# Trichloronaphthalene

| CAS number: | 1321-65-9 |
| --- | --- |
| Synonyms: | Halowax, nibren wax, seekay wax |
| Chemical formula: | C10H5Cl3 |

Workplace exposure standard (amended)

| TWA: | **—** |
| --- | --- |
| STEL: | **—** |
| Peak limitation: | **—** |
| Notations: | **Sk.** |
| IDLH: | **—** |
| **Sampling and analysis:** N/A | |

## Recommendation and basis for workplace exposure standard

This chemical has been nominated for removal from the *Workplace exposure standards for airborne contaminants* (WES)due to a lack of evidence that it is used or generated in Australian workplaces or that it presents a potential for legacy exposure. Therefore, a TWA is not recommended.

## Discussion and conclusions

Trichloronaphthalene is primarily used in lubricant and insulation for electrical wire. There is lack of evidence that this chemical is used or generated in Australian workplaces or that it presents a potential for legacy exposure.

The critical effects of exposure are chloracne and liver injury.

Limited data exists from human and animal studies. A non-fatal case of hepatitis reported in a worker exposed at 3 mg/m3 for an unknown duration (ACGIH, 2018). Inhalation exposure in rats at 1.3 ppm for 16 hours per day over two and a half months caused slight swelling of the liver (ACGIH, 2018). Liver damage is reported in factory workers after long-term inhalation exposure at 2.4 to 4.9 mg/m3 (concentrations determined in simulation of the exposure conditions) (DFG, 1999). ACGIH (2018) and DFG (HCOTN (1995) recommended occupational exposure limits of 5 mg/m3 based on comparison to other chloronaphthalenes. HCOTN stated that the administrative TWA of 5 mg/m3 is at least 10 times to high, but there are insufficient data to derive an HBROEL.

This chemical has been nominated for removal from the WES list. A TWA is not recommended.

## Recommendation for notations

Not classified as a carcinogen according to the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS).

Not classified as a skin sensitiser or respiratory sensitiser according to the GHS.

A skin notation is recommended due to evidence of dermal absorption and contribution to adverse systemic effects in humans.

# Appendix

### Primary sources with reports

| Source Year set Standard |
| --- |
| SWA 1991 TWA: 5 mg/m3 | |
|  |
| ACGIH 2001 TLV-TWA: 5 mg/m3 |
| TLV-TWA recommended to minimise the risk of liver injury and chloracne.  Summary of data:  TLV- TWA assigned based on comparison to other chloronaphthalenes.  Human data:   * Industrial experience indicates chloracne as a symptom of dermal exposure * Volunteers exposed to mist showed trichloronaphthalene to be non-allergenic as compared to pentachloronaphthalene and hexachloronaphthalene * Worker exposure at 3 mg/m3 (inhalation) resulted in a non-fatal case of hepatitis (duration unknown).   Animal data:   * Exposure at 15 mg/kg/d (rabbits, 2 mo, subcutaneous), no adverse effects observed * Exposure at 11 mg/m3 (rats, 16 h/d, 2.5 mo, inhalation) caused hepatic hypertrophy and granulocytosis: * 1.3 mg/m3 for 4 mo caused slight swelling of the liver * No adverse effects observed calves exposed at 16 and 26 mg/kg/d (7−10 d, oral): * slightly higher concentrations of tetrachloronaphthalene caused mild hyperkeratosis * similar or lower concentrations of pentachloronaphthalene and hexachloronaphthalene caused signs of intoxication * Chlorinated naphthalenes reported absorption through animal skin (no further information provided).   Skin notation assigned based on evidence of dermal absorption.  Insufficient data to recommend a sensitiser or carcinogen notation. |
| DFG 1999 Not assigned |
| Due of the lack of data, the possibility cannot be excluded that a MAK value at 1 mg/m3 may not prevent liver damage in individual persons.  Summary of additional data:   * Liver damage reported in factory workers after long-term inhalation exposure at   2.4−4.9 mg/m3 and pentachloronaphthalene concentrations of 0.5-1.0 mg/m3 (concentrations determined in simulation of the exposure conditions). |
| SCOEL NA NA |
| No report. |
| OARS/AIHA NA NA |
| No report. |
| HCOTN 2001 TWA: 5 mg/m3 |
| The committee considers the data base too poor to justify recommendation of a HBROEL. Based on the slight liver injury observed in a sub-chronic inhalation study in rats with concentrations of ≈1 and 11 mg/m3, the present MAC value of 5 mg/m3 is at least one order of magnitude too high. |

### Secondary source reports relied upon

| Source |  | Year | Additional information |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NICNAS |  | 2002 | No additional information. |

### Carcinogenicity — non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogens

| Is the chemical mutagenic? | Insufficient data |
| --- | --- |
| Is the chemical carcinogenic with a mutagenic mechanism of action? | Insufficient data |
| **Insufficient data are available to determine if the chemical is a non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogen.** | |

## Notations

| Source | Notations |
| --- | --- |
| SWA | — |
| HCIS | NA |
| NICNAS | Skin |
| EU Annex | NA |
| ECHA | NA |
| ACGIH | Skin |
| DFG | H (skin) |
| SCOEL | NA |
| HCOTN | NA |
| IARC | NA |
| US NIOSH | NA |

NA = not applicable (a recommendation has not been made by this Agency); — = the Agency has assessed available data for this chemical but has not recommended any notations

### Skin notation assessment

| Calculation |
| --- |
| |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Adverse effects in human case study: | yes | 4.00 |  | | Dermal LD50 ≤1000 mg/kg: |  |  |  | | Dermal repeat-dose NOAEL ≤200 mg/kg: |  |  |  | | Dermal LD50/Inhalation LD50 <10: |  |  |  | | *In vivo* dermal absorption rate >10%: |  | 3.00 |  | | Estimated dermal exposure at WES >10%: |  | 2.00 |  | |  |  | 2.5 | **a skin notation is warranted** | |

### IDLH

| Is there a suitable IDLH value available? | No |
| --- | --- |

## Additional information

| Molecular weight: | 231.51 |
| --- | --- |
| Conversion factors at 25°C and 101.3 kPa: | 1 ppm = Number mg/m3; 1 mg/m3 = Number ppm |
| This chemical is used as a pesticide: |  |
| This chemical is a biological product: |  |
| This chemical is a by-product of a process: |  |
| A biological exposure index has been recommended by these agencies: | ACGIH  DFG  SCOEL |

## Workplace exposure standard history

| Year | Standard |
| --- | --- |
| Click here to enter year |  |
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