# Dichloropropene

| CAS number: | 542-75-6 |
| --- | --- |
| Synonyms: | DCP, gamma-chloroallyl chloride, dichloropropylene, 1,3 Dichloro-1-propene, Trilone |
| Chemical formula: | C3H4Cl2 |

Workplace exposure standard (interim)

| TWA: | **1 ppm (4.5 mg/m3)** |
| --- | --- |
| STEL: | **—** |
| Peak limitation: | **—** |
| Notations: | **Carc. 2; Sk; DSEN** |
| IDLH: | **—** |
| **Sampling and analysis**: The recommended value is quantifiable through available sampling and analysis techniques. | |

## Recommendation and basis for workplace exposure standard

The TWA of 1 ppm (4.5 mg/m3) is recommended to reduce potential for kidney damage and irritation of the eyes, skin and respiratory tract of exposed workers.

Given the limited data available from the primary sources, it is recommended that a review of additional sources be conducted at the next scheduled review.

## Discussion and conclusions

Dichloropropane (DCP) is traditionally used in agriculture as a fumigant and pesticide through soil injection or drip irrigation.

Available human data for DCP is largely limited to observations of workers exposed to elevated concentrations of DCP in industrial settings, which reported subclinical nephrotoxic effects. A sub-chronic study using various animal species reported a NOAEC of 1 ppm for effects on the kidney with a LOAEC of 3 ppm based on slight, reversible and microscopic changes observed in kidneys of male rats (ACGIH, 2018). While reported cases of malignant histiocytic leukaemia in humans exposed to DCP (ACGIH, 2018) indicate its suspected carcinogenic potential, there are insufficient epidemiological data to support its classification as a known or presumed human carcinogen.

The recommended TWA of 1 ppm (4.5 mg/m3) is derived directly from the NOAEC in rats in line with the ACGIH recommendation. Given the absence of supporting data in the primary sources a priority evaluation is recommended at the next scheduled review.

## Recommendation for notations

Classified as a category 2 carcinogen, according to the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS).

Classified as skin sensitiser and not a respiratory sensitiser according to the GHS.

A skin notation is recommended based on evidence of dermal absorption and adverse systemic effects in animals and reports of acute workplace exposures.

# Appendix

### Primary sources with reports

| Source Year set Standard |
| --- |
| SWA 1991 TWA: 1 ppm (4.5 mg/m3) | |
|  |
| ACGIH 2005 TLV-TWA: 1 ppm (4.5 mg/m3) |
| TLV-TWA is recommended to minimise the potential for reversible kidney damage and irritation of the eyes, skin and respiratory tract in exposed workers.  Summary of data:  Human data:   * The effects of sub-chronic exposure on kidney and liver functions were examined for 14 Dutch workers employed in the flower bulb industry * average airborne concentrations ranged 1.9–18.9 mg/m3 * exposure led to a decrease in serum total bilirubin and serum creatinine concentrations and an increase in urine albumin and RBP * exposures to elevated concentrations may induce subclinical nephrotoxic effects * Case study reported 44 yr old male process operator in a pesticide manufacturing facility was likely sensitised to DD-95 (95% DCP) which led to acute bullous dermatitis on the dorsal skin of both feet * Malignant histiocytic leukaemia and a case of acute myelomonocytic leukaemia reported in workers (firemen and a farmer) following exposure * confounding factors suggested inadequate evidence of human carcinogenicity.   Animal data:   * LC50: 904 ppm (female rats, 4 h) and 846–990 ppm (male rats, 4 h) * LD50: 140–713 mg/kg (rats, oral) * NOAEC of 1 ppm for kidney effects (rats, guinea pigs, rabbits, dogs, inhalation, 7 h/d, 5 d/wk, 6 mo) * LOAEC of 3 ppm based on slight, reversible, microscopic changes in kidneys of male rats * no effects identified upon sacrifice 3 mo post exposure * NOAEL of 20.9 mg/m3 with LOAEL of 83.6 mg/m3 reported for mice and NOAEL of 83.6 mg/m3 with a LOAEL of 250.5 mg/m3 for rats based on urinary bladder and nasal epithelial histopathology * Increase in the incidence of bronchoalveolar adenomas observed in male mice exposed to 272 mg/m3 after 24 mo * Death of some rabbits following dermal application of 125 and 250 mg/kg as a 10% solution in corn oil * Genotoxic effects inducing DNA damage in multiple organs when administered orally; * inhalation exposure studies concluded not mutagenic to the male germ cells of rats at ≤150 ppm * Not determined as a reproductive or developmental toxin *via* inhalation.   Based on evidence of skin penetration with subsequent mortality of treated rabbits, a skin notation is assigned.  TLV-TWA based directly on the NOAEC of 1 ppm and LOAEC of 3 ppm identified in animals exposed seven hours a day and the LOAEC of 3 ppm reported in rats for slight, reversible effects on the kidneys, .  Insufficient data available to recommend a SEN notation or a TLV-STEL. |
| DFG 1998 NA |
| Summary of additional data:   * Multiple studies indicated that skin absorption, relative to inhalation, contributes to ≈2–5% of total body uptake for exposed humans * LD50: 125–504 mg/kg (human studies); significant skin irritation observed. |
| SCOEL NA NA |
| No report. |
| OARS/AIHA NA NA |
| No report. |
| HCOTN NA NA |
| No report. |

