

State of the Sector 2020

The definitive global survey of the internal communication landscape.



Supported by













IC Kollectif











www.gatehousecommunication.com sayhello@gatehouse.co.uk @gatehousegroup

Introduction

For us, State of the Sector is a chance to delve into your world. A world of passion and commitment to communication. We know that communication is consistently shown to improve all areas of an organisation's performance and ultimately contribute to bottom line success.

The challenge is often in finding the data and insights to help you guide and advise leadership teams around the value it can bring. It's a challenge we have been helping communication professionals overcome for years.

Today, as a fully integrated team in Gallagher, we are able to talk about the importance of communication in line with the people agenda with more than just words. We can talk about the risk of not focussing on employees and under-investing in internal communication.

Whether it is employees becoming consumers of an organisation, the need to manage an ever-changing world whilst delivering top and bottom line growth, employee wellbeing or employees spiralling into debt – the risk of not focussing on the people agenda is real. And without internal communicators, it won't go away.

You are the glue inside the organisation the people who help shape and create the stories that show what the experience is for the employees inside your organisation. You know the risk of not focussing on people and you know you have the power to transform how people feel about work.

For over 90 years, Gallagher has been known as an insurance company. But might not be as familiar is the purpose behind what we do — to help clients face their future with confidence and support them through the challenges that can put their organisation at risk.

But this isn't just about risk management and insurance. It's about the things that make work, work: people.

You can't tackle a risk without truly understanding it and to do that, you need data and insight. This year, we're publishing our biggest ever State of the Sector report, which gives us this data. Thanks to over 1,000 communicators who completed the survey, we cover every aspect of internal communication and employee engagement. From challenges to priorities; from planning to measurement practices; and from resources to channels. Whether you simply draw inspiration from it or pick out key statistics to build a business case for your function, this report will help you understand where those risk factors might be.

This year shows progress in alignment to leadership as well as a shift from focussing on digital strategies to people. As an internal communicator reading this report, I ask you to be proud of the impact you have in the organisations you serve and use this report to help you raise your game this year.

Together, we can make the organisations we work with better.



Ben Reynolds Managing Director Gallagher's employee communication practice

Gatehouse is now a Gallagher company, which means we are now part of a larger organisation on a mission to help companies face their future with confidence. Being part of Gallagher's employee communication practice means we are now part of a team that is 170 strong, located in the UK, USA and Australia; working with over 200 clients.

Together, we focus on helping our clients with their people agenda to make their organisations better.

We provide a full range of services; from audits and insights, to creative campaigns; and from strategy development to training and recruitment.

You'll find us discussing creative ways to engage teams on financial benefits, developing AI and chatbots to make the employee experience faster and easier and helping our clients to think about what is really important to their people agenda.

This year, the core Gatehouse team has evolved. We waved goodbye to our two co-founders, Simon Wright and Lee Smith, who are off conquering new things, and we've welcomed two brand new team members. Andy Macleod leads our People Services and Excellence team, dedicated to upskilling internal communicators and providing their teams with high-calibre, experienced specialists on a permanent or interim basis. Kevin McDougall heads up our Client Services team, which is committed to delivering high quality, purposeful solutions to our amazing clients, across every sector. Both bring a wealth of experience in internal communication and employee engagement to the team, gained in-house and within agencies. And they've already started putting their skills to good use!

Want to focus on your people agenda this year? Give us a call, we'd love to help.



Who responded?



45 countries represented



Positioning of the internal communication team

83% say there is a dedicated internal communication function in their organisation – despite a smaller proportion declaring their remit solely covers internal communication. Positively, this may be indicative of good intentions within organisations to professionalise the activity and ensure it's not left by the wayside in busy periods. That said, it may also show a need for more clearly defined job specifications, and to empower internal communicators to say 'no' to certain requests. Interestingly, the proportion of survey respondents declaring internal communications report into an integrated corporate communications, PR and corporate affairs team has decreased slightly, from 52% to 48%. Most other reporting lines have stabilised over the years in terms of how common they are.

However, for the first time since launching the State of the Sector, a small proportion of

communicators (2%) said they report into a strategy, transformation and innovation team. If this isn't a sign that internal communication is becoming more influential in organisations, we don't know what is!

Budgets and resources

Another sign internal communicators are cementing their position as highly skilled, trusted specialists with a great amount of influence lies in the fact that, put simply, organisations are hiring more of them! There's no doubt that hiring and training new employees places strain on organisations, both in terms of time and financially – yet in the case of internal communicators, this is increasingly seen as an investment that will pay off.

Indeed, effective internal communications, which keep employees informed but also motivate them and recognise them for their hard work, help to reduce employee turnover across the rest of the organisation. Bearing in mind that replacing a single employee costs around 1.5 times the salary of the person being replaced, there are huge savings to be made by improving employee experiences and fostering stronger relationships between employers and their people, most notably through strategic internal communications.

Interestingly, many organisations have opted first and foremost to increase headcount within their IC team over the past year. Organisations with fewer than 500 employees, on average, now employ two IC specialists – double the headcount from 2019. And at the opposite end of the spectrum, organisations of 50,000 or more people now benefit from an IC team made up of 16 dedicated internal communicators, up from 15 last year.

This is a clear vote of confidence in IC specialists' skills, most notably people skills, creativity and strategic thinking.

