

## Steve Rice

---

**Subject:** this mornings SNA hearings

---

**From:** Tony Street [<mailto:tstreet@capex.co.nz>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 15, 2023 9:05 AM

**To:** 'Steve Rice'

**Subject:** RE: this mornings SNA hearings

Good morning Steve,

With regards to addressing additional elements pertaining to NPS-IB, I have decided not to make a further submission at this morning's hearing.

At my earlier hearing on May 24<sup>th</sup>, I had highlighted a major inconsistency in terms of how the SNA boundary line had been assigned on to my property – relative to the Council owned land next door. I had sought a fairer compromise SNA boundary alignment. I note that Hamish Dean's barrister notes in his submission (point 22) that the principle of consistency requires that criteria be applied consistently – regardless of who owns the land. I would have liked to have highlighted this comment – relative to my property this morning. However relative to NPS-IB, I do not have further evidence to submit.

I had also raised OSH concerns (back in May) