

Companion pencils for scalar polynomials in the monomial basis

Fernando De Terán

Joint work with **C. Hernando**

Companion matrix vs companion pencil

$q(z) = a_0 + za_1 + \cdots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^n$ $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F})$
a (scalar) **monic** polynomial. \mathbb{F} an **arbitrary field**.

Companion matrix

$A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]^{n \times n}$ such that

$$p_A(z) = \det(zI - A) = a_0 + za_1 + \cdots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^n = q(z)$$

Companion matrix vs companion pencil

$q(z) = a_0 + za_1 + \cdots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^n$ $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F})$
a (scalar) **monic** polynomial. \mathbb{F} an **arbitrary field**.

Companion matrix

$A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]^{n \times n}$ such that

$$p_A(z) = \det(zI - A) = a_0 + za_1 + \cdots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^n = q(z)$$

For a general (non-necessarily monic) polynomial:

$$p(z) = a_0 + za_1 + \cdots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^n a_n \quad (a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}, a_n \neq 0)$$

Companion pencil

$A + zB$, with $A, B \in \mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n]^{n \times n}$ such that

$$\det(A + zB) = \alpha(a_0 + za_1 + \cdots + z^{n-1}a_{n-1} + z^n a_n) = \alpha p(z)$$

$(\alpha \in \mathbb{F})$

Example

Frobenius companion matrix

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -a_{n-1} & -a_{n-2} & \cdots & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_2 = C_1^\top$$

Example

Frobenius companion matrix

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -a_{n-1} & -a_{n-2} & \cdots & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_2 = C_1^\top$$

MATLAB's command `roots`: QR algorithm on C_2

Example

Frobenius companion matrix

$$zI - C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + z & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix},$$

$$zI - C_2 = zI - C_1^T$$

Example

Frobenius companion matrix

$$zI - C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + z & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix},$$

$$zI - C_2 = zI - C_1^T$$

Frobenius companion pencils

$$F_i(z) = z \operatorname{diag}(a_n, 1, \dots, 1) - C_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

Example

Frobenius companion matrix

$$zI - C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + z & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix}, \quad zI - C_2 = zI - C_1^T$$

Frobenius companion pencils

$$F_i(z) = z \operatorname{diag}(a_n, 1, \dots, 1) - C_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix} \quad F_2 = F_1^T$$

Two basic transformations

- ▶ **Strict equivalence:** $P, Q \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ invertible:
 $P(A + zB)Q$: strict equivalence of $A + zB$.
- ▶ **Unimodular equivalence (u. e.):** $U(z), V(z)$ with constant nonzero determinant (i. e., **unimodular**):
 $U(z)(A + zB)V(z)$: u. e. of $A + zB$.

Two basic transformations (II)

- **Strict equivalence:**

$A + zB$ a companion pencil for $p(z)$ } \Rightarrow $P(A + zB)Q$ is a companion pencil for $p(z)$

E.g.: P, Q are **permutation** matrices.

☞ Allows us to create **new** companion pencils.

- **Unimodular equivalence:**

Does not necessarily provide other companion forms (**not even matrix pencils**).

Two basic transformations (II)

- **Strict equivalence:**

$A + zB$ a companion pencil for $p(z)$ } \Rightarrow $P(A + zB)Q$ is a companion pencil for $p(z)$

E.g.: P, Q are **permutation** matrices.

☞ Allows us to create **new** companion pencils.

- **Unimodular equivalence:**

Does not necessarily provide other companion forms (**not even matrix pencils**).

☞ Provides insight on some other “intrinsic information” of $p(z)$.

The Smith canonical form

Theorem (Smith canonical form)

Let $A + zB$ be an $n \times n$ matrix pencil (A, B have entries in a field). There are two unimodular matrices $U(z), V(z)$ such that

$$U(z)(A + zB)V(z) = \begin{bmatrix} d_1(z) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & d_n(z) \end{bmatrix} := \Delta(z),$$

with $d_i(z) \in \mathbb{F}[z]$ and $d_i(z) \mid d_{i+1}(z)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Note: $\det(A + zB) = d_1(z) \cdots d_n(z)$ (up to constants in \mathbb{F}).

The Smith form of a companion pencil

Lemma

$p(z) = a_0 + za_1 + \cdots + z^n a_n$ is **irreducible** over $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$.

It's a generalization of the same result for monic polynomials in



C. Ma, X. Zhan.

Extremal sparsity of the companion matrix of a polynomial.

LAA 438 (2013) 621-625

The Smith form of a companion pencil

Lemma

$p(z) = a_0 + za_1 + \cdots + z^n a_n$ is **irreducible** over $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$.