Whilst salary costs have gone up with the headcount, other financial investments into

How many dedicated internal communicators are there in your organisation?

| Number of employees | FTE dedicated IC people 2020 | FTE dedicated IC people 2019 | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1-499 | 2 | 1 | |
| 500-2,499 | 3 | 2 | |
| 2,500-9,999 | 6 | 5 | |
| 10,000-49,999 | 10 | 7 | |
| 50,000+ | 16 | 15 | |

(Average responses based on employee base)

What budget is currently allocated to internal communication?

| Number of employees | Low spend 2020 | Low spend 2019 | High spend 2020 | High spend 2019 |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1-499 | £17,000 | £27,000 | £49,000 | £70,000 |
| 500-2,499 | £56,000 | £43,000 | £121,000 | £101,000 |
| 2,500-9,999 | £109,000 | £129,500 | £209,000 | £226,500 |
| 10,000-49,999 | £199,000 | £243,000 | £313,000 | £317,000 |
| 50,000+ | £470,000 | £497,500 | £562,000 | £620,500 |

(Average IC spend based on the size of the organisation)



internal communications have decreased. As

such, most organisations now spend a little

less on internal communication campaigns,

events and activities than they did in 2019.

an organisation of over 50,000 people has

dropped by nearly a tenth. And the average

low spend for an organisation of up to 500

people has gone down a massive 37%!

subscriptions are added into the mix, it is

likely organisations are spending either a

similar amount to or a little more than in 2019

on their internal communications – however, the areas benefitting from investment have

changed, in favour of human resource. Now

it's down to us as communicators to prove

that was the right choice!

Overall, once salaries and software

For example, the average high spend for



Purpose, priorities and challenges

The IC function

Further proof of the burgeoning influence of internal communication came when respondents were asked about leadership perceptions of the function. The proportion of communicators stating that they and senior leaders agree on the purpose of internal communication has been rising year on year, to reach 70% in 2020. Just five years ago, this figure was 53%! When you remember that internal communication was once seen as a glorified internal post office, regardless of what the function itself aspired to, it is astounding to see that leaders and communicators are now on the same wavelength and working towards the same goals.

The proportion of IC specialists agreeing that leaders understand the value of internal communication and see communicators as trusted advisors has remained stable over the years. Pleasingly, the proportion of people who 'strongly' agree with the statement is on the rise: whilst 17% were utterly convinced leaders viewed them as trusted advisors last year, 20% are of that opinion in 2020.

Two thirds of respondents (66%) believe internal communication is seen as a key driver of the employee experience, and a similar proportion (72%) says the function plays a critical role in capturing and amplifying employee voice. The slight disparity may be down to other functions within organisations having not realised the extent to which internal communication works to empower employees – so there is perhaps still a little way to go to strengthen the function's reputation among non-leaders. The fact that over two in five (43%) disagree that internal communication is consulted and involved at every stage of communicating change offers further evidence that other functions may not see internal communication as being a crucial piece of the organisational puzzle.

Thinking of the internal communication function, to what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding your leadership team?



Leaders understand the value of internal communication

Internal communication is seen as a key driver of the employee experience Leaders and the internal communication team agree on the purpose of the function Internal communicators are viewed by senior leaders as trusted advisors

To what extent do you agree with the following statements? Internal communication...



... has a clearly articulated purpose

... is aligned with external communication

communicating change

... has a signed-off narrative around the organisation's vision and strategy ... plays a critical role in capturing and amplifying employee voice ... is involved at all stages of

... has a long-term strategy/vision

Core activities

The aforementioned variable trust in internal communication may be linked to the level of interaction between IC and other functions. Indeed, internal communicators spend most of their time broadcasting strategic messages (88%) and corporate announcements (87%), typically to their organisation's entire workforce. The third most prevalent activity for internal communicators varies from region to region, but typically the focus remains on employees. Meanwhile, just one in three (37%) are 'very involved' in supporting functional teams' communication activities, and a paltry 16% are 'very involved' in upskilling others in communication, with a higher proportion (20%) opting out of this altogether!

Of course, internal communicators across the globe do take on a number of other responsibilities, and are typically very busy. In fact, IC involvement in every single listed activity (save for two) has remained stable over the past five years – with the remaining two activities seeing their prevalence increase over time. Whilst 69% of communicators played a role in developing and launching new communication technologies in 2015, 79% do so now (an increase of ten points). And the total proportion of communicators offering training and coaching services has increased by 50% – having reached 39% in 2020 from just 26% in 2015.

As was stated previously, priorities differ across regions. In the UK, there is increasingly a strong focus being put on driving the people agenda and improving the employee experience: nine in ten respondents (88%) said they are involved in this on a regular basis, compared with seven in ten (71%) in North America and just one in two (52%) in continental Europe. Asia Pacific is less focused on the overall employee experience, with 59% citing a regular involvement in it; however, encouraging two-way communications with employees is one of the biggest time-eaters, with 80% including this in their day-to-day remit. In North America, times are changing – 82% of IC specialists say they are 'very' or 'usually' involved in supporting organisational transformation. making this the second most prevalent activity for communicators in the region. Continental Europe is also looking to the future - specifically, IC teams are looking

to develop and launch new and innovative communication technologies.

It is clear from this that, despite people across the globe becoming ever closer (six handshakes rule, anyone?), trends are not universal. Whilst internal communication in the UK is getting stuck into what's traditionally been considered the realm of HR, our European counterparts are working alongside IT to deliver a stronger channel framework, and our colleagues across the pond are continuing to crack senior leaders by demonstrating their ability to influence organisational culture and behaviours. What's interesting is how these correlate with wider trends in the regions. For instance, the UK, in comparison to the US, has much higher average employee turnover rates, around 15%, making talent retention - and as a result, improved employee experiences - a huge priority for many organisations. Meanwhile, in Europe, supra-national organisations are on a mission to promote safe but effective digital solutions - and the European Commission lists turning the continent into a global leader in the digital economy as a key element of its political strategy.

