# ML-driven search for zero-emissions ammonia production materials

## Kevin McCloskey 1

### **Abstract**

Ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) production is an industrial process that consumes between 1-2% of global energy annually and is responsible for 2-3% of greenhouse gas emissions (Van der Ham et al., 2014). Ammonia is primarily used for agricultural fertilizers, but it also conforms to the US DOE targets for hydrogen storage materials (Lan et al., 2012). Modern industrial facilities use the century-old Haber-Bosch process, whose energy usage and carbon emissions are strongly dominated by the use of methane as the combined energy source and hydrogen feedstock, not by the energy used to maintain elevated temperatures and pressures (Pfromm, 2017). Generating the hydrogen feedstock with renewable electricity through water electrolysis is an option that would allow retrofitting the billions of dollars of invested capital in Haber-Bosch production capacity. Economic viability is however strongly dependent on the relative regional prices of methane and renewable energy; renewables have been trending lower in cost but forecasting methane prices is difficult (Stehly et al., 2018; IRENA, 2017; Wainberg et al., 2017). Electrochemical ammonia production, which can use aqueous or steam H<sub>2</sub>O as its hydrogen source (first demonstrated ~20 years ago) is a promising means of emissions-free ammonia production. Its viability is also linked to the relative price of renewable energy versus methane, but in principle it can be significantly more cost-effective than Haber-Bosch (Giddey et al., 2013) and also downscale to developing areas lacking ammonia transport infrastructure (Shipman & Symes, 2017). However to date it has only been demonstrated at laboratory scales with yields and Faradaic efficiencies insufficient to be economically competitive. Promising machine-

Presented at the International Conference on Machine Learning 2019 Workshop on Climate Change, Copyright 2019 by the author(s).

learning approaches to fix this are discussed.

## 1. Why current approaches have failed

Two distinct approaches to electrochemical ammonia production have been demonstrated to date (Kyriakou et al., 2017): fluid electrocatalysis and solid-state ammonia synthesis (SSAS).

Fluid electrocatalysis approaches use aqueous or gaseous mixes of nitrogen, hydrogen (possibly from  $H_2O$ ) and electrolyte to reduce di-nitrogen to ammonia at catalyzed electrodes. The key challenge has been discovery of electrode catalysts that are preferentially selective for adsorption of nitrogen and its reduction intermediates over adsorption of oxygen or hydrogen (Singh et al., 2016; Foster et al., 2018).

SSAS cells use a solid/polymer ion-conductor membrane to decrease the preferentially selective adsorption requirement: the feedstocks are in separate chambers and the ion-conductor mediates delivery of protons, driven by electrical current. The key challenge has been finding combinations of membrane and electrode materials that have high ammonia yields per area/time, good Faradaic efficiency and that are stable (i.e. don't melt or chemically react) in a given temperature regime (Kyriakou et al., 2017).

In both approaches, relevant materials properties can be approximated from first principles. Relative adsorption energies can be calculated from density functional theory (DFT) (Hoskuldsson et al., 2017). Melting point, ion-conductivity, and reactivity can all be estimated from first principles (Seko et al., 2014; Hong & van de Walle, 2015; Pornprasertsuk et al., 2005; Chermette, 1999). However large scale computational screens have not been performed, due to the relatively large computational cost involved (single-digit CPU-hours for each candidate material and active-surface geometry) coupled with uncertainty about how well first-principles calculations predict reality for any given material class (Pilania et al., 2013).

## 2. Filling the gap with machine-learning

Machine learning (ML) models have demonstrated they are able to predict small-molecule and materials energies and properties calculated from first-principles (Smith et al.,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Google Research, Applied Science Team, 1600 Amphitheatre Dr. Mountain View, CA. Correspondence to: Kevin McCloskey <mccloskey@google.com>.

2017; Gilmer et al., 2017; Xie & Grossman, 2018; Singh et al., 2019). Small molecule equilibrium structures can be auto-regressively generated (Gebauer et al., 2018): demonstration of similar for crystal structures modeled as unit cells with periodic boundary conditions is needed because existing random/evolutionary approaches are still quite computationally intensive (Pickard & Needs, 2006; Glass et al., 2006). Rapid property prediction with ML models could enable screening the space of ~34,000 plausibly stable metal oxides catalogued in the Materials Project (Jain et al., 2013) for suitability as preferentially-selective electrodes.

To our knowledge the electrode catalyst that comes closest to demonstrating economic viability is a doped disordered carbon (Mukherjee et al., 2018), where first-principles calculations done on typical unit-cell sizes miss crucial defect effects. ML can generate tractable, predictive representations of disordered materials (Sharp et al., 2018); such representations should be developed for optimizing preferentially selective adsorption in doped disordered carbons.

