Impacts of the proposed workplace exposure limits for 9 chemicals

Consultation response template

Use this template if you’re making your submission by uploading onto the [Consultation Hub](https://consult.swa.gov.au/wel-consultation).

When completing this template, select the chemical for which you want to make a response. To add responses for additional chemicals, **use the plus button (+)** at the end of the form to repeat the process.

**Chemical:** Choose an item.

**1) What policy option do you support?**

[ ]  Option 1: Retain the current workplace exposure standard (WES).

[ ]  Option 2: Change to the proposed workplace exposure limit (WEL).

**1a) Please explain why you support the option you have chosen.**

Click or tap here to enter text.

**2) If you support Option 2, what timeframe would you consider necessary to comply with the proposed exposure limit if it was implemented?**

Click or tap here to enter text.

**3) What challenges will your business, employer or industry experience if Option 2 is implemented? Where possible, please provide evidence to support your response.**

Click or tap here to enter text.

**4)** **What proportion of workers use the chemical at your business or workplace?**

[ ]  Less than 5%

[ ]  5% – 25%

[ ]  26% – 50%

[ ]  51% – 75%

[ ]  76% – 100%

**5) What additional controls, beyond what you currently use, will your business, employer or industry need to manage worker exposure to the chemical if the proposed WEL (Option 2) is implemented?**

If available, please provide average annual cost information and identify the type of control (i.e., isolation, engineering, administrative or personal protective equipment).

Click or tap here to enter text.

**6) What management costs (e.g. air monitoring, occupational hygienist), beyond what you currently incur, will your business, workplace or industry need if the proposed WEL (Option 2) is implemented?**

If available, please provide average annual cost information to support your response.

Click or tap here to enter text.

**7) What direct financial benefits would the proposed WEL (Option 2) provide if it is implemented? Where possible, please provide evidence to support your response.**

Examples of direct benefits, attributed to reduced workplace exposure to airborne contaminants include (but are not limited to):

* reduced direct compensation costs, like benefits paid directly to workers or their family,
* reduced costs paid to workers as income replacement,
* reduced medical costs, and
* reduced costs involved with a worker returning to work following occupational disease or legal costs associated with compensation related expenses.

Click or tap here to enter text.

**8) What other quantifiable health benefits would the proposed WEL (Option 2) provide if it is implemented? Where possible, please provide evidence to support your response.**

Click or tap here to enter text.

**9) What other indirect benefits could the proposed WEL (Option 2) provide if it is implemented? Where possible, please provide evidence to support your response.**

Examples of indirect benefits include, but are not limited to, reduced productivity losses and reduced burden on support networks (family and friends) due to occupational diseases.

Click or tap here to enter text.

**10) What other benefits could the proposed WEL (Option 2) provide if it is implemented? Where possible, please provide evidence to support your claims.**

Click or tap here to enter text.

**11) Is there any other information WHS ministers should consider in making their decision?**

Click or tap here to enter text.