SCOEL) (1991SUM/140) Recommendation from the Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits for benzene. SCOEL/SUM/140.

Health Council of the Netherlands (HCOTN) (2014) Benzene. Health-based recommended occupational exposure limit. The Hague: Health Council of the Netherlands; publication no. 2012/32.

International Agency of research on Cancer (IARC) (2012) Benzene. IARC Monograms on the evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans.

National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) (2018) Benzene – Priority Existing Chemical Report No. 21

Tenth Adaptation to Technical Progress Commission Regulation (EU) No 2017/776 amending, for the purposes of its adaptation to technical and scientific progress, Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures (the CLP Regulation).

US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) (2003) Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) Chemical Assessment Summary

US National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) (1994) Immediately dangerous to life or health concentrations – Benzene.