

# DIMETHYLAMINE

**CAS number:** 124-40-3

Synonyms: DMA, N-methylmethamine, methanamine, N-methyl-

Chemical formula: C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>7</sub>N

Structural formula: —

Workplace exposure standard (amended)

TWA: 2 ppm (3.8 mg/m<sup>3</sup>)

STEL: —

Peak limitation: —

Notations: —

IDLH: 500 ppm

**Sampling and analysis:** The recommended value is quantifiable through available sampling and analysis techniques.

### Recommendation and basis for workplace exposure standard

A TWA of 2 ppm (3.8 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) is recommended to protect for irritation of the eyes, nose and upper airways in exposed workers.

The previous STEL of 6 ppm (11 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) is recommended to be withdrawn as there is a lack of evidence for immediate acute toxicity within ten times of the recommended TWA.

# **Discussion and conclusions**

Dimethylamine is used as an accelerator in vulcanising rubber, tanning, manufacture of soaps, solvents, pharmaceutical preparations and in textile chemicals. Certain fish, meat, dairy and grains contain dimethylamine.

Critical effects of exposure include irritation of the respiratory and gastrointestinal tract. Very limited toxicological data in humans are available. A NOEC of 10 ppm was reported based on the effects in the respiratory and nasal epithelium in animals in a two-year inhalation study (ACGIH, 2018). NICNAS (2015) and SCOEL (1991) considered the NOEC of 10 ppm as a LOAEC. Another study showed rats and mice exposed at 10 ppm for 12 months developed lesions of the nasal epithelium (DFG, 2002).

The current TWA of 2 ppm is recommended to be retained based on the weight of evidence. The recommended TWA is protective for irritation effects reported in animals. No data was identified to support the recommendation of a STEL.

# **Recommendation for notations**

Not classified as a carcinogen according to the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS).

Not classified as a skin sensitiser or respiratory sensitiser according to the GHS. Sensitisation is reported in animal studies and a review of the classification of skin sensitisation is recommended.



There are insufficient data to recommend a skin notation.

# APPENDIX

# Primary sources with reports

Source	Year set	Standard		
SWA	1991	TWA: 2 ppm (3.8 mg/m³); STEL: 6 ppm (11 mg/m³)		
ACGIH	2014	TLV-TWA: 5 ppm (9.2 mg/m³); TLV-STEL: 15 ppm (27.6 mg/m³)		
TLV-TWA and and GIT.	TLV-TWA and TLV-STEL recommended to minimise the potential for irritation of the respiratory and GIT.			
Summary of da	ata:			
Human data:				
	a presented.			
Animal data:				
	-	ive to eyes and skin of test animals		
	4,700 ppm (ra	pm (mice); 573 ppm (rats)		
		s of toxicity at 5 ppm continuous exposure for 90 d (rats, guinea pigs,		
	, dogs and m	nonkeys); interstitial inflammatory changes noted in lungs of each		
•		espiratory irritation in rats and mice; 6 h/d, 5 h/d for 2 yr		
		t 175 ppm, moderate at 50 ppm with interstitial inflammatory changes gs of each species		
o cor	centration-de	ependent toxicity noted		
<ul> <li>Positiv</li> </ul>	e sensitisatio	n response in the guinea pig.		
TLV-TWA and	TLV-STEL b	ased on reported NOEC of 10 ppm in rats; no derivation provided		
		end respiratory sensitisation or skin notation.		
Unpleasant od	our at TLV-T	WA level.		
DFG	1993	MAK: 2 ppm (4 mg/m³)		
		ect for mucosal damage in workers		
Summary of da	ata:			
Human data:				
One in	<ul> <li>Highly caustic and can produce 'chemical burns' to skin; potential eye damage from vapour</li> <li>One inadequate inhalation study identified; unspecific methodology; experimental data and parameters missing.</li> </ul>			
Animal data:				
<ul> <li>LC<sub>50</sub>: 4,700 ppm (rats, 4 h); 1 h exposure resulted in laboured breathing, restlessness or apathy and convulsions; marked signs of irritation of the exposed mucous membranes of the mouth, nose and eyes</li> <li>Continuous inhalation exposure of 15 rats, 15 guinea pigs, 3 rabbits, 2 dogs and 3 monkeys to 5 ppm (9 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) for 90 d; no symptoms of toxicity identified</li> <li>No exposure-related lesions in rats exposed to 10, 30 or 100 ppm for 6 h/d, 5 d/wk for 90 d</li> <li>Rats and mice exposed to 10 ppm 6 h/d, 5 d/wk for 12 mo developed lesions of the nasal epithelium</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>No inc study i</li> </ul>	rease in the i n mice	ncidence of neoplasms identified in a 2 yr inhalation carcinogenicity		
<ul> <li>MAK c</li> </ul>	f 2 ppm base	ed on nasal lesions in animals at 10 ppm; no derivation details provided.		

