

A class of quasi-sparse companion pencils of matrix polynomials over arbitrary fields

Fernando De Terán

Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid
(Spain)

Companion matrix vs companion pencil

$q(z) = a_0 + za_1 + \cdots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^n$ $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F})$
a (scalar) **monic** polynomial. \mathbb{F} an **arbitrary field**.

Companion matrix

$A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]^{n \times n}$ such that

$$p_A(z) = \det(zI - A) = a_0 + za_1 + \cdots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^n = q(z)$$

Companion matrix vs companion pencil

$q(z) = a_0 + za_1 + \dots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^n$ $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F})$
a (scalar) **monic** polynomial. \mathbb{F} an **arbitrary field**.

Companion matrix

$A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]^{n \times n}$ such that

$$p_A(z) = \det(zI - A) = a_0 + za_1 + \dots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^n = q(z)$$

For a general (non-necessarily monic) polynomial:

$$p(z) = a_0 + za_1 + \dots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^n a_n \quad (a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F})$$

Companion pencil

$A + zB$, with $A, B \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n]^{n \times n}$ such that

$$\det(A + zB) = \alpha(a_0 + za_1 + \dots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^n a_n) = \alpha p(z)$$

$(\alpha \in \mathbb{F})$

Example

Frobenius companion matrix

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -a_{n-1} & -a_{n-2} & \cdots & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_2 = C_1^\top$$

Example

Frobenius companion matrix

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -a_{n-1} & -a_{n-2} & \cdots & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_2 = C_1^\top$$

MATLAB's command `roots`: QR algorithm on C_2

Example

Frobenius companion matrix

$$zI - C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + z & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix},$$

$$zI - C_2 = zI - C_1^T$$

Example

Frobenius companion matrix

$$zI - C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + z & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix},$$

$$zI - C_2 = zI - C_1^T$$

Frobenius companion pencils

$$F_i(z) = z \operatorname{diag}(a_n, 1, \dots, 1) - C_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

Example

Frobenius companion matrix

$$zI - C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + z & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix}, \quad zI - C_2 = zI - C_1^T$$

Frobenius companion pencils

$$F_i(z) = z \operatorname{diag}(a_n, 1, \dots, 1) - C_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix} \quad F_2 = F_1^T$$

Basic transformations

From now on: $z \rightsquigarrow \lambda$

$A + \lambda B$ a companion pencil for $p(\lambda)$ } \Rightarrow $P(A + \lambda B)Q$
 $P, Q \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ invertible } is a companion pencil for $p(\lambda)$

Basic transformations

From now on: $z \rightsquigarrow \lambda$

$A + \lambda B$ a companion pencil for $p(\lambda)$ } \Rightarrow $P(A + \lambda B)Q$
 $P, Q \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ invertible } is a companion pencil for $p(\lambda)$

E.g.: P, Q are **permutation** matrices.

$P(A + \lambda B)Q$: **strict equivalence** of $A + \lambda B$.

Families of companion pencils known so far

Frobenius companion pencils

(long time ago)

Families of companion pencils known so far

Frobenius companion pencils

(long time ago)

Fiedler pencils

[Antoniou-Vologiannidis'04], [DT-Dopico-Mackey'10] (after [Fiedler'02])

Families of companion pencils known so far

Frobenius companion pencils

(long time ago)

Fiedler pencils

[Antoniou-Vologiannidis'04], [DT-Dopico-Mackey'10] (after [Fiedler'02])

Generalized Fiedler pencils

[Antoniou-Vologiannidis'04], [Bueno-DT-Dopico'11]

Families of companion pencils known so far

Frobenius companion pencils

(long time ago)

Fiedler pencils

[Antoniou-Vologiannidis'04], [DT-Dopico-Mackey'10] (after [Fiedler'02])

Generalized Fiedler pencils

[Antoniou-Vologiannidis'04], [Bueno-DT-Dopico'11]

Generalized Fiedler pencils with repetition

[Vologiannidis-Antoniou'11], [Bueno-DT'14]