If $A + zB$ is a companion pencil, and $\Delta(z) = \text{diag}(d_1(z), \dots, d_n(z))$ its Smith form, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A + zB) &= p(z) = d_1(z) \cdots d_n(z) \quad (\text{up to constants}) \\ \stackrel{\text{Lemma}}{\Rightarrow} & d_1(z) = \cdots = d_{n-1}(z) = 1, d_n(z) = p(z) \end{aligned}$$

The Smith form of a companion pencil

Lemma

$p(z) = a_0 + za_1 + \cdots + z^n a_n$ is **irreducible** over $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$.

If $A + zB$ is a companion pencil, and $\Delta(z) = \text{diag}(d_1(z), \dots, d_n(z))$ its Smith form, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A + zB) &= p(z) = d_1(z) \cdots d_n(z) \quad (\text{up to constants}) \\ \stackrel{\text{Lemma}}{\Rightarrow} & d_1(z) = \cdots = d_{n-1}(z) = 1, d_n(z) = p(z) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

The Smith form of **any companion** $n \times n$ pencil is $\begin{bmatrix} I_{n-1} & 0 \\ & p(z) \end{bmatrix}$.

(The base field is $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$).

Non-derogatory

Corollary

Every companion pencil is **non-derogatory**.

(The geometric multiplicity of every eigenvalue is equal to 1).

Non-derogatory

Corollary

Every companion pencil is **non-derogatory**.

This is immediate for the **Frobenius** companion pencil:

$$\text{rank } F_1(z) = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix} \geq n-1$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{F}$.

Non-derogatory

Corollary

Every companion pencil is **non-derogatory**.

Proof?: If

$$U(z)(A + zB)V(z) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n-1} & 0 \\ & p(z) \end{bmatrix},$$

then $\text{rank}(A + z_0B) = \text{rank } U(z_0)(A + z_0B)V(z_0) \geq n - 1$, for all $z_0 \in \mathbb{F}$. \square

Non-derogatory

Corollary

Every companion pencil is **non-derogatory**.

Proof?: If

$$U(z)(A + zB)V(z) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n-1} & 0 \\ & p(z) \end{bmatrix},$$

then $\text{rank}(A + z_0B) = \text{rank } U(z_0)(A + z_0B)V(z_0) \geq n - 1$, for all $z_0 \in \mathbb{F}$. \square

 However, $U(z), V(z) \in \mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z]$, so they are not necessarily defined for all a_0, \dots, a_n !!!

Non-derogatory

Corollary

Every companion pencil is **non-derogatory**.

Proof?: If

$$U(z)(A + zB)V(z) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n-1} & 0 \\ & p(z) \end{bmatrix},$$

then $\text{rank}(A + z_0B) \stackrel{\text{rank}(U(z_0)(A + z_0B)V(z_0))}{\geq} n - 1$, for all $z_0 \in \mathbb{F}$. \square

\leftarrow However, $U(z), V(z) \in \mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z]$, so they are not necessarily defined for all a_0, \dots, a_n !!!

Non-derogatory

Theorem

Every companion pencil is **non-derogatory** when \mathbb{F} is infinite.

Proof (sketch): By contradiction, we can prove that $\text{rank}(A + z_0 B) \geq n - 1$, for all $z_0 \in \mathbb{F}$.

Uses polynomial identities and ends up with a nonzero polynomial that vanishes over all values in \mathbb{F} . □



FDT, C. Hernando.

A note on generalized companion pencils in the monomial basis.

RACSAM 114 (2020), Article 8.

U. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ and $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z]$

u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z] \not\Rightarrow$ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$:

U. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ and $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z]$

u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z] \not\Rightarrow$ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$:

Counterexample: $\begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are:

▶ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(y)[z]$: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/y & -z/y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

▶ not u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[y, z]$: $\det \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = y \neq 1 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

U. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ and $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z]$

u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z] \not\Rightarrow$ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$:

Counterexample: $\begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are:

► u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(y)[z]$: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/y & -z/y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

► not u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[y, z]$: $\det \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = y \neq 1 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

The Frobenius companion pencils are u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ to $\text{diag}(I_{n-1}, p(z))$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix}$$

U. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ and $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z]$

u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z] \not\Rightarrow$ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$:

Counterexample: $\begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are:

► u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(y)[z]$: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/y & -z/y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

► not u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[y, z]$: $\det \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = y \neq 1 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

The Frobenius companion pencils are u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ to $\text{diag}(I_{n-1}, \rho(z))$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & a_{n-2} + za_{n-1} + z^2 a_n & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix}$$

U. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ and $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z]$

u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z] \not\Rightarrow$ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$:

Counterexample: $\begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are:

