Introduction

Skeletal injuries are common in children. In a review of over 8,000 children’s fractures, Landin estimated that over 40 percent of boys and 25 percent of girls had sustained a fracture by age 16 years. Because of the unique properties of the immature skeleton, these injuries have different characteristics, complications, and management than similar injuries in adults.

A number of studies have examined the epidemiology of fractures in children. Most studies have shown a male predominance, particularly in adolescence. Fractures in children less than 18 months old are rare and should raise the question of nonaccidental trauma. Combining the data from five large epidemiologic studies reveals fractures of the distal forearm to be the most common fracture in children, accounting for nearly a quarter of 12,946 fractures. The clavicle is the next most commonly injured site, representing over 8 percent of all children’s fractures (Table 39-1).

Unique Properties of the Immature Skeleton

The immature skeleton has several unique properties that affect the management of injuries in children. These properties include an increased resiliency to stress, a thicker periostium, an increased potential to remodel, shorter healing times, and the presence of a physis.

PLASTIC DEFORMATION

A few studies have compared the mechanical properties of bone in children and bone in adults. Currey and Butler found immature bone to be weaker in bending strength but to absorb more energy prior to fracture. This is a result of the ability of immature bone to undergo plastic (permanent) deformation (Fig. 39-1). Although plastic deformation has been described in adults, it is much more common in children. Borden is often credited with the first clinical description of plastic deformation in children. In children, plastic deformation is most common in the forearm, particularly the ulna. Although bone in young children may remodel plastic deformation, most authors recommend reduction of plastic deformation of the forearm if there is more than 20 degrees of angulation or the child is more than 4 years old and has either a clinically evident deformity or limitation of pronation/supination. Sanders and Heckman were able to reduce an average of 85 percent of the angulation present prior to reduction. They used general anesthesia and a fulcrum to apply a steady force at the apex of the deformity for several minutes. Plastic deformation of the ulna has also been reported in a majority of isolated radial head dislocations.

BUCKLE (TORUS) FRACTURES

Buckle fractures, also called torus fractures because of their resemblance to the base of an architectural column, most commonly occur at the transition between metaphyseal woven bone and the lamellar bone of the diaphyseal cortex (Fig. 39-2). Buckle fractures represent a spectrum of injuries from mild plastic deformation of one area of the cortex to complete fractures with a buckled appearance. It is not uncommon for torus fractures to be diagnosed several days or even weeks after injury, as the pain and swelling may be attributed to a sprain. Although most torus fractures can be managed successfully with minimal symptomatic treatment, it is important to identify minimally displaced complete fractures that have a buckled appearance. These complete fractures are potentially unstable and may
TABLE 39–1 Frequency of Fractures at Selected Sites in Children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomic site</th>
<th>A&lt;sup&gt;276&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>B&lt;sup&gt;272&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>C&lt;sup&gt;279&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>D&lt;sup&gt;125&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>E&lt;sup&gt;132&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Total Fx</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total fractures in series</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>2,040</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>8,682</td>
<td>12,946</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clavicle</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>1,083.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humerus (proximal end and shaft)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal humerus</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>1,971</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial neck</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>175.0</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius/ulna (shafts)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal radius/ulna</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1,971</td>
<td>3,217.0</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>759.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>759.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia/fibula (shafts)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Because not all fractures are listed, fractures do not total 100 percent.

Greenstick fractures are unique to children because immature bone is more flexible and has a thicker periosteum than mature adult bone. In a greenstick fracture, the cortex in tension fractures completely while the cortex and periosteum in compression remain intact but frequently undergo plastic deformation. It has been said that it is necessary to “complete” the fracture on the intact compression side of greenstick fractures; however, this has not been our experience. We believe it is only necessary to achieve an anatomic reduction of a greenstick fracture. In order to reduce a greenstick fracture, it is usually necessary to “unlock” the impacted fragments on the tension side. This is accomplished by initially exaggerating the deformity and then applying traction and a reducing force. In our experience, whether or not the fracture is completed during the exaggeration of the deformity has not been important. Due to the intact cortex and periosteum, greenstick fractures are usually quite stable following reduction (Fig. 39–4). Greenstick fractures have been reported to have an increased likelihood of refracture.

REMODELING/OVERGROWTH
Not only do children’s fractures heal more rapidly than in adults but, once healed, they are more likely to remodel.


FIGURE 39–2 Lateral radiograph of the distal radius showing a buckle fracture of the dorsal cortex. The volar cortex is uninvolved and the dorsal cortex is not completely fractured.
residual deformity (Fig. 39–5). Factors that affect the remodeling potential of a deformity include the amount of growth remaining and the plane of the deformity in relation to adjacent joints. There are several factors to consider when determining how much growth will contribute to the remodeling potential of a fracture. Obviously, the patient’s skeletal age is the single most important factor. Other factors include the deformity’s proximity to the physis and the growth potential of the particular physis. For example, since 80 percent of the growth of the proximal humerus comes from the proximal physis, deformity associated with proximal humeral fractures is much more likely to remodel than deformity associated with distal humeral fractures.

Wolf’s law states that bone remodels according to the stress placed across it. It follows that posttraumatic deformity in the plane of motion of a joint will have greater potential to remodel than deformity not in the plane of motion. This fact is demonstrated with fractures of the femoral shaft, which will remodel a large amount of sagittal plane deformity, a lesser amount of coronal deformity, and little or no rotational deformity.

Another consideration in the management of children’s fractures is the potential for accelerated growth of an injured limb. Clinically, this is most frequently seen in diaphyseal femoral fractures. It has long been recognized that fractures of the femoral shaft will spontaneously correct shortening of up to 2 cm. It has been hypothesized that this “overgrowth” is a result of hyperemia associated with the fracture. However, recent evidence casts some doubt on this theory. First, fractures of the radius do not demonstrate this propensity for overgrowth. Second, efforts to stimulate blood flow by periosteal stripping do not result in permanent
growth increases. Finally, anatomic reduction of femoral shaft fractures treated operatively has not resulted in significant overgrowth. Thus, there may exist other, yet to be determined, factor that predisposes an injured extremity to return to its normal, preinjury length.

**PHYSEAL INJURIES**

Physal injuries represent 15 to 30 percent of all fractures in children. The incidence varies with age and has been reported to peak in adolescents. Physal injuries involving the phalanges have been reported to account for over 30 percent of all physal fractures. Fortunately, although physal injuries are common, growth deformity is a rare occurrence, occurring in only 1 to 10 percent of all physal injuries.

Although problems arising from physal injury are rare, they are often predictable and, occasionally, preventable. A basic understanding of the anatomy and physiology of the phys and its response to injury is necessary to effectively manage injuries to the growth plate.

**Physal Anatomy.** It is important to distinguish the phys (also referred to as the epiphyseal plate, epiphyseal growth plate, or epiphyseal cartilage) from the epiphysis, or secondary ossification center. The phys is connected to the epiphysis and metaphysis via the zone of Ranvier and the physal ring of LaCroix (Fig. 39–6). The zone of Ranvier is a wedge-shaped group of germinal cells that is continuous with the phys and contributes to latitudinal, or circumferential, growth of the phys. The zone of Ranvier consists of three cell types—osteoblasts, chondrocytes, and fibroblasts. Osteoblasts form the bony portion of the physal ring at the metaphysis. Chondrocytes contribute to latitudinal growth, and fibroblasts circumscribe the zone and anchor it to the epiphyseal plate above and below the growth plate. The physal ring of LaCroix is a fibrous structure that is continuous with the fibroblasts of the zone of Ranvier and the periosteum of the metaphysis. It provides strong mechanical support for the bone-cortex junction of the growth plate.

