

Low rank perturbation of regular matrix pencils with symmetry structures

Fernando De Terán Vergara

uc3m | Universidad **Carlos III** de Madrid
Departamento de Matemáticas

Jan 21st, 2021

Co-authors



Christian Mehl



Volker Mehrmann

Some pictures (Madrid, Jan'21 !!!!)



Outline

- 1 Motivation and related work
- 2 The unstructured case for pencils
- 3 The case of structured pencils
 - The \mathbb{T} -palindromic case
 - Other symmetry structures

Outline

- 1 Motivation and related work
- 2 The unstructured case for pencils
- 3 The case of structured pencils
 - The \mathbb{T} -palindromic case
 - Other symmetry structures

Applications

- **Matrix pencils** $A + \lambda B$: Associated to the system $Bx'(t) = Ax(t)$.
- In practice, A, B present some symmetry structure (they are “structured”).
- **Low-rank perturbations**: Arise in problems related to modification of structures depending on many parameters, but only a few of them are to be modified.
- The **size** (in norm) **doesn't matter**.
- We are interested in the (generic) **change** of the **spectral structure**.
- The **spectral structure is key** in the behavior of the solutions of the associated system.

Applications

- **Matrix pencils** $A + \lambda B$: Associated to the system $Bx'(t) = Ax(t)$.
- In practice, A, B present some symmetry structure (they are “structured”).
- **Low-rank perturbations**: Arise in problems related to modification of structures depending on many parameters, but only a few of them are to be modified.
- The **size** (in norm) **doesn't matter**.
- We are interested in the (generic) **change** of the **spectral structure**.
- The **spectral structure is key** in the behavior of the solutions of the associated system.

Applications

- **Matrix pencils** $A + \lambda B$: Associated to the system $Bx'(t) = Ax(t)$.
- In practice, A, B present some symmetry structure (they are “structured”).
- **Low-rank perturbations**: Arise in problems related to modification of structures depending on many parameters, but only a few of them are to be modified.
- The **size** (in norm) **doesn't matter**.
- We are interested in the (generic) **change** of the **spectral structure**.
- The **spectral structure is key** in the behavior of the solutions of the associated system.

Applications

- **Matrix pencils** $A + \lambda B$: Associated to the system $Bx'(t) = Ax(t)$.
- In practice, A, B present some symmetry structure (they are “structured”).
- **Low-rank perturbations**: Arise in problems related to modification of structures depending on many parameters, but only a few of them are to be modified.
- The **size** (in norm) **doesn't matter**.
- We are interested in the (generic) **change** of the **spectral structure**.
- The **spectral structure is key** in the behavior of the solutions of the associated system.

Applications

- **Matrix pencils** $A + \lambda B$: Associated to the system $Bx'(t) = Ax(t)$.
- In practice, A, B present some symmetry structure (they are “structured”).
- **Low-rank perturbations**: Arise in problems related to modification of structures depending on many parameters, but only a few of them are to be modified.
- The **size** (in norm) **doesn't matter**.
- We are interested in the (generic) **change** of the **spectral structure**.
- The **spectral structure is key** in the behavior of the solutions of the associated system.

Applications

- **Matrix pencils** $A + \lambda B$: Associated to the system $Bx'(t) = Ax(t)$.
- In practice, A, B present some symmetry structure (they are “structured”).
- **Low-rank perturbations**: Arise in problems related to modification of structures depending on many parameters, but only a few of them are to be modified.
- The **size** (in norm) **doesn't matter**.
- We are interested in the (generic) **change** of the **spectral structure**.
- The **spectral structure is key** in the behavior of the solutions of the associated system.

The problem

Given a **matrix pencil**: $A + \lambda B$ ($A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$) with known spectral info:

Q: Which is the **generic** spectral info of $(A + \tilde{A}) + \lambda(B + \tilde{B})$?

(where $\tilde{A} + \lambda\tilde{B}$ has **low rank**).

The problem

Given a **matrix pencil**: $A + \lambda B$ ($A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$) with known spectral info:

Q: Which is the **generic** spectral info of $(A + \tilde{A}) + \lambda(B + \tilde{B})$?

(where $\tilde{A} + \lambda\tilde{B}$ has **low rank**).

- **Low rank:** Depends on the geometric multiplicity of each eigenvalue. \rightsquigarrow “**Local**” result.
- **Generic** change vs ~~all possible changes~~.
- Conditions of **genericity** in terms of **algebraic geometry** (the complement is contained in a proper algebraic set).
- Revision of the unstructured case, and focus on **structured** pencils.

The problem

Given a **matrix pencil**: $A + \lambda B$ ($A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$) with known spectral info:

Q: Which is the **generic** spectral info of $(A + \tilde{A}) + \lambda(B + \tilde{B})$?

(where $\tilde{A} + \lambda\tilde{B}$ has **low rank**).

- **Low rank:** Depends on the geometric multiplicity of each eigenvalue. \rightsquigarrow “**Local**” result.
- **Generic** change vs ~~all possible changes~~.
- Conditions of **genericity** in terms of **algebraic geometry** (the complement is contained in a proper algebraic set).
- Revision of the unstructured case, and focus on **structured** pencils.

The problem

Given a **matrix pencil**: $A + \lambda B$ ($A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$) with known spectral info:

Q: Which is the **generic** spectral info of $(A + \tilde{A}) + \lambda(B + \tilde{B})$?

(where $\tilde{A} + \lambda\tilde{B}$ has **low rank**).

- **Low rank:** Depends on the geometric multiplicity of each eigenvalue. \rightsquigarrow “**Local**” result.
- **Generic** change vs ~~all possible changes~~.
- Conditions of **genericity** in terms of **algebraic geometry** (the complement is contained in a proper algebraic set).
- Revision of the unstructured case, and focus on **structured** pencils.

The problem

Given a **matrix pencil**: $A + \lambda B$ ($A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$) with known spectral info:

Q: Which is the **generic** spectral info of $(A + \tilde{A}) + \lambda(B + \tilde{B})$?

(where $\tilde{A} + \lambda\tilde{B}$ has **low rank**).

- **Low rank:** Depends on the geometric multiplicity of each eigenvalue. \rightsquigarrow “**Local**” result.
- **Generic** change vs ~~all possible changes~~.
- Conditions of **genericity** in terms of **algebraic geometry** (the complement is contained in a proper algebraic set).
- Revision of the unstructured case, and focus on **structured** pencils.

Previous contributions (structured)

- Structured **matrices**:



J. H. Fourie, G. J. Groenewald, D. B. Janse van Rensburg, A. C. M. Ran. Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013) 653–674.



C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann, A. C. M. Ran, L. Rodman. Linear Algebra Appl., 435 (2011) 687–716.



C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann, A. C. M. Ran, L. Rodman. Linear Algebra Appl., 436 (2012) 4027–4042.



C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann, A. C. M. Ran, L. Rodman. BIT, 54 (2014) 219–255.



C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann, A. C. M. Ran, L. Rodman. Lin. Multilin. Algebra, 64 (2016) 527–556.



C. Mehl, A. C. M. Ran. Electron. J. Linear Algebra, 32 (2017) 514–530.

Previous contributions (structured)

- Structured **matrices**:



J. H. Fourie, G. J. Groenewald, D. B. Janse van Rensburg, A. C. M. Ran. Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013) 653–674.



C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann, A. C. M. Ran, L. Rodman. Linear Algebra Appl., 435 (2011) 687–716.



C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann, A. C. M. Ran, L. Rodman. Linear Algebra Appl., 436 (2012) 4027–4042.



C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann, A. C. M. Ran, L. Rodman. BIT, 54 (2014) 219–255.



C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann, A. C. M. Ran, L. Rodman. Lin. Multilin. Algebra, 64 (2016) 527–556.



C. Mehl, A. C. M. Ran. Electron. J. Linear Algebra, 32 (2017) 514–530.

- Rank-1 & rank-2 perturbations of structured **pencils**:



L. Batzke. Linear Algebra Appl., 458 (2014) 638–670.



L. Batzke. Oper. Matrices, 10 (2016) 83–112.

The matrix case: Jordan Canonical Form (JCF)

Theorem

Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and λ_0 e-val of A with g associated Jordan blocks in the JCF of A . Let $E \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $\text{rank}(E) < g$.