How involved is your team in the following activities?



Top 3 activities by region

| | UK | Europe | North America | Asia Pacific | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | Communicating the strategy, vision and values | Corporate announcements | Communicating the strategy, vision and values | Corporate announcements | |
| 2 | Corporate announcements | Communicating the strategy, vision and values | Supporting organisational change | Communicating the strategy, vision and values | |
| 3 | Driving the people agenda/ improving employee experience | Developing and launching new communication technologies | Corporate announcements | Developing and launching new communication technologies | |

Looking forward – priorities for 2020

Internal communication priorities for 2020, broadly speaking, indicate that these trends are not set to change any time soon. Indeed, communicators across all regions are looking to focus their time and energy on communicating the purpose, strategy and values of their organisations in 2020, with many also planning to communicate about an upcoming change. In the UK, the third top priority will be enhancing line manager communication, with the recognition that these are key players in team effectiveness and employee engagement. In Europe, improving digital channels is, unsurprisingly, a top priority. And in North America, communicators plan to focus on developing or refreshing their internal communication strategies, presumably to better support the overall business plan and strategy within their organisations – again, with increased trust from senior leaders likely to be a beneficial side effect.

Other goals for internal communicators in 2020 include improving impact measurement and evaluation, which is tied for fifth place globally. This aligns with a trend that we are seeing more and more in our work outside of the State of the Sector: increasingly, organisations are hearing about the benefits of being data-driven, and are aspiring to implement this approach internally. Meanwhile, upskilling the IC team is half as common a pursuit as it was two years ago, with just one in ten set to focus on this in 2020, and only one in five list enhancing leadership communications as a top priority, compared with nearly one in two in 2018.

It is clear from these priorities that internal communicators are looking to take a far more strategic position, with a focus on overarching narratives, fit-for-purpose channel frameworks and long-term datadriven evolutions over short-term tactical actions – perhaps as a result of having more human resource than in previous years to do so?

Where will you be focusing your attention and investing your resources over the next 12 months?



Top 3 priorities by region

| | UK | Europe | North America | Asia Pacific |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | Communicating purpose, strategy, values | Communicating purpose, strategy, values | Developing/refreshing an internal communication strategy | Communicating purpose, strategy, values |
| 2 | Communicating a change/ transformation programme | Communicating a change/ transformation programme | Communicating purpose, strategy, values | Communicating a change/ transformation programme |
| 3 | Enhancing line manager communication | Improving digital channels | Improving digital channels | Developing/refreshing an internal communication strategy |

Barriers to success

Unlike top priorities, internal

communicators' biggest challenges are not so easy to predict. Indeed, the priorities IC teams have chosen to focus on in 2020 are not always aligned with the challenges that must be overcome. Across all regions, one of the biggest barriers to success is a volume of communications that is deemed excessive, and a resulting difficulty to cut through the noise. It would appear, from survey respondents' dedication to communicating about purpose, strategy and values in 2020, that there is an ambition to achieve success through sustained messaging and perhaps increased creativity - but is more messaging the wisest choice in the long term?

Hard-to-reach employees are another challenge faced across most locations, though respondents are opting for different techniques to overcome it: whilst the UK is placing its bets on enhanced line manager communication extending its reach, North America aims to improve its digital channels. Pleasingly, in this instance, communicators are killing two birds with one stone – the UK is looking to stamp out poor line manager communications, whilst North America is ensuring employees' professional digital experience is smooth and efficient, much like people's digital experience in their personal lives.

Interestingly, the fourth biggest challenge to internal communicators worldwide is a lack of resource within the internal communication team – perhaps a nod to the diminishing financial budget that is dedicated to this activity. Overall, however, there has been little change in what are perceived to be the obstacles in communicators' way since last year, indicating more is yet to be done to overcome these.

What are the main barriers to internal communications being a success?



Top 3 challenges by region

| | UK | Europe | North America | Asia Pacific |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | Hard-to-reach employees | Poor line manager communication skills | Volume of communication too high | Volume of communication too high |
| 2 | Poor line manager communication skills | Volume of communication too high | Hard-to-reach employees | Lack of resource within the internal communication team |
| 3 | Volume of communication too high | Lack of resource within the internal communication team | Internal technology not fit for purpose | Internal technology not fit for purpose |



IC planning

Sadly, when it comes to planning documents, there has also been little change year on year. Even going back to 2015, the progress the profession has made has been relatively minor: the proportion of IC teams with a communications plan has risen by six points, and the proportion of teams with a channel framework has gone up by four points. In some instances, we're actually doing worse: IC strategies have become more elusive (though we're willing to put the blame on organisations' own strategies evolving for the 2020s). In fact, most IC teams appear to rely first and foremost on short-term tactical documents, such as communications plans for key campaigns, in place within 65% of organisations.

There is no question that we could be doing better here, but at the very least, it is nice to see that the number of internal communicators operating with no planning documents whatsoever has decreased by a quarter since 2015.