The phys consists of chondrocytes in an extracellular matrix. Both the chondrocytes and the matrix are preferentially oriented along the longitudinal axis of long bones. The phys has traditionally been divided into four zones: the resting or germinal zone, the proliferative zone, the zone of hypertrophy, and the zone of enchondral ossification, which is continuous with the metaphysis (Fig. 39–6). The first two zones have an abundant extracellular matrix and, subsequently, a great deal of mechanical integrity, particularly in response to shear forces. The third layer, the hypertrophic zone, contains scant extracellular matrix and is weaker. On the metaphysial side of the hypertrophic zone there is an area of provisional calcification leading to the zone of enchondral ossification. The calcification in these areas provides additional resistance to shear. Thus, the area of the hypertrophic zone just above the area of provisional calcification is the weakest area of the phys, and it is here that
most injuries to the physis occur.\textsuperscript{196,198,226} The fact that the cleavage plane through the physis is through the hypertrophic zone implies that after most injuries, the germinal layer of the physis remains intact and attached to the epiphysis. Thus, provided there is not an insult to the blood supply of the germinal layer or the development of a "bony bridge" across the injured physis, normal growth should resume after an injury.

The blood supply to the germinal zone of the physis was studied in a classic set of experiments in monkeys by Dale and Harris.\textsuperscript{82} They described two types of epiphyseal vascularization (Fig. 39–7). Type A epiphyses are nearly entirely covered by articular cartilage. In these epiphyses, the blood supply enters the periphery after traversing the perichondrium. Consequently, the blood supply is vulnerable to damage if the epiphysis is separated from the metaphysis. Type B epiphyses are only partially covered by articular cartilage. Their blood supply enters from the epiphyseal side and is protected from vascular injury during separation. The

proximal femur and proximal radius are the only two type A epiphyses. Dale and Harris confirmed their theory that type B epiphyses were protected from vascular injury by studying the histologic changes that occurred following separation of the distal radial epiphysis in rabbits. They noted that by 3 weeks after separation it was nearly impossible to distinguish the injured epiphysis from the control.\textsuperscript{16}

**Harris Growth Arrest Lines.** Harris is credited with the first radiographic observation of "bony striations" in the metaphysis of long bones.\textsuperscript{106} These "Harris growth arrest lines" are transversely oriented condensations of normal bone and are thought to represent slowing or cessation of growth. They may be present in a single bone, following an isolated traumatic injury, or in all long bones, following a significant systemic illness.\textsuperscript{208,123,197,218} When present following a physeal injury, they serve as an effective representation of the health of the physis.\textsuperscript{12} If the growth arrest line is transverse and parallel to the physis, the physis can be assumed

---

**FIGURE 39–7** Two types of epiphyseal blood supply as defined by Dale and Harris. **A.** Type A. The epiphysis is nearly entirely covered by articular cartilage. Consequently, the blood supply traverses the metaphysis and may be damaged on separation of the metaphysis and epiphysis. **B.** Type B. The epiphysis is only partially covered by articular cartilage. Because the blood supply enters through the epiphysis, separation of the metaphysis and epiphysis will not compromise the blood supply to the germinal layer. (From Dale GC, Harris WW: Prognosis of epiphyseal separation: an experimental study. J Bone Joint Surg 1958;40-B:116.)
to be growing normally. If there has been a partial injury to the physis, the growth arrest line will be asymmetric. There will be no growth arrest line if there has been no growth due to a total physeal injury (Fig. 39–8). Harris growth arrest lines may also be seen on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).281

**Classification of Physeal Injuries.** Over the years a number of classification systems for physeal injuries have been described, including those by Foucher, Poland, Aitken, and Ogden.24,47,201,202,211 However, the most widely utilized system is that of Salter and Harris (Fig. 39–9).227

A Salter-Harris type I injury is a separation of the epiphysis from the metaphysis occurring entirely through the physis. It is quite rare and seen most frequently in infants or in pathologic fractures, such as those secondary to rickets or scurvy. Because the germinal layer remains with the epiphysis, growth is not disturbed unless the blood supply is interrupted, as frequently occurs with traumatic separation of the proximal femoral epiphysis.

In a Salter-Harris type II injury the fracture extends along the hypertrophic zone of the physis and at some point exits through the metaphysis. The epiphyseal fragment contains the entire germinal layer as well as a metaphyseal fragment.
of varying size. This fragment is known as Thurston Holland’s sign. The periosteum on the side of the metaphyseal fragment will be intact and will provide stability once the fracture is reduced. Growth disturbance is rare, as the germinal layer remains intact.

In a Salter-Harris type III injury the fracture extends along the hypertrophic zone until it exits through the epiphysis. Thus, by definition, type III fractures cross the germinal layer and are usually intra-articular. Consequently, if displaced, they require an anatomic reduction, which may need to be achieved open.

Salter-Harris type IV injuries extend from the metaphysis across the physis and into the epiphysis. Thus, the fracture crosses the germinal layer of the physis and usually extends into the joint. As in type III injuries, it is important to achieve an anatomic reduction to prevent osseous bridging across the physis and to restore the articular surface.

A Salter-Harris type V injury is a crushing injury to the physis from a pure compression force. It is quite rare; in fact, Peterson and Burkhart have questioned whether such an injury can occur. Those authors who have reported Salter-Harris type V injuries have noted a poor prognosis, with almost universal growth disturbance.

Although the Salter-Harris classification of physeal fractures is by far the most widely utilized system, there are a few physeal injuries that do not fit into this classification scheme. The first is an injury to the perichondral ring. Salter’s colleague Mercer Rang termed this a type VI physeal injury (Fig. 39–10). (This injury is also included in Ogden’s classification.) Basing his system on a review of 951 fractures, Peterson purposed a new classification scheme (Fig. 39–11). Although this classification system has many similarities to the Salter-Harris scheme, its important addition is the Peterson type I fracture—a transverse fracture of the metaphysis with extension longitudinally into the physis. Clinically, this fracture is seen quite commonly in the distal radius. Peterson also described a type VI injury, which is an open injury associated with loss of the physis.

**Treatment of Physeal Injuries.** In general, the principles involved in the treatment of physeal injuries are the same as those involved in the treatment of all fractures, although there are a few important caveats. As with all traumatic injuries, before an injury to the physis is treated, the patient must be thoroughly assessed using the ABC’s of trauma (see subsequent discussion under Care of the Multiply Injured Child). Once the child has been stabilized and all life- and limb-threatening injuries identified, a treatment plan can be developed. It is important to remember that physeal fractures can and often do coexist with neurovascular or open injuries. When this occurs the physeal fracture is treated after appropriate management of the soft tissue injuries. The goal in treating physeal fractures is to achieve and maintain an acceptable reduction without subjecting the germinal layer of the physis to any further damage. The most subjective of these goals, and perhaps the most important, is determining the limits of an acceptable reduction. A number of factors must be considered when assessing a “nonanatomic” reduction. These include the amount of residual deformity, the location of the injury, the age of the patient, and the amount of time that has elapsed since the injury. The location of the injury and the patient’s age are determining factors in the bone’s remodeling potential. Obviously, more deformity can be accepted if the potential to remodel is high. Both Rang and Salter have stressed the importance of avoiding damage to the germinal layer of the physis during reduction. Thus, they recommend accepting any displacement in type I or II injuries after 7 to 10 days, believing it is safer to perform an osteotomy later than to risk injuring the physis with a traumatic reduction of a physeal fracture that has begun to heal. Because of the intra-articular component, displaced type III and IV injuries must be reduced regardless of the time that has elapsed since the injury. Once a physeal fracture has been reduced, the reduction can be maintained with a cast, pins, internal fixation, or some combination of these three. Specific recommendations regarding the method and duration of immobilization are discussed later with each injury.
Complications of Physeal Injuries. Like all fractures, physeal injuries may be complicated by malunion, infection, neurovascular problems, or osteonecrosis. The best treatment of these complications is avoidance, but even under the best of circumstances these problems can arise. The treatment of these complications is discussed later in the context of specific injuries.

