

ROTENONE (COMMERCIAL)

CAS number: 83-79-4

Synonyms: Cube ENT 133, 5-beta-rotenone, 1,2,12a-trahydro-8,9-dimethoxy-2-(1-methylethynyl)-[1]benzopyranol-[3,4-b]furo[2,3-h][1]benzopyran-6(6H)-one

Chemical formula: C23H22O6

Structural formula: -

Workplace exposure standard (interim)

TWA: 5 mg/m³

STEL: —

Peak limitation: -

Notations: Sk.

IDLH: 2,500 mg/m³

Sampling and analysis: The recommended value is quantifiable through available sampling and analysis techniques.

Recommendation and basis for workplace exposure standard

A TWA of 5 mg/m³ is recommended to protect for potential adverse systemic and developmental effects in exposed workers.

Given the limited data available from the primary sources, it is recommended that a review of additional sources be conducted at the next scheduled review.

Discussion and conclusions

Rotenone is used as a pesticide.

Critical effects of exposure are irritation of the mucous membranes and skin, dermatitis, rhinitis and transient loss of olfaction (smell). At higher concentrations, liver damage, breathing difficulties, tremors and coma may occur.

There are no quantitative human exposure data available, a threshold for irritation is not reported in the available exposure data. In chronically exposed rats, a NOAEL of 0.2 mg/kg/day for (unspecified) tissue damage is reported (ACGIH, 2018) and equivocal evidence for carcinogenicity is reported above a NOAEL of 1.7 mg/kg/day (ACGIH, 2018; DFG, 2003). A NOAEL of 0.38 mg/kg/day is reported in a developmental multigenerational feeding study in rats (US EPA, 1988). An acute dermal dose of 100 to 200 mg/kg is lethal to rabbits (DFG, 2003).

A threshold for mucous membrane and skin irritation cannot be determined from the available toxicological data. The TWA of 5 mg/m³ by SWA and ACGIH (2018) is recommended to be retained in the interim based on an absence of complaints in the workplace at this concentration and a NOAEL of 0.2 mg/kg/day for systemic effects in rats (ACGIH, 2018). This value is also expected to be protective of potential developmental toxicity observed in rats above 0.38 mg/kg/day (US EPA, 1988). However,



further assessment of additional sources, specifically regarding quantitative data on irritation effects and skin absorption, is recommended during subsequent reviews.

Recommendation for notations

Not classified as a carcinogen according to the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS).

Not classified as a skin sensitiser or respiratory sensitiser according to the GHS.

A skin notation is recommended due to evidence of dermal absorption and contribution to adverse systemic effects.