Families of companion pencils known so far

Frobenius companion pencils

(long time ago)

Fiedler pencils

[Antoniou-Vologiannidis'04], [DT-Dopico-Mackey'10] (after [Fiedler'02])

Generalized Fiedler pencils

[Antoniou-Vologiannidis'04], [Bueno-DT-Dopico'11]

Generalized Fiedler pencils with repetition

[Vologiannidis-Antoniou'11], [Bueno-DT'14]

Block minimal bases pencils [Dopico-Lawrence-Pérez-VanDooren'18]

Families of companion pencils known so far

Frobenius companion pencils

(long time ago)

Fiedler pencils

[Antoniou-Vologiannidis'04], [DT-Dopico-Mackey'10] (after [Fiedler'02])

Generalized Fiedler pencils

[Antoniou-Vologiannidis'04], [Bueno-DT-Dopico'11]

Generalized Fiedler pencils with repetition

[Vologiannidis-Antoniou'11], [Bueno-DT'14]

Block minimal bases pencils [Dopico-Lawrence-Pérez-VanDooren'18]

 Valid for matrix polynomials.

A block-Kronecker pencil (example)

$$(p = a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_5\lambda^5)$$

$$A + \lambda B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc} a_4 + \lambda a_5 & 0 & a_2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & \lambda & -1 \\ \lambda a_3 & 0 & a_0 & 0 & \lambda \\ \hline -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_0 + \lambda M_1 & L_2(\lambda) \\ \hline L_2(\lambda)^T & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

[Dopico-Lawrence-Pérez-VanDooren, Numer. Math.'18]

A block-Kronecker pencil (example)

$$(p = a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_5\lambda^5)$$

$$A + \lambda B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc} a_4 + \lambda a_5 & 0 & a_2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & \lambda & -1 \\ \lambda a_3 & 0 & a_0 & 0 & \lambda \\ \hline -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_0 + \lambda M_1 & L_2(\lambda) \\ \hline L_2(\lambda)^T & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

[Dopico-Lawrence-Pérez-VanDooren, Numer. Math.'18]

$$L_k(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & & & & \\ \lambda & -1 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \lambda & -1 & \\ & & & \lambda & \end{bmatrix}_{(k+1) \times k}$$

(A "right (Kronecker) singular block" of size $(k+1) \times k$)

A block-Kronecker pencil (example)

$$(p = a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_5\lambda^5)$$

$$A + \lambda B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc} a_4 + \lambda a_5 & 0 & a_2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & \lambda & -1 \\ \lambda a_3 & 0 & a_0 & 0 & \lambda \\ \hline -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_0 + \lambda M_1 & L_2(\lambda) \\ \hline L_2(\lambda)^T & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

[Dopico-Lawrence-Pérez-VanDooren, Numer. Math.'18]

$n-1$ entries equal to -1

A block-Kronecker pencil (example)

$$(p = a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_5\lambda^5)$$

$$A + \lambda B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc} a_4 + \lambda a_5 & 0 & a_2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & \lambda & -1 \\ \lambda a_3 & 0 & a_0 & 0 & \lambda \\ \hline -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_0 + \lambda M_1 & L_2(\lambda) \\ \hline L_2(\lambda)^T & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

[Dopico-Lawrence-Pérez-VanDooren, Numer. Math.'18]

$n-1$ entries equal to λ

A block-Kronecker pencil (example)

$$(p = a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_5\lambda^5)$$

$$A + \lambda B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc} a_4 + \lambda a_5 & 0 & a_2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & \lambda & -1 \\ \lambda a_3 & 0 & a_0 & 0 & \lambda \\ \hline -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_0 + \lambda M_1 & L_2(\lambda) \\ \hline L_2(\lambda)^T & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

[Dopico-Lawrence-Pérez-VanDooren, Numer. Math.'18]

number of nonzero entries: $3n - 2 = 13$:

- ▶ $n - 1$ entries equal to -1
- ▶ $n - 1$ entries equal to λ
- ▶ n entries of the form $b_i + \lambda b_{i+1} = a_i, \lambda a_{i+1}, a_i + \lambda a_{i+1}$

A block-Kronecker pencil (example)

$$(p = a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_5\lambda^5)$$

$$A + \lambda B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc} a_4 + \lambda a_5 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_2 + \lambda a_3 & 0 & a_0 + \lambda a_1 & 0 & \lambda \\ \hline -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_0 + \lambda M_1 & L_2(\lambda) \\ \hline L_2(\lambda)^T & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

[Dopico-Lawrence-Pérez-VanDooren, Numer. Math.'18]

Number of **nonzero** entries: $2n - 1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor = 11$

A block-Kronecker pencil (example)

$$(p = a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_5\lambda^5)$$

$$A + \lambda B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc} \lambda a_5 & 0 & a_2 & -1 & 0 \\ \lambda a_4 & 0 & a_1 & \lambda & -1 \\ \lambda a_3 & 0 & a_0 & 0 & \lambda \\ \hline -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_0 + \lambda M_1 & L_2(\lambda) \\ \hline L_2(\lambda)^T & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

[Dopico-Lawrence-Pérez-VanDooren, Numer. Math.'18]

A block-Kronecker pencil (example)

$$(p = a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_5\lambda^5)$$

$$A + \lambda B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc} \lambda a_5 & z & a_2 & -1 & 0 \\ \lambda a_4 & -\lambda z & a_1 + \lambda y & \lambda & -1 \\ \lambda a_3 & x - \lambda y & a_0 - \lambda x & 0 & \lambda \\ \hline -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_0 + \lambda M_1 & L_2(\lambda) \\ \hline L_2(\lambda)^\top & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

[Dopico-Lawrence-Pérez-VanDooren, Numer. Math.'18]

x, y, z whatever

A block-Kronecker pencil (example)

$$(p = a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_5\lambda^5)$$

$$A + \lambda B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc} \lambda a_5 & z & a_2 & -1 & 0 \\ \lambda a_4 & -\lambda z & a_1 + \lambda y & \lambda & -1 \\ \lambda a_3 & x - \lambda y & a_0 - \lambda x & 0 & \lambda \\ \hline -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_0 + \lambda M_1 & L_2(\lambda) \\ \hline L_2(\lambda)^\top & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

[Dopico-Lawrence-Pérez-VanDooren, Numer. Math.'18]

x, y, z whatever

Allows for more flexibility:

$$A + \lambda B = \left[\begin{array}{cc|ccc} \lambda a_5 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda a_4 & a_2 & \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ \lambda a_3 & a_1 & 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & a_0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ \hline -1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_0 + \lambda M_1 & L_3(\lambda) \\ \hline L_1(\lambda)^\top & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Common features of all these families

(Up to permutations)

- ▶ They all have $(n-1)$ entries equal to ± 1 , together with other $(n-1)$ entries equal to $\pm\lambda$.

Common features of all these families

(Up to permutations)

- ▶ They all have $(n-1)$ entries equal to ± 1 , together with other $(n-1)$ entries equal to $\pm\lambda$.
- ▶ If each a_i appears **only once**, then there are another n entries of the form (up to constants in \mathbb{F})

$$b_j + \lambda b_{j+1} = \begin{cases} 0, \\ a_i, \\ \lambda a_{i+1}, \\ a_i + \lambda a_{i+1}. \end{cases}$$

Common features of all these families

(Up to permutations)

- ▶ They all have $(n-1)$ entries equal to ± 1 , together with other $(n-1)$ entries equal to $\pm\lambda$.
- ▶ If each a_i appears **only once**, then there are another n entries of the form (up to constants in \mathbb{F})

$$b_j + \lambda b_{j+1} = \begin{cases} 0, \\ a_i, \\ \lambda a_{i+1}, \\ a_i + \lambda a_{i+1}. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ The **smallest number** of nonzero entries is $2n - 1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

The work of Eastman et al.

