

HCC PC9 HEARING - SESSION 2 - Built Heritage

Day 2 - 7th November 2023

Ewan Wilson, Vicki Morrison-Shaw, David Hill (Chair), Dave Serjeant

9.00am

D Hill

L Muldowney

9.02am

Lovell Family Trust

Veronica Cassin

9.05am

QUESTIONS

D Hill

comfort/discomfort levels

V Cassin

D Hill

what does comparative analysis mean

not able to be done under proposed criteria, framework

Spark building

matrix in 7.10, is it something we need to adopt, relevance

focused on minimum threshold

V Cassin

D Serjeant

what is second table, figure 2

V Cassin

9.18am

Bruce Bird (NZ Police)

9.20am

V Morrison Shaw

binary question, is threshold binary

V Cassin

B Bird

D Hill

apply criteria, not necessarily an answer

B Bird

9.25am

D Serjeant

formation of methodology, criteria in DP, can a person with reasonable intelligence read what is required by Council

B Bird

D Hill

what extent are we constrained by appendix 7, RPS

B Bird

V Cassin

9.28am

D Hill

what are you proposing

priority or hierarchy within the criteria, professional make judgement, comfort

B Bird

V Cassin

B Bird

D Hill state of building
do we need an 'other' box to allow for them to come through
discretion outside criteria, heritage polarize decisions

B Bird

9.34am

V Morrison Shaw does it stop building being identified as historic

B Bird

D Hill not criteria, case holders

B Bird

V Cassin

D Hill for instance

V Cassin

D Hill is it a heritage question

B Bird

D Hill rules provide that ability

V Cassin

D Serjeant

B Bird

9.40am

D Serjeant significance, restructuring
couldn't get listed, up for renovation

V Cassin

B Bird

D Hill rank A's in current ODP should not be there
should there be quantum of age, all the A's there are

V Cassin

V Morrison Shaw

V Cassin

9.46am

D Hill mathematical issue

V Cassin

9.47am

E Wilson aggregation, averaging, 4 sub-criteria moderate, would it elevate higher

V Cassin

D Hill more sub-criteria, equal in hierarchy

V Cassin

E Wilson rated equally, is it appropriate, criteria more superior than others

V Cassin

9.51am

NZ Police

Grant Eccles (Planning)

9.56am

V Morrison Shaw

G Eccles

different people affected, others no information, precautionary approach

10.00am

D Hill

G Eccles

plan decision to be made, go into schedule

10.03am

D Serjeant

G Eccles

section 32 applies, criteria, demolition, removal, creation of list, 1000 properties, planning judgement

V Morrison Shaw

G Eccles

D Hill

Taunui, constrain ability to go forward

separate tasks out

10.06am

V Morrison Shaw

G Eccles

V Cassin

D Hill

G Eccles

rule provisions, enabling planner to make assessment

criteria A and B

10.10am

Ray Pickett

10.22am

QUESTIONS

D Hill

R Pickett

what are you saying we should do

10.24am

D Serjeant

R Pickett

should fund be bigger

Mr Wild's comment, should it come into overall judgement

10.27am

Craig Stephen

10.33am

V Morrison Shaw

C Stephen

D Hill

C Stephen

built heritage not agree with

if PC9 not proceed, already provisions in DP

without resource consent

10.36am

11.00am

MORNING BREAK

RESUMED

Kianga Ora
Douglas Allan (Legal)

11.06am

D Hill intent to direct assessment to next round of Hearings
D Allen

11.14am

D Serjeant more general judgement, way criteria are written and interpreted, on what basis too high
D Allen

11.20am

QUESTIONS

V Morrison Shaw where consideration matters of national importance sit, categorized
D Allen

11.14am

D Serjeant more focused on other values, across heritage
global scale

D Allen

D Hill threshold flaw, through a rule, methodology

D Allen

11.30am

John Brown

11.36am

QUESTIONS

D Serjeant on board with Ms Caddigan view
changes, fining down, crisper, nature of changes

J Brown

11.43am

D Serjeant house at the margin and fell on the wrong side

J Brown

D Hill comparative component, more relative for these

J Brown

V Morrison Shaw need more robust evidence

J Brown

D Hill HAAs, satisfies, validity

J Brown

D Hill missing currently from criteria

J Brown

D Allen

J Brown

D Hill Auckland Harbour Bridge, tick all boxes for heritage, functional reasons not to proceed

J Brown

D Allen

D Hill

D Allen

D Serjeant definition of settings and surrounding, 6.6, what is most appropriate
J Brown
D Hill are we making a distinction
J Brown
D Serjeant defined by being adjacent
J Brown

12.02pm
L Muldowney

12.12pm
D Hill is SNA methodology in plan
L Muldowney

12.20pm
D Hill expert conferencing, planners sit in
L Muldowney

D Hill who orchestrates this, sufficient Heritage to their own devices
L Muldowney

12.26pm
V Morrison Shaw any other experts
L Muldowney
D Hill focus on methodology for built heritage

12.27pm **ADJOURNED**