A complication unique to physeal fractures is growth disturbance. Although trauma is the most common cause of growth disturbance, growth disturbance is also seen as a sequela of Blount's disease, infection, and irradiation. Although physeal injuries represent 15 to 30 percent of all fractures, growth arrest occurs following only 1 to 10 percent of physeal fractures. A number of factors affect the likelihood of developing a growth arrest. Most important is the severity of the injury to the physis. Comminuted fractures from high-energy injury are more likely to result in physeal arrest. Physeal injuries that cross the germinal layer (i.e., Salter-Harris type III and IV injuries) are also more likely to be associated with subsequent growth disturbance. Fortunately, not all patients who develop a physeal arrest will require treatment. This is because physeal injuries are most common in adolescents, who often have limited growth remaining.

Growth disturbance from a physeal fracture is usually evident 2 to 6 months after the injury, but it may not become evident for up to a year. Thus, it is important not only to warn parents about this potential problem, but also to follow patients with physeal fractures long enough to identify growth arrest. Early identification of a traumatic growth disturbance can make its management considerably easier, as the treatment can be directed solely toward resolving the arrest, rather than addressing both the arrest and an acquired growth deformity. Growth disturbance is usually the result of the development of a bony bridge, or bar, across the physeal cartilage. However, growth disturbance may occur following traumatic injury without the development of a bony bridge. Presumably, this occurs because the injury slows growth of a portion of the physis rather than stopping it completely. The resulting asymmetric growth can produce clinically significant angular deformity (Fig. 39-12).

The development of a bony bar may create either a complete or partial growth disturbance. If the area of the bar is large, it may stop the growth of the entire physis (Fig. 39-13). More often a bar forms in a portion of the physis and stops growth at that point, while the rest of the physis continues to grow. This produces a tethering effect, which may result in shortening or progressive angular deformity or both (Figs. 39-14 and 39-15). In order to appropriately treat a physeal bar, both the extent and location of the bar and the amount of growth remaining from the physis must be determined. The anatomy of a physeal bar may be delineated using plain radiography, tomography, CT, or MRI. Partial physeal arrests are usually classified as peripheral (type A) or central (type B or C), depending

FIGURE 39-12 Asymmetric growth following a Salter-Harris type II distal femoral fracture. A, Valgus deformity 15 months after fracture. B, MR image demonstrating asymmetric growth of the distal femoral physis. The distance from the physis to the Harris growth arrest line is greater medially (A) than laterally (B). The fact that the growth arrest line has migrated proximally on the lateral aspect reflects a “slowing” of growth rather than a complete “arrest.” C, Clinical appearance 8 months after a medial distal femoral epiphysiodesis was performed. Lateral growth continued until the deformity was corrected. At this point, a lateral hemi-epiphysiodesis and a contralateral epiphysiodesis were performed.
FIGURE 39-13  Salter-Harris type II fracture of the right distal femur complicated by pin tract sepsis and complete physeal arrest. A, AP radiographs of the right and left knee. The uninvolved left knee has a healthy-appearing distal femoral physis. On the right side there is no radiolucency corresponding to the physis. B, Tomograph revealing a small amount of physis on the far medial aspect of the right distal femur. Most of the physis has been replaced by radio-dense scar. (Radiographic evidence of the cross-pins is present on both the plain radiograph and the tomograph.)
FIGURE 39–14 Partial physeal arrest (type B) producing primarily shortening. A, AP radiograph of the wrist of a 12-year-old girl who had sustained a Salter-Harris type II fracture of the distal radius 6 years earlier. Note the ulnarly positive variance as well as the physeal bar in the center of the distal radius. B, Coronal and sagittal MR images show the extent of the bar. C, The bar has been resected and metallic markers placed in the epiphysial and metaphysis. D, AP and lateral radiographs showing resumption of growth, as evidenced by an increased distance between metallic markers. The ulnarly positive variance persists. E, Lateral radiograph following ulnar shortening to treat symptomatic ulnarly positive variance.
FIGURE 39-15  Physal arrest producing angular deformity. A, Salter-Harris type II fracture of the distal femur. B, Immediate postreduction film. C, AP radiograph 9 months after injury. The distance between the physis and the screw medially (A) is substantially greater than it was immediately postoperatively. However, the distance laterally (B) is relatively unchanged. Note the radiodense appearance of the physis laterally. D, CT scan demonstrating lateral bar formation. E, The asymmetric growth has produced a valgus clinical appearance.
on their location within the physis (Fig. 39–16). There are two types of central bars. The first, type B, is surrounded by a perimeter of healthy physis. This type of bar may produce a tethering effect that “tents” the epiphysis and produces a joint deformity. In the second type of central bar, type C, the bar traverses the entire physis from front to back (or side to side). The physis on either side of the bar is normal. This pattern is commonly seen with injuries to the medial malleolus.27,200

Once the extent and location of the bar have been defined, the amount of growth remaining from the physis must be determined. This can be accomplished by determining the skeletal age of the patient and using information on growth patterns assembled by Green and Anderson.11–13,89,90 Skeletal age can be determined by comparing a radiograph of the left hand and wrist with standards in an atlas of skeletal age.92 It is generally assumed that girls grow until a bone age of 14 and boys until a bone age of 16.21,180,213,266 Future growth for the distal femur and proximal tibia can be estimated using the graphs initially published by Anderson and colleagues (Fig. 39–17) or by using approximations of yearly phylese growth (Table 39–2).11,13,89,90,155,180

Treatment options for phylese arrests include observation, completion of a partial arrest, or phylese bar resection. If the bar appears to involve the entire physis and there is an acceptable existing limb length inequality or angular deformity and little contralateral growth remaining, observation may be the best option. Completion of a phylese bar may be indicated if there is an acceptable existing angular deformity that might become clinically unacceptable if untreated. With completion of an arrest, the surgeon must evaluate the likelihood of a subsequent limb length inequal-

---

**TABLE 39–2 Yearly Growth of Various Long Bone Physes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Yearly Growth (mm/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proximal femur</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal femur</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal tibia</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal tibia</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal humerus</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal radius</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FIGURE 39–17** The Green-Anderson growth remaining chart. This chart can be used to estimate the growth remaining at the normal distal femur and proximal tibia at the skeletal ages indicated. (From Anderson M, Green WT, Messner MB: Growth and predictions of growth in the lower extremities. J Bone Joint Surg 1960;42-A:10.)
ity. If the likelihood of significant limb length inequality (more than 20 to 25 mm) is high, a contralateral epiphysiodysis should be performed at the time of completion of the partial arrest. Resection of a physeal bar is indicated for partial arrests with substantial growth remaining.

Physseal bar resection was first introduced by Langenskiöld and has been studied in both human and animal models. The technique of bar excision involves removing the bone bridging the metaphysis and epiphysis at least 1 year of growth remaining, while Kasser has stated that successful bar resection requires at least 2.5 cm of growth and Birch has recommended at least 2 years of growth. Clearly, the younger the patient and the more the potential growth from the physes, the greater the benefit of a successful resection.