[Eastman-Kim-Shader-Vander Meulen, LAA'14]

$A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]^{n \times n}$ is a companion **matrix** for $a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \lambda^n$ (**monic**) if it has

- ▶ $n-1$ nonzero entries in \mathbb{F} , and
- ▶ n entries equal to a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} .

The work of Eastman et al.

[Eastman-Kim-Shader-Vander Meulen, LAA'14]

$A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]^{n \times n}$ is a companion **matrix** for $a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \lambda^n$ (**monic**) if it has

- ▶ $n-1$ nonzero entries in \mathbb{F} , and
- ▶ n entries equal to a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} .

$A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is **sparse** if it has $2n-1$ nonzero entries.

The work of Eastman et al.

[Eastman-Kim-Shader-Vander Meulen, LAA'14]

$A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]^{n \times n}$ is a companion **matrix** for $a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \lambda^n$ (**monic**) if it has

- ▶ $n-1$ nonzero entries in \mathbb{F} , and
- ▶ n entries equal to a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} .

$A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is **sparse** if it has $2n-1$ nonzero entries.

Q: Is there any “canonical form” under permutational similarity (PAP^T , P a permutation)?

Canonical form under permutational similarity

We define the following (lower Hessenberg) classes of matrices:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{C}_n \\ \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \color{blue}\square & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \color{red}\square & & \color{blue}\square & 1 \\ a_0 & \color{red}\square & \dots & \color{blue}\square \end{array} \right] \\ a_1 \in \color{red}\square, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \color{blue}\square \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{CP}_n \\ \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & & \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \color{yellow}\square & \dots & a_{n-1} & 1 \\ \color{green}\square & \ddots & \color{pink}\square & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots \\ a_0 & \ddots & \color{cyan}\square & 0 \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

Canonical form under permutational similarity

We define the following (lower Hessenberg) classes of matrices:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \boxed{\mathcal{C}_n} \\
 \left[\begin{array}{cccc}
 \color{blue}{\square} & 1 & & \\
 \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
 \color{red}{\square} & & \color{blue}{\square} & 1 \\
 a_0 & \color{red}{\square} & \dots & \color{blue}{\square}
 \end{array} \right] \\
 a_1 \in \color{red}{\square}, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \color{blue}{\square}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \boxed{\mathcal{CP}_n} \\
 \left[\begin{array}{cccc}
 0 & 1 & & \\
 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \\
 \color{yellow}{\square} & \dots & a_{n-1} & 1 \\
 \color{green}{\square} & \ddots & \color{pink}{\square} & 0 & \ddots \\
 \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 1 \\
 a_0 & \ddots & \color{cyan}{\square} & 0 & \dots & 0
 \end{array} \right]
 \end{array}$$

$$(\mathcal{CP}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n)$$

Canonical form under permutational similarity

We define the following (lower Hessenberg) classes of matrices:

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{\mathcal{C}_n} \\ \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \color{blue}{\square} & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \color{red}{\square} & & \color{blue}{\square} & 1 \\ a_0 & \color{red}{\square} & \dots & \color{blue}{\square} \end{array} \right] \\ a_1 \in \color{red}{\square}, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \color{blue}{\square} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\mathcal{CP}_n} \\ \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & & \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \color{yellow}{\square} & \dots & a_{n-1} & 1 \\ \color{green}{\square} & \ddots & \color{pink}{\square} & 0 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 1 \\ a_0 & \ddots & \color{cyan}{\square} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

$$(\mathcal{CP}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n)$$

Theorem [Eastman-etal, LAA'14]

Any **sparse** companion matrix is **permutationally similar** to a matrix in \mathcal{C}_n .