APPENDIX

Primary sources with reports

_		-			
Source	Year set	Standard			
SWA	1991	TWA: 5 mg/m ³			
ACGIH	2001	TLV-TWA: 5 mg/m ³			
TLV-TWA intended to protect for irritation of the mucous membranes and skin, dermatitis, rhinitis, transient loss of olfaction. At higher concentrations, vomiting, drowsiness, abdominal pain, muscle tremors, incoordination, depressed respiration and coma.					
Not classifiat carcinogenic a daily dose on systemic	ble as a human ty in animals. [−] of 7 mg/d ≡5 m effects reported	a carcinogen (A4) based on negative or equivocal evidence for TLV-TWA presumably based on recommendation of a cited arting/m ³ should not be exceeded in occupationally exposed workerd in rats above 0.2 mg/kg/d.	cle that rs based		
Summary of	information:				
No adverse e Exposure at to be protecti derivation is	effects in expos TLV-TWA is ec ve of systemic discussed.	sed workers at 5 mg/m ³ since TLV-TWA establishment in 1957. quivalent to an oral dose of 0.7 mg/kg/d and considered sufficiel c effects. ACGIH notes that a lower OEL, based on particle size,	ntly low		
Human data:					
Estin	nated lethal do	ose from accidental poisoning 40 mg/kg:			
0 5	symptoms were	e drowsiness, vomiting, depressed respiration, apnoea and com	าล		
• Dermatitis near genitals, ulcerative rhinitis with anosmia and skin and mucous membrane irritation reported in workers exposed to powder (no details on exposure provided).					
Animal data:					
 Toxic 	city depends or	n particle size; suspensions less toxic than solutions:			
 mechanism of toxicity due to inhibition mitochondrial respiration at the site of interaction 					
 Oral LD₅₀: 25–132 mg/kg (rats), 1,500 mg/kg (rabbits), 13–130 mg/kg (guinea pigs) 					
• Fatty liver and kidney degeneration at 5 mg/kg/d in repeat feeding study (dogs, 1 mo):					
 10 mg/kg/d lethal 					
• Bone marrow atrophy, reduced bodyweight gain and inflammation of the forestomach at 150/300 ppm of diet (female/male) in subchronic feeding study with exposure groups 0, 75, 150, 300, 600, and 1,200 (rats, 13 wk); increased mortality at 600 ppm of diet:					
	similar study wi diet (mice, 13 v	ith exposure groups 0, 600, 1,900, 5,000, 16,000, and 50,000 p wk); increased mortality at 16,000 ppm of diet	pm of		
 NOAEL: 2 ppm of diet (0.2 mg/kg/d) for tissue damage (not specified) in chronic feeding study (rats, 2 yr): 					
	<u> </u>				

- LOAEL: 5 ppm of diet (0.5 mg/kg/d), cited article recommends daily occupational exposure should not exceed 7 mg/d
- Reduced body weight gain at 2.5 ppm of drinking water in chronic feeding study (rats, 2 yr)
- Equivocal evidence for carcinogenic activity (parathyroid adenomas) in males, but none in females, at 3.5 mg/kg/d in controlled lifetime feeding study (rats, 2 yr):
 - NOAEL: 1.7 mg/kg/d:
 - no evidence for carcinogenic activity in parallel study at 115 and 250 mg/kg/d (mice, 2 yr)



Source	Year set	Standard		
•	NOAEL: 2.5 mg/kg/d for foetal and maternal toxicity (reduced maternal body weight gain, foetal weight and ossification, and increased incidence of extra ribs) in oral dose study (rats, GD 6–15):			
	 LOAEL: 5 mg/kg/d, maternal mortality (60%) at 10 mg/kg/d 			
•	Non-genotoxic <i>in vitro</i> in bacteria and mammalian cell lines; evidence for DNA damage in leukaemia cells likely due to ATP depletion and subsequent inhibition of DNA repair.			
Insuffic	ient data to recomme	and a TLV-STEL, or notations for skin absorption, or sensitisation.		
DFG	2000	Not assigned		
Summa	ary of additional inform	mation:		
Previou animals	is MAK of 5 mg/m ³ w and humans; previo	ithdrawn due to insufficient data regarding irritation threshold in bus MAK based on systemic effects observed in animal feeding studies.		
Not cor negativ	nsidered carcinogenic e mutagenicity.	c based on available chronic carcinogenicity studies with animals and		
Skin no	tation warranted due	to low dermal LD ₅₀ in rabbits.		
Human	data:			
•	 Mild transient rash in 1 volunteer and mild stinging sensation in another when applied as powder (no further details) to armpits in volunteer study (n=4, 2 times/d, 30 d). 			
Animal	data:			
•	LD ₅₀ : 100–200 mg/k	g (rabbits, dermal)		
•	 Delayed body weight gain and increased relative liver weight at 30 mg/kg/d in subchronic feeding study with exposure group 7.5, 15, 30, 60, and 120 mg/kg/d (rats, 13 wk, also cited by ACGIH, 2018); 			
	• NOAEL: 7.5 mg/kg/d:			
	 no NOAEL dete 2018), exposure increased at 90 	ermined in similar 13 wk feeding study with mice (also cited by ACGIH, e groups 90, 285, 750, 2,400, 7,500 mg/kg/d; relative liver weights mg/kg/d, no pathological or clinical effects noted)		
• Equivocal evidence for carcinogenicity observed in chronically fed rats above a NOAEL of 1.7 mg/kg/d (rats, 2 yr, also cited by ACGIH, 2018) considered non-significant with respect to historical incidences of parathyroid adenomas in controls				
Slightly irritating to rabbit skin (no further details provided)				
 Does not interact with DNA, not considered mutagenic based on negative results of available <i>in vitro</i> studies with bacterial and mammalian cells 				
 Maternal toxicity (no further details), but no spontaneous abortions or resorptions at 40 mg/kg/d in developmental feeding study with exposure groups 1, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80 mg/kg/d (rats, GD 6–15). 				
Insuffic	ient data to recomme	and a sensitiser notation.		
SCOEL	. NA	NA		
No repo	ort.			
OARS/	AIHA NA	NA		
No repo	ort.			