What tools do you currently have in place?

| | 2020 | 2019 | 2015 |
|--|------|------|------|
| Written communications plan/calendar for one or multiple key campaigns | 65% | N/A | N/A |
| Written communications plan/calendar covering the entirety of your internal communications activity over a 12-month period | 55% | 57% | 49% |
| Channel framework | 46% | 45% | 42% |
| Written internal communication strategy covering a period of more than one year | 33% | 38% | 37% |
| Audience profiles/personas | 18% | 17% | 17% |
| None of these | 15% | 19% | 20% |



Despite the 'doom and gloom' of the previous few sections, all is not lost for internal communicators. In fact, many underestimate just how well they are doing. This year, we overlaid our State of the Sector responses with benchmarking data from the hundreds of audits we have conducted over the years. This data shows that the majority of employees feel decently well informed of many strategic topics – at the very least, they feel like they understand what's important for them to know. Yet as few as one in five communicators believe this is the case... Whilst 23% of IC professionals believe employees have an excellent or good understanding of the reasons behind leadership decisions, 54% of employees consider themselves knowledgeable on the subject. And whilst a third of communicators (34%) think employees are clear on how they contribute to the strategy, twice as many employees say they understand this (67%). Additionally, whilst 53% of communicators think they're doing a 'good' or 'excellent' job overall, 60% of employees would rate our work positively.

Let this be a lesson to us all not to be so hard on ourselves – and to go out and ask our audiences directly what they think of how we're doing!

How would you rate people's understanding of the following in your organisation?



10 www.gatehouse.co.uk

Listening and impact measurement

Speaking of going out and asking people how they think we're doing, if you've been reading our State of the Sector reports for a while, you know that impact measurement is a sticking point for us. Some of the first pieces of work we completed for our clients were internal communication audits, and these have remained part of our core offering – with the addition of a host of other services! So, we were mildly disappointed to see that, like last year, a fifth of internal communicators don't, or very rarely, measure their internal communications. A similar proportion (22%) describe their approach to measurement as 'advanced' or 'mature', and ensure they regularly evaluate and report back on the impact of their activity. The remainder, a considerable 58%, say they only 'occasionally' use data or metrics to check how they are performing – but that they struggle to keep up the momentum between campaigns.

This paints a rather bleak picture of the internal communication profession, as one focused on the present – with high hopes for the future but lacking the information to put it on a solid footing.

How would you describe your approach to internal communication measurement?

| Novice – we don't, or very rarely, measure our internal communications | 20% |
|--|-----|
| Evolving – we occasionally use data/metrics to check in on how we're doing but struggle to keep up the momentum between ad hoc campaigns | 58% |
| Mature – we regularly measure and report back on how our communications are landing, using mostly quantitative data/metrics | 17% |
| Advanced – we regularly measure and track our impact, effectively linking quantitative and qualitative data to strategic outcomes | 5% |

Barriers to measurement

So, what is preventing a fifth of communicators from measuring the impact of their activities? Nearly two thirds say that technological limitations are to blame - that's emailing software that doesn't track open or click-through rates, intranet platforms with convoluted analytics, and so forth. Increasingly, software developers are taking note of this frustration, and creating sophisticated dashboards enabling businesses to evaluate their effectiveness - more evidence of the world increasingly moving towards a data-driven culture - but this comes at a price that not all are prepared to pay. This is a real shame, as metrics, though basic, are an essential part of an internal communication measurement strategy, and

are one of the quickest, easiest ways to prove the IC function's worth.

With that said, it's perhaps unsurprising that businesses are not always willing to invest in software with effective measurement capability – according to 44% of respondents, the organisation simply isn't interested in knowing how well its internal communications are being received!

Other issues included a lack of time and/ or resource, and a lack of knowledge and/or skills, with the obvious short- to medium-term solution being to outsource measurement to a specialist third party. In the long term, these are certainly barriers it would be helpful to overcome in order to benefit the business, but also individual communicators in the grand scheme of their careers (particularly in light of the growing importance being placed on data and measurement across sectors and professions).



What are the main challenges you face in measuring your impact?

| Technology and/or lack of metrics available | 63% |
|---|-------------|
| Lack of time and/or resource | 56% |
| No interest from the business | 44% |
| Lack of knowledge and/or skills in data/analysis | 42 % |
| Pushback from other functions/individuals in the business | 15% |



Tactics and outcomes

Happily, when it comes to those internal communicators who actively measure the effectiveness of their communications and listen to their audiences, a wide variety of techniques are being used. Responses show that, for the most part, IC teams are combining multiple measurement methods to paint the most accurate picture possible of their activity and its impact. Organisations are evaluating various facets of internal communications, from their reach, which is most widely measured, to employees' overall satisfaction and engagement with internal messages; and from people's understanding of communications, to the medium-term impact on business outcomes.

And for each of those facets, internal communicators are utilising a few tactics. The one exception is with behaviour change and business outcomes: lower proportions of internal communicators stating they use any of the listed methods show that businesses are sticking to just one or two of these to judge how impactful internal messages are.

Some measurement tools enable communicators to track progress against a few variables: the widely used engagement survey, for instance, used by around two thirds of organisations, gives an insight not only into how happy employees are working where they do, but also how well they actually know the organisation that employs them. And qualitative feedback from communications champions, line managers or directly from employees in listening sessions or focus groups provides valuable information around understanding and just why internal communications are or aren't working.

Sadly, qualitative methods are used far less overall than quantitative methods, which are easier to deploy. Across the board, internal communicators are prioritising surveys and statistics over interviews and focus groups. In an ideal world, organisations would combine the two to maximise the quality of the data and, by extension, the insights it brings.