Canonical form under permutational similarity

We define the following (lower Hessenberg) classes of matrices:

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{\mathcal{C}_n} \\ \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \color{blue}{\square} & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \color{red}{\square} & & \color{blue}{\square} & 1 \\ a_0 & \color{red}{\square} & \dots & \color{blue}{\square} \end{array} \right] \\ a_1 \in \color{red}{\square}, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \color{blue}{\square} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\mathcal{CP}_n} \\ \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & & \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \color{yellow}{\square} & \dots & a_{n-1} & 1 \\ \color{green}{\square} & \ddots & \color{pink}{\square} & 0 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 1 \\ a_0 & \ddots & \color{cyan}{\square} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

$$(\mathcal{CP}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n)$$

Theorem [Eastman-etal, LAA'14]

Any **sparse** companion matrix is **permutationally similar** to a matrix in \mathcal{C}_n .

Theorem [Eastman-etal, LAA'14]

$A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}_n$ is a **sparse** companion matrix
 $\Leftrightarrow A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{CP}_n$.

The family \mathcal{R}_n

Definition (\mathcal{R}_n)

$A + \lambda B$ belongs to \mathcal{R}_n if:

- ▶ $A, B \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n]^{n \times n}$.
- ▶ A has $n - 1$ entries equal to -1 .
- ▶ B has $n - 1$ entries equal to 1 .
- ▶ (Quasi-sparsity) There are, at most, another n nonzero entries, x_0, \dots, x_{k-1} , which are of the form $0, a_i, \lambda a_{i+1}$, or $a_i + \lambda a_{i+1}$.

The family \mathcal{R}_n

Definition (\mathcal{R}_n)

$A + \lambda B$ belongs to \mathcal{R}_n if:

- ▶ $A, B \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n]^{n \times n}$.
- ▶ A has $n - 1$ entries equal to -1 .
- ▶ B has $n - 1$ entries equal to 1 .
- ▶ (Quasi-sparsity) There are, **at most**, another n nonzero entries, x_0, \dots, x_{k-1} , which are of the form $0, a_j, \lambda a_{j+1}$, or $a_j + \lambda a_{j+1}$.

☞ The entries can be placed **everywhere**.

The family \mathcal{R}_n

Definition (\mathcal{R}_n)

$A + \lambda B$ belongs to \mathcal{R}_n if:

- ▶ $A, B \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n]^{n \times n}$.
- ▶ A has $n - 1$ entries equal to -1 .
- ▶ B has $n - 1$ entries equal to 1 .
- ▶ (**Quasi-sparsity**) There are, **at most**, another n nonzero entries, x_0, \dots, x_{k-1} , which are of the form $0, a_j, \lambda a_{j+1}$, or $a_j + \lambda a_{j+1}$.

☞ The entries can be placed **everywhere**.

☞ Not every pencil in \mathcal{R}_n is companion!!!

The family \mathcal{R}_n

Definition (\mathcal{R}_n)

$A + \lambda B$ belongs to \mathcal{R}_n if:

- ▶ $A, B \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n]^{n \times n}$.
- ▶ A has $n - 1$ entries equal to -1 .
- ▶ B has $n - 1$ entries equal to 1 .
- ▶ (**Quasi-sparsity**) There are, **at most**, another n nonzero entries, x_0, \dots, x_{k-1} , which are of the form $0, a_j, \lambda a_{j+1}$, or $a_j + \lambda a_{j+1}$.

☞ The entries can be placed **everywhere**.

☞ Not every pencil in \mathcal{R}_n is companion!!!

Q1: Characterization of those which are companion?

The family \mathcal{R}_n

Definition (\mathcal{R}_n)

$A + \lambda B$ belongs to \mathcal{R}_n if:

- ▶ $A, B \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n]^{n \times n}$.
- ▶ A has $n - 1$ entries equal to -1 .
- ▶ B has $n - 1$ entries equal to 1 .
- ▶ (Quasi-sparsity) There are, **at most**, another n nonzero entries, x_0, \dots, x_{k-1} , which are of the form $0, a_j, \lambda a_{j+1}$, or $a_j + \lambda a_{j+1}$.

☞ The entries can be placed **everywhere**.

☞ Not every pencil in \mathcal{R}_n is companion!!!

Q1: Characterization of those which are companion?

Q2: Canonical form for those which are companion (up to **permutation**, as in [Eastman-etal, LAA'14])?