► u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(y)[z]$: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/y & -z/y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

► not u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[y, z]$: $\det \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = y \neq 1 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

The Frobenius companion pencils are u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ to $\text{diag}(I_{n-1}, \rho(z))$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & a_{n-2} + za_{n-1} + z^2 a_n & \cdots & a_0 + za_1 \\ -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix}$$

U. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ and $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z]$

u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z] \not\Rightarrow$ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$:

Counterexample: $\begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are:

▶ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(y)[z]$: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/y & -z/y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

▶ not u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[y, z]$: $\det \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = y \neq 1 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

The Frobenius companion pencils are u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ to $\text{diag}(I_{n-1}, \rho(z))$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & a_{n-2} + za_{n-1} + z^2 a_n & \cdots & a_0 + za_1 + \cdots + z^n a_n \\ -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

U. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ and $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z]$

u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z] \not\Rightarrow$ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$:

Counterexample: $\begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are:

▶ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(y)[z]$: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/y & -z/y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

▶ not u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[y, z]$: $\det \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = y \neq 1 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

The Frobenius companion pencils are u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ to $\text{diag}(I_{n-1}, \rho(z))$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & a_{n-2} + za_{n-1} + z^2 a_n & \cdots & a_0 + za_1 + \cdots + z^n a_n \\ -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

U. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ and $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z]$

u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z] \not\Rightarrow$ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$:

Counterexample: $\begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are:

► u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(y)[z]$: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/y & -z/y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

► not u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[y, z]$: $\det \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = y \neq 1 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

The Frobenius companion pencils are u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ to $\text{diag}(I_{n-1}, p(z))$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & p(z) \\ -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

U. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ and $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z]$

u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z] \not\Rightarrow$ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$:

Counterexample: $\begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are:

▶ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(y)[z]$: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/y & -z/y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

▶ not u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[y, z]$: $\det \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = y \neq 1 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

The Frobenius companion pencils are u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ to $\text{diag}(I_{n-1}, p(z))$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & p(z) \end{bmatrix}$$

U. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ and $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z]$

u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z] \not\Rightarrow$ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$:

Counterexample: $\begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are:

▶ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(y)[z]$: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/y & -z/y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

▶ not u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[y, z]$: $\det \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = y \neq 1 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

The Frobenius companion pencils are u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ to $\text{diag}(I_{n-1}, p(z))$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & p(z) \end{bmatrix}$$

All transformations belong to $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$!

U. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ and $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z]$

u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(a_0, \dots, a_n)[z] \not\Rightarrow$ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$:

Counterexample: $\begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are:

▶ u. e. over $\mathbb{F}(y)[z]$: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/y & -z/y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

▶ not u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[y, z]$: $\det \begin{bmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = y \neq 1 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Q: When is a companion pencil u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ to its Smith form?

The result by Li, Liu & Chu

Theorem [Li, Liu & Chu'2020]

Let $P(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{F}[z_1, \dots, z_m]^{n \times n}$. If

$$\det P(z_1, \dots, z_m) = z_1 - f(z_2, \dots, z_m)$$

then $P(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ is u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[z_1, \dots, z_m]$ to its Smith form.



D. Li, J. Liu, D. Chu.

The Smith form of a multivariate polynomial matrix over an arbitrary coefficient field.

LAMA 70 (2020) 366–379.

Relies on the Quillen-Suslin Theorem in



Quillen D.

Projective modules over polynomial rings.

Invent. Math. 36 (1976) 167–171.

The result by Li, Liu & Chu

Theorem [Li, Liu & Chu'2020]

Let $P(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{F}[z_1, \dots, z_m]^{n \times n}$. If

$$\det P(z_1, \dots, z_m) = z_1 - f(z_2, \dots, z_m)$$

then $P(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ is u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[z_1, \dots, z_m]$ to its Smith form.

For **companion pencils**, we can set: $z_1 = a_0, \dots, z_{m-1} = a_n, z_m = z$, so that $P(z_1, \dots, z_m) = A(a_0, \dots, a_n) + zB(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ and

$$\det(A(a_0, \dots, a_n) + zB(a_0, \dots, a_n)) = a_0 - (-za_1 - \dots - z^n a_n).$$

The result by Li, Liu & Chu

Theorem [Li, Liu & Chu'2020]

Let $P(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{F}[z_1, \dots, z_m]^{n \times n}$. If

$$\det P(z_1, \dots, z_m) = z_1 - f(z_2, \dots, z_m)$$

then $P(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ is u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[z_1, \dots, z_m]$ to its Smith form.