Then, **generically**, the blocks associated to λ_0 in the JCF of $A + E$ are the $g - \text{rank}(E)$ smallest blocks of A with e-val λ_0 .



L. Hormander, A. Mellin. Math. Scand., 75 (1994), pp. 255–262.



J. Moro, F. M. Dopico. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 25 (2003) 495–506.



S. V. Savchenko. Funkts. Anal. Prilozh., 38 (2004), pp. 85–88 (in Russian).
Transl. in Funct. Anal. Appl., 38 (2004), pp. 69–71.

Outline

- 1 Motivation and related work
- 2 The unstructured case for pencils
- 3 The case of structured pencils
 - The T -palindromic case
 - Other symmetry structures

Regular vs singular

$P(\lambda) = A + \lambda B$, with $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, is:

- **Regular:** If $m = n$ and $\det(A + \lambda B) \not\equiv 0$.
- **Singular** otherwise.

Regular vs singular

$P(\lambda) = A + \lambda B$, with $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, is:

- **Regular:** If $m = n$ and $\det(A + \lambda B) \neq 0$. (Example: $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$)
- **Singular** otherwise. (Example: $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}$)

Regular vs singular

$P(\lambda) = A + \lambda B$, with $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, is:

- **Regular**: If $m = n$ and $\det(A + \lambda B) \neq 0$. (Example: $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$)
- **Singular** otherwise. (Example: $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}$)
- **Rank** of $A + \lambda B$: Rank as a matrix in $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)$.

Regular vs singular

$P(\lambda) = A + \lambda B$, with $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, is:

- **Regular**: If $m = n$ and $\det(A + \lambda B) \neq 0$. (Example: $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$)
- **Singular** otherwise. (Example: $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}$)
- **Rank** of $A + \lambda B$: Rank as a matrix in $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)$.
- λ_0 is an **eigenvalue** of $A + \lambda B$ if $\text{rank}(A + \lambda B) > \text{rank}(A + \lambda_0 B)$.

Regular vs singular

$P(\lambda) = A + \lambda B$, with $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, is:

- **Regular**: If $m = n$ and $\det(A + \lambda B) \neq 0$. (Example: $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$)
- **Singular** otherwise. (Example: $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}$)
- **Rank** of $A + \lambda B$: Rank as a matrix in $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)$.
- λ_0 is an **eigenvalue** of $A + \lambda B$ if $\text{rank}(A + \lambda B) > \text{rank}(A + \lambda_0 B)$.
- ∞ is an eigenvalue of $A + \lambda B \Leftrightarrow 0$ is an eigenvalue of $\text{rev}(A + \lambda B) := B + \lambda A$.

Regular vs singular

$P(\lambda) = A + \lambda B$, with $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, is:

- **Regular**: If $m = n$ and $\det(A + \lambda B) \neq 0$. (Example: $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$)
- **Singular** otherwise. (Example: $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}$)
- **Rank** of $A + \lambda B$: Rank as a matrix in $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)$.
- λ_0 is an **eigenvalue** of $A + \lambda B$ if $\text{rank}(A + \lambda B) > \text{rank}(A + \lambda_0 B)$.
- ∞ is an eigenvalue of $A + \lambda B \Leftrightarrow 0$ is an eigenvalue of $\text{rev}(A + \lambda B) := B + \lambda A$.

 **Low-rank** pencils are **singular**.

The Weierstrass Canonical Form (WCF)

$$J_k(a-\lambda) := \begin{bmatrix} a-\lambda & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & a-\lambda & 1 \\ & & & & & a-\lambda \end{bmatrix}_{k \times k}, \quad \text{rev } J_k(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 & \lambda \\ & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{k \times k}$$

Theorem (WCF)

If $A + \lambda B$ is **regular**, there are $S, T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ **invertible** such that

$$S(A + \lambda B)T = \text{diag} \left(J_{n_1,1}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_1,g_1}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, \right. \\ \left. J_{n_k,1}(\lambda_k - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_k,g_k}(\lambda_k - \lambda), \right. \\ \left. \text{rev } J_{n_{k+1},1}(\lambda), \dots, \text{rev } J_{n_{k+1},g_{k+1}}(\lambda) \right).$$

$$(\text{rev}(A + \lambda B)) := B + \lambda A.$$

The Weierstrass Canonical Form (cont.)

$$S(A + \lambda B)T = \text{diag} (J_{n_{1,1}}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{1,g_1}}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{\kappa,1}}(\lambda_{\kappa} - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{\kappa,g_{\kappa}}}(\lambda_{\kappa} - \lambda), \\ \text{rev } J_{n_{\kappa+1,1}}(\lambda), \dots, \text{rev } J_{n_{\kappa+1,g_{\kappa+1}}}(\lambda)).$$

The Weierstrass Canonical Form (cont.)

$$S(A + \lambda B)T = \text{diag} (J_{n_{1,1}}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{1,g_1}}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{\kappa,1}}(\lambda_{\kappa} - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{\kappa,g_{\kappa}}}(\lambda_{\kappa} - \lambda), \\ \text{rev } J_{n_{\kappa+1,1}}(\lambda), \dots, \text{rev } J_{n_{\kappa+1,g_{\kappa+1}}}(\lambda)).$$

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\kappa}$: **Eigenvalues (finite)** of $A + \lambda B$.

The Weierstrass Canonical Form (cont.)

$$S(A + \lambda B)T = \text{diag} (J_{n_{1,1}}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{1,g_1}}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{\kappa,1}}(\lambda_\kappa - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{\kappa,g_\kappa}}(\lambda_\kappa - \lambda), \\ \text{rev } J_{n_{\kappa+1,1}}(\lambda), \dots, \text{rev } J_{n_{\kappa+1,g_{\kappa+1}}}(\lambda)).$$

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\kappa$: **Eigenvalues (finite)** of $A + \lambda B$.

$n_{i,1}, \dots, n_{i,g_i}$: **Partial multiplicities** of λ_i , ($i = 1, \dots, \kappa$).

g_i : **Geometric multiplicity** of λ_i .

The Weierstrass Canonical Form (cont.)

$$S(A + \lambda B)T = \text{diag} (J_{n_{1,1}}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{1,g_1}}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{\kappa,1}}(\lambda_\kappa - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{\kappa,g_\kappa}}(\lambda_\kappa - \lambda), \\ \text{rev } J_{n_{\kappa+1,1}}(\lambda), \dots, \text{rev } J_{n_{\kappa+1,g_{\kappa+1}}}(\lambda)).$$

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\kappa$: **Eigenvalues (finite)** of $A + \lambda B$.

$n_{i,1}, \dots, n_{i,g_i}$: **Partial multiplicities** of λ_i , ($i = 1, \dots, \kappa$).

g_i : **Geometric multiplicity** of λ_i .

$n_{\kappa+1,1}, \dots, n_{\kappa+1,g_{\kappa+1}}$: **Partial multiplicities** of ∞ .

$g_{\kappa+1}$: **Geometric multiplicity** of ∞ .

The Weierstrass Canonical Form (cont.)

$$S(A + \lambda B)T = \text{diag} (J_{n_{1,1}}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{1,g_1}}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{\kappa,1}}(\lambda_{\kappa} - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{\kappa,g_{\kappa}}}(\lambda_{\kappa} - \lambda), \\ \text{rev } J_{n_{\kappa+1,1}}(\lambda), \dots, \text{rev } J_{n_{\kappa+1,g_{\kappa+1}}}(\lambda)).$$

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\kappa}$: **Eigenvalues (finite)** of $A + \lambda B$.

$n_{i,1}, \dots, n_{i,g_i}$: **Partial multiplicities** of λ_i , ($i = 1, \dots, \kappa$).

g_i : **Geometric multiplicity** of λ_i .

$n_{\kappa+1,1}, \dots, n_{\kappa+1,g_{\kappa+1}}$: **Partial multiplicities** of ∞ .

$g_{\kappa+1}$: **Geometric multiplicity** of ∞ .

Wlog: $n_{i,1} \geq \dots \geq n_{i,g_i}$.