The families \mathcal{C}_n and $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}_n$

Definition (\mathcal{C}_n)

$A + \lambda B \in \mathcal{C}_n$ if:

(i) $(A + \lambda B)_{i,i+1} = -1$

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & & \\ * & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \vdots & \ddots & * & -1 \\ * & \dots & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

The families \mathcal{C}_n and $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}_n$

Definition (\mathcal{C}_n)

$A + \lambda B \in \mathcal{C}_n$ if:

- (i) $(A + \lambda B)_{i,i+1} = -1$
- (ii) $(A + \lambda B)_{ii} = \lambda$ or $x_{n-1} (\neq 0)$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \blacksquare & -1 & & & \\ * & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \blacksquare & -1 \\ * & \dots & * & \blacksquare & \\ \blacksquare = \lambda, x_{n-1} & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

The families \mathcal{C}_n and $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}_n$

Definition (\mathcal{C}_n)

$A + \lambda B \in \mathcal{C}_n$ if:

- (i) $(A + \lambda B)_{i,i+1} = -1$
- (ii) $(A + \lambda B)_{ii} = \lambda$ or $x_{n-1} (\neq 0)$.
- (iii) The remaining x_j are below the main diagonal.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \color{blue}\square & -1 & & & \\ \color{green}\square & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \color{blue}\square & -1 \\ \color{green}\square & \dots & \color{green}\square & & \color{blue}\square \end{bmatrix}$$

$x_j \in \color{green}\square (j \neq d)$

The families \mathcal{C}_n and $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}_n$

Definition (\mathcal{C}_n)

$A + \lambda B \in \mathcal{C}_n$ if:

- (i) $(A + \lambda B)_{i,i+1} = -1$
- (ii) $(A + \lambda B)_{ii} = \lambda$ or $x_{n-1} (\neq 0)$.
- (iii) The remaining x_j are below the main diagonal.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \color{blue}{\square} & -1 & & & \\ \color{green}{\square} & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \color{blue}{\square} & -1 \\ \color{green}{\square} & \dots & \color{green}{\square} & & \color{blue}{\square} \end{bmatrix}$$

$x_j \in \color{green}{\square} (j \neq d)$

Definition ($\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}_n$)

$A + \lambda B \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}_n$ if:

- (i) $A + \lambda B \in \mathcal{C}_n$.
- (ii) $x_0 := (A + \lambda B)_{n1} = a_0$ or $a_0 + \lambda a_1$.
- (iii) $a_i \in S_{n-i-1}(A)$ or $a_i \in S_{n-i}(B)$
 $(\Rightarrow x_{n-1} = \lambda a_n$ or $a_{n-1} + \lambda a_n)$.
- (iv) Rectangle's condition.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & & & \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ \color{yellow}{\square} & \dots & x_{n-1} & -1 & \\ \color{green}{\square} & \ddots & \color{red}{\square} & \lambda & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & -1 \\ x_0 & \ddots & \color{cyan}{\square} & 0 & \dots & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

$(S_j(M) = j\text{th subdiagonal of } M)$

\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 & * & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ a_1 & * & -1 \\ a_0 & * & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ \lambda a_2 & * & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & * & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 + \lambda a_2 & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & * & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_2 + \lambda a_3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_2 + \lambda a_3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_2 + \lambda a_3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{or } \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

X

\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

2C: Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$



\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$



\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ \lambda a_2 & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$$



\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & \lambda a_2 & * \end{bmatrix}$$



\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & * & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$$



\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_2 + \lambda a_3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$



2C: Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 \end{bmatrix}$$



or

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_2 + \lambda a_3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$



\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 \end{bmatrix}$$



or

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$



\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 \end{bmatrix}$$



or

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & 0 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 \end{bmatrix}$$




\mathcal{L} : Example

$$p(\lambda) = a_0 + \lambda a_1 + \lambda^2 a_2 + \lambda^3 a_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ a_0 + \lambda a_1 & 0 & a_2 + \lambda a_3 \end{bmatrix}$$