Source	Year set	Standard
SCOEL	1991	8-hour TWA: 2 ppm (3.8 mg/m³); STEL: 5 ppm (9.4 mg/m³)
Summary of additional data:		
<ul> <li>Considered 10 ppm NOEL (reported in ACGIH, 2018) as an LOAEC; applied UF of 5 to derive TWA</li> </ul>		
No information on derivation of STEL.		
OARS/AIHA	NA	NA
No report.		
HCOTN	NA	NA
No report.		

#### Secondary source reports relied upon

Source		Year	Additional information
NICNAS	✓	2015	<ul> <li>Dermal LD<sub>50</sub>: 3,900 mg/kg (species not identified)</li> <li>LOAEC of 10 ppm for local effects based on respiratory and nasal epithelia in animals</li> <li>NOAEC of 50 ppm for systemic effects based on reduced bw gain and changes in clinical chemistry and haematology in animals.</li> </ul>

### Carcinogenicity — non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogens

Is the chemical mutagenic?

No

The chemical is not a non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogen.

### Notations

Source	Notations
SWA	_
HCIS	NA
NICNAS	NA
EU Annex	NA
ECHA	NA
ACGIH	DSEN, Carcinogenicity – A4
DFG	H (skin)
SCOEL	—
HCOTN	NA
IARC	NA

Source	Notations
US NIOSH	NA

NA = not applicable (a recommendation has not been made by this Agency); — = the Agency has assessed available data for this chemical but has not recommended any notations

#### Skin notation assessment

Calculation		
Adverse effects in human case study:	no	
Dermal LD <sub>50</sub> ≤1000 mg/kg:	no	
Dermal repeat-dose NOAEL ≤200		
mg/kg:		
Dermal LD <sub>50</sub> /Inhalation LD <sub>50</sub> < 10:		
In vivo dermal absorption rate		
>10%:		
Estimated dermal exposure at		
WES >10%:		
	a skin notation is not warranted	

#### IDLH

Is there a suitable IDLH value available?

Yes

## **Additional information**

Molecular weight:	45.08
Conversion factors at 25°C and 101.3 kPa:	1 ppm = 1.84 mg/m <sup>3</sup> ; 1 mg/m <sup>3</sup> = 0.54 ppm
This chemical is used as a pesticide:	
This chemical is a biological product:	
This chemical is a by-product of a process:	
A biological exposure index has been recommended by these agencies:	□ ACGIH □ DFG □ SCOEL

# Workplace exposure standard history

Year	Standard
Click here to enter year	

#### References

American Conference of Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH<sup>®</sup>) (2018) TLVs<sup>®</sup> and BEIs<sup>®</sup> with 7<sup>th</sup> Edition Documentation, CD-ROM, Single User Version. Copyright 2018. Reprinted with permission. See the <u>TLVs<sup>®</sup> and BEIs<sup>®</sup> Guidelines section</u> on the ACGIH website.

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (1993) Dimethylamine – MAK value documentation.

EU Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits (SCOEL) (1991) Recommendation from the Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits for Dimethylamine. SCOEL/SUM/11B.

National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) (2015) Methanamine, N-Methyl-: Human health tier II assessment – IMAP report.

US National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) (1994) Immediately dangerous to life or health concentrations – Dimethylamine.

Dimethylamine (124-40-3) Safe Work Australia - 2019