The surgeon must decide whether an osteotomy is necessary to correct existing angular deformity. Angular deformities of less than 20 degrees may correct spontaneously following bar resection and can be observed. However, if a deformity of greater than 20 degrees is present, an osteotomy to achieve angular correction should be performed at the time of bar resection.

When a peripheral (type A) bar is resected, the bar should be approached directly and removed under direct vision with a wide margin of periosteum (Fig. 39–18). The bar should be resected until the cavity is rimmed completely with normal physis. Type B and C bars are approached through a window in the metaphysis or through an osteotomy. Although some have advocated magnification with loupes or a microscope, we have not routinely used these aids. However, resection of central bars can be facilitated by the use of fluoroscopy, fiberoptic lighting, and dental mirrors (Fig. 39–19).

Once the bar has been completely resected, the cavity created can be filled with fat or Cranioplast. Silicone (Silastic) has been utilized experimentally in both humans and animals, but is currently unavailable for use. Each of these interposition materials has advantages and advantages. Fat is commonly used because it is readily available and autogenous. Its only drawback is that a separate incision in the gluteal area is often required to harvest a graft of adequate size. Methylmethacrylate, available commercially as Cranioplast, is radiolucent and thermally non-conductive. Its solid structure may help support an epiphysis if a large metaphyseal defect has been created. Regardless of which interposition material is selected, the goal is to pack the defect with the material so that bar formation is

*See references 26, 135, 154, 156, 157, 202, 207.
FIGURE 39–20 Once a bar has been successfully resected, the void in the epiphysis and metaphysis should be filled with fat or Cranliplast. It is helpful to contour and anchor the material into the epiphysis so that it will migrate distally with the physis with growth. The metaphyseal defect can be backed with local bone graft. (From Peterson HA: Partial growth plate arrest and its treatment. J Pediatr Orthop 1984;4[2]:246–258.)

prevented. Ideally, the interposition material should migrate with the epiphysis. The remaining metaphyseal defect can be packed with the removed bone. Peterson has advocated contouring the epiphyseal defect or creating drill holes or "pods" in the epiphysis to anchor the interposition material in the epiphysis so that the interposition material will migrate distally with the epiphysis as growth resumes (Fig. 39–20).207 Once the bar has been resected and the interposition material has been placed, radiographic markers should be placed on either side of the physis to aid in evaluating resumption of growth (Fig. 39–14).

Results following bar resection are variable. Nearly all authors report poor results with bars involving more than 50 percent of the physis.26,135,202,207 Peterson reported results as a percentage of growth of the normal (contralateral) physis ranging from 0 to 200 percent, with a mean of 84 percent.202 Our experience has been less dramatic, with clinically significant growth resuming in approximately 40 percent of cases.24 The surgeon must remember that premature closure of the physis is to be expected, even if above-normal growth has resumed.202,135,202,207 Thus, while bar resection is a viable option for the young patient with a phyeal arrest, close clinical follow-up to maturity is imperative.

Care of the Multiply Injured Child

Blunt trauma is the leading cause of death in children over 1 year old. Although a number of these deaths are from such massive injuries that there is no chance of resuscitation, there are deaths that could be prevented with proper trauma care.5,68,70,89 Although most preventable deaths are the result of pulmonary, intracranial, or intra-abdominal pathology, it is important for all physicians, including orthopaedists, caring for victims of acute trauma to be thoroughly familiar with the systematic, multidisciplinary approach to the assessment and resuscitation of the polytraumatized child. The principles of assessment and resuscitation are outlined and well presented in the Advanced Trauma Life Support course provided by the American College of Surgeons. This comprehensive course provides specific training for the management of the pediatric trauma patient.10

Children possess a number of anatomic and physiologic characteristics that make their injuries and their injury response different from adults. Head and visceral injuries are more common in children, while chest and thorax injuries are less frequent. Several factors contribute to the fact that head injuries occur in over 80 percent of polytraumatized children. First, because a child's head is relatively large compared to the trunk, the head is usually the point of first contact during high-energy injuries. Second, the cortical bone of the cranial vault is thinner in children. Finally, a child's brain is less myelinated than an adult's and more easily injured. Fortunately, there are also several characteristics that make recovery from head injury more favorable in children. These include a larger subarachnoid space, greater extracellular space, and open cranial sutures.3 Visceral injuries are also more common in children than in adults, in part because there is less abdominal musculature and less subcutaneous fat. Conversely, the elasticity of the thoracic cage makes fractures of the ribs and sternum uncommon in children.102,143,159,163,192,246

A child's response to injury is also different from an adult's. It is unusual for children to have preexisting disease, and they usually have large cardiopulmonary reserves. Consequently they can often maintain a normal systolic blood pressure in the face of significant hypovolemia, although they will develop tachycardia. Children also become hypothermic rapidly because their surface area is large relative to their body mass. This hypothermia can compound the lactic acidosis associated with hypovolemic shock.

Evaluation and resuscitation of the polytraumatized child begins with the ABC's (Airway, Breathing, Circulation) of trauma. Management of the airway should begin with the assumption that cervical spine pathology exists, and cervical spine precautions should be used until the cervical spine is cleared clinically and radiographically. It is important to remember that the relatively large head of a child forces the cervical spine into flexion. Thus, appropriate immobilization includes a collar or sand bags, as well as a backboard that has a cutout for the head. If these special backboards are unavailable, children may be safely transported by placing a roll under the shoulders to elevate the torso relative to the head (Fig. 39–21).† With these cervical spine precautions, an adequate airway must be maintained. The jaw thrust or lift will often open the airway. It is also important to remember that the nostrils must be kept clear in infants. All obvious foreign materials (food, mucus, blood, vomit) must be removed from the mouth and oropharynx. Placement of a nasogastric tube will decompress the stomach and help prevent aspiration. In the unconscious or obtunded child, endotracheal intubation ensures a secure airway.201

Once an adequate airway has been obtained, breathing and circulation should be assessed. Ventilation should be confirmed by auscultating breath sounds in both lung fields. Absence or decreased breath sounds should alert the surgeon to the possibility of an improperly placed airway or a potential pneumothorax. Assessment of blood volume status in children can be deceptive, owing to their large physiologic reserves. Although children often maintain a normal blood pressure despite significant volume loss, tachycardia will

---

*See references 37, 102, 137, 143, 159, 163, 230, 246, 247, 264.
†See references 60, 107, 114, 120, 121, 130, 140, 151, 163, 246, 252.
develop early in hypovolemic shock. Life-threatening hemorrhage in children is usually the result of solid visceral injury, as children are less likely than adults to sustain massive blood loss from pelvic or extremity trauma. As assessment and management of the airway, breathing, and circulation is being undertaken, attempts should be made to obtain venous access. Once venous access has been established, fluid resuscitation can begin. A child’s circulating blood volume can be estimated as 80 mL/kg. A child’s weight in kilograms can be estimated as:

\[
\text{weight (kg)} = [\text{Age (yr)} \times 2] + 8.
\]

