# Bromoform

| CAS number: | 75-25-2 |
| --- | --- |
| Synonyms: | Tribromomethane, methyl tribromide,  methenyl tribromide |
| Chemical formula: | CHBr3 |

Workplace exposure standard (retained)

| TWA: | **0.5 ppm (5.2 mg/m3)** |
| --- | --- |
| STEL: | **—** |
| Peak limitation: | **—** |
| Notations: | **—** |
| IDLH: | **850 ppm** |
| Sampling and analysis: | The recommended value is readily quantifiable through currently available sampling and analysis techniques. |

## Recommendation and basis for workplace exposure standard

A TWA of 0.5 ppm (5.2 mg/m3) is recommended to protect for possible liver and kidney effects in exposed workers. This TWA is also expected to protect for irritation of the respiratory tract and eyes.

## Discussion and conclusions

Bromoform is used as a chemical intermediate, in the synthesis of pharmaceuticals and a solvent for waxes, greases and oils.

Effects in humans following exposure to vapour are reported as irritation of the respiratory tract, pharynx and larynx, lacrimation and salivation. Lethargy, headache and vertigo are also reported at smaller doses (ACGIH, 2018).

A one month feeding study in rats reported vacuolisation and swelling of the liver and a LOAEL of 56.4 mg/kg (ACGIH, 2018). When converted, a 70 kilogram worker exposed to a TWA of 0.5 ppm, breathing 10 m3 of air over an eight hour shift would receive a dose orders of magnitude below this reported LOAEL. Therefore, in the absence of additional data, and in line with the ACGIH TWA-TLV, a TWA of 0.5 ppm is recommended. The recommended TWA is considered to be protective for liver and kidney effects in exposed workers as reported in animal studies and protective for irritation and lacrimation reported in humans.

## Recommendation for notations

Not classified as a carcinogen according to the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling on Chemicals (GHS). In a two year study in rats, the incidence of neoplastic changes in the large intestine was clearly increased in female rats but not significantly increased in male rats. The carcinogenic classification appears inconsistent with the data examined. No carcinogenicity notation is recommended at this time and a review of the carcinogenicity classification is recommended.

Not classified as a skin sensitiser or respiratory sensitiser according to the GHS.

A skin notation is not recommended as there is no indication of systemic effects resulting from skin absorption.

# Appendix

### Primary sources with reports

| Source Year set Standard |
| --- |
| SWA 1991 TWA: 0.5 ppm (5.2 mg/m3) | |
|  |
| ACGIH 2009 TLV-TWA: 0.5 ppm (5.2 mg/m3) |
| TLV-TWA recommended to protect for possible liver and kidney effects and for upper respiratory tract irritation and lacrimation in exposed workers.  Summary of data:  Human data:   * Quantitative exposure-response information not available * Exposure to vapour reported to cause effects including irritation of the respiratory tract, pharynx and larynx, lacrimation and salivation * Reported CNS depression with coma and loss of reflexes following accidental ingestion * Lethargy, headache, and vertigo resulting from smaller doses (no further information) * Absorbed through human breast skin *in vitro* with a dermal absorption coefficient of 0.21 cm/h at 25⁰C.   Animal data:   * LOAEL of 56.4 mg/kg for liver effects (rats, oral, 1 mo) * Male and female rats developed histological changes in liver and thyroid following a range of doses in drinking water (>90 d) * effects were reversed in groups given tap water for 90 d following dosing * reversibility suggest adaptive changes rather than adverse effects * Some evidence of carcinogenicity in male rats and clear evidence of carcinogenicity in female rats based on increased incidences of adenomatous polyps and adenocarcinomas of the large intestine (up to 200 mg/kg, corn oil gavage, 5 d/wk, 103 wk) * no evidence of carcinogenicity in mice dosed simultaneously (up to 100 mg/kg) * Aberrant crypt foci in colons of rats (but not mice) following administration in drinking water (1,100 mg/L, 13 wk).     Inconsistent and inconclusive results in genotoxicity tests:   * positive, negative and inconclusive in *Salmonella* mutagenicity tests * mutagenic in *Salmonella* RSJ100 strain but no significant result when human whole blood cultures expressing and lacking GST were assessed using the Comet assay * DNA damage reported in *E. coli* * positive result on *Drosophila* sex-linked recessive lethal assays * negative result in *Drosophila* reciprocal translocation assays * positive in mouse lymphoma cell mutation assay * SCE positive against various cell lines * chromosomal aberrations in bone marrow reported in rats (up to 253 mg/kg intraperitoneally) but not in mice * no DNA strand breaks reported in rats (F-344; up to m80 mg/kg *via* oral gavage).   .  Insufficient evidence to recommend a skin or sensitiser notations. |
| DFG 1996 Not assigned |
| Insufficient evidence to establish a MAK.  Summary of additional data:   * Results from *in vivo* and *in vitro* tests suggest some genotoxic potential * Dermal LD50: >1,000 mg/kg * Carcinogen category 3B: animal studies have yielded evidence of carcinogenic effects that is not sufficient for classification of the substance in one of the other categories. |
| SCOEL NA NA |
| No report. |
| OARS/AIHA NA NA |
| No report. |
| HCOTN NA NA |
| No report. |

### Secondary source reports relied upon

| Source |  | Year | Additional information |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| US EPA |  | 1987 | * Based on inadequate human data and sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals Classification – B2; probable human carcinogen * Genotoxic in several assay systems * Structurally related to other trihalomethanes which have been verified as either probable or possible carcinogens. |

### Carcinogenicity — non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogens

| Is the chemical mutagenic? | Insufficient data |
| --- | --- |
| Is the chemical carcinogenic with a mutagenic mechanism of action? | Insufficient data |
| **Insufficient data are available to determine if the chemical is a non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogen.** | |

## Notations

| Source | Notations |
| --- | --- |
| SWA | NA |
| HCIS | NA |
| NICNAS | NA |
| EU Annex | NA |
| ECHA | NA |
| ACGIH | Carcinogenicity – A3 |
| DFG | Carcinogenicity – 3B |
| SCOEL | NA |
| HCOTN | NA |
| IARC | Carcinogenicity – Group 3 |
| US NIOSH | NA |

NA = not applicable (a recommendation has not been made by this Agency); — = the Agency has assessed available data for this chemical but has not recommended any notations

### Skin notation assessment

| Calculation |
| --- |
| |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Adverse effects in human case study: |  |  |  |  | | Dermal LD50 ≤1000 mg/kg: | no |  |  |  | | Dermal repeat-dose NOAEL ≤200 mg/kg: |  |  |  |  | | Dermal LD50/Inhalation LD50 <10: |  |  |  |  | | *In vivo* dermal absorption rate >10%: |  |  |  |  | | Estimated dermal exposure at WES >10%: |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  | **a skin notation is not warranted** | | |

### IDLH

| Is there a suitable IDLH value available? | Yes |
| --- | --- |

## Additional information

| Molecular weight: | 252.75 |
| --- | --- |
| Conversion factors at 25°C and 101.3 kPa: | 1 ppm = Number mg/m3; 1 mg/m3 = Number ppm |
| This chemical is used as a pesticide: |  |
| This chemical is a biological product: |  |
| This chemical is a by-product of a process: |  |
| A biological exposure index has been recommended by these agencies: | ACGIH  DFG  SCOEL |

## Workplace exposure standard history

| Year | Standard |
| --- | --- |
| Click here to enter year |  |
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