# Methyl isocyanate

| CAS number: | 624-83-9 |
| --- | --- |
| Synonyms: | Isocyanic acid, methyl ester, MIC |
| Chemical formula: | C2H3NO |

 Workplace exposure standard (amended)

| TWA: | **0.02 ppm (0.047 mg/m3)** |
| --- | --- |
| STEL: | **0.06 ppm (0.14 mg/m3)** |
| Peak limitation: | **—** |
|  Notations: | **Sk., DSEN, RSEN** |
| IDLH: | **0.12 ppm (0.28 mg/m3)** |
| **Sampling and analysis:** The recommended value is quantifiable through available sampling and analysis techniques.  |

## Recommendation and basis for workplace exposure standard

A TWA of 0.02 ppm (0.047 mg/m3) is recommended to protect for irritation of the eyes and mucous membranes in exposed workers.

A STEL of 0.06 ppm (0.14 mg/m3) is recommended to protect for acute irritation of the eyes and mucous membranes in exposed workers.

## Discussion and conclusions

Methyl isocyanate is used as a chemical intermediate in the production of a wide variety of insecticides and herbicides and in the production of polyurethane foams and plastics.

The critical effects of exposure are irritation of the eyes and mucous membranes. In one study, volunteers exposed for one to five minutes reported no irritation at 0.4 ppm. Irritation of the mucous membranes is evident at 2 ppm with eye irritation beginning at 4 ppm. Exposure to 21 ppm was immediately intolerable. Another study reported irritation of the eyes after one to two minutes and tearing after three to five minutes at 0.5 ppm (ACGIH, 2018; SCOEL, 2006). A RD50 of 1.3 ppm is reported in mice. No effects are seen in rats exposed at approximately 0.6 ppm (NOAEC) for six hours a day for eight days (ACGIH, 2018). Mice exposed at 1 ppm for six hours per day for four days demonstrated unspecified damage to respiratory epithelium (SCOEL, 2006).

The TWA of 0.02 ppm (0.047 mg/m3) is recommended be retained and ACGIH (2018) STEL of 0.06 ppm (0.14 mg/m3) is recommended be adopted. Based on the weight of evidence presented, these values are considered protective for irritation effects reported in humans and animals.

## Recommendation for notations

Not classified as a carcinogen according to the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS).

Classified as a skin sensitiser and respiratory sensitiser according to the GHS.

A skin notation is recommended based on the available data in animals.

# Appendix

### Primary sources with reports

| Source Year set Standard  |
| --- |
| SWA 1995 TWA: 0.02 mg/m3 (as-NCO); STEL: 0.07 mg/m3 (as-NCO) |
|  |
| ACGIH 2014 TLV-TWA: 0.02 ppm (0.047 mg/m3); TLV-STEL: 0.06 ppm (0.14 mg/m3)  |
| TLV-TWA and TLV-STEL recommended to minimise the potential for mucous membrane and eye irritation.Summary of data:Human data:* Acute irritation responses in 4 volunteers exposed for 5 min:
* 0.4 ppm no irritation
* 2 ppm minor mucous membrane irritation at 2 ppm
* 4 ppm ocular irritation
* 21 ppm intolerable
* Eye and nose irritation at 0.5 ppm for 1 min
* 30 ppm for 1 h; risk of permanent injury.

Animal data:* LC50: 6.1 ppm (rats, 6 h)
* RD50 of 1.3 and 2.9 ppm in mice
* LD50: 210 mg/kg (rabbits, dermal)
* Positive dermal sensitisation in guinea pigs
* Rats exposed to 0.15, 0.58 or 3.07 ppm for 6 h/d for 8 d:
* 0.15 or 0.58 ppm; no effects
* 3.07 ppm: organ weight changes involving the kidney, liver, testes, and lungs; histopathologic evidence of inflammation and squamous metaplasia in the nasal cavity, trachea, and bronchi.
 |
| DFG 2014 MAK: 0.01 ppm (0.024 mg/m3) |
| Evaluated as part of diisocyanates group.No data for methyl isocyanate as an individual chemical. |
| SCOEL 2006 STEL (15 mins): 0.02 ppm |
| STEL recommended to protect for irritation of the eyes and mucous membranes.Summary of additional data:* No additional data in humans
* Mice exposed 6 h/d for 4 d at 1 ppm unspecified damage to respiratory epithelium accompanied by bone marrow depression; bone marrow effects secondary to lung effects; with pronounced bronchial fibrosis at 3 ppm
* NOAEL of 0.6 ppm (study cited by ACGIH, 2018)
* Considered evidence insufficient to derive an 8 h TWA
* STEL based on:
* Irritation in humans exposed at 0.5 ppm for 1 min
* RD50 of 1.3 and 2.9 ppm in mice
* NOAEL of 0.6 ppm in rats.
 |
| OARS/AIHA NA NA |
| No report. |
| HCOTN NA NA |
| No report. |

### Secondary source reports relied upon

| Source |  | Year | Additional information |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NTP |  | 2015 | * Increased spontaneous abortions, stillbirths, pre-term babies born with birth defects and organ defects and decreased placental and foetal weights were observe following accidental exposure in the Bhopal disaster
* Reproductive and developmental LOAEC of 1 ppm in mice based on statistically significant increase in the number of dead foetuses at birth
* LOAEC of 2 ppm in mice based on developmental effects.
 |
| US EPA |  | 1991 | * No further information.
 |

### Carcinogenicity — non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogens

| Is the chemical mutagenic? | No |
| --- | --- |
| **The chemical is not a non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogen.** |  |

## Notations

| Source | Notations  |
| --- | --- |
| SWA | — |
| HCIS | Skin sensitisation – category 1, Respiratory sensitisation – category 1 |
| NICNAS | — |
| EU Annex | Skin sensitisation – category 1, Respiratory sensitisation – category 1 |
| ECHA | NA |
| ACGIH | Skin, DSEN |
| DFG | NA |
| SCOEL | NA |
| HCOTN | NA |
| IARC | NA |
| US NIOSH | NA |

NA = not applicable (a recommendation has not been made by this Agency); — = the Agency has assessed available data for this chemical but has not recommended any notations

### Skin notation assessment

| Calculation  |
| --- |
|

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Adverse effects in human case study: |   |   |   |
| Dermal LD50 ≤1000 mg/kg: | yes | 3.00 |   |
| Dermal repeat-dose NOAEL ≤200 mg/kg: |   |   |   |
| Dermal LD50/Inhalation LD50 <10: |   |   |   |
| *In vivo* dermal absorption rate >10%: |   |   |   |
| Estimated dermal exposure at WES >10%: |   |   |   |
|   |   | 3 | **consider assigning a skin notation** |

 |

### IDLH

| Is there a suitable IDLH value available? | Yes |
| --- | --- |

## Additional information

| Molecular weight: | 57.05 |
| --- | --- |
| Conversion factors at 25°C and 101.3 kPa:  | 1 ppm = Number mg/m3; 1 mg/m3 = Number ppm |
| This chemical is used as a pesticide: |[ ]
| This chemical is a biological product: |[ ]
| This chemical is a by-product of a process: |[ ]
| A biological exposure index has been recommended by these agencies: | [ ]  ACGIH [ ]  DFG [ ]  SCOEL  |

## Workplace exposure standard history

| Year | Standard |
| --- | --- |
| Click here to enter year |  |
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