# o-phenyledediamine

| CAS number: | 95-54-5 |
| --- | --- |
| Synonyms: | 1,2-Benzenediamine, o-diaminobenzene, diolene, orthamine |
| Chemical formula: | C6H8N2 |
| Structural formula: | — |

 Workplace exposure standard (retained)

| TWA: | **0.1 mg/m3** |
| --- | --- |
| STEL: | **—** |
| Peak limitation: | **—** |
|  Notations: | **Carc 2., DSEN** |
| IDLH: | **—** |
| **Sampling and analysis:** The recommended value is quantifiable through available sampling and analysis techniques.  |

## Recommendation and basis for workplace exposure standard

A TWA of 0.1 mg/m3 is recommended to protect for potential eye and skin irritation and blood dyscrasia in exposed workers.

Given the limited data available from the primary sources, it is recommended that a review of additional sources be conducted at the next scheduled review.

## Discussion and conclusions

*o*-Phenylenediamine is used in manufacturing of chemical substances such as dyes and photographic developing agents (as an intermediate that will be consumed during synthesis) and in manufacturing lubricants and corrosion inhibitors.

Critical effects of exposure are eye and skin irritation and possible blood dyscrasia.

Limited toxicological data available with no human data available. Slight irritation of the nose is reported in rats following acute inhalation. Slight skin irritation and moderate eye irritation is reported in rabbits following topical application. A single intraperitoneal injection in rats and oral dosing in cats resulted in methaemoglobin formation. A decrease in the number of erythrocytes and increased serum activities of the alkaline phosphatase (ALP), aldolase and alanine (ALA) and aspartate aminotransferase (AAT) reported in rats following oral dosing at 0.8 mg/kg/day for eight weeks. However, no further details are available (ACGIH, 2018). Carcinogenicity reported in animals by the oral route. However, carcinogenicity is not considered relevant to humans (DFG,1999).

The available data are limited to derive a standard *de novo.* The SWA TWA of 0.1 mg/m3 by ACGIH (2018) is recommended to be retained to limit any irritant effects and blood dyscrasia.

A review of the additional data sources is recommended at the next scheduled review to attempt to address the data gap.

## Recommendation for notations

Classified as a category 2 carcinogen according to the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS).

Classified as a skin sensitiser and not a respiratory sensitiser according to the GHS.

There are insufficient data to recommend a skin notation.

# Appendix

### Primary sources with reports

| Source Year set Standard  |
| --- |
| SWA 1991 TWA: 0.1 mg/m3 |
| TWA adopted from ACGIH. |
| ACGIH 2001 TLV-TWA: 0.1 mg/m3 |
| TLV-TWA recommended to minimise the potential for possible blood dyscrasia, e.g., reduction of RBC, and eye and skin irritationSummary of data:* Toxicity comparable to *p*-phenylenediamine:
* TLV-TWA of 0.1 mg/m3 to minimise potential for respiratory and skin sensitisation
* protection from eye and skin irritation, dermatitis and blood dyscrasia
* Derivation of TLV-TWA not provided.

Human data:* No human data provided.

Animal data:* LC50 male rats and male mice >56 mg/m3 (1 h), >91 mg/m3 (4 h)
* LD50: >5,000 mg/kg (rats and rabbits, dermal)
* Acute poisoning symptoms include disturbances in respiration, tremor, convulsions, excess salivation and increased excitability
* Slight nose irritation in rats following acute inhalation; no further details
* Slight skin irritation and moderate eye irritation in rabbits
* Single IP injection (10.814 mg/kg) to male rats induced 10.8% methemoglobin; no further details
* In cats, methaemoglobin formation was weak with non-lethal oral doses of 25–50 mg/kg
* A decrease in the number of RBC and increased serum activities of the ALP, aldolase and ALA and AAT in rats following 0.8 mg/kg/d for 8 wk; no further details provided
* Carcinogenic effects in liver of male rats and mice of both sex noted in limited available studies; unknown relevance to humans.

Insufficient data to recommend a sensitiser or skin notation or TLV-STEL. |
| DFG 1999 Not assigned |
| MAK not recommended due to evidence of carcinogenicity in the form of liver tumours in rats and mice.Summary of additional data:* Eye irritation observed in animal experiments
* Oral diet studies in rats and mice; significant increase in liver tumours in male rats in the high dose group (5/16, controls: 0/16), in male mice in the low dose group (5/17, controls: 0/14) and in female mice in both dose groups (6/18, 6/15; controls: 1/15).
 |
| SCOEL NA NA |
| No report. |
| OARS/AIHA NA NA |
| No report. |
| HCOTN NA NA |
| No report. |

### Secondary source reports relied upon

| Source |  | Year | Additional information |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NICNAS |  | 2013 | * Oral LD50 in cats reported as >50 and <250 mg/kg; symptoms including respiratory disturbance, agitation, methaemoglobinaemia, saltatory spasms and mottled livers
* 4 h LC50: 1,873 mg/m3 in rats; >91 mg/m3 in mice
* No reliable carcinogenicity data/studies available.
 |

### Carcinogenicity — non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogens

| Is the chemical mutagenic? | Insufficient data |
| --- | --- |
| Is the chemical carcinogenic with a mutagenic mechanism of action? | No |
| **The chemical is not a non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogen.** |  |

## Notations

| Source | Notations  |
| --- | --- |
| SWA | — |
| HCIS | Carcinogenicity – category 2, Skin sensitisation – category 1 |
| NICNAS | Carc. Cat. 3 |
| EU Annex | Carcinogenicity – category 2, Skin sensitisation – category 1 |
| ECHA | NA |
| ACGIH | Carcinogenicity – A3 |
| DFG | Carcinogenicity – 3, Sh (dermal sensitiser) |
| SCOEL | NA |
| HCOTN | NA |
| IARC | Carcinogenicity – Group 2B |
| US NIOSH | NA |

NA = not applicable (a recommendation has not been made by this Agency); — = the Agency has assessed available data for this chemical but has not recommended any notations

### Skin notation assessment

| Calculation  |
| --- |
| Insufficient data to assign a skin notation. |

### IDLH

| Is there a suitable IDLH value available? | No |
| --- | --- |

## Additional information

| Molecular weight: | 108.14 |
| --- | --- |
| Conversion factors at 25°C and 101.3 kPa:  | 1 ppm = Number mg/m3; 1 mg/m3 = Number ppm |
| This chemical is used as a pesticide: |[ ]
| This chemical is a biological product: |[ ]
| This chemical is a by-product of a process: |[ ]
| A biological exposure index has been recommended by these agencies: | [ ]  ACGIH [ ]  DFG [ ]  SCOEL  |

## Workplace exposure standard history

| Year | Standard |
| --- | --- |
| Click here to enter year |  |
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