



**Respondent No:** 2

**Login:** [REDACTED]

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Responded At:** Aug 22, 2023 12:50:29 pm

**Last Seen:**

Aug 22, 2023 02:21:05 am

**IP Address:**

[REDACTED]

Q1. Title, first name and surname

[REDACTED]

Q2. Email

[REDACTED]

Q3. Contact number, including area code

[REDACTED]

Q4. This submission is written on behalf of an:

Organisation

Q5. Which of the following categories best describes you? Choose more than one if applicable.

PCBU - Asbestos assessors

Q6. Which jurisdiction is your workplace in? Choose more than one if applicable.

Queensland

Q7. What industry do you operate in? Choose more than one if applicable.

Professional, Scientific and Technical Services

Q8. Publication of submission (select one)

Publish my submission online (your organisation or individual name will be identified along with your submission)

Q9. Would you like to complete the submission online or upload a document?

I would like to complete an online submission

Q10. Do you have a clear understanding of the definitions of a competent person for asbestos-related tasks? How could any misunderstandings be addressed or clarified?

Yes they are very clear

Q11. Do you see a benefit in aligning the training, qualification and experience requirements of competent persons, licensed asbestos assessors and asbestos removal supervisors for asbestos-related tasks? If so, what do you think the training, qualification and experience requirements should be? Please provide information and reasons to support your response.

We believe that these should be aligned. It would be prudent to align the removalist licensing with assessor licencing. EG. The creation of an A Class & B Class assessor licence with aligned training and experience would help industry overall by keeping more people in the field. We would also like to negate the absolute need for tertiary qualifications as we have found many good staff without these. It could be as a preferred option but not as a prerequisite. There are many competent trades people who could fulfil the role of a LAA.

**Q12. Are there any other issues regarding the definition and requirements of competent persons for asbestos-related tasks that should be considered? Please provide information and reasons to support your response.**

We believe that as per removalist licencing that the asbestos assessor licence should be company orientated as the company bears the responsibilities and liability. A combination of a Class A LAA on site to check on friable works followed by a Class B LAA to provide air monitoring & site supervision. If a company can be assessed as providing a rigorous in-house training program and the employee has completed this along with the VET course for asbestos assessor work, then through this process the relevant state WHS authority should recognise this and issue the relevant licence under the previously proposed, Class A or B LAA

---

**Q13. Is there any other additional feedback you would like to provide?**

The current requirements are making it difficult to engage and retain employees. In QLD 2 years' experience with a range of friable, surveys, sampling, jobs over that period can be quite onerous, particularly as friable jobs can be rare. We believe that this could be vastly improved by - alignment of training and levels across removalist & LAA - provision for a companies Supervisors to hold the primary Class A LAA licence (as per removalists) by proving that VET are achieved and inhouse training systems and methodologies meet standards.

---

**Q14. Please upload your submission document or any supporting information to your submission here (optional)**

not answered

---

**Q15. Terms and conditions**

I have read and understand the Engage terms and conditions (<https://engage.swa.gov.au/terms>) for making this submission.

---