Source	Year set	Standard
HCOTN	NA	NA
No report.		

Secondary source reports relied upon

Source		Year	Additic	onal information
NICNAS	×	2018	•	Tier I: agricultural and therapeutic use not assessed.
US EPA	√	1988	•	Reduced offspring weight at 1.88 mg/kg/d and reduced litter sizes at 3.8 mg/kg/d in 2 generation chronic feeding study with dose groups 0, 0.38, 1.88, and 3.8 mg/kg/d (rats, F ₀ : 105 d, F ₁ : 120 d); NOAEL: 0.38 mg/kg/d: • used principally to derive oral RfD Inhalational RfD and carcinogenic activity not assessed.
US NIOSH	✓	1994	٠	IDLH based on acute oral toxicity data in humans.

Carcinogenicity — non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogens

Is the chemical mutagenic?

No

The chemical is not a non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogen.

Notations

Source	Notations
SWA	_
HCIS	_
NICNAS	NA
EU Annex	—
ECHA	-
ACGIH	Carcinogenicity – A4
DFG	H(skin)
SCOEL	NA
HCOTN	NA
IARC	NA
US NIOSH	NA

NA = not applicable (a recommendation has not been made by this Agency); — = the Agency has assessed available data for this chemical but has not recommended any notations



Skin notation assessment

Calculation	
Adverse effects in human case study: Dermal $LD_{50} \le 1000 \text{ mg/kg}$: Dermal repeat-dose NOAEL $\le 200 \text{ mg/kg}$: Dermal LD_{50} /Inhalation $LD_{50} < 10$: <i>In vivo</i> dermal absorption rate >10%: Estimated dermal exposure at WES >10%:	yes yes a skin notation is warranted
IDLH	
Is there a suitable IDLH value available?	Yes
Additional information	
Molecular weight:	394.4
Conversion factors at 25°C and 101.3 kPa:	1 ppm = Number mg/m ³ ; 1 mg/m ³ = Number ppm
This chemical is used as a pesticide:	¥
This chemical is a biological product:	4
This chemical is a by-product of a process:	
A biological exposure index has been recommended by these agencies:	

Workplace exposure standard history

Year	Standard
Click here to enter year	

References

American Conference of Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH[®]) (2018) TLVs[®] and BEIs[®] with 7th Edition Documentation, CD-ROM, Single User Version. Copyright 2018. Reprinted with permission. See the <u>TLVs[®] and BEIs[®] Guidelines section</u> on the ACGIH website.

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (2003) Rotenone – MAK value documentation.

National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) (2018) [1]Benzopyrano[3,4-b]furo[2,3-h][1]benzopyran-6(6aH)-one,1,2,12,12a-tetrahydro-8,9-dimethoxy-2(1methylethenyl)-,[2R-(2.alpha.,12alpha.)]: Human health tier I assessment – IMAP report.

US Environmental Protection Authority (US EPA) (1988) Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) Chemical Assessment Summary – Rotenone.

US National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) (1994) Immediately dangerous to life or health concentrations – Rotenone.