### Secondary source reports relied upon

| Source |  | Year | Additional information |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| IARC |  | 1999 | * Sufficient evidence in experimental animals for carcinogenicity, but no epidemiological data relevant to its carcinogenicity in humans is available * Classified as “possible carcinogen to humans” (Group 2B). |
| NTP |  | 2019 | * Positive testing for chromosome aberrations in mice. |
| US EPA |  | 2000 | * Inhalation RfC of 0.02 mg/m3 recommended based on a daily inhalation exposure of the general human population * No available human epidemiological studies; as such, inhalation RfC was derived based on chronic inhalation study in mice and observed hypertrophy/hyperplasia of nasal respiratory epithelium * Assessment of inhalation carcinogenicity is based on Lomax study (1989) in rats and mice exposed to up to 272 mg/m3 for 6 h/d, 5 d/yr for 2 yr * IUR of 4 x 10-6 per µg/m3 is based on protection of lung cancer. |
| US NIOSH |  | 2018 | * TWA 1 ppm (5 mg/m3) is recommended, with a skin notation. |

### Carcinogenicity — non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogens

| Is the chemical mutagenic? | Insufficient data |
| --- | --- |
| Is the chemical carcinogenic with a mutagenic mechanism of action? | Insufficient data |
| **Insufficient data are available to determine if the chemical is a non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogen.** | |

## Notations

| Source | Notations |
| --- | --- |
| SWA | Skin, Sen |
| HCIS | — |
| NICNAS | NA |
| EU Annex | NA |
| ECHA | NA |
| ACGIH | Carcinogenicity – A3, Skin |
| DFG | Carcinogenicity – 2; H (skin), Sh (dermal sensitiser) |
| SCOEL | NA |
| HCOTN | NA |
| IARC | Carcinogenicity – Group 2B |
| US NIOSH | SK:SEN |

NA = not applicable (a recommendation has not been made by this Agency); — = the Agency has assessed available data for this chemical but has not recommended any notations

### Skin notation assessment

| Calculation |
| --- |
| |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Adverse effects in human case study: | yes | 4.00 |  |  | | Dermal LD50 ≤1000 mg/kg: | yes | 3.00 |  |  | | Dermal repeat-dose NOAEL ≤200 mg/kg: | *No data* |  |  |  | | Dermal LD50/Inhalation LD50 <10: | Yes |  |  |  | | *In vivo* dermal absorption rate >10%: | *No data* |  |  |  | | Estimated dermal exposure at WES >10%: | *No data* |  |  |  | |  |  | 3 | **a skin notation is warranted** | | |

### IDLH

| Is there a suitable IDLH value available? | No |
| --- | --- |

## Additional information

| Molecular weight: | 110.97 |
| --- | --- |
| Conversion factors at 25°C and 101.3 kPa: | 1 ppm = 4.54 mg/m3; 1 mg/m3 = 0.22 ppm |
| This chemical is used as a pesticide: |  |
| This chemical is a biological product: |  |
| This chemical is a by-product of a process: |  |
| A biological exposure index has been recommended by these agencies: | ACGIH  DFG  SCOEL |

## Workplace exposure standard history

| Year | Standard |
| --- | --- |
| Click here to enter year |  |
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