The Kronecker Canonical Form (KCF)

$$L_\alpha := \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\alpha \times (\alpha+1)}$$

Theorem (KCF)

Given $A + \lambda B$, there are $S \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ **invertible** such that

$$\begin{aligned} S(A + \lambda B)T = & \text{diag} \left(J_{n_{1,1}}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{1,g_1}}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, \right. \\ & J_{n_{\kappa,1}}(\lambda_\kappa - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{\kappa,g_\kappa}}(\lambda_\kappa - \lambda), \\ & \text{rev } J_{n_{\kappa+1,1}}(\lambda), \dots, \text{rev } J_{n_{\kappa+1,g_{\kappa+1}}}(\lambda), \\ & \left. L_{\alpha_1}, \dots, L_{\alpha_\eta}, L_{\beta_1}^\top, \dots, L_{\beta_\xi}^\top \right). \end{aligned}$$

The Kronecker Canonical Form (KCF)

$$L_\alpha := \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\alpha \times (\alpha+1)}$$

Theorem (KCF)

Given $A + \lambda B$, there are $S \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ **invertible** such that

$$\begin{aligned} S(A + \lambda B)T = & \text{diag} \left(J_{n_{1,1}}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{1,g_1}}(\lambda_1 - \lambda), \dots, \right. \\ & J_{n_{\kappa,1}}(\lambda_\kappa - \lambda), \dots, J_{n_{\kappa,g_\kappa}}(\lambda_\kappa - \lambda), \\ & \text{rev } J_{n_{\kappa+1,1}}(\lambda), \dots, \text{rev } J_{n_{\kappa+1,g_{\kappa+1}}}(\lambda), \\ & \left. L_{\alpha_1}, \dots, L_{\alpha_\eta}, L_{\beta_1}^\top, \dots, L_{\beta_\xi}^\top \right). \end{aligned}$$

$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\eta$: Right minimal indices,

$\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\xi$: Left minimal indices.

Low-rank perturbation of the WCF

Theorem

Let $A(\lambda)$ be a regular pencil with g_0 partial multiplicities of λ_0 . Let $B(\lambda)$ another pencil such that $\text{rank } B(\lambda_0) < g_0$.

Then, **generically**, $A(\lambda) + B(\lambda)$ has exactly $g_0 - \text{rank } B(\lambda_0)$ λ_0 -partial multiplicities:

- $g_0 - \text{rank } B(\lambda_0) - \text{rank } B_1$ are the **smaller** λ_0 -partial multiplicities of $A(\lambda)$,
- $\text{rank } B_1$ equal to 1.



FDT, F. M. Dopico, J. Moro. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 30-2 (2008) 538-547.

Low-rank perturbation of the WCF

Teorema

Let $A(\lambda)$ be a regular pencil with g_0 partial multiplicities of λ_0 . Let $B(\lambda)$ another pencil such that $\text{rank } B(\lambda_0) < g_0$.

Then, **generically**, $A(\lambda) + B(\lambda)$ has exactly $g_0 - \text{rank } B(\lambda_0)$ λ_0 -partial multiplicities:

- $g_0 - \text{rank } B(\lambda_0) - \text{rank } B_1$ are the **smaller** λ_0 -partial multiplicities of $A(\lambda)$,
- $\text{rank } B_1$ equal to 1.



FDT, F. M. Dopico, J. Moro. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 30-2 (2008) 538-547.

Low-rank perturbation of the WCF

Teorema

Let $A(\lambda)$ be a regular pencil with g_0 partial multiplicities of λ_0 . Let $B(\lambda)$ another pencil such that $\text{rank } B(\lambda_0) < g_0$.

Then, **generically**, $A(\lambda) + B(\lambda)$ has exactly $g_0 - \text{rank } B(\lambda_0)$ λ_0 -partial multiplicities:

- $g_0 - \text{rank } B(\lambda_0) - \text{rank } B_1$ are the **smaller** λ_0 -partial multiplicities of $A(\lambda)$,
- $\text{rank } B_1$ equal to 1.



FDT, F. M. Dopico, J. Moro. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 30-2 (2008) 538-547.

For matrix polynomials:



FDT, F. M. Dopico. Linear Algebra Appl., 430 (2009) 579-586.

Generic perturbations?

Q: How to generate “generic” perturbations?

Generic perturbations?

Q: How to generate “generic” perturbations?

☞ **First idea** (previous slide):

$$\rho_0 := \text{rank}(B_0 + \lambda_0 B_1), \quad \rho_1 := \text{rank}(B_1), \quad \rho := \rho_0 + \rho_1.$$

$\rho_0 < g_0$: guarantees that λ_0 is an e-val of $A_0 + B_0 + \lambda(A_1 + B_1)$.
 ($\rho_0 < g_0$ is the **low-rank condition**).

$B_0 + \lambda_0 B_1$: an arbitrary matrix with rank $\rho_0 < g_0$.

B_1 : an arbitrary matrix with rank ρ_1 .

Generic perturbations?

Q: How to generate “generic” perturbations?

☞ **First idea** (previous slide):

$$\rho_0 := \text{rank}(B_0 + \lambda_0 B_1), \quad \rho_1 := \text{rank}(B_1), \quad \rho := \rho_0 + \rho_1.$$

$\rho_0 < g_0$: guarantees that λ_0 is an e-val of $A_0 + B_0 + \lambda(A_1 + B_1)$.
 ($\rho_0 < g_0$ is the **low-rank condition**).

$B_0 + \lambda_0 B_1$: an arbitrary matrix with rank $\rho_0 < g_0$.

B_1 : an arbitrary matrix with rank ρ_1 .

Perturbation: $B_0 + \lambda B_1 = B_0 + \lambda_0 B_1 + (\lambda - \lambda_0) B_1 \rightsquigarrow$ has, **generically**, rank ρ (if $\rho < n$).

Generic perturbations? (II)

👉 **Second idea** (rank-1):

$$B_0 + \lambda B_1 = -\alpha uv^\top + \lambda \beta uv^\top \quad (u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C})$$

 **L. Batzke.** Linear Algebra Appl., 458 (2014) 638–670.

 **L. Batzke.** Oper. Matrices, 10 (2016) 83–112.

Generic perturbations? (II)

☞ **Second idea** (rank-1):

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 + \lambda B_1 &= -\alpha uv^\top + \lambda \beta uv^\top \quad (u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}) \\ &= (-\alpha + \lambda \beta) uv^\top \end{aligned}$$

 **L. Batzke.** Linear Algebra Appl., 458 (2014) 638–670.

 **L. Batzke.** Oper. Matrices, 10 (2016) 83–112.

Generic perturbations? (II)

☞ **Second idea** (rank-1):

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 + \lambda B_1 &= -\alpha uv^\top + \lambda \beta uv^\top \quad (u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}) \\ &= (-\alpha + \lambda \beta) uv^\top \end{aligned}$$

$u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ arbitrary.

 **L. Batzke.** Linear Algebra Appl., 458 (2014) 638–670.

 **L. Batzke.** Oper. Matrices, 10 (2016) 83–112.

Generic perturbations? (III)

👎 These perturbations **are not generic**:

Generic perturbations? (III)

☞ These perturbations **are not generic**:

- $B_0 + \lambda B_1 = B_0 + \lambda_0 B_1 + (\lambda - \lambda_0) B_1$ has λ_0 as e-val (if $\rho_1 > 0$):

Generic perturbations? (III)

☞ These perturbations **are not generic**:

- $B_0 + \lambda B_1 = B_0 + \lambda_0 B_1 + (\lambda - \lambda_0) B_1$ has λ_0 as e-val (if $\rho_1 > 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \text{rank}(B_0 + \lambda B_1) = \text{rank}(B_0 + \lambda_0 B_1 + (\lambda - \lambda_0) B_1) \\ &= \rho_0 + \rho_1 > \rho_0 = \text{rank}(A + \lambda_0 B) \end{aligned}$$

Generic perturbations? (III)

☞ These perturbations **are not generic**:

- $B_0 + \lambda B_1 = B_0 + \lambda_0 B_1 + (\lambda - \lambda_0) B_1$ has **λ_0 as e-val** (if $\rho_1 > 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \text{rank}(B_0 + \lambda B_1) = \text{rank}(B_0 + \lambda_0 B_1 + (\lambda - \lambda_0) B_1) \\ &= \rho_0 + \rho_1 > \rho_0 = \text{rank}(A + \lambda_0 B) \end{aligned}$$

- $(-\alpha + \lambda\beta)uv^\top$ has **α/β as e-val**.