How do you measure internal communication?

| | Intranet and/or online analytics (page views) | 82% |
|--|---|-------------|
| | Event/webcall/webinar attendance | 65% |
| Reach | Email statistics | 59% |
| | Social media metrics (number of comments, 'likes') | 55% |
| | We don't measure how many people internal messages reach | 6% |
| | Employee engagement surveys | 69% |
| | Feedback from face-to-face events | 52% |
| | Questions and comments on the intranet/social media | 47 % |
| Understanding | Pulse surveys | 43% |
| Understanding | Listening sessions/focus groups/staff panels | 35% |
| | Feedback from line managers | 35% |
| | Feedback from communication champions | 28% |
| | We don't measure employees' understanding of internal messages | 13% |
| | Business metrics (adoption rates, absenteeism, staff turnover) | 36% |
| Pohoviour chongo | Behaviour-focused feedback from line managers | 35% |
| Behaviour change and business outcomes | Listening sessions/focus groups/staff panels | 28% |
| outcomes | Behaviour-focused feedback from communication champions | 25% |
| | We don't measure behaviour change and business outcomes | 39% |
| | Employee engagement surveys | 64% |
| | Pulse surveys/surveys | 43% |
| | Internal communication audit/survey (run in house within the past three years) | 43% |
| Employee | Listening sessions/Focus groups/Staff panels | 40% |
| satisfaction | Internal communication audit/survey (run by an external agency within the past three years) | 19% |
| | One-to-one interviews (exit interviews) | 17% |
| | Sentiment analysis | 9% |
| | We haven't measured employees' overall satisfaction with internal communication in the past three years | 11% |

STATE OF THE SECTOR • 2020

Of course, data only truly provides insights when it is analysed and subsequently used. For the majority of IC teams (72%), this is done first and foremost in a closed environment: the IC team, alone, will brainstorm future improvements to its activity. Just under half of IC teams then go on to share the insights with senior leaders, in an effort to prove the value they bring to the business. Two in five (39%) also plan to try to secure investment for future initiatives.

Surprisingly enough, just a third of internal communicators (32%) go on to share the

output of their measurement activities with the workforce they collected the data from! This is despite the fact that 72% believe a key part of their role is to capture, but also to amplify, the employee voice. Interestingly, there are some slight regional differences here, with communicators in North America far more likely to share insights with close colleagues, including leaders (56%, compared with 47% globally) and other support functions (51%, compared with 38% globally) – but less likely to play the data back to employees (28%, compared with 32% globally). This shows once again that priorities and primary audiences for IC teams vary across the globe.

Disappointingly, a noteworthy 17% (nearly one in five) say they review the data they collect but rarely use it afterwards. So, whilst external observation tells us that organisations are keen and willing to implement a data-driven culture in their organisation, they're not quite there yet.

What do you do with the output of your internal communication measurement?

| We use it within the IC team to brainstorm future improvements | 72% |
|--|-------------|
| We produce reports to prove our value to senior leaders | 47 % |
| We use it to build business cases for future initiatives | 39 % |
| We share the output with other support functions that may benefit from the information | 38% |
| We share the output with the general workforce to show they're being listened to | 32% |
| We review the data but rarely use it afterwards | 17% |





Channels

Face to face

When it comes to face-to-face channels that are used within organisations, relatively little is changing year on year.

There has been a trend for opening channels up to wider audiences, for instance in the case of webcalls, conference calls, conferences, roadshows and town halls, with the prevalence of all-employee calls and events rising, whilst those restricted to leaders and managers have stagnated or declined. This is unsurprising, given many communicators are facing a challenge around hard-to-reach and unengaged employees. That said, audience-specific channels remain slightly more effective than their all-hands counterparts: a third of communicators (33%) say conferences and roadshows for leaders and managers are 'very' effective, whilst just a quarter (26%) say the same of all-employee events.

Knowledge-sharing sessions have also seen a slight resurgence, with usage rising from 47% to 51% in the year from 2019 to 2020. Based on our experience, this is a good thing – employees are often crying out for more professional development opportunities and to share best practice, and these sessions fit the bill nicely. This also offers additional proof that internal communicators are spreading their wings and trying to better the overall employee experience – by venturing into traditionally HR-related topics and enabling people to learn how to make the most of what the organisation has to offer.



How effective would you say the following face-to-face channels are within your organisation?



Print

Unlike face-to-face channels, print channels have been steadily declining in popularity for years – and the trend has continued leading into 2020. Just one channel did not see its usage decrease: flyers and leaflets, available within three in ten organisations. Posters and banners remain the most popular print channel: two thirds of organisations (67%) use these. They are widely assumed to be one of the best ways to reach non-desk-based workers; however, in practice, just one in ten say they are 'very' effective, with one in three (33%) declaring them 'not particularly' or 'not at all' effective. In contrast, a third of communicators say employee magazines are 'very' effective, with only 1% stating they are 'not at all' effective. Yet, just 23% of organisations publish one.



How effective are the following print channels in your organisation?

Need resource at short notice?

Our experienced internal and change communications professionals are ready to join your organisation within a matter of days. Whether it's short or long term, full or part time, BAU or change focused, we have interim options across the entire UK, ready to support your requirements.

Call **Andy Macleod** today on **+44 7740 505781** or email **sayhello@gatehouse.co.uk** to discuss your recruitment needs for 2020 and beyond.

Digital

Generally speaking, digital channels have become more and more prevalent from one year to the next, as they gradually replace traditional print channels. This is down to a few things, including perceived ease of access, flexibility and, of course, ecological reasons, with glossy magazines increasingly seen as unsustainable. Luckily, most digital channels are seen to be on par with print channels when it comes to effectiveness.