 Smallest number of nonzero entries: $2n - 1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor = 6$

Companion pencils in \mathcal{R}_n : canonical form

Matrices (A)	Pencils ($A + \lambda B$)
$\det(\lambda I - A)$	$\det(A + \lambda B)$
Similarity: PAP^{-1}	Equivalence: $P(A + \lambda B)Q$
$(P, Q \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n} \text{ invertible})$	

Companion pencils in \mathcal{R}_n : canonical form

Matrices (A)	Pencils ($A + \lambda B$)
$\det(\lambda I - A)$	$\det(A + \lambda B)$
Similarity: PAP^{-1}	Equivalence: $P(A + \lambda B)Q$

$(P, Q \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n} \text{ invertible})$

Theorem [DT-Hernando, Springer'18]

- (i) Any companion pencil in \mathcal{R}_n is permutationally equivalent to a pencil in \mathcal{LC}_n .
- (ii) The only pencils in \mathcal{C}_n that are companion are those in \mathcal{LC}_n .
- (iii) All pencils in \mathcal{LC}_n are companion.

Connection with block-Kronecker pencils

Any pencil in \mathcal{LC}_n is permutationally equivalent to a block-Kronecker pencil:

Connection with block-Kronecker pencils

Any pencil in \mathcal{LC}_n is permutationally equivalent to a block-Kronecker pencil:

$$A + \lambda B = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} \lambda & -1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & \lambda & -1 \\ \hline * & \cdots & * & x_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & * \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & \cdots & * \end{array} \right] \left. \begin{array}{l} \} p \\ \} (q+1) \end{array} \right\} ,$$

$(p+1)$
 q

Connection with block-Kronecker pencils

Any pencil in $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}_n$ is **permutationally equivalent** to a **block-Kronecker pencil**:

$$A + \lambda B = \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} \lambda & -1 & & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & & \lambda & -1 & & \\ \hline * & \cdots & * & x_{n-1} & -1 & & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & * & \lambda & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & & \ddots & -1 & \\ * & * & \cdots & * & & & \lambda & \end{array} \right] \left. \begin{array}{l} \} p \\ \} (q+1) \end{array} \right\} ,$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{(p+1)}$
 $\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_q$

Set: $P_r: \begin{cases} p+i & \rightarrow & i & \text{for } i=1, \dots, q+1 \\ j & \rightarrow & n-j+1 & \text{for } j=1, \dots, p. \end{cases}$, $P_c: i \rightarrow p+2-i$ for $i=1, \dots, p+1$.

Connection with block-Kronecker pencils

Any pencil in $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}_n$ is permutationally equivalent to a block-Kronecker pencil:

$$A + \lambda B = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{array}{cccc} \lambda & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda & -1 \\ \hline * & \cdots & * & x_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & * \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & \cdots & * \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} -1 & & \\ \lambda & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & -1 \\ & & \lambda \end{array} \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} \lambda \\ \vdots \\ * \end{array}} \right\} p \\ \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ \lambda \\ -1 \\ \lambda \end{array}} \right\} (q+1) \end{array},$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{(p+1)} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_q$

Set: $P_r: \begin{cases} p+i & \rightarrow & i & \text{for } i=1, \dots, q+1 \\ j & \rightarrow & n-j+1 & \text{for } j=1, \dots, p. \end{cases}$, $P_c: i \rightarrow p+2-i \text{ for } i=1, \dots, p+1.$

$$P_r(A + \lambda B)P_c = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{array}{cccc} x_{n-1} & * & \cdots & * \\ * & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & * \\ * & \cdots & * & * \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} -1 & & \\ \lambda & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & -1 \\ & & \lambda \end{array} \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} x_{n-1} \\ * \\ \vdots \\ * \end{array}} \right\} (q+1) \\ \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ \lambda \\ -1 \\ \lambda \end{array}} \right\} p \end{array}.$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{(p+1)} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_q$

is a block-Kronecker pencil.

