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## Introduction

## What Does Recycling Really Mean?

Recycling is the diversion or "steering away" of materials from the waste stream.


Materials or resources can be processed into new materials and/or manufactured into new products, instead of being buried in landfills as trash.


## Introduction

## What Does Recycling Really Mean?

How many pounds of waste do Americans generate per year (before recycling)?
4.4 pounds of municipal solid waste (MSW) per day x
295,734,134 United States residents
x
365 days a year
Americans throw away = 474,949,019,204 pounds per year
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## Introduction

## The Good News

Americans are recycling more - and the U.S. EPA hopes this will continue, setting a goal of $35 \%$


## Introduction

- What do/can we recycle? Can we really recycle them all?
- Fibers - Paper
- Polymers/Plastics - Multiple types
- Electronics - Old and new
- Batteries - Multiple types/hazardous
- Magnets/Motors - Multiple types with REE content
- Glass
- Organics
- Metals
- Aluminum
- Steel
- Copper
- Nickel/Zinc/Cobalt
- Rare Earths
- PGMs (Platinum/Palladium/Iridium/Osmium/Gold/Silver)


## Examples

Aluminum - 44,000 Tons Recycled

- Energy savings equivalent to powering nearly 88,000 houses for one year
95\% energy savings on the life cycle of the can Recycling one aluminum can saves enough energy to run a TV for 3 hours
Enough aluminum is thrown away to rebuild the nation's commercial air fleet 4 times every year
Greenhouse Gas Reduction equivalent to taking nearly 126,000 cars off the road per year


## Examples

## Mixed Metals - 358,000 Tons Recycled



- Energy savings equivalent to powering 292,000 houses for one year
- $74 \%$ energy savings on the life cycle of the can
- Every pound of steel being recycled saves enough energy to light a 60-watt bulb for 24 hours
- Enough iron and steel is discarded in the US to continually supply the nation's automakers
- Greenhouse Gas Reduction equivalent to taking nearly 390,000 cars off the road pepyear
- New Aluminum Cans
- New Steel Cans
- Car Parts
- Airplane Parts
- Non-Ferrous Metals (Copper,
- Bicycle Parts Zinc, Gold, etc.)
- Rebar
- New Misc. Non-ferrous Metal Parts


## Examples

Plastics - 198,000 Tons Recycled


- If we recycled every plastic bottle we used, we would keep 2 million tons of plastic out of landfills
- Energy savings equivalent to powering 86,000 houses for one year
- We use enough plastic wrap to wrap all of Texas every year
- Greenhouse Gas Reduction equivalent to taking 54,000 cars off the road per year

New Materials/Uses

Plastics Recovered

- HDPE Natural (milk jugs)
- HDPE Colored (detergent bottles)
- PET (soda \& water bottles)
- PVC (water and shampoo bottles)
- Film plastics
- Other plastics
- New Plastics Bottles
- Clothing
- Toys
- Misc. Plastic products
- Carpet Fiber
- Plastic Lumber/Decking
- Terrazzo Flooring Tile
- Car Parts
- $\quad$ Piping


## Examples

## Old Newspapers - 2,000,000 Tons Recycled

- Recycling of each ton of paper saves 17 trees and 7,000 gallons of water yearly

- Every year enough paper is thrown away to make a 12 foot wall from New York to California
- Energy savings equivalent to powering 317,000 houses for one year
- Greenhouse Gas Reduction equivalent to taking 773,000 million cars off the road per year

New Materials Made

- Newspaper
- Corrugated Boxes
- Printing \& Writing
- Office Paper
- Specialty Papers
- Tissue Paper
- Paper Towels
- Gift Wrap Paper
- Fiber Insulation (CMI cmial Matembas nsture


## Examples

## Old Corrugated Containers - 1,900,000 Tons

 Recycled- Energy savings equivalent to powering 290,000 houses for one year
- Greenhouse Gas Reduction equivalent to taking nearly $1,400,000$ cars off the road per year
- Making Corrugated Containers from virgin sources creates sulfur dioxide. Making it from recycled sources cuts the pollution in half
- Corrugated Containers manufactured from recycled products use about $75 \%$ of the energy to make them from virgin sources


## Examples



## Glass Containers - 545,000 Tons Recycled

- Recycling of one glass container saves enough energy to light a 100-watt bulb for 4 hours
- 1 ton of glass made from 50\% recycled materials saves 250 pounds of mining waste
- Glass can be reused an infinite number of times; more than 41 BILLION glass containers are made each year
- Greenhouse Gas Reduction equivalent to taking 36,000 cars off the road per year
- Energy savings equivalent to powering 14,000 houses for one year

Glass Recovered

- Clear Glass Containers
- Amber Glass Containers
- Green Glass Containers
- Blue Glass Containers
- Flat/Plate Glass

New Materials/Uses

- Glass Containers
- Flat/Plate Glass
- Decorative Landscaping
- Terrazzo Flooring Tile
- Aggregate


## Organics - Their Fate (Courtesy of BHS)



## Design - Product Centric Recycling

- Losses can be minimized
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Where do the metals go? How do we know?