As in adults, fluid resuscitation begins with a crystalloid bolus equal to one-fourth of the circulating blood volume (20 mL/kg). If tachycardia or other signs of hypovolemia persist after two crystalloid boluses, consideration should be given to transfusion of packed red blood cells. Once fluid resuscitation has begun, the bladder should be decompressed with a Foley catheter. Urine output can then be monitored. Normal urine output in an infant is 1 to 2 mL/kg/hr and in a child or adolescent 0.5 mL/kg/hr. The primary survey is completed with a quick history, which should include assessment for medical allergies, current medications, significant past medical history, and the details of the accident and management to date. As the primary survey is completed, the secondary survey begins. The secondary survey includes calculation of the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score (Table 39–3) and radiographs of the chest (AP), cervical spine (lateral), and pelvis (AP). Additional studies (CT of the head and abdomen, radiography of the extremities and thoracolumbar spine) should be performed as indicated. We obtain AP and lateral radiographs of any extremity that is painful, swollen, ecchymotic, or abraded. Routine blood work should include a complete blood cell count as well as a typing and crossmatching. It is prudent to draw ample extra blood at the time venous access is established so that appropriate tests may be added as indicated. The secondary assessment also provides an opportunity to gather information that will allow the computation of an injury score that can be used to classify injury severity and to predict morbidity and mortality. A number of scoring systems are available, including the Injury Severity Scale (ISS) the Abbreviated Injury Scale, the pediatric trauma score, the trauma score, and the revised trauma score. The revised trauma score is not specific for children; however, it has the advantage of being universally applicable and has been shown to correlate with survival and with the ISS score as well as with the more specific pediatric trauma score (Table 39–4). The ISS score is used primarily for injury classification and outcomes research, but also as a measure of quality assurance. It has not been shown to have a direct correlation with mortality. It is important to stress that management of the traumatized child is a multidisciplinary process. As the secondary survey begins, continuous monitoring of airway, breathing, and circulation must continue. Deterioration of vital signs or GCS score may warrant emergency consultation with a neurosurgeon or a trauma surgeon. CT of the head is perhaps the single most important study in the management of intracranial trauma. Often, an abdominal CT may be
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**TABLE 39–3** Glasgow Coma Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening of the eyes</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneously</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To speech</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To pain</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best verbal response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oriented</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confused</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inappropriate words</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomprehensible sounds</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s best verbal response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smiles, orients to sound, follow objects, interacts</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolable when crying, interacts inappropriately</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconsistently consolable, means incomprehensible, irritable, restless</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best motor response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous (obedience to commands)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localization of pain</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawal</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal flexion to pain</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal extension to pain</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*See references 15, 19, 73, 83, 136, 194, 225, 249.
†See references 10, 15, 18, 19, 51, 73, 136, 194, 249.
TABLE 39–4 Revised Trauma Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revised Trauma Score</th>
<th>Glasgow Coma Scale</th>
<th>Systolic Blood Pressure (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Respiratory Rate (breaths/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13–15</td>
<td>&gt;89</td>
<td>10–29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9–12</td>
<td>76–89</td>
<td>2–29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6–8</td>
<td>50–75</td>
<td>6–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>1–49</td>
<td>1–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each of the three variables is scored (GCS, BP, RR). The scores are totaled (range: 0–12). A total score ≥11 indicates potentially important trauma.

TABLE 39–5 Open Fracture Management

1. Thorough assessment for life-threatening injuries
2. Immediate IV antibiotics, continue for 48 hours:
   Grade I—first-generation cephalosporin
   Grade II and III—first-generation cephalosporin + aminoglycoside
   “Barnyard” injuries—add anaerobic coverage (penicillin or Flagyl)
3. Tetanus prophylaxis
4. Thorough operative debridement
5. Adequate fracture stabilization
6. Second operative debridement in 3–4 days if indicated
7. Early definitive soft tissue coverage
8. Early bone grafting if indicated

The treatment of open fractures begins in the emergency room with a complete and thorough assessment in order to identify any life-threatening injuries (see previous discussion under Care of the Multiply Injured Child). Once an open fracture has been identified, intravenous (IV) antibiotics should be administered. In a review of over 1,100 open fractures, Patzakis and Wilkins found the timely administration of IV antibiotics to be the single most important factor in reducing the infection rate. We currently use a first-generation cephalosporin for all open fractures. For grade II and III open fractures we generally add gram-negative antibiotics.

TABLE 39–6 Open Fracture Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Wound &lt;1 cm long</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately clean puncture wound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Usually “inside-out” injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Little soft tissue damage, no crushing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Little comminution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Wound &gt;1 cm long</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No extensive tissue damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slight or moderate crush injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate comminution and/or contamination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type III Extensive soft tissue damage to muscles, skin, and neurovascular structures and a high degree of contamination

Three subtypes:

A. Adequate soft tissue coverage (includes high-energy segmental, comminuted fractures, regardless of normal size)
B. Local or free flap required for coverage
C. Arterial injury requiring repair


Open Fractures

Although the incidence and mechanism of open fractures differ somewhat between children and adults, their management in these two populations is similar, requiring an aggressive, thorough, and systematic approach (Table 39–5). The most common open fractures in children involve the hand and lower extremity. The majority of these injuries are the result of falls. Open fractures of the lower extremities, particularly the tibia, are usually the result of higher-energy trauma, most commonly trauma sustained in auto-pedestrian or auto-bicycle accidents. Although recent reports have highlighted the problems of inter- and intraobserver reliability, the classification system of Gustilo and Anderson is still the one most widely used for classifying open fractures in both children and adults (Table 39–6).

The treatment of open fractures begins in the emergency room with a complete and thorough assessment in order to identify any life-threatening injuries (see previous discussion under Care of the Multiply Injured Child). Once an open fracture has been identified, intravenous (IV) antibiotics should be administered. In a review of over 1,100 open fractures, Patzakis and Wilkins found the timely administration of IV antibiotics to be the single most important factor in reducing the infection rate. We currently use a first-generation cephalosporin for all open fractures. For grade II and III open fractures we generally add gram-negative antibiotics.

Type I Wound <1 cm long

- Moderately clean puncture wound
- Usually “inside-out” injury
- Little soft tissue damage, no crushing
- Little comminution

Type II Wound >1 cm long

- No extensive tissue damage
- Slight or moderate crush injury
- Moderate comminution and/or contamination

Type III Extensive soft tissue damage to muscles, skin, and neurovascular structures and a high degree of contamination

Three subtypes:

A. Adequate soft tissue coverage (includes high-energy segmental, comminuted fractures, regardless of normal size)
B. Local or free flap required for coverage
C. Arterial injury requiring repair


coverage with the addition of an aminoglycoside. For “barnyard” injuries we add anaerobic coverage with penicillin or Flagyl. Additionally, the status of the patient’s tetanus immunization should be reviewed. The American College of Surgeons recommends a booster of tetanus toxoid to all patients with wounds unless they have completed immunization or received a “booster” in the past 5 years. Patients with “tetanus-prone” wounds (severe, neglected, or more than 24 hours old) should be given a booster unless it can be confirmed that they have received one in the past year. The decision to provide passive immunization with human tetanus immune globulin must be made on an individual basis. Passive immunization with human immune globulin should be considered in all patients with “tetanus prone” wounds who have not been immunized or whose immunization status cannot be confirmed.

All open fractures are an operative emergency and require operative debridement as soon as the patient can be assessed and stabilized. At the time of debridement, all open wounds should be extended proximally and distally and all loose debris and nonviable tissue, including devascularized bone, should be removed. Both ends of the fracture should be visualized and debrided. After a systematic, circumferential, superficial to deep debridement, the wound should be thoroughly irrigated with 5 to 10 liters of saline.

