



The Academic Editor Role

-
Applies to:

[PLOS Climate](#) | [PLOS Global Public Health](#) | [PLOS Mental Health](#) |
[PLOS ONE](#) | [PLOS Water](#)

-

PLOS Academic Editors form an international Editorial Board of active researchers who ensure that innovative, rigorously conducted research submitted to the journal is made available as soon as possible and without restriction.

As experts in the fields of research they serve, our editors voice the needs of their community and are active participants in scholarly communication discussions around open access, open data, open science, and peer review.

Academic Editor Responsibilities

Our Academic Editors oversee the peer review process from beginning to end, taking responsibility for conducting an initial assessment based on the criteria for publication, finding and inviting reviewers, evaluating their feedback, making editorial decisions, and communicating decisions to authors. Academic Editors play an active role in the community and are highly engaged as representatives of PLOS.

To ensure an efficient peer review process for our authors, our Academic Editors strive to:

- Agree to handle one to two new manuscript assignments per month
- Handle each manuscript assignment from first assessment to final decision
- Respond to manuscript invitations (Accept or Decline) within two days
- Assess whether the manuscript is suitable for peer review within four days of agreeing to the invitation
- Secure at least one reviewer on manuscripts that are suitable for review
- Submit a decision within four days of receipt of the complete reviews
- Adhere to [Editorial and Publishing Policies](#)

Ambassadorship

Our editors are ambassadors for their journal and for PLOS, empowered to use their expertise to spread awareness of the journal, our [mission](#), and [values](#) in the global research community; forge inclusive relationships; guide and encourage a diverse group of prospective and current contributors; reflect community needs; and increase goodwill.

Code of Conduct

In addition to these role expectations, all editors adhere to PLOS's [Code of Conduct](#) for Editorial Board Members.

Editorial Board Values

We aim to provide fast, fair, and objective scientific assessment of research articles. Our editorial philosophy is built on four key values:

Scientific Rigor and Objectivity

We believe submitted research articles should be evaluated on technical rigor, not on perceived novelty, impact, or “journal fit.” We believe that the research community determines the value of the work based on what we read, download, cite, and share.

Openness and Transparency

We publish all articles at PLOS under a CC BY license, and authors are required to make the data underlying their findings fully available. Anyone may read, reuse, remix, or mine PLOS publications or data for any purpose, with author attribution.

Integrity

We are committed to upholding the highest standards in human and animal research ethics, and in publication ethics. We play an active role in shaping journal policies.

Speed

We accelerate the rate of research communication and dissemination, as well as scientific innovation by reducing “journal shopping” and overall time from first submission to publication. We also aim to reduce wasted time researchers spend repeating the work of other research groups.