Can they be recovered? Economics

Can they be reused? Practicality

Can they be recycled? Economics

Can they be separated?
If so - How?

Society's Essential Carrier Metals: Primary Product Extrattive Metallurg's sackbone lprimary and recycling
metalugyl. The metalurgy infrastructure makes a 'closed loop society and recycling possible.
Dissolves mainty in Carrier Metat it Metallic (Mainty to
Pyrometallurgyl Valuable elements recouvered from thes PyrometallurgyI Valuable elements recovered from the
lost Imetallic. speiss. compounds or alloy in Eot also determines destination as also the metallurgical conditions in
reactorl. reactor).
Compounds Mainty to Dust, Slime, Speiss, Slag (Mainty to Hydrometallurgyl Collector of valuable minor elements as oxides/s/suphatos etc. and mainly recovered in appropriate
metallurgical infrastructure if economic IEOL material and metalurgical intrastructuret sces
reactor conditions also atfect thisl.

El Mainly Recovered Element Compatible with Carrier Metal a alloying Element or that can be recovered in subsequen Processing
Et Mainty Element in Alloy or Compound in Oxidic Product, probably Lost With possible functionality, not detrimental to Carrier Metal or product lif refractory metals as oxidic in E .
product then to slog/slog also intermediate product for cement etc.l.
E1) Mainly Element Lost, not atways compatible with Carrier Mainty Element Lost, not atways compatible with Carrier
Metal or Product Detrimental to properties and cannot be economically recovered from e.g. slag unless e.g. iron is a collector and goes to further processing

Mainty to Benign Low Vatue Products Low value but inevitable part of society and materials processing. A sink lor meats and
loss from system as oxides and other compounds. Comply with strict environmental legislation.


## Gathering, Identification, Sorting, and Separations

Mind-Set Changes - Recovery

Understanding of the human factors that influence people's decisions to recycle!!
Model basis: Biomass Resource Recovery
Early emphasis - efficient collection methodologies

- Where to collect?
- What to collect?
- How to collect?
- How/where to process?
- Where to refine?


Assume: EPA national average of $4.4 \mathrm{lb} /$ per person per day MSW generation and $1 \%$ is electronic waste.
The above resource assessment map can be generalized to show approximate availability for REMADE for 2013.
(CMI Critical Materials Institure

## Metals Separations and the Future!!



## Metals Separations and the Future!!



No sorting - Recycling HALTS!!!
Automation $=$ Economics


## Current Physical Separations

A summary of separating situation for the physical separation technologies (Ruan and Xu, 2016).

| Physical separation method | Character of separation | Main advantages and disadvantages |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Magnetic separation (MS) | Separation of ferrous metals | MS was most suitable separating steel or iron but not suitable for <br> separating of non-ferrous metals |
| Eddy current separation (ECS) | Separation of ferrous and <br> non-ferrous materials | ECS was encouraged to recover non-ferrous metallic particles and <br> Separation of light particles to separate ferrous metals/other metals |
| Air current separation (ACS) | Wind velocity, particle size, particle density, etc. were the critical |  |
| from heavy particles |  |  |



## EDX Electrodynamic Sorting Project Team

Dr. James Nagel - Senior Engineer
Dave Cohrs - Research Associate
Jaclyn Ray - Graduate Research Assistant
Dawn Sweeney - Graduate Research Assistant
Jake Salgado - Undergraduate Research Assistant

Dr. Raj Rajamani - Principal Investigator

## EDX <br> Electrodynamic Sorting



We separate nonferrous metals and alloys from mixed recycled scrap

We save energy by recycling rather than mining

We create value by recovering materials which cannot be sorted using current technologies

Idaho National Laboratory

## EDX Technical Concept


technology


Spinning magnets in traditional eddy current sorters can only generate approximately 100500 Hz hence, limited to larger particle sizes (2" and above)

- Variable frequency ( $1-50 \mathrm{kHz}$ and beyond)
- Solid state / no moving parts
- Can sort particle sizes below the current practical cutoff of commercially available machines ( $1-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ typical)