Once the wound has been thoroughly debrided the fracture should be stabilized. Fracture stabilization reduces the rate of infection by protecting the integrity of the soft tissue envelope. In children, fracture stabilization can frequently be accomplished with cast immobilization, often supplemented with percutaneous pin fixation. If, however, there are large soft tissue wounds, internal or external fixation may be indicated. Recommendations regarding fracture stabilization are discussed with each injury.

The necessity of a second debridement for all open fractures is controversial. Although routine re-debridement has been recommended, there are several large series in which open fractures in children were managed successfully with a single debridement and loose wound closure over a drain. We make the decision to perform a second debridement on an individual basis, based on the amount of contamination, soft tissue devitalization, and bony comminution present at the initial debridement. However, we would perform a few “unnecessary” second debridements than treat infected, delayed unions; consequently, we have a low threshold for recommending re-debridement.

If primary wound closure is not possible either initially or on a delayed basis, wound closure with skin grafts or soft tissue transfer should be accomplished as soon as a clean, stable wound can be achieved, preferably within 5 to 10 days. The use of rotational (gastrocnemius or soleus) flaps or free microvascular tissue transfer in children is well established and similar to the principles in adults. Once soft tissue closure has been achieved, attention can be directed at bony reconstruction. Fortunately, open fractures in children rarely go on to delayed or nonunion; consequently, such procedures are rarely indicated. The management of bone loss is discussed with specific injuries.

---

**GUNSHOT WOUNDS**

In large urban settings in the United States, gunshot injuries in children are becoming increasingly common. Gunshot wounds may be classified as either high or low velocity. High-velocity gunshot wounds usually produce extensive soft tissue damage, gross contamination, and comminuted fractures. These injuries should be treated as type III open fractures. Low-velocity gunshot wounds have little soft tissue injury or fracture comminution. Recently, several authors have reported the successful treatment of these injuries with local wound debridement and short-term IV or oral antibiotic therapy. It is important to realize that most of these studies have been performed in adults. However, the few reports specifically discussing gunshot wounds in children suggest that, as is often the case, children have a better prognosis than adults.

**LAWNMOWER INJURY**

Lawnmower injuries are another unique subcategory of open fractures. Not surprisingly, most children injured by lawnmowers are bystanders rather than operators or even riders. Most reports note that 30 to 50 percent of patients require some level of amputation. The vortex of air that is created by the lawnmower and the inherently dirty setting produce massively contaminated wounds. Acute management of lawnmower injuries involves multiple thorough debridements. We routinely debride these wounds multiple times at 48-hour intervals, until there is no evidence of debris and there is a healthy granulation bed. In addition to thorough debridement, initial management should include broad-spectrum antibiotics, including coverage for potential anerobic infection. If amputation is required, every effort is made to keep the level as distal as possible. Consideration should be given to using the amputated parts to provide cartilaginous caps over any exposed residual bone in the hope of preventing appositional overgrowth of the residual limb. Like most traumatic injuries, many, if not all, lawnmower injuries are easily preventable. There are currently many educational efforts under way to ensure that operators of lawnmowers have adequate knowledge of the potential danger these machines represent not only to operators but to bystanders as well.

**Compartment Syndrome**

Compartment syndrome is a potentially devastating entity that may develop when injury induces increased pressure within a closed space. Because the earliest signs of compartment syndrome are often subtle and the patients are frequently obtunded or difficult to assess for other reasons, the diagnosis may be delayed or altogether missed, resulting in devastating complications that may be avoided with prompt surgical decompression.

Eaton and associates have outlined the pathophysiology of compartment syndrome. Initially, ischemia produces

---

*See references 39, 101, 126, 148, 221, 240, 251, 279.
†See references 59, 93, 101, 126, 148, 221, 240.
§See references 8, 14, 23, 24, 69, 146, 165, 167, 189, 263.
¶See references 105, 111, 171, 176, 186–188, 230, 253, 262.
anoxia in muscles, which in turn causes release of histamine-like substances, which increases capillary permeability and leads to intramuscular edema. The increasing intramuscular edema produces a progressive increase in the intrinsically tissue pressure of the muscles. A taut fascial envelope creates venous compression, which further increases the intramuscular pressure. Unyielding circular dressings on the limb can also contribute to increases in the intramuscular pressure. Pressure receptors within the muscle produce vasospasm, which aggravates the initial vascular compromise, creating a destructive ischemia-edema cycle. The only treatment for this potentially devastating cycle is prompt surgical decompression of the fascial compartment.*

Compartment syndrome can be recognized by the so-called six P's: pain out of proportion to physical examination findings, increased pressure, pink skin color, pulse present, paresthesias, and paresis. However, it is important to remember that the only early sign may be pain, particularly pain on passive stretching. In fact, paresthesia and paresis are late findings, often present only after permanent damage has occurred.

The best treatment of a compartment syndrome is avoidance. However, once it has developed it must be promptly recognized and treated. Although compartment syndrome can occur within any compartment after accidental injury, following elective surgical procedures, or with infections, in children it is most commonly seen following fractures of the supracondylar humerus or tibia.† Appropriate management of “at-risk” extremities may help prevent compartment syndrome. Elevation of affected extremities is recommended immediately after an injury to decrease soft tissue swelling. Although elevation decreases edema, it also decreases arterial blood flow and reduces oxygen perfusion by reducing the arteriovenous gradient. Thus, if an involving compartment syndrome is suspected, the limb should be kept at the level of the heart rather than elevated. It is important to remember that circumferential dressings can cause an elevation in compartment pressures, which can accelerate the development of ischemia and the spiraling increase in edema and pressure. Removal of circumferential dressings has been shown to reduce compartment pressure by as much as 85 percent. Therefore, once a compartment syndrome is suspected, all circumferential dressings should be removed to the skin.‡

Diagnosis of an acute compartment syndrome can be aided by measurement of the pressure within the compartment. Numerous techniques have been described to measure intracompartmental pressure. One of the earliest was the Whitesides needle technique. This technique employs an 18-gauge needle, a syringe, IV tubing, sterile saline, a three-way stopcock, and a mercury manometer (Fig. 39–22). The needle is placed into the compartment and the plunger is advanced until the fluid column begins to enter the compartment. The pressure reading on the manometer at this point represents the compartment pressure. Other techniques have been developed to allow continuous monitoring of compartment pressures or to simplify pressure measurement. These include the wick or slit catheter technique, the infusion technique, commercially available gauges, and an IV catheter with an infusion pump or arterial line pressure monitor. Wick and slit catheters were developed because of theoretical concerns that the injection technique created nonequilibrium conditions at the tip of the catheter and overestimated the compartment pressure. Recently, Wilson and colleagues have shown slit catheters and 16-gauge IV catheters produce similar compartment pressure measurements. Uppal and colleagues described a technique utilizing an 18-gauge needle and an IV alarm control (IVAC) pump. After zeroing the IVAC pump and adjusting the unit to read in mm Hg rather than mm H2O, the fluid flow rate is set at 25 mm/hr. An 18-gauge needle is then introduced into the compartment and the “read pressure” button is depressed. The compartmental pressure is displayed on the IVAC pump. Similarly, a needle or angiocath (with or without a side port) can be connected to an arterial line monitor. After zeroing the monitor (which requires a small fluid bolus), the pressure is displayed on the arterial line monitor (Fig. 39–22). This technique can be used with a slit indwelling catheter to provide continuous pressure monitoring.