\mathcal{R}_n : why these entries?

Q: Why entries of the form $0, a_i, \lambda a_{i+1}$, or $a_i + \lambda a_{i+1}$?

Theorem [DT-Hernando, submitted]

If each coefficient a_i , for $i = 0, \dots, k$, **appears in just one entry** of $A + \lambda B$, then the entry containing a_i is either

$$\alpha_1 a_i + \alpha_2 + \lambda(\beta_1 a_{i+1} + \beta_2), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq k-1, \quad (1)$$

with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{F}$, and $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, or

$$\alpha_1 a_{i-1} + \alpha_2 + \lambda(\beta_1 a_i + \beta_2), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq k-1, \quad (2)$$

with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{F}$, and $\beta_1 \neq 0$.

Other properties of companion matrices and pencils

- 1 All companion pencils in the previous families are **non-derogatory**.

Other properties of companion matrices and pencils

- 1 All companion pencils in the previous families are **non-derogatory**.

For general companion matrices $A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ (only $p_{A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})}(\lambda) = a_0 + a_1 \lambda + \dots + a_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1} + \lambda^n$ is imposed):

- 2 The smallest number of nonzero entries is $2n - 1$ [Ma-Zhan, LAA'13].

Other properties of companion matrices and pencils

- 1 All companion pencils in the previous families are **non-derogatory**.

For general companion matrices $A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ (only $p_{A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})}(\lambda) = a_0 + a_1 \lambda + \dots + a_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1} + \lambda^n$ is imposed):

- 2 The smallest number of nonzero entries is $2n - 1$ [Ma-Zhan, LAA'13].
 $\Rightarrow zI - A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ has, at least, $3n - 1$ nonzero entries.

Other properties of companion matrices and pencils

- 1 All companion pencils in the previous families are **non-derogatory**.

For general companion matrices $A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ (only $p_{A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})}(\lambda) = a_0 + a_1 \lambda + \dots + a_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1} + \lambda^n$ is imposed):

- 2 The smallest number of nonzero entries is $2n - 1$ [Ma-Zhan, LAA'13].
 $\Rightarrow zI - A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ has, at least, $3n - 1$ nonzero entries.

Q1-Q2: What happens for general companion **pencils**?


Answer...

(Companion pencil: $A + zB$, with $A, B \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n]$ such that
 $\det(A + zB) = a_0 + za_1 + \dots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^na_n = p(z)$)

The answer to  is not known.

Answer...

(Companion pencil: $A + zB$, with $A, B \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n]$ such that
 $\det(A + zB) = a_0 + za_1 + \dots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^na_n = p(z)$)

The answer to  is not known.

Conjecture

The **smallest number** of **nonzero** entries in a companion pencil for polynomials of degree n is $2n - 1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.


Answer...

(Companion pencil: $A + zB$, with $A, B \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n]$ such that
 $\det(A + zB) = a_0 + za_1 + \dots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^n a_n = p(z)$)

The answer to  is not known.

Conjecture






The **smallest number** of **nonzero** entries in a companion pencil for polynomials of degree n is $2n - 1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

As for :

Theorem [DT-Hernando, submitted]

If \mathbb{F} is **infinite**, any companion pencil is **non-derogatory**.

References

-  F. DT., C. Hernando.
A class of quasi-sparse companion pencils.
INdAM Series 157-179, Springer 2019.
-  F. DT., C. Hernando.
A note on generalized companion pencils in the monomial basis.
Submitted.
-  F. M. Dopico, P. Lawrence, J. Pérez, P. Van Dooren.
Block Kronecker linearizations of matrix polynomials and their backward errors.
Numer. Math. 140 (2018) 373–426.
-  B. Eastman, I.-J. Kim, B. Shader, K. Vander Meulen.
Companion matrix patterns.
Linear Algebra Appl., 463 (2014) 255–272.
-  C. Ma, X. Zhan.
Extremal sparsity of the companion matrix of a polynomial.
Linear Algebra Appl., 438 (2013) 621–625.