For **companion pencils**, we can set: $z_1 = a_0, \dots, z_{m-1} = a_n, z_m = z$, so that $P(z_1, \dots, z_m) = A(a_0, \dots, a_n) + zB(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ and

$$\det(A(a_0, \dots, a_n) + zB(a_0, \dots, a_n)) = a_0 - (-za_1 - \dots - z^n a_n).$$

Theorem

Every companion pencil is u. e. over $\mathbb{F}[a_0, \dots, a_n, z]$ to $\begin{bmatrix} I_{n-1} \\ \rho(z) \end{bmatrix}$.

Outline

Basic definitions

The Smith form of companion pencils

On the sparsity

The main question

Q: Which is the **smallest** possible number of **nonzero entries** in a companion pencil?

The main question

Q: Which is the **smallest** possible number of **nonzero entries** in a companion pencil?

For companion **matrices**:

Theorem [Ma & Zhan'2013]

If $A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is a companion matrix, then it has, at least, $2n - 1$ nonzero entries.



C. Ma, X. Zhan.

Extremal sparsity of the companion matrix of a polynomial.

LAA 438 (2013) 621–625.

The main question

Q: Which is the **smallest** possible number of **nonzero entries** in a companion pencil?

For companion **matrices**:

Theorem [Ma & Zhan'2013]

If $A(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is a companion matrix, then it has, at least, $2n - 1$ nonzero entries.

This is the case of the Frobenius companion matrices

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -a_{n-1} & -a_{n-2} & \cdots & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (C_2 = C_1^T).$$

Companion matrix vs companion pencil

The companion pencil includes also the leading entries:

$$F_i(z) = z \operatorname{diag}(a_n, 1, \dots, 1) - C_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$F_1(a_0, \dots, a_n, z) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix}, \quad (F_2 = F_1^T).$$

In this case, $n - 1$ additional entries are added: $3n - 2$ in total.

Companion matrix vs companion pencil

The companion pencil includes also the leading entries:

$$F_i(z) = z \operatorname{diag}(a_n, 1, \dots, 1) - C_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$F_1(a_0, \dots, a_n, z) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix}, \quad (F_2 = F_1^T).$$

In this case, $n - 1$ additional entries are added: $3n - 2$ in total.

But we can do it better:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & 0 & a_{n-3} + za_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 + za_1 \\ -1 & z & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & -1 & z & 0 \\ 0 & & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix} \quad (n \text{ odd}).$$

Companion matrix vs companion pencil

The companion pencil includes also the leading entries:

$$F_i(z) = z \operatorname{diag}(a_n, 1, \dots, 1) - C_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$F_1(a_0, \dots, a_n, z) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix}, \quad (F_2 = F_1^T).$$

In this case, $n - 1$ additional entries are added: $3n - 2$ in total.

But we can do it better:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & 0 & a_{n-3} + za_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & -1 & z & 0 \\ 0 & & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix} \quad (n \text{ even}).$$

Companion matrix vs companion pencil

The companion pencil includes also the leading entries:

$$F_i(z) = z \operatorname{diag}(a_n, 1, \dots, 1) - C_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$F_1(a_0, \dots, a_n, z) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix}, \quad (F_2 = F_1^T).$$

In this case, $n - 1$ additional entries are added: $3n - 2$ in total.

But we can do it better:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1} + za_n & 0 & a_{n-3} + za_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ -1 & z & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & -1 & z & 0 \\ 0 & & & -1 & z \end{bmatrix} \quad (n \text{ even}).$$

Number of nonzero entries:

$$2n - 1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$$

When each coefficient appears once

Theorem

If $A + zB$ is a companion pencil where each coefficient a_0, \dots, a_n appears in just one entry, then $A + zB$ has, at least, $2n - 1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ nonzero entries.



FDT, C. Hernando.

A note on generalized companion pencils in the monomial basis.

RACSAM 114 (2020), Article 8.

When each coefficient appears once

Theorem

If $A + zB$ is a companion pencil where each coefficient a_0, \dots, a_n appears in just one entry, then $A + zB$ has, at least, $2n - 1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ nonzero entries.



FDT, C. Hernando.

A note on generalized companion pencils in the monomial basis.

RACSAM 114 (2020), Article 8.

Open question: Which is the smallest possible number of nonzero entries in an arbitrary companion pencil?

When each coefficient appears once

Theorem

If $A + zB$ is a companion pencil where each coefficient a_0, \dots, a_n appears in just one entry, then $A + zB$ has, at least, $2n - 1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ nonzero entries.



FDT, C. Hernando.

A note on generalized companion pencils in the monomial basis.

RACSAM 114 (2020), Article 8.

Open question: Which is the smallest possible number of nonzero entries in an arbitrary companion pencil?

Conjecture: $2n - 1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$



Thank you!!

Go raibh maith agat!!