The intracompartmental pressure at which a compartment syndrome exists is unknown, and the pressure may vary with the technique of measurement. Whitesides and colleagues recommended surgical decompression when compartment pressure rose to within 10 to 30 mm Hg of the diastolic pressure using the needle technique. Matson has recommended decompression at pressures of 45 mm Hg using the infusion technique, while Mubarak and Rorabeck have recommended decompression at 30 to 35 mm Hg using the wick or slit catheter. These thresholds for pressure measurements are only guidelines, however, and decisions regarding fasciotomy must be made in the context of the entire clinical setting, taking into account the patient’s blood pressure, local perfusion, trends of intracompartmental pressures, and symptoms, as well as the patient’s ability to cooperate with repeated examinations. It is also important to remember that compartment syndrome is a dynamic entity and that the at-risk extremity must be continuously reassessed.

Although the specific surgical technique for fasciotomy depends on the anatomic location, a few general points merit discussion. When treating compartment syndrome of the leg, it is important that all four compartments be widely released. We prefer to do this with a two-incision technique. The anterior and lateral compartments are released through a lateral incision that extends proximally to the origin of these muscles in the leg. The superficial and deep posterior compartments are addressed through a medial incision that extends distally to allow release of the entire deep posterior compartment (Fig. 39–23). In treating compartment syndrome of the forearm, we utilize the volar approach of Henry (Fig. 39–24). It is important to remember that there is both a superficial and deep compartment to the forearm and that the deep compartment, consisting of the flexor profundus, the flexor pollicis longus, and the pronator quadratus, is more susceptible to developing compartment syndrome. Once fasciotomy has been performed, Eaton and Green recommend careful assessment of each individual muscle. If the epimysium is a constricting, compressive structure, they recommend episiotomy.

---

*See references 105, 134, 171, 172, 175–177, 186.
FIGURE 39-22 A, Whiteside’s needle technique for measuring compartment pressures (see text for technique). B, Arterial line technique for compartment pressure measurement (see text for technique).

FIGURE 39-23 Two-incision technique for four-compartment fasciotomy of the leg. A, Posterior medial incision for decompression of the superficial and deep posterior compartments. This incision must extend far enough distally to allow complete decompression of the entire deep posterior compartment. B, Anterolateral incision for release of the anterior and lateral compartments. Care should be taken to identify and protect the superficial peroneal nerve. This incision must extend far enough proximally to ensure complete decompression of the muscles near their origin.
omy. Similarly, Rorabeck recommends external neurelplasty if indicated. Following decompression, the initial findings may be quite mild. However, massive swelling is the rule following fascial release; thus it is wise to utilize generous incisions that allow full and complete release of the fascia.

Once wide surgical decompression has been achieved, all untreated fractures should be stabilized in a fashion that will allow appropriate treatment of the soft tissue wounds. The condition of the underlying muscle is then assessed. Initially ischemic muscle may respond favorably to decompression; thus, all nonviable tissue should be removed but any questionable tissue left alone. A sterile bulky dressing is applied to the extremity and the patient should be returned to the operating room at 48- to 72-hour intervals for continued debridement of nonviable tissue. Once a stable, healthy wound has been achieved, soft tissue closure can be performed either primarily or with split-thickness skin grafting, if necessary. After primary healing has occurred, reconstruction of any permanent deficits can be undertaken.

Although prompt recognition and early appropriate treatment of compartment syndrome can limit or avoid some of the potentially devastating problems, one should remember that as Sir Robert Jones noted, “It cannot be too emphatically stated that despite every precaution ischemic contractures may occur.” Littler later echoed these comments, stating, “occasionally, despite professional awareness and all preventive effort, this distressing complication develops.”

**FIGURE 39–25** Emergency realignment of an ischemic extremity may reduce the tension on a vessel and restore the circulation.

---

**Vascular Injuries**

Vascular injury may result from severely displaced fractures. This is most commonly seen with extension supracondylar humerus fractures or with fractures of the distal femur or proximal tibia. Patients who present with an ischemic limb and a fracture should undergo immediate “closed reduction” of the fracture in the emergency room. This closed reduction is actually a simple realignment of the limb, performed with gentle traction to restore the limb to a more anatomic position, thus removing any tension on the neurovascular structures (Fig. 39–25). If, as it frequently the case, realignment of the limb restores circulation to the extremity, fracture management can usually proceed in the normal fashion. However, if a nonviable limb persists after realignment, the patient should be taken immediately to the operating room for fracture stabilization and vascular exploration and, if indicated, repair. We believe that “preoperative” arteriography in an ischemic/nonviable extremity only prolongs the ischemic time and should not routinely be performed. We proceed immediately to the operating room and stabilize the fracture. Once the fracture has been stabilized vascular exploration can be accomplished. If necessary, fluoroscopy can be utilized to obtain an intraoperative arteriogram, although we find this is seldom necessary as the anatomic location of the vascular injury is usually obvious. Ideally, revascularization should be achieved within 6 to 8 hours. Prolonged ischemia and subsequent revascularization may be associated with the development of compartment syndrome. Subsequently, we have a low threshold for performing fasciotomies at the time of revascularization.†

---

†See references 39, 42, 53, 56, 81, 147, 203, 244, 258, 266, 283.
The management of a viable limb with an absent pulse is controversial. This is often the case with a limb that was initially ischemic but improved with realignment. Some authors have advocated arteriography and/or exploration with appropriate vascular repair. Others have documented that a viable but pulseless extremity may be safely observed. We manage these patients in consultation with a trauma, vascular, or microsurgeon, with decisions made on an individual basis.\(^{36.41}\) However, we usually recommend a conservative course with close observation.\(^{42-45}\) The importance of an adequate period of close observation must be emphasized, as propagation of a thrombus can turn a pulseless, viable hand to an ischemic, nonviable hand. Pulse oximetry has been reported to be an effective continuous monitoring device in such situations.\(^{46,47}\) Although the ability of pulse oximetry to accurately reflect tissue oxygenation (a function of oxygen saturation and blood flow) has been questioned,\(^{48}\) we believe it is an effective adjunct in monitoring an extremity for viability.

**Casts**

No discussion of the general principles of traumatic injuries in children would be complete without a discussion of the principles involved in good casting. With advances in orthopaedics, cast immobilization is increasingly less common. However, for a number of reasons, casts remain the mainstay of treatment for children's fractures and reconstructive pediatric orthopaedic surgery. Thus, the ability to apply a well-molded cast or splint is an important skill for the pediatric orthopaedic surgeon. Unfortunately, too often the task of reducing and splinting a fracture is delegated to the most junior member of the team, often with little instruction and no supervision. This may result in less than desirable outcomes, as even an undisplaced buckle fracture can angulate in a poorly applied splint or cast (see Fig. 39–3). It is increasingly common to hear comments regarding the "lost art of casting." Applying a well-molded cast or splint, particularly on a small, moving child with a chunky arm, is indeed an acquired skill.

A well-applied cast has only two layers of cast padding on all areas except bony prominences, which will require a third or fourth. A cast with too much padding will fail to hold a reduction, while one with too little may result in pressure sores. Once a cast has been applied it should be molded to provide three-point fixation of the fracture (Fig. 39–26).\(^{46}\) When applying a cast, one should remember that the length on the convex side of an angle is significantly more than that on the concave side. Failure to account for this difference will result in too much material on the concave side or insufficient material on the convex side. Technically this problem may be addressed with the use of splints or by fanning the cast material out over the convex side. During cast application, attention must be given to the position of the entire extremity. Moving a joint once the padding and plaster have been applied will result in a crease, which can lead to soft tissue problems (Fig. 39–27). Similarly, applying a short-leg cast with the ankle in equinus or a long-arm cast with the elbow extended may allow the cast to shift distally, which can also lead to pressure sores (Fig. 39–28). There are clinical situations in which a cast in extension (e.g., supracondyial humerus fractures after pinning) or equinus (distal tibia fractures) is required. In these instances, careful molding of the cast around bony prominences will help prevent migration of the cast distally.