Generic perturbations? (III)

☞ These perturbations **are not generic**:

- $B_0 + \lambda B_1 = B_0 + \lambda_0 B_1 + (\lambda - \lambda_0) B_1$ has **λ_0 as e-val** (if $\rho_1 > 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \text{rank}(B_0 + \lambda B_1) = \text{rank}(B_0 + \lambda_0 B_1 + (\lambda - \lambda_0) B_1) \\ &= \rho_0 + \rho_1 > \rho_0 = \text{rank}(A + \lambda_0 B) \end{aligned}$$

- $(-\alpha + \lambda\beta)uv^\top$ has **α/β as e-val**.

☞ Singular pencils **do not have e-vals (generically)**.

See, for instance,



J. W. Demmel, A. Edelman. Linear Algebra Appl. 230 (1995) 61–87.

Generic perturbations!

👉 **Third idea:** Use a decomposition of low-rank pencils as a sum of rank-1 pencils.

Generic perturbations!

👉 **Third idea:** Use a decomposition of low-rank pencils as a sum of rank-1 pencils.

- This decomposition is **new**.

Generic perturbations!

👉 **Third idea:** Use a decomposition of low-rank pencils as a sum of rank-1 pencils.

- This decomposition is **new**.
- It is obtained from the **KCF**.

Generic perturbations!

👉 **Third idea:** Use a decomposition of low-rank pencils as a sum of rank-1 pencils.

- This decomposition is **new**.
- It is obtained from the **KCF**.
- It allows to obtain a **parametrization** of the $m \times n$ pencils with rank $\leq r$, for $r \leq \min\{m, n\}$.

Rank-1 decomposition

We express an $m \times n$ pencil with $\text{rank} \leq r$ as

$$v_1(\lambda)w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s(\lambda)w_s(\lambda)^\top + v_{s+1}(\lambda)w_{s+1}(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda)w_r(\lambda)^\top$$

for some $0 \leq s \leq r$. Where

Rank-1 decomposition

We express an $m \times n$ pencil with $\text{rank} \leq r$ as

$$v_1(\lambda)w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s(\lambda)w_s(\lambda)^\top + v_{s+1}(\lambda)w_{s+1}(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda)w_r(\lambda)^\top$$

for some $0 \leq s \leq r$. Where

$$\begin{aligned} v_i(\lambda), w_j(\lambda) &\text{ are vector polynomials,} \\ \deg v_i &\leq 1, (i = 1, \dots, r), \\ \deg w_j &\leq 1, (j = 1, \dots, r), \end{aligned}$$

Rank-1 decomposition

We express an $m \times n$ pencil with $\text{rank} \leq r$ as

$$v_1(\lambda)w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s(\lambda)w_s(\lambda)^\top + v_{s+1}w_{s+1}(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda)w_r(\lambda)^\top$$

for some $0 \leq s \leq r$. Where

$$\begin{aligned} v_i(\lambda), w_j(\lambda) &\text{ are vector polynomials,} \\ \deg v_i &\leq 1, (i = 1, \dots, r), \\ \deg w_j &\leq 1, (j = 1, \dots, r), \\ \deg v_1 &= \cdots = \deg v_s = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Rank-1 decomposition

We express an $m \times n$ pencil with rank $\leq r$ as

$$v_1(\lambda)w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s(\lambda)w_s(\lambda)^\top + v_{s+1}w_{s+1}(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda)w_r(\lambda)^\top$$

for some $0 \leq s \leq r$. Where

$v_i(\lambda), w_j(\lambda)$ are vector polynomials,

$\deg v_i \leq 1, (i = 1, \dots, r),$

$\deg w_j \leq 1, (j = 1, \dots, r),$

$\deg v_1 = \cdots = \deg v_s = 0,$

$\deg w_{s+1} = \cdots = \deg w_r = 0.$

Rank-1 decomposition

We express an $m \times n$ pencil with $\text{rank} \leq r$ as

$$v_1(\lambda)w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s(\lambda)w_s(\lambda)^\top + v_{s+1}(\lambda)w_{s+1}(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda)w_r(\lambda)^\top$$

for some $0 \leq s \leq r$. Where

$v_i(\lambda), w_j(\lambda)$ are vector polynomials,

$\deg v_i \leq 1, (i = 1, \dots, r),$

$\deg w_j \leq 1, (j = 1, \dots, r),$

$\deg v_1 = \cdots = \deg v_s = 0,$

$\deg w_{s+1} = \cdots = \deg w_r = 0.$

\mathcal{C}_s : the set of pencils above.

Rank-1 decomposition

We express an $m \times n$ pencil with rank $\leq r$ as

$$v_1(\lambda)w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s(\lambda)w_s(\lambda)^\top + v_{s+1}(\lambda)w_{s+1}(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda)w_r(\lambda)^\top$$

for some $0 \leq s \leq r$. Where

$v_i(\lambda), w_j(\lambda)$ are vector polynomials,

$\deg v_i \leq 1, (i = 1, \dots, r),$

$\deg w_j \leq 1, (j = 1, \dots, r),$

$\deg v_1 = \cdots = \deg v_s = 0,$

$\deg w_{s+1} = \cdots = \deg w_r = 0.$

\mathcal{E}_s : the set of pencils above.

Theorem (DT-Dopico'16)

$$\mathbb{P}_r = \mathcal{E}_0 \cup \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{E}_r$$

($m \times n$ pencils with rank $\leq r$)

Parametrization of the set of pencils with rank $\leq r$

$$\mathfrak{C}_S := \{v_1 w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s(\lambda)^\top + v_{s+1}(\lambda) w_{s+1}^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda) w_r^\top\}.$$

Parametrization of the set of pencils with rank $\leq r$

$$\mathfrak{C}_S := \{v_1 w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s(\lambda)^\top + v_{s+1}(\lambda) w_{s+1}^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda) w_r^\top\}.$$

Parametrization of \mathfrak{C}_S :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_S: \mathbb{C}^{3rn} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_S, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} &\mapsto v_1 w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s(\lambda)^\top + \\ &v_{s+1}(\lambda) w_{s+1}^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda) w_r^\top, \end{aligned}$$

con

- $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{rn}$: degree-0 coefficients of v_i 's.
- $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{(r-s)n}$: degree-1 coefficients of v_i 's ($i = s+1 : r$).
- $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^{rn}$: degree-0 coefficients of w_i 's.
- $\delta \in \mathbb{C}^{sn}$: degree-1 coefficients of w_i 's ($i = 1 : s$).

Parametrization of the set of pencils with rank $\leq r$

$$\mathfrak{C}_s := \{v_1 w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s(\lambda)^\top + v_{s+1}(\lambda) w_{s+1}^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda) w_r^\top\}.$$

Parametrization of \mathfrak{C}_s :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_s : \mathbb{C}^{3rn} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_s, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} &\mapsto v_1 w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s(\lambda)^\top + \\ &v_{s+1}(\lambda) w_{s+1}^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda) w_r^\top, \end{aligned}$$

con

$\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{rn}$: degree-0 coefficients of v_i 's.

$\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{(r-s)n}$: degree-1 coefficients of v_i 's ($i = s+1 : r$).

$\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^{rn}$: degree-0 coefficients of w_i 's.

$\delta \in \mathbb{C}^{sn}$: degree-1 coefficients of w_i 's ($i = 1 : s$).

Parametrization of the set of pencils with rank $\leq r$

$$\mathcal{C}_S := \{v_1 w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s(\lambda)^\top + v_{s+1}(\lambda) w_{s+1}^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda) w_r^\top\}.$$

Parametrization of \mathcal{C}_S :

$$\Phi_S: \mathbb{C}^{3rn} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_S,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} \mapsto v_1 w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s(\lambda)^\top + v_{s+1}(\lambda) w_{s+1}^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda) w_r^\top,$$

- con
- $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{rn}$: degree-0 coefficients of v_i 's.
 - $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{(r-s)n}$: degree-1 coefficients of v_i 's ($i = s+1 : r$).
 - $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^{rn}$: degree-0 coefficients of w_i 's.
 - $\delta \in \mathbb{C}^{sn}$: degree-1 coefficients of w_i 's ($i = 1 : s$).