As noted by (Kyriakou et al., 2017), a proton conductor in the 250°C and 450°C range could be the missing piece that enables economical SSAS. There are multiple material classes and physical mechanisms of proton conductivity (Nowick & Du, 1995; Quartarone et al., 2017; Tao et al., 2015; Giddey et al., 2013). A pragmatic near-term modeling approach may be to use ML models tailored for each material class and mechanism to predict ion conductivity and stability in that temperature range.

For both fluid electrocatalysts and SSAS materials, the nuisance factors of active-site geometries (Calle-Vallejo et al., 2015) and of optimal unit-cell size to model with (Wang et al., 2010) argue for multi-objective optimization using autoencoders or generative models, similar to those recently developed in drug-discovery research (Gómez-Bombarelli et al., 2018; Jin et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2018). It is highly recommended to restrict/bias the generator to materials that have plausible synthesis paths, to facilitate experimental verification. An active-learning loop using 3-stage funnel screening (generator  $\rightarrow$  first-principles properties verification  $\rightarrow$  experimental properties verification) is an efficient use of resources in such a search (Aspuru-Guzik & Persson, 2018).

## 3. Acknowledgements

KM thanks Lusann Yang, John Gregoire, Steven Kearnes and Ekin Dogus Cubuk for helpful conversations.

#### References

Aspuru-Guzik, A. and Persson, K. Materials acceleration platform: Accelerating advanced energy materials discov-

- ery by integrating high-throughput methods and artificial intelligence. 2018.
- Calle-Vallejo, F., Loffreda, D., Koper, M. T., and Sautet, P. Introducing structural sensitivity into adsorption–energy scaling relations by means of coordination numbers. *Nature chemistry*, 7(5):403, 2015.
- Chermette, H. Chemical reactivity indexes in density functional theory. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 20 (1):129–154, 1999.
- Foster, S. L., Bakovic, S. I. P., Duda, R. D., Maheshwari, S., Milton, R. D., Minteer, S. D., Janik, M. J., Renner, J. N., and Greenlee, L. F. Catalysts for nitrogen reduction to ammonia. *Nature Catalysis*, 1(7):490, 2018.
- Gebauer, N. W., Gastegger, M., and Schütt, K. T. Generating equilibrium molecules with deep neural networks. NeurIPS Workshop: Machine Learning for Molecules and Materials arXiv:1810.11347, 2018.
- Giddey, S., Badwal, S., and Kulkarni, A. Review of electrochemical ammonia production technologies and materials. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 38(34):14576–14594, 2013.
- Gilmer, J., Schoenholz, S. S., Riley, P. F., Vinyals, O., and Dahl, G. E. Neural message passing for quantum chemistry. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning-Volume 70*, pp. 1263–1272. JMLR. org, 2017.
- Glass, C. W., Oganov, A. R., and Hansen, N. Uspexevolutionary crystal structure prediction. *Computer physics communications*, 175(11-12):713–720, 2006.
- Gómez-Bombarelli, R., Wei, J. N., Duvenaud, D., Hernández-Lobato, J. M., Sánchez-Lengeling, B., Sheberla, D., Aguilera-Iparraguirre, J., Hirzel, T. D., Adams, R. P., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. Automatic chemical design using a data-driven continuous representation of molecules. ACS central science, 4(2):268–276, 2018.
- Hong, Q.-J. and van de Walle, A. Prediction of the material with highest known melting point from ab initio molecular dynamics calculations. *Physical Review B*, 92(2): 020104, 2015.
- Hoskuldsson, A. B., Abghoui, Y., Gunnarsdottir, A. B., and Skulason, E. Computational screening of rutile oxides for electrochemical ammonia formation. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 5(11):10327–10333, 2017.
- IRENA. Renewable power generation costs in 2017, 2017. https://www.irena.org/-/media/ Files/IRENA/Agency/Publication/2018/ Jan/IRENA\_2017\_Power\_Costs\_2018.pdf.