The Dutch military surgeon Antonius Mathijsen began impregnating open meshed bandages with plaster-of-Paris powder in 1852, and for over a century there were few fundamental changes in casting materials.\(^{49}\) Recently, several new casting materials were introduced. These include fiberglass casting tape and Gore-Tex spica liners. Despite the considerable debate over the efficacy of these new materials, there has been little scientific experimentation with them, and the choice of materials remains primarily a subjective one. Proponents of fiberglass casts note that they are lighter and more durable. Others have argued that fiberglass is more difficult to mold and less forgiving when swelling is expected. In one of the few studies comparing casting material, Davids and co-workers demonstrated that a properly applied fiberglass cast produces less skin pressure than a plaster-of-Paris cast.\(^{49.50}\) 3M has developed a fiberglass casting material (Scotchcast) that is removed with simple unrolling. The ease of removal of this material has led to its widespread use in the treatment of clubfeet.\(^{51}\)

**Child Abuse**

One of the earliest descriptions of the orthopaedic manifestations of child abuse was by Caffey in 1946. He described six infants with femur fractures and chronic subdural hematomas.\(^{52}\) In 1953, Silverman\(^{53}\) implicated the parents and guardians in these traumatic lesions. In 1962, Kempe and colleagues introduced the phrase "battered child syndrome."\(^{54-56}\) This paper brought multidisciplinary medical attention to the problem of child abuse and led to mandatory reporting laws, which now exist in all 50 states. Originally, child abuse was defined as physical injury inflicted on children by persons caring for them.\(^{57}\) Since this early definition in 1968, the definition of abuse has expanded to include physical neglect and endangerment as well as emotional and sexual abuse.
FIGURE 39-27  Once casting materials have been applied, a joint must not be moved. Moving the foot out of equinus creates creases in the cast, which can lead to skin breakdown.

FIGURE 39-28 Pressure sores following distal migration of a splint. A, Lateral radiograph of a poorly molded posterior splint. The splint has slid distally and is impinging on the heel. B, When the splint is removed there is blistering on the heel.
The incidence of child abuse is difficult to determine. It has been estimated that 1 to 1.5 percent of all children are abused each year.256 In the United States in 1991, there were more than two million reports alleging maltreatment of more than three million children. These reports were substantiated in approximately one million children. Although this represents a nearly 20 percent increase from 1990, it is difficult to determine whether the increase represents an improvement in reporting or an actual increase in the number of abused children.256 Statistical analysis of reported cases shows that children are more likely to be abused by caregivers who are young, poor, and of minority status. However, abuse in affluent families may be underreported because of medical practitioners' desire to protect their social peers from the stigma of investigation by public agencies. Abuse is also less likely to be reported if it is emotional rather than physical and if the mother is the perpetrator. There is no doubt that child abuse is a problem that crosses all age, sex, ethnic, and socioeconomic groups.85,103

Although children of any age can be abused, younger children are more frequently victims.276,278 Akbarinia and associates reported that 50 percent of 243 abused children were less than 1 year old and 78 percent were less than 3 years old.276,278 Younger children are also more likely to die from abuse.43,103 In the United States, in 1996, 76 percent of the 1,077 fatalities from abuse were in children less than 4 years old.276

The diagnosis of abuse can be straightforward and obvious or frustratingly difficult. Regardless of the ease with which the diagnosis can be made, a high degree of suspicion is required in order to make the diagnosis. Child abuse has been found in up to half of all children with fractures in the first year of life and in one-third of children less than 3 years old with a fracture.138,140,174,278 A number of the "pathognomonic" signs of abuse are actually quite rare. The classic finding of "multiple fractures in different stages of healing" has been reported to be present in only 10 to 15 percent of documented cases of abuse.84,104 Similarly, corner fractures or bucket-handle metaphyseal fractures, are not as frequent as diaphyseal fractures. The importance of soft tissue injuries should not be overlooked. In fact, a number of reports have stressed the fact that fractures rarely exist without other signs of abuse and that abused children are more likely to have soft tissue injuries than fractures.84,177,111 It is important to consider, identify, and report neglect and endangerment. There may be no question of intentional injury when a toddler is brought in by paramedics after falling out of a three-storey window; however, such a scenario suggests neglect or endangerment. Allowing a child to return to such an environment may be as dangerous as failing to report physical injury.

As in all areas of orthopaedics, there are few absolutes in child abuse. The best approach to child abuse is to maintain a high degree of vigilance by considering the diagnosis in all children with traumatic injuries. Certain factors, such as a changing history or a history not consistent with the injury, a delay in seeking treatment, long bone fractures in children less than 1 year old, multiple fractures in different stages of healing, corner fractures, rib fractures, skull fractures, thermal injuries, and unexplained soft tissue injuries should raise concern and trigger a report to the appropriate child protective agencies. Perhaps the most frequently overlooked part of the assessment of the abused child is the interview with the child. Children, when time is taken to place them in a comfortable, secure, nonthreatening environment (characteristics that are difficult, if not impossible, to find in most busy emergency rooms!), will display remarkable candor. Despite mandatory reporting laws, physicians are often reluctant to report suspected abuse because of concern over upsetting the parents or caregivers. It has been our experience that when approached in a nonaccusational fashion with a simple explanation of the legal and ethical duty to report suspected abuse, parents are usually quite understanding of the physician's role. In fact, our suspicions are often heightened when a caregiver so counseled becomes indignant or threatening when informed of the necessity to report.

The consequences of failing to identify and report abuse are high. The reinjury rate of battered children is between 30 and 50 percent and the risk of death between 5 and 10 percent.6,29,84 If a reinjury occurs, it is likely that the caregivers will seek medical attention at a different medical facility. Because the risk of death increases with each subsequent emergency room visit,29 it is of paramount importance to report all cases of suspected abuse. However, simply reporting the incident may not ensure adequate safety for the child; hospitalization may be necessary to allow adequate assessment.

Most large urban children's hospitals have developed an interdisciplinary approach to the treatment of abused children. The "child abuse team" includes pediatricians, social workers, chaplains, and, when indicated, specialists such as orthopaedists. This approach streamlines what can be a cumbersome process as the parties involved develop an understanding of the legal issues and a repertoire with representatives from the legal system. At our acute care institution, this multidisciplinary approach, which utilizes mandatory parenting classes and other community resources, allows approximately 80 percent of abused children to remain safely in their home. Using a similar system, Galleno and Oppenheim demonstrated a decrease in the reinjury rate from 50 percent to 9 percent.84

Summary

While managing skeletal injuries in children is generally straightforward, yielding excellent clinical results, there are times when even the simple can become difficult. In his book, Children's Fractures, Mercer Rang likens fracture management to a game of chess.256 This classic discussion is full of tips and pearls of wisdom and is well worth the brief amount of time it takes to read. He outlines six principles of fracture care (which apply to all areas of pediatric orthopaedics) that are worth repeating:

1. Use your working knowledge of the various complications to look deliberately for them.
2. Children are uncooperative only when something is wrong.
3. Ensure your system of follow-up does not permit patients to be lost.
4. Recognize a loose cast.
5. Recognize the earliest signs of a displacing fracture.
6. Talk to the parents ("If parents are a nuisance, it is always your fault").
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