Parametrization of the set of pencils with rank $\leq r$

$$\mathcal{C}_s := \{v_1 w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s(\lambda)^\top + v_{s+1}(\lambda) w_{s+1}^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda) w_r^\top\}.$$

Parametrization of \mathcal{C}_s :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_s: \mathbb{C}^{3rn} &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_s, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} &\mapsto v_1 w_1(\lambda)^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s(\lambda)^\top + \\ &v_{s+1}(\lambda) w_{s+1}^\top + \cdots + v_r(\lambda) w_r^\top, \end{aligned}$$

con

- $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{rn}$: degree-0 coefficients of v_i 's.
- $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{(r-s)n}$: degree-1 coefficients of v_i 's ($i = s+1 : r$).
- $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^{rn}$: degree-0 coefficients of w_i 's.
- $\delta \in \mathbb{C}^{sn}$: degree-1 coefficients of w_i 's ($i = 1 : s$).

Low-rank perturbation of the WCF (revisited)

Theorem (DT, Dopico'16)

$L(\lambda)$ a **regular** $n \times n$ pencil, with

- $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\kappa$: e-vals.
- $n_{i,1} \geq \dots \geq n_{i,g_i} > 0$: partial multiplicities ($i = 1, \dots, \kappa$).

Let $0 \leq s \leq r$ and $\Phi_s : \mathbb{C}^{3rn} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_s$ as before.

There is a **generic set** $\mathcal{G}_s \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{3rn}$ s.t., **for all** $E(\lambda) \in \Phi_s(\mathcal{G}_s)$, the pencil $L + E$ is **regular** and

$n_{i,r+1} \geq \dots \geq n_{i,g_i}$ are the **partial multiplicities of $L + E$ at λ_i** .



FDT, F. M. Dopico. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 37(3) (2016) 823-835.

Low-rank perturbation of the WCF (revisited)

Theorem (DT, Dopico'16)

$L(\lambda)$ a **regular** $n \times n$ pencil, with

- $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\kappa$: e-vals.
- $n_{i,1} \geq \dots \geq n_{i,g_i} > 0$: partial multiplicities ($i = 1, \dots, \kappa$).

Let $0 \leq s \leq r$ and $\Phi_s : \mathbb{C}^{3rn} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_s$ as before.

There is a **generic set** $\mathcal{G}_s \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{3rn}$ s.t., **for all** $E(\lambda) \in \Phi_s(\mathcal{G}_s)$, the pencil $L + E$ is **regular** and

$n_{i,r+1} \geq \dots \geq n_{i,g_i}$ are the **partial multiplicities of $L + E$ at λ_i** .



FDT, F. M. Dopico. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 37(3) (2016) 823-835.

(If $r \geq g_i$, then λ_i is **not** an e-val of $L + E$.)

Low-rank perturbation of the WCF (revisited)

Theorem (DT, Dopico'16)

$L(\lambda)$ a **regular** $n \times n$ pencil, with

- $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\kappa$: e-vals.
- $n_{i,1} \geq \dots \geq n_{i,g_i} > 0$: partial multiplicities ($i = 1, \dots, \kappa$).

Let $0 \leq s \leq r$ and $\Phi_s : \mathbb{C}^{3rn} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_s$ as before.

There is a **generic set** $\mathcal{G}_s \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{3rn}$ s.t., **for all** $E(\lambda) \in \Phi_s(\mathcal{G}_s)$, the pencil $L + E$ is **regular** and

$n_{i,r+1} \geq \dots \geq n_{i,g_i}$ are the **partial multiplicities of $L + E$ at λ_i** .



FDT, F. M. Dopico. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 37(3) (2016) 823-835.

(If $r \geq g_i$, then λ_i is **not** an e-val of $L + E$.)

☞ All e-vals of $L + E$ different to those in L are **simple (DT-Mehl-Mehrmann)**.

Some remarks

$$\mathbb{P}_r = \mathfrak{C}_0 \cup \mathfrak{C}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathfrak{C}_r,$$

($n \times n$ pencils with rank $\leq r$)

Some remarks

$$\mathbb{P}_r = \mathfrak{C}_0 \cup \mathfrak{C}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathfrak{C}_r,$$

($n \times n$ pencils with rank $\leq r$)

$$\Phi_s : \mathcal{G}_s \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{3rn} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_s \quad (s = 1, \dots, r).$$

\mathcal{G}_s generic in \mathbb{C}^{3rn} (its complement is contained
in a proper algebraic set)

Some remarks

$$\mathbb{P}_r = \mathfrak{C}_0 \cup \mathfrak{C}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{C}_r,$$

($n \times n$ pencils with rank $\leq r$)

$$\Phi_s : \mathcal{G}_s \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{3rn} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_s \quad (s = 1, \dots, r).$$

\mathcal{G}_s generic in \mathbb{C}^{3rn} (its complement is contained
in a proper algebraic set)

► Comparison with the result in [DT, Dopico, 2008]:

The perturbations in that work add $\rho_1 = \text{rank } B_1$ e-vals λ_0 (generically simple).

Outline

- 1 Motivation and related work
- 2 The unstructured case for pencils
- 3 The case of structured pencils**
 - The \mathbb{T} -palindromic case
 - Other symmetry structures

Symmetry structures we consider

A pencil $A + \lambda B$ ($A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$) is:







- *Hermitian* if $A = A^*, B = B^*$;
- *symmetric* if $A = A^\top, B = B^\top$;
- *anti-Hermitian* if $A^* = -A, B^* = -B$;
- *anti-symmetric* if $A^\top = -A, B^\top = -B$;
- *\star -even* if $A^\star = A, B^\star = -B$;
- *\star -odd* if $A^\star = -A, B^\star = B$;
- *\star -palindromic* if $A^\star = B$;
- *\star -anti-palindromic* if $A^\star = -B$.

($\star = \top, *$).

\star -alternating: \star -even \cup \star -odd.

Why these symmetries?

☞ They are the symmetries that frequently arise in applications:

-  **I. Abou Hamad , B. Israels, P.A. Rikvold, S.V. Poroseva.** In: Computer Simulation Studies in Condensed-Matter Physics XXIII (CSP10), 4 (2010) 125–129.
-  **R. Albert, I. Albert, G. L. Nakarado.** Phys. Rev. E, 69 (2004) 025103.
-  **N.H. Du, V.H. Linh, V. Mehrmann.** En: Differential Algebraic Equation Forum, Surveys in Differential-Algebraic Equations I, Springer (2013) 63–96.
-  **D. Mackey, N. Mackey, C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann.** SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 28 (2006) 1029–1051.
-  **M. C. Petri.** Energy Sciences and Engineering Directorate, U.S. Department of Homeland Security (tech. report), 2008.
-  ...

Differences with the general case (not structured)

- The set of perturbations is different (smaller): we only consider **structured perturbations**.
- The generic change for not structured pencils **is not always consistent** with the **spectral symmetries** of the structured case.

Differences with the general case (not structured)

- The set of perturbations is different (smaller): we only consider **structured perturbations**.
- The generic change for not structured pencils **is not always consistent** with the **spectral symmetries** of the structured case.

Spectral symmetries

If $A + \lambda A^T$ is \mathbb{T} -palindromic:

- λ_0 an e-val of $A \Rightarrow 1/\lambda_0$ e-val of A .
- The partial multiplicities of λ_0 and $1/\lambda_0$ coincide.
- Each odd partial multiplicity of 1 appears an even number of times.
- Each even partial multiplicity of -1 appears an even number of times.
- The right and left minimal indices coincide.

Spectral symmetries

If $A + \lambda A^T$ is \mathbb{T} -palindromic:

- λ_0 an e-val of $A \Rightarrow 1/\lambda_0$ e-val of A .
- The partial multiplicities of λ_0 and $1/\lambda_0$ **coincide**.
- Each odd partial multiplicity of 1 appears **an even number of times**.
- Each even partial multiplicity of -1 appears **an even number of times**.
- The right and left minimal indices **coincide**.

Spectral symmetries

If $A + \lambda A^T$ is \mathbb{T} -palindromic:

- λ_0 an e-val of $A \Rightarrow 1/\lambda_0$ e-val of A .
- The partial multiplicities of λ_0 and $1/\lambda_0$ **coincide**.
- Each odd partial multiplicity of 1 appears **an even number of times**.
- Each even partial multiplicity of -1 appears **an even number of times**.
- The right and left minimal indices **coincide**.