The most personable, interactive digital channels, such as mobile messaging platforms, allowing employees to connect with one another, and videos, showing a more human side of leaders and colleagues, are leading the way, with around 85% of internal communicators describing them as 'very' or 'quite' effective. On the flipside, onedimensional channels like written blogs and audio podcasts are deemed less effective, with up to 50% of users stating they are 'not particularly' or 'not at all' effective.

There is evidently a lot of variation when it comes to the effectiveness of digital channels, and much of this depends on the target audience, hence the need for sophisticated measurement techniques. These techniques must take into account the fact that employees sometimes have different preferences in and outside of work. We can see that communicators this year have taken heed of the growth of podcasts and artificial intelligence outside of the workplace, and have tried to emulate this success within the workplace: the proportion of organisations offering a chatbot or other AI channel has more than doubled (going from 2% to 5%), and podcasts have seen a seven-point rise in their prevalence. It remains to be seen whether, in the long term, these bets will pay off, or whether, like mobile apps, they will be dropped in years to come.

Interestingly, whilst these evolutions in terms of channels point to a desire to replicate

people's personal digital experiences in the professional environment, the data around technology use says otherwise. Indeed, organisations are slowing down when it comes to adopting more mobilefriendly channels and accessibility rules. This is in spite of the popularity of mobile devices in employees' personal lives and the increasingly loud calls for more flexibility in working practices, including remote working. Just under two thirds of employers offer employees the chance to work from a desktop or laptop computer at home, compared with 98% who state employees access digital channels from a desktop or laptop at the office. This is actually fewer than said the same last year, which may be down to increased security concerns. Use of business-owned mobile devices is stabilising at around the two thirds mark (64%), and BYOD policies are supported in just two in five organisations, despite the perceived effectiveness of mobile messaging platforms.



How effective would you say the following digital channels are currently within your organisation?

How do employees currently access your internal digital channels?

| | 2020 | 2019 | 2015 |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|
| Desktop or laptop at the office | 98% | 99% | 97% |
| Business-owned mobile devices | 64% | 62% | 69% |
| Desktop or laptop at home | 63% | 72% | 69% |
| Personal mobile devices | 41% | 46% | 43% |

Social channels

One in two organisations (52%) currently use social channels as part of their channel mix. Most common are Microsoft-powered social channels, with all of the top three social channels owned by the software magnate. SharePoint leads by far: almost two thirds of organisations (62%) with social channels have it in place. Microsoft Teams follows closely, at 47%, and Yammer takes third place, with 39% of organisations using it. Considering that 68% of organisations now utilise Office 365, with another 18% planning to implement it, this is perhaps not surprising. But whilst social channels are slowly continuing to grow, they have still not won employees over. 43% of internal communicators say these channels are 'not particularly' or 'not at all' effective, and 52% disagree that social channels form an integral part of their channel framework. 18% call them 'terrible' and say they should be killed - nearly twice as many as say they are 'amazing' and 'the future' (11%). There is a sizeable proportion of communicators who sit on the fence, acknowledging that social channels could be great - but that they require far more investment, which, unfortunately, does not look set to increase much in 2020 or beyond.

Central to the problem with social channels seems to be a lack of understanding as to what they should be used for: just 6% of IC professionals strongly agree that employees are clear on the purpose of social channels. Meanwhile, the lack of investment can be justified by the fact that just 29% of senior leaders support and are active on social channels. Proving to senior leaders the value social channels could bring to the channel mix, and getting them to lead by example, could be key to getting social channels to work as well as they can – but evidently lots of different stakeholders will need convincing.

Which social channel(s) have been implemented in your organisation?

| SharePoint | 62% | Twitter | 11% |
|--|-----|-----------------------|-----|
| Microsoft Teams | 47% | Workplace by Facebook | 7% |
| Yammer | 39% | Chatter (Salesforce) | 5% |
| Internally branded/purpose-built social platform or social intranet | 18% | Google+ | 4% |
| Slack | 11% | Jive | 4% |

Which of the following best describes social channels in your organisation?



To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding your social channels?



Our social channels are used well in pockets within the organisation Our social channels are an integral part of our internal communication channel framework

Employees understand what social channels should be used for We have been able to demonstrate the value of our internal social channels

Senior leaders support and are active on them

Leadership and management communication

Leaders and line managers are, in many ways, a channel in and of themselves, as well as an audience. For this reason, they are particularly challenging to engage with. Internal communicators have overwhelmingly decided which group they are willing to invest the most time in: two thirds of survey respondents said they attribute the highest priority to executive team members (65%), medium priority to other senior leaders (66%) and low priority to line managers (64%). This is despite the fact that line managers are far and wide the most visible of the three groups, interacting with teams and employees across the globe on a near-daily basis.

In fact, line managers are less likely than senior leaders to benefit from face-to-face and/or interactive content to better equip them to communicate, with many relying instead on ad hoc email announcements (72%), toolkits and cascade packs (52%), e-newsletters (46%) and conference calls or webinars (45%). Meanwhile, senior leaders are 57% more likely to benefit from one-to-one coaching sessions and 28% more likely to be invited to face-to-face briefings.

How do you prioritise the support you give to these groups?



