# Diethanolamine

| CAS number: | 111-42-2 |
| --- | --- |
| Synonyms: | 2,2’-Iminodiethanol, DEA, bis(hydroxyethyl)amine, ethanol, 2,2'-iminobis |
| Chemical formula: | C4H11NO2 |
| Structural formula: | — |

 Workplace exposure standard (amended)

| TWA: | **0.11 ppm (0.5 mg/m3)** |
| --- | --- |
| STEL: | **—** |
| Peak limitation: | **—** |
|  Notations: | **Sk.** |
| IDLH: | **—** |
| **Sampling and analysis:** The recommended value is quantifiable through available sampling and analysis techniques.  |

## Recommendation and basis for workplace exposure standard

A TWA of 0.11 ppm (0.5 mg/m3) is recommended to protect for local irritation effects and effects in the liver and kidneys in exposed workers.

## Discussion and conclusions

Diethanolamine (DEA) is used in various industrial, commercial and consumer products and processes such as a liquid detergent for emulsion paints, as a corrosion inhibitor and in cosmetic formulations.

Critical effects following inhalation in animal studies include local effects on the upper respiratory tract and effects in the liver and kidneys (ACGIH, 2018; DFG 2006). Limited human data are available. A NOAEC of 1.5 mg/m3 is reported in a sub-chronic study in rats. The LOAEC is reported at 3 mg/m3 with minor, reversible effects in the upper respiratory tract (DFG, 2006; HCOTN, 2005). A NOAEL of 2 mg/kg/day reported in a drinking water study in rats with effects at 4 mg/kg/day included liver damage and death. This value is equivalent to a human inhalation concentration of 14 mg/m3 over an eight hour period (ACGIH, 2018).

HCOTN (2005) derived a TWA of 0.11 ppm (0.5 mg/m3) by dividing the NOAEC of 1.5 mg/m3 by a factor of four to account for inter- and intra-species differences. Noting the steep response curve for systemic effects in the liver of rats, a TWA of 0.11 ppm is considered sufficiently protective for the critical effects identified.

## Recommendation for notations

Not classified as a carcinogen according to the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS).

Not classified as a skin sensitiser or respiratory sensitiser according to the GHS. However, noting that occupational asthma is reported in worker studies and positive reactions reported in worker patch studies, a review of the classification for skin and respiratory sensitisation is recommended.

A skin notation is recommended based on evidence of dermal uptake and systemic effects in animals.

# Appendix

### Primary sources with reports

| Source Year set Standard  |
| --- |
| SWA 1991 TWA: 3 ppm (13 mg/m3) |
|  |
| ACGIH 2009 TLV-TWA: 0.2 ppm (1 mg/m3) |
| TLV-TWA recommended based on effects in the liver and kidneys.Summary of data:Human data:* DEA elicited positive reactions in 3% of 251 workers who underwent 2 y patch testing
* Slight increase of FEV at 0.5 mg/m3 in patient suspected to have DEA-induced occupational asthma.

Animal data:* 0.5 ppm for 6 h/d for 45 d: no effects in rats, guinea pigs, or dogs
* 0.26 ppm for 24 h/d for 90 d: liver damage in rats, guinea pigs and dogs
* 25 ppm for 6 h/d for 10 d: increased liver weight in rats
* 6 ppm for 6 h/d, 5 d/wk for 13 wk: increased liver and kidney weight, reduced rate of body weight gain and some mortalities in male rats
* Evidence suggests lower exposure concentrations for extended time periods may produce greater adverse response
* NOEL: 20 mg/kg/d (rats, oral diet); kidney and liver effects
* NOEL: 2 mg/kg/d (rats, oral drinking water); deaths and liver damage at 4 mg/kg/d; suggests steep dose-response curve
* NOEL of 2 mg/kg/d ≡ inhalation dose of 14 mg/m3 (3.2 ppm); 70 kg worker breathing 10 m3 per 8 h shift
* Dermal application to rodents produced dose-related increase in liver tumours in female mice
* LD50:12.7 mg/kg (rabbits, dermal).

No evidence of mutagenicity.No developmental effects identified.Insufficient data to recommend a STEL or SEN notation. |
| DFG 2006 MAK: 1 mg/m3 |
| Summary of additional data:* Dermal carcinogenicity study in mice observed liver and kidney tumours at 40 mg/kg
* No mutagenic effects identified
* NOAEC of 1.5 mg/m3 in rats; 3 mo inhalation study; LOAEC 3 mg/m3 for reversible upper respiratory squamous metaplasia
* MAK based on NOAEC of 1.5 mg/m3 and minimal effects at LOAEC of 3 mg/m3
* NOAEC of 50 mg/m3 for prenatal developmental effects.
 |
| SCOEL NA NA |
| No report. |
| OARS/AIHA NA NA |
| No report. |
| HCOTN 2005 TWA: 0.5 mg/m3 |
| Summary of additional data:* TWA based NOAEC of 1.5 mg/m3 for reversible squamous metaplasia of the laryngeal epithelium; assessment factor of 4 applied to account for inter- and intraspecies variation, differences between experimental conditions and the exposure pattern of the worker, and the type, low incidence, and slightness of the critical effect
* Rounded to nearest number.
 |

### Secondary source reports relied upon

| Source |  | Year | Additional information |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NICNAS |  | 2016 | * No further information.
 |

### Carcinogenicity — non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogens

| Is the chemical mutagenic? | No |
| --- | --- |
| **The chemical is not a non-threshold based genotoxic carcinogen.** |  |

## Notations

| Source | Notations  |
| --- | --- |
| SWA | — |
| HCIS | NA |
| NICNAS | NA |
| EU Annex | NA |
| ECHA | — |
| ACGIH | Carcinogenicity – A3, Skin |
| DFG | H (skin), Sh (dermal sensitiser) |
| SCOEL | NA |
| HCOTN | — |
| IARC | Carcinogenicity – Group 2B |
| US NIOSH | NA |

NA = not applicable (a recommendation has not been made by this Agency); — = the Agency has assessed available data for this chemical but has not recommended any notations

### Skin notation assessment

| Calculation  |
| --- |
|

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Adverse effects in human case study: |   |   |   |
| Dermal LD50 ≤1000 mg/kg: | yes | 3.00 |   |
| Dermal repeat-dose NOAEL ≤200 mg/kg: |   |   |   |
| Dermal LD50/Inhalation LD50 <10: |   |   |   |
| *In vivo* dermal absorption rate >10%: |   |   |   |
| Estimated dermal exposure at WES >10%: |   |   |   |
|   |   | 3 | **consider assigning a skin notation** |

 |

### IDLH

| Is there a suitable IDLH value available? | No |
| --- | --- |

## Additional information

| Molecular weight: | 105.14 |
| --- | --- |
| Conversion factors at 25°C and 101.3 kPa:  | 1 ppm = Number mg/m3; 1 mg/m3 = Number ppm |
| This chemical is used as a pesticide: |[ ]
| This chemical is a biological product: |[ ]
| This chemical is a by-product of a process: |[ ]
| A biological exposure index has been recommended by these agencies: | [ ]  ACGIH [ ]  DFG [ ]  SCOEL  |

## Workplace exposure standard history

| Year | Standard |
| --- | --- |
| Click here to enter year |  |
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