Spectral symmetries

If $A + \lambda A^{\mathbb{T}}$ is \mathbb{T} -palindromic:

- λ_0 an e-val of $A \Rightarrow 1/\lambda_0$ e-val of A .
- The partial multiplicities of λ_0 and $1/\lambda_0$ **coincide**.
- Each odd partial multiplicity of 1 appears **an even number of times**.
- Each even partial multiplicity of -1 appears **an even number of times**.
- The right and left minimal indices **coincide**.

Spectral symmetries

If $A + \lambda A^T$ is \mathbb{T} -palindromic:

- λ_0 an e-val of $A \Rightarrow 1/\lambda_0$ e-val of A .
- The partial multiplicities of λ_0 and $1/\lambda_0$ **coincide**.
- Each odd partial multiplicity of 1 appears **an even number of times**.
- Each even partial multiplicity of -1 appears **an even number of times**.
- The right and left **minimal indices coincide**.

WCF of \mathbb{T} -palindromic pencils

Theorem

Any pencil $A + \lambda A^\mathbb{T}$ is **congruent** to a direct sum (unique, up to permutation) of blocks:

- $$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & L_\alpha(\lambda) \\ \text{rev } L_\alpha(\lambda)^\mathbb{T} & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(2\alpha+1) \times (2\alpha+1)}$$

(Associated to a **right** and **left minimal index** both equal to α).

WCF of \mathbb{T} -palindromic pencils

Theorem

Any pencil $A + \lambda A^\top$ is **congruent** to a direct sum (unique, up to permutation) of blocks:

- $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & L_\alpha(\lambda) \\ \text{rev } L_\alpha(\lambda)^\top & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(2\alpha+1) \times (2\alpha+1)}$.
- $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & J_\beta(1+\lambda) \\ J_\beta(1+\lambda)^\top & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ (β even).

(Associated to a **couple of even partial multiplicities** of -1).

WCF of \top -palindromic pencils

Theorem

Any pencil $A + \lambda A^\top$ is **congruent** to a direct sum (unique, up to permutation) of blocks:

- $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & L_\alpha(\lambda) \\ \text{rev } L_\alpha(\lambda)^\top & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(2\alpha+1) \times (2\alpha+1)}$.
- $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & J_\beta(1+\lambda) \\ J_\beta(1+\lambda)^\top & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ (β even).
- $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & J_\gamma(1-\lambda) \\ J_\gamma(1-\lambda)^\top & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, (γ odd).

(Associated to a **couple of odd partial multiplicities of 1**).

WCF of \mathbb{T} -palindromic pencils

Theorem

Any pencil $A + \lambda A^\mathbb{T}$ is **congruent** to a direct sum (unique, up to permutation) of blocks:

- $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & L_\alpha(\lambda) \\ \text{rev } L_\alpha(\lambda)^\mathbb{T} & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(2\alpha+1) \times (2\alpha+1)}$.
- $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & J_\beta(1+\lambda) \\ J_\beta(1+\lambda)^\mathbb{T} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ (β even).
- $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & J_\gamma(1-\lambda) \\ J_\gamma(1-\lambda)^\mathbb{T} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, (γ odd).
- $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & J_\delta(\lambda_0 - \lambda) \\ \text{rev } J_\delta(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^\mathbb{T} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

(Associated to a **couple of partial multiplicities** of $\lambda_0, 1/\lambda_0$).

WCF of \mathbb{T} -palindromic pencils (cont.)

Theorem (cont.)

$$\bullet \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} & & & & & \lambda - 1 \\ & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & \lambda - 1 & \\ & & & \lambda - 1 & 1 & \\ \hline & & & 1 - \lambda & & \\ & & 1 - \lambda & & \lambda & \\ & & \lambda & & & \\ \hline 1 - \lambda & & & & & \\ & \lambda & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \end{array} \right]_{(2\epsilon) \times (2\epsilon)}$$

$$\bullet \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} & & & & & \lambda + 1 \\ & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & \lambda + 1 & \\ & & & \lambda + 1 & 1 & \\ \hline & & & 1 + \lambda & & \\ & & 1 + \lambda & & \lambda & \\ & & \lambda & & & \\ \hline 1 + \lambda & & & & & \\ & \lambda & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \end{array} \right]_{(2\eta+1) \times (2\eta+1)}$$

(Associated to an **odd partial multiplicity** of -1).

Rank-1 decomposition of \mathbb{T} -palindromic pencils

Theorem

$E(\lambda)$, a \mathbb{T} -palindromic $n \times n$ pencil, with $\text{rank } E = r \leq n$, can be written as

$$E(\lambda) = \begin{cases} v_1 w_1^\top(\lambda) + \cdots + v_s w_s^\top(\lambda) + \\ (\text{rev } w_1)(\lambda) v_1^\top + \cdots + (\text{rev } w_s)(\lambda) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ (1 + \lambda) u u^\top + v_1 w_1^\top(\lambda) + \cdots + v_s w_s^\top(\lambda) + \\ (\text{rev } w_1)(\lambda) v_1^\top + \cdots + (\text{rev } w_s)(\lambda) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

donde $s = \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$, $\deg u = \deg v_1 = \cdots = \deg v_s = 0$ y
 $\deg w_1, \dots, \deg w_s \leq 1$.

 **FDT.** SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 39 (2018) 1116–1134.

Rank-1 decomposition of \mathbb{T} -palindromic pencils

Theorem

$E(\lambda)$, a \mathbb{T} -palindromic $n \times n$ pencil, with $\text{rank } E = r \leq n$, can be written as

$$E(\lambda) = \begin{cases} v_1 w_1^\top(\lambda) + \dots + v_s w_s^\top(\lambda) + \\ (\text{rev } w_1)(\lambda) v_1^\top + \dots + (\text{rev } w_s)(\lambda) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ (1 + \lambda) u u^\top + v_1 w_1^\top(\lambda) + \dots + v_s w_s^\top(\lambda) + \\ (\text{rev } w_1)(\lambda) v_1^\top + \dots + (\text{rev } w_s)(\lambda) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $s = \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$, $\deg u = \deg v_1 = \dots = \deg v_s = 0$ y
 $\deg w_1, \dots, \deg w_s \leq 1$.

 **FDT**. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 39 (2018) 1116–1134.

Rank-1 decomposition of \mathbb{T} -palindromic pencils

Theorem

$E(\lambda)$, a \mathbb{T} -palindromic $n \times n$ pencil with $\text{rank } E = r \leq n$, can be written as

$$E(\lambda) = \begin{cases} v_1 w_1^\top(\lambda) + \cdots + v_s w_s^\top(\lambda) + \\ (\text{rev } w_1)(\lambda) v_1^\top + \cdots + (\text{rev } w_s)(\lambda) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \\ (1 + \lambda) u u^\top + v_1 w_1^\top(\lambda) + \cdots + v_s w_s^\top(\lambda) + \\ (\text{rev } w_1)(\lambda) v_1^\top + \cdots + (\text{rev } w_s)(\lambda) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $s = \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$, $\deg u = \deg v_1 = \cdots = \deg v_s = 0$ y
 $\deg w_1, \dots, \deg w_s \leq 1$.

 **FDT**. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 39 (2018) 1116–1134.

Generic change (not structured)?

Assume that

$$n_{i,1} \geq n_{i,2} \geq \dots \geq n_{i,r} = n_{i,r+1} = \dots = n_{i,r+d} > n_{i,r+d+1} > \dots$$

are the partial multiplicities of $L(\lambda) = A + \lambda A^\top$ at λ_j .

Generic change (not structured)?

Assume that

$$n_{i,1} \geq n_{i,2} \geq \dots \geq n_{i,r} = n_{i,r+1} = \dots, n_{i,r+d} > n_{i,r+d+1} > \dots$$

are the partial multiplicities of $L(\lambda) = A + \lambda A^\top$ at λ_i .

☞ If $\text{rank } E = r$, generically, the partial multiplicities of $(L + E)(\lambda)$ at λ_i are:

$$n_{i,r+1} = \dots = n_{i,r+d} > n_{i,r+d+1} > \dots$$

Generic change (not structured)?