What specific channels are targeted at senior leaders and line managers?

| Leaders (2020) | Leaders (2019) | | Line managers (2020) | Line managers (2019) |
|-------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 85% | 90% | Ad hoc email announcements | 72% | 61% |
| 55% | 56% | Conference calls/webinars | 45% | 41% |
| 46% | 39% | Toolkits/cascade packs | 52% | 48% |
| 43% | 39% | Regular e-newsletters | 46% | 38% |
| 41% | 45% | Regular face-to-face briefings to share content to cascade | 32% | 40% |
| 40% | 42% | Ad hoc forums/conferences/seminars | 30% | 29% |
| 27% | 26% | Learning and development/communication training | 31% | 33% |
| 26% | 24% | Online hub with dedicated resources | 28% | 23% |
| 22% | 22% | One-to-one coaching sessions | 14% | 17% |
| 12% | 8% | None – we don't have any channels specific to this audience | 13% | 10% |

All of this is despite the fact that executive team members are already considered to be effective communicators by three in five communicators (59%), and line managers are said to be 'poor' (24%) or just 'adequate' (52%) communicators.

It may be that this strategy is partly designed to allow organisations to bypass line managers where necessary. It has already been noted that videos are being used more and more widely within organisations, and that conferences and roadshows are being extended to all employees, giving everybody the opportunity to hear about the business strategy directly from the horses' (leaders') mouths. 56% are also utilising floor walks and site visits to increase leadership visibility, and 41% have introduced round table listening sessions with senior leaders, enabling them to position themselves as advocates for the employee voice at the same time. Podcasts, which have seen a substantial increase in popularity this year, are another channel that is squarely positioned to increase leadership visibility – although opinions are split when it comes to effectiveness.

In our view, much is already done to support senior leaders within organisations. There is no doubt that this has been beneficial to the overall perception of internal communication, and the influence afforded to the function – but in order to really drive a better employee experience and improved business outcomes, perhaps it is time to shine a light on line managers and other business functions.





What tactics do you use to increase leadership visibility?





What next?

Well, that was intense! Luckily, we've wrapped up the key themes you need to keep top of mind this year and next in a neat little package.

What to do next:

Three projects to increase engagement with your communications

Far from giving you tunnel vision, these three projects enable you and your team to remain focused on overcoming specific obstacles and ultimately increasing engagement with the communications you produce and/or influence. Whilst the returns are far-reaching and touch many areas of organisations, the root cause can actually be clearly identified and killed at a relatively low cost. If you'd like to know more about how we can help you deliver these projects, don't hesitate to reach out.

Address the content overload

It was just two years ago, in 2018, that we began asking internal communicators how big a barrier the volume of internal communication in their organisation is. We didn't know it at the time, but this would have considerable consequences on the list of the biggest challenges to internal communication success. Indeed, ever since we introduced this answer option, it has featured towards the top of the list, with close to half of IC practitioners (45-50%) speaking of a battle to constrain the amount of internal messaging going out. Both this year and last year, it was singled out as the

Upskill line managers

And now, another barrier that has been felt by internal communication professionals for years! Line managers are denounced as detrimental to internal communications every single year – yet, across the profession, we're still blatantly ignoring them in order to get on with other stuff. Senior leaders are prioritised over line managers when it comes to support – in fact, two thirds of internal communicators attribute the lowest level of priority to line

Craft a channel framework

Planning has long been a thorn in internal communicators' sides – but in today's fast-paced world, in which channels tend to proliferate, overlap and overtake one another in recurring cycles, it is increasingly difficult to define what messages we'll send out, with what purpose, when and on what channel. Currently, fewer than one in two IC teams (46%) use a formal channel framework document – but this is a crucial piece of the puzzle, which, frankly, should be far more widespread than it is.

We can't simply just keep up with the trends in the channel world. We need to make sure we're using the tools that are right for our organisations, and that we are clearly defining their purpose and value-add. Podcasts have seen a large increase in usage this year – but only one in two communicators are finding them to be effective, so are these a channel we should continue to invest in? We also need biggest obstacle to internal communicators! And this isn't all – it very frequently features in the internal communication research we produce for clients. Across the board, employees are struggling to see the wood for the trees – they're finding it hard to prioritise the important content due to the overload of irrelevant stuff.

Given how big a barrier this is to internal communicators – and that this has been confirmed by employee-focused research, too – it is imperative that we, as IC specialists, get a handle on the messages being sent across our organisations as soon as possible.

managers, and fewer than one in five consider them the highest priority group to support! And looking beyond that hands-on support, just 39% of IC professionals provide internal communication coaching and training – arguably a one-off investment that could make things easier for everybody.

It's time now, as we kick off the new decade, to overcome the line manager obstacle once and for all.

to make a long-term commitment to actively feeding content into and maintaining those official channels - which means we need to pick and choose a manageable number of channels to promote internally, taking into account how much resource we, as an organisation, have to hand. It's great that so many communicators are aiming to improve their digital channels (this is a priority for 33% and has consistently featured towards the top of the priority list), but too often this has translated into introducing more and more resource-heavy channels and functionality, such as video, podcasts and artificial intelligence - which is not sustainable for all IC teams.

In 2020, let's stop investing time and effort in channels that aren't working for us, and focus instead on the channels our audiences engage with most.

Got your sights set on bigger things? Here's how you can start redefining the role internal communication has to play in your organisation!

What to do next:

Three multi-faceted transformations that will reposition your IC function

We've been keeping an eye on the industry and having discussions with leading IC practitioners, and have identified two big trends that we expect to see dominate discussions in the first half of this decade. They're ambitious projects that have a lot of moving parts – but if you start small this year, you can keep building on them in years to come. And, of course, we're on hand to help where needed.

We strongly recommend starting out the process with some in-depth research into internal communications, but also the wider employee experience and employer value proposition – get in touch if you'd like to know more about how we can help!