- Jain, A., Ong, S. P., Hautier, G., Chen, W., Richards, W. D., Dacek, S., Cholia, S., Gunter, D., Skinner, D., Ceder, G., et al. Commentary: The materials project: A materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation. *Apl Materials*, 1(1):011002, 2013.
- Jin, W., Barzilay, R., and Jaakkola, T. Junction tree variational autoencoder for molecular graph generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.04364, 2018.
- Kyriakou, V., Garagounis, I., Vasileiou, E., Vourros, A., and Stoukides, M. Progress in the electrochemical synthesis of ammonia. *Catalysis Today*, 286:2–13, 2017.
- Lan, R., Irvine, J. T., and Tao, S. Ammonia and related chemicals as potential indirect hydrogen storage materials. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 37(2): 1482–1494, 2012.
- Mukherjee, S., Cullen, D. A., Karakalos, S., Liu, K., Zhang, H., Zhao, S., Xu, H., More, K. L., Wang, G., and Wu, G. Metal-organic framework-derived nitrogen-doped highly disordered carbon for electrochemical ammonia synthesis using n2 and h2o in alkaline electrolytes. *Nano Energy*, 48:217–226, 2018.
- Nowick, A. and Du, Y. High-temperature protonic conductors with perovskite-related structures. *Solid State Ionics*, 77:137–146, 1995.
- Pfromm, P. H. Towards sustainable agriculture: Fossil-free ammonia. *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy*, 9(3):034702, 2017.
- Pickard, C. J. and Needs, R. High-pressure phases of silane. *Physical Review Letters*, 97(4):045504, 2006.
- Pilania, G., Wang, C., Jiang, X., Rajasekaran, S., and Ramprasad, R. Accelerating materials property predictions using machine learning. *Scientific reports*, 3:2810, 2013.
- Pornprasertsuk, R., Ramanarayanan, P., Musgrave, C. B., and Prinz, F. B. Predicting ionic conductivity of solid oxide fuel cell electrolyte from first principles. *Journal of applied physics*, 98(10):103513, 2005.
- Quartarone, E., Angioni, S., and Mustarelli, P. Polymer and composite membranes for proton-conducting, high-temperature fuel cells: A critical review. *Materials*, 10 (7):687, 2017.
- Seko, A., Maekawa, T., Tsuda, K., and Tanaka, I. Machine learning with systematic density-functional theory calculations: Application to melting temperatures of single-and binary-component solids. *Physical Review B*, 89(5): 054303, 2014.

- Sharp, T. A., Thomas, S. L., Cubuk, E. D., Schoenholz, S. S., Srolovitz, D. J., and Liu, A. J. Machine learning determination of atomic dynamics at grain boundaries. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115 (43):10943–10947, 2018.
- Shipman, M. A. and Symes, M. D. Recent progress towards the electrosynthesis of ammonia from sustainable resources. *Catalysis Today*, 286:57–68, 2017.
- Singh, A. R., Rohr, B. A., Schwalbe, J. A., Cargnello, M., Chan, K., Jaramillo, T. F., Chorkendorff, I., and Nørskov, J. K. Electrochemical ammonia synthesis the selectivity challenge, 2016.
- Singh, A. R., Rohr, B. A., Gauthier, J. A., and Nørskov, J. K. Predicting chemical reaction barriers with a machine learning model. *Catalysis Letters*, pp. 1–8, 2019.
- Smith, J. S., Isayev, O., and Roitberg, A. E. Ani-1: an extensible neural network potential with dft accuracy at force field computational cost. *Chemical science*, 8(4): 3192–3203, 2017.
- Stehly, T. J., Beiter, P. C., Heimiller, D. M., and Scott, G. N. 2017 cost of wind energy review. Technical report, National Renewable Energy Lab.(NREL), Golden, CO (United States), 2018.
- Tao, Z., Yan, L., Qiao, J., Wang, B., Zhang, L., and Zhang, J. A review of advanced proton-conducting materials for hydrogen separation. *Progress in Materials Science*, 74: 1–50, 2015.
- Van der Ham, C. J., Koper, M. T., and Hetterscheid, D. G. Challenges in reduction of dinitrogen by proton and electron transfer. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 43(15):5183– 5191, 2014.
- Wainberg, M., Foss, M. M., Gülen, G., and Quijano, D. Current and future natural gas demand in china and india. *Center for Energy Economics, The University of Texas at Austin: Austin, TX, USA*, 2017.
- Wang, Y., Lv, J., Zhu, L., and Ma, Y. Crystal structure prediction via particle-swarm optimization. *Physical Review B*, 82(9):094116, 2010.
- Xie, T. and Grossman, J. C. Crystal graph convolutional neural networks for an accurate and interpretable prediction of material properties. *Physical review letters*, 120 (14):145301, 2018.
- Zhou, Z., Kearnes, S., Li, L., Zare, R. N., and Riley, P. Optimization of molecules via deep reinforcement learning. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1810.08678, 2018.