Assume that

$$n_{i,1} \geq n_{i,2} \geq \dots \geq n_{i,r} = n_{i,r+1} = \dots, n_{i,r+d} > n_{i,r+d+1} > \dots$$

are the partial multiplicities of $L(\lambda) = A + \lambda A^\top$ at λ_j .

☞ If $\text{rank } E = r$, generically, the partial multiplicities of $(L + E)(\lambda)$ at λ_j are:

$$n_{i,r+1} = \dots = n_{i,r+d} > n_{i,r+d+1} > \dots$$

If:

- (i) $E(\lambda)$ is \top -palindromic,
- (ii) d is odd,
- (iii) $\lambda_j = 1$,
- (iv) $n_{i,r+1}$ is odd...

Generic change (not structured)?

Assume that

$$n_{i,1} \geq n_{i,2} \geq \dots \geq n_{i,r} = n_{i,r+1} = \dots, n_{i,r+d} > n_{i,r+d+1} > \dots$$

are the partial multiplicities of $L(\lambda) = A + \lambda A^\top$ at λ_j .

☞ If $\text{rank } E = r$, generically, the partial multiplicities of $(L + E)(\lambda)$ at λ_j are:

$$n_{i,r+1} = \dots = n_{i,r+d} > n_{i,r+d+1} > \dots$$

If:

- (i) $E(\lambda)$ is \top -palindromic,
- (ii) d is odd,
- (iii) $\lambda_j = 1$,
- (iv) $n_{i,r+1}$ is odd...

It cannot happen!

(there would be an odd number of partial multiplicities $n_{i,r+1}$ in $L + E$ at $\lambda_0 = 1$)

The generic change

Partial multiplicities of λ_i in $L(\lambda) = A + \lambda A^T$:

$$n_{i,1} \geq \cdots n_{i,r} \geq \cdots \geq n_{i,g_i}.$$

Let:

$$n_{i,r} = n_{i,r+1} = \cdots = n_{i,r+d} > n_{i,r+d+1}, \quad (d \text{ odd}). \quad (\text{P})$$

If $E(\lambda)$ is \mathbb{T} -palindromic and $\text{rank } E = r$, **generically**, the partial multiplicities of λ_i in $L + E$ are:

e-val λ_i	case	multiplicities
$\lambda_i = 1$	$n_{i,r+1}$ odd + (P) otherwise	$(n_{i,r+1} + 1, n_{i,r+2}, \dots, n_{i,g_i})$ $(n_{i,r+1}, n_{i,r+2}, \dots, n_{i,g_i})$
$\lambda_i = -1$	r even, $n_{i,r+1}$ even + (P) r even, otherwise r odd, $n_{i,r+1}$ even + (P) r odd, otherwise	$(n_{i,r+1} + 1, n_{i,r+2}, \dots, n_{i,g_i})$ $(n_{i,r+1}, n_{i,r+2}, \dots, n_{i,g_i})$ $(n_{i,r+1} + 1, n_{i,r+2}, \dots, n_{i,g_i}, 1)$ $(n_{i,r+1}, n_{i,r+2}, \dots, n_{i,g_i}, 1)$
$\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 1\}$	all	$(n_{i,r+1}, n_{i,r+2}, \dots, n_{i,g_i})$

The generic change

Partial multiplicities of λ_i in $L(\lambda) = A + \lambda A^T$:

$$n_{i,1} \geq \cdots n_{i,r} \geq \cdots \geq n_{i,g_i}.$$

Let:

$$n_{i,r} = n_{i,r+1} = \cdots = n_{i,r+d} > n_{i,r+d+1}, \quad (d \text{ odd}). \quad (\text{P})$$

If $E(\lambda)$ is \mathbb{T} -palindromic and $\text{rank } E = r$, **generically**, the partial multiplicities of λ_i in $L + E$ are:

e-val λ_i	case	multiplicities
$\lambda_i = 1$	$n_{i,r+1}$ odd + (P) otherwise	$(n_{i,r+1} + 1, n_{i,r+2}, \dots, n_{i,g_i})$ $(n_{i,r+1}, n_{i,r+2}, \dots, n_{i,g_i})$
$\lambda_i = -1$	r even, $n_{i,r+1}$ even + (P) r even, otherwise r odd, $n_{i,r+1}$ even + (P) r odd, otherwise	$(n_{i,r+1} + 1, n_{i,r+2}, \dots, n_{i,g_i})$ $(n_{i,r+1}, n_{i,r+2}, \dots, n_{i,g_i})$ $(n_{i,r+1} + 1, n_{i,r+2}, \dots, n_{i,g_i}, 1)$ $(n_{i,r+1}, n_{i,r+2}, \dots, n_{i,g_i}, 1)$
$\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 1\}$	all	$(n_{i,r+1}, n_{i,r+2}, \dots, n_{i,g_i})$

Parametrization of \top -palindromic pencils with $\text{rank} \leq r$

$$E(\lambda) = \begin{cases} v_1 w_1^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s^\top + \\ (\text{rev } w_1) v_1^\top + \cdots + (\text{rev } w_s) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \\ (1 + \lambda) u u^\top + v_1 w_1^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s^\top + \\ (\text{rev } w_1) v_1^\top + \cdots + (\text{rev } w_s) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$(s = \lfloor r/2 \rfloor, \text{ deg } u = \text{ deg } v_1 = \cdots = \text{ deg } v_s = 0.)$

Parametrization of \top -palindromic pencils with rank $\leq r$

$$E(\lambda) = \begin{cases} v_1 w_1^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s^\top + \\ (\text{rev } w_1) v_1^\top + \cdots + (\text{rev } w_s) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \\ (1 + \lambda) u u^\top + v_1 w_1^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s^\top + \\ (\text{rev } w_1) v_1^\top + \cdots + (\text{rev } w_s) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$(s = \lfloor r/2 \rfloor, \deg u = \deg v_1 = \cdots = \deg v_s = 0.)$

Let:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n} &\rightarrow \text{Pal}_r^\top, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} &\mapsto E(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

with

Parametrization of \top -palindromic pencils with rank $\leq r$

$$E(\lambda) = \begin{cases} v_1 w_1^\top + \dots + v_s w_s^\top + \\ (\text{rev } w_1) v_1^\top + \dots + (\text{rev } w_s) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ (1 + \lambda) u u^\top + v_1 w_1^\top + \dots + v_s w_s^\top + \\ (\text{rev } w_1) v_1^\top + \dots + (\text{rev } w_s) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$(s = \lfloor r/2 \rfloor, \quad \deg u = \deg v_1 = \dots = \deg v_s = 0.)$

Let:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n} &\rightarrow \text{Pal}_r^\top, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} &\mapsto E(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell n}: \quad \text{Coefficients of } u. \quad (\ell = r - 2\lfloor r/2 \rfloor).$$

Parametrization of \top -palindromic pencils with rank $\leq r$

$$E(\lambda) = \begin{cases} v_1 w_1^\top + \dots + v_s w_s^\top + \\ (\text{rev } w_1) v_1^\top + \dots + (\text{rev } w_s) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ (1 + \lambda) u u^\top + v_1 w_1^\top + \dots + v_s w_s^\top + \\ (\text{rev } w_1) v_1^\top + \dots + (\text{rev } w_s) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

($s = \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$, $\deg u = \deg v_1 = \dots = \deg v_s = 0$.)

Let:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n} &\rightarrow \text{Pal}_r^\top, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} &\mapsto E(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell n} : & \text{Coefficients of } u. & (\ell = r - 2\lfloor r/2 \rfloor). \\ \beta \in \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor n} : & \text{Coefficients of } v_i\text{'s.} \end{aligned}$$

Parametrization of \top -palindromic pencils with rank $\leq r$

$$E(\lambda) = \begin{cases} v_1 w_1^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s^\top + \\ (\text{rev } w_1) v_1^\top + \cdots + (\text{rev } w_s) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ (1 + \lambda) u u^\top + v_1 w_1^\top + \cdots + v_s w_s^\top + \\ (\text{rev } w_1) v_1^\top + \cdots + (\text{rev } w_s) v_s^\top, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

($s = \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$, $\deg u = \deg v_1 = \cdots = \deg v_s = 0$.)