Create a data-driven culture

You've probably already heard the term 'data-driven culture'. It's been creating a buzz across the business world – from the more technical functions like IT and operations to the people-driven ones, like HR and internal communication. And it's not surprising – there's data about everything nowadays, and huge amounts of data are being created (multiple quintillion bytes of data every 24 hours!) and fuelling the fire even more. But just as employees are suffering from an overload of information, it can be daunting for organisations, faced with this much data, to develop a strategy to reap the benefits of it all.

Many seem to have determined that the number one thing that is needed is more data - IC professionals have diversified the channels that they're using to capture data, incorporating a mix of traditional surveys, online analytics and qualitative feedback from managers and communication champions. And that's great, but at the root of the 'data-driven culture' is, in fact, the 'culture' part. Taking data and doing something with it is not embedded into people's day-to-day behaviours at work. Why is this? For some, they feel they lack the skills to do anything with the data. For others, they don't believe data analysis is recognised and valued as an important piece of work - in fact, the majority of internal communicators keep their data to themselves, instead of sharing it with senior leaders, colleagues from other functions, or the rest of the workforce. Another issue is, of

course, lack of time and resource – although the insights that can be brought to light through the analysis of data are worth the investment, in our view.

The solution to the problem has to be multifaceted and implemented over time. The first step is for an organisation to define what it wants to know - this will have the immediate effect of reducing the pool of data considered relevant, and making the task of analysing easier. Next comes resource, ensuring the correct channels are in place to collect the relevant feedback, and that there is skilled human resource on the team to analyse said feedback. With these in place, there must be a process to ensure measurement and analysis happen on a regular basis - those charged with this responsibility must be held accountable. And at the end of the day, the insights can't just go into a black hole, as is common in so many organisations. Talk through what it means for IC, but make sure you also share it with others: show it to leaders to prove the IC function's value-add; share it with HR and begin to build an employee experience-led alliance; and send out the key themes to your people so they know they're being listened to. Of course, there are many more things that can be done to develop a true data-driven culture, but these initial steps should give you enough to get your teeth into over the next few years!

Accelerate

High performance learning for internal communicators

Learn powerful ways to revolutionise your internal communications in this **two-part development programme** designed and delivered by Gatehouse and accredited by the **Institute of Internal Communication**.

> Institute of Internal Communication The power within





Shift from a focus on channels and content to one on overall employee experience

A consistent theme across the State of the Sector reports of recent years has been around the broadening scope of the internal communication function. IC has shifted from the internal post office to being much more of an influential entity, involved in receiving messages as well as sending them out; in driving the changes rather than just describing them; and in improving other functions' communications on top of those coming from the top down. Importantly, 70% of internal communicators say they are now involved in propelling the people agenda and employee experience, with two thirds stating they are seen as a key driver of the employee experience by others in their organisation.

With all that said, many IC functions are still setting fairly limited objectives for the future – asked what their priorities for 2020 are, many responded that they're aiming to continue spreading the strategic message, communicate change and carry on improving channels. Whilst these are all very useful and necessary activities, it's a shame that we, as communicators, aren't seeing these as the 'bits on the side' that we do in addition to truly influencing our organisations and workforces.

There are two core areas we can improve in. First, tying in with the previous recommendation, we should be measuring more, developing a better understanding of our people's wants and needs so as to deliver a tailored communication experience to them. In addition, we should be building stronger relationships with other functions, collaborating with them far more than we do now. This would enable IC to have a say on traditionally HR-related topics, like inductions and benefits communications, or on typically IT-related projects, like the introduction of new digital tools. As a function, we're not an island, and we need to prove this by working with and for our colleagues to deliver a better employee experience, which motivates everybody to come to work in the morning.

Develop an all-encompassing IC strategy

Taking a broader perspective, every obstacle that is faced by internal communicators could be, at the very least, minimised if we took a more proactive approach to our activity. In a word, what we need to be doing more of is planning. Specifically, long-term planning is the biggest area of opportunity for us as a profession. We're OK at campaign plans, and most of us have a yearly comms calendar, but multi-year IC strategies are actually on the decline – and they were never prevalent to begin with! Only a third of internal communication functions have developed a formal IC strategy. It's not just internal communicators who are missing out... We've spoken to plenty of executives over the years who have expressed frustration at their 'reactive' rather than 'proactive' IC functions – and then those same leaders have declined to give IC a seat at the table when making decisions. IC is brought in late in the game, with little opportunity to influence the action at hand. Then, when everything is actually implemented, IC's late and limited involvement translates into a poor employee experience. This may sound like a conspiracy, but trust us – it's real. And it's time for this to stop. If we want to be taken seriously as specialists in our field by our stakeholders, and maximise our chances of delivering effective messages to our target audiences, we need to do some forward-thinking. This will change our reputations in our organisations – positioning us as consistent, purposeful and goal-driven. It will increase trust in our function and what we have to offer – and lead to us being invited to sit at the decision-making table. We're already seeing evidence of this happening, with 2% of internal communicators worldwide reporting into a strategy, transformation and innovation team.



We don't need an audit.

No? Your competitors did.

Internal communication requires investment, but you can't build business cases on gut feel. Leaders like data.

We've helped dozens of organisations get the insights and benchmarking they needed to build their IC strategy and secure resources.

Get in touch to find out how we can support you.



www.gatehousecommunication.com sayhello@gatehouse.co.uk +44 (0)20 3057 4380



Transforming how people feel about work.

We are Gallagher's employee communication practice. We combine strategy with creativity, storytelling with data and technology. We increase awareness and participation, improve retention, and enhance the overall employee experience.