## Sorting Results: Cu and AI cylinders (lab)

Throughput $=233 \mathrm{~kg} /$ hour
Recovery $(\mathrm{Al})=99.4$ \%, Recovery $(\mathrm{Cu})=98.5$
Grade $(\mathrm{Al})=97.8 \%$, Grade $(\mathrm{Cu})=\mathbf{9 9 . 5 \%}$


Mixed AI/Cu
12 mm cylinders


Belt fed prototype

## TEA Highlights

## What are other benefits of your process over a comparable process?

- EDX seeks to capture currently unrealized value in recycled metals products
- EDX sorted products stay domestic, minimizing further carbon input and import buyback premiums
- EDX can sort particles $1-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ with high grade and recovery
- Only comparable tech is Xray combined with optical methods Xray machines are upwards of \$1-2M whereas EDX would be ~10\% of that.

The U.S. Aluminum Industry

| YEAR | ALUMINUM RECOVERED <br> FROM SCRAP (MT) | TOTAL ALUMINUM <br> USAGE (MT) | ALUMINUM SCRAP <br> EXPORTS*(MT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | $2,700,000$ | $5,053,000$ | $1,913,000$ |
| 2011 | $3,110,000$ | $5,099,000$ | $2,125,000$ |
| 2012 | $3,430,000$ | $5,768,000$ | $2,034,000$ |
| 2013 | $3,480,000$ | $6,196,000$ | $1,869,000$ |
| 2014 | $3,640,000$ | $6,240,000$ | $1,718,000$ |

* Includes UBC's and Remelt Secondary Ingot.

Source: ISRI 2015

## Metals Separations and the Future!!



## Electro-Recycling Process Optimization



## Electro-Cycling vs. Conventional Acid Leach



- ER process easily best due to smaller footprint through combination of unit operations and lower use of chemicals. Feedstock cost dominates cost.


## Supercritical Fluid Recovery of REEs

- Objective: Supercritical fluid process for recovery of lanthanides.
-Demonstrate the process for recovery of lanthanides from phosphors.
-Expand the process and demonstrate recovery of lanthanides from magnets and other selected solid matrices.
- Impact: Diversion of CFL waste from landfills, recovery from magnets, etc.
- Progress: >95\% recovery of selected lanthanides from oxides. >70\% recovery of lanthanides from commercial lamp phosphors; Pursuing patent applications for IP that has been filed; finalizing a CRADA with an industrial partner.
- Next steps: Phosphor processing chemistries allowing for $\mathbf{> 9 0 \%}$ recovery of lanthanides. Finalize CRADA; execute remainder of project tasks to ensure successful deployment and application of the technology.
- 1 CRADA signed, 1 license signed
- Shift emphasis to FCCs, magnets
- Finalize CRADAllicense commercialization work on phosphors for stockpiling oxides - State desired result
- Increase budget to enhance commercial activities - 1 yr limit, \$200K



## MSX Technology

- Objective: Recover high purity REEs suitable for reuse and recycle from scrap/swarf magnets dissolved in strong acids with highly selective extractants.
- Impact: 1) REEs can be directly recycled without additional chemical/physical processing. 2) minimizes hazardous waste compared to traditional technologies.
- Progress: 1) Demonstrated the recovery of high purity REEs from a wide range of scrap/swarf magnet samples provided by industrial partners. 2) achieved higher extraction rates and REE recovery ( $>98 \%$ ) with larger area modules ( $>1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ). 3) Technology Licensed to US Rare Earths.
- Next steps: 1) Process optimization and economic analysis for scale-up including system configuration for continuous operation to maximize throughout and REE recovery. 2) engage licensee and other potential industry partners for technology commercialization, 3) prepare for pilot-scale demonstration by December 2017.
- Process optimization for magnets/e-scrap
- Scale-up testing at 1 Kg , extended term tests
- Flow sheet development
- End product - demonstrate efficient >99\% recovery



## Membrane Solvent Extraction System: Bench Scale



## Bioleaching and Bio-adsorption

- Objective: Develop microbially mediated leaching and adsorption strategies to recover REE from low-grade REE source materials
- Impact: Lower costs; accesses lower grade ores
- Progress: 1) Developed strategy using biologically produced organic acids (predominantly gluconic acid) that leach REE from EOL products, 2) Genetically engineered bacterium for high-density cell surface-display of lanthanide binding (LBT) that are selective for REEs.
- Next steps: 1) Develop bench-scale leaching/adsorption processes, 2) Evaluate the capacity of a cell-free LBT system, 3) Increase organic acid production to enhance leaching efficiency.
- Considering different commercial configurations, do economic analysis, compare cell-free with cell containing.
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