Let:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n} &\rightarrow \text{Pal}_r^\top, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} &\mapsto E(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

with

- $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell n}$: Coefficients of u . ($\ell = r - 2\lfloor r/2 \rfloor$).
- $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor n}$: Coefficients of v_i 's.
- $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^{rn}$: Degree-0 coefficients of w_i 's.
- $\delta \in \mathbb{C}^{sn}$: Degree-1 coefficients of w_i 's.

The main result

Theorem

Let

- $L(\lambda)$: \mathbb{T} -palindromic regular $n \times n$ pencils with e-vals $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\kappa$ and partial multiplicities: $n_{i,1} \geq n_{i,2} \geq \dots \geq n_{i,g_i} > 0$ ($k = 1, \dots, \kappa$),
- $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and
- $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n} \rightarrow \text{Pal}_r^\mathbb{T}$ the previous map.

Then, there is a **generic set** $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n}$ such that, for all $E(\lambda) \in \Phi(\mathcal{G})$, the pencil $L + E$:

- is **regular** and
- their **partial multiplicities** are those in the **previous table**.

All e-vals of $L + E$ other than those of L are simple.

The main result

Theorem

Let

- $L(\lambda)$: \mathbb{T} -palindromic regular $n \times n$ pencils with e-vals $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\kappa$ and partial multiplicities: $n_{i,1} \geq n_{i,2} \geq \dots \geq n_{i,g_i} > 0$ ($k = 1, \dots, \kappa$),
- $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and
- $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n} \rightarrow \text{Pal}_r^\mathbb{T}$ the previous map.

Then, there is a **generic set** $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n}$ such that, for all $E(\lambda) \in \Phi(\mathcal{G})$, the pencil $L + E$:

- is **regular** and
- their **partial multiplicities** are those in the **previous table**.

All e-vals of $L + E$ other than those of L are simple.

(In particular, if $r \geq g_i$ then λ_i is not an e-val of $L + E$.)

What happens for the remaining structures?

- **T-anti-palindromic** and **T-alternating**:
 - Analogous results.
 - T-alternating: It can be obtained from T-pal. and T-anti-pal. through **Cayley transforms**.
- (anti-)Hermitian, (anti-)Symmetric, *(anti-)palindromic and *-alternating:
 - Same generic behavior as in the non structured case.
 - Anti-symmetric: the partial multiplicities are **even**.
- Different spectral symmetries, which give rise to different WCFs, different rank-1 decompositions, and different parametrizations.

What happens for the remaining structures?

- **T-anti-palindromic** and **T-alternating**:
 - **Analogous** results.
 - T-alternating: It can be obtained from T-pal. and T-anti-pal. through **Cayley transforms**.
- (anti-)Hermitian, (anti-)Symmetric, *(anti-)palindromic and *-alternating:
 - Same generic behavior as in the non structured case.
 - Anti-symmetric: the partial multiplicities are **even**.
- **Different spectral symmetries**, which give rise to different WCFs, different rank-1 decompositions, and **different parametrizations**.

What happens for the remaining structures?

- T -anti-palindromic and T -alternating:
 - Analogous results.
 - T -alternating: It can be obtained from T -pal. and T -anti-pal. through **Cayley transforms**.
- (anti-)Hermitian, (anti-)Symmetric, $*$ -(anti-)palindromic and $*$ -alternating:
 - Same generic behavior as in the non structured case.
 - Anti-symmetric: the partial multiplicities are even.
- Different spectral symmetries, which give rise to different WCFs, different rank-1 decompositions, and different parametrizations.

What happens for the remaining structures?

- T -anti-palindromic and T -alternating:
 - Analogous results.
 - T -alternating: It can be obtained from T -pal. and T -anti-pal. through **Cayley transforms**.
- (anti-)Hermitian, (anti-)Symmetric, $*$ -(anti-)palindromic and $*$ -alternating:
 - Same generic behavior as in the non structured case.
 - Anti-symmetric: the partial multiplicities are **even**.
- Different spectral symmetries, which give rise to different WCFs, different rank-1 decompositions, and different parametrizations.

What happens for the remaining structures?

- T -anti-palindromic and T -alternating:
 - Analogous results.
 - T -alternating: It can be obtained from T -pal. and T -anti-pal. through **Cayley transforms**.
- (anti-)Hermitian, (anti-)Symmetric, $*$ -(anti-)palindromic and $*$ -alternating:
 - Same generic behavior as in the non structured case.
 - Anti-symmetric: the partial multiplicities are **even**.
- Different spectral symmetries, which give rise to different WCFs, different rank-1 decompositions, and different parametrizations.

What happens for the remaining structures?

- T -anti-palindromic and T -alternating:
 - Analogous results.
 - T -alternating: It can be obtained from T -pal. and T -anti-pal. through **Cayley transforms**.
- (anti-)Hermitian, (anti-)Symmetric, $*$ -(anti-)palindromic and $*$ -alternating:
 - Same generic behavior as in the non structured case.
 - Anti-symmetric: the partial multiplicities are **even**.
- Different spectral symmetries, which give rise to different WCFs, different rank-1 decompositions, and different parametrizations.

What happens for the remaining structures?

- T -anti-palindromic and T -alternating:
 - Analogous results.
 - T -alternating: It can be obtained from T -pal. and T -anti-pal. through **Cayley transforms**.
- (anti-)Hermitian, (anti-)Symmetric, $*$ -(anti-)palindromic and $*$ -alternating:
 - Same generic behavior as in the non structured case.
 - Anti-symmetric: the partial multiplicities are **even**.
- Different spectral symmetries, which give rise to different WCFs, different rank-1 decompositions, and different parametrizations.

Bibliography



FDT, C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann. Low rank perturbation of regular matrix pencils with symmetry structures. To appear in Found. Comput. Math.

Bibliography



FDT, C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann. Low rank perturbation of regular matrix pencils with symmetry structures. To appear in Found. Comput. Math.

Previous results:



FDT, F. M. Dopico. Low rank perturbation of Kronecker structures without full rank. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 29(2) (2007) 496–529.



FDT, F. M. Dopico, J. Moro. Low rank perturbation of Weierstrass structure. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 30(2) (2008) 538–547.



FDT, F. M. Dopico. Generic change of the partial multiplicities of regular matrix pencils under low rank perturbations. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 37(3) (2016) 823-835.

Bibliography



FDT, C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann. Low rank perturbation of regular matrix pencils with symmetry structures. To appear in Found. Comput. Math.

Previous results:



FDT, F. M. Dopico. Low rank perturbation of Kronecker structures without full rank. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 29(2) (2007) 496–529.



FDT, F. M. Dopico, J. Moro. Low rank perturbation of Weierstrass structure. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 30(2) (2008) 538–547.



FDT, F. M. Dopico. Generic change of the partial multiplicities of regular matrix pencils under low rank perturbations. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 37(3) (2016) 823-835.

Related to



FDT, F. M. Dopico, J. M. Landsberg. An explicit description of the irreducible components of the set of matrix pencils with bounded normal rank. Linear Algebra Appl. 520 (2017) 80-103.

Idea of the proof

- If, for $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n}$ **arbitrarily small** the change in the table holds, then this change is **generic**.
- Find some $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n}$ (with $\|x\| < \varepsilon$) for which this change holds.
- **Very technical results** on perturbations and continuity and detailed analysis of the determinant (characteristic polynomial).
- We need to analyze all cases in the table separately.

Idea of the proof

- If, for $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n}$ **arbitrarily small** the change in the table holds, then this change is **generic**.
- **Find** some $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n}$ (with $\|x\| < \varepsilon$) for which this change holds.
- **Very technical results** on perturbations and continuity and detailed analysis of the determinant (characteristic polynomial).
- We need to analyze all cases in the table separately.

Idea of the proof

- If, for $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n}$ **arbitrarily small** the change in the table holds, then this change is **generic**.
- **Find** some $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n}$ (with $\|x\| < \varepsilon$) for which this change holds.
- **Very technical results** on perturbations and continuity and detailed analysis of the determinant (characteristic polynomial).
- We need to analyze all cases in the table separately.

Idea of the proof

- If, for $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n}$ **arbitrarily small** the change in the table holds, then this change is **generic**.
- **Find** some $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\lfloor \frac{3r}{2} \rfloor n}$ (with $\|x\| < \varepsilon$) for which this change holds.
- **Very technical results** on perturbations and continuity and detailed analysis of the determinant (characteristic polynomial).
- We need to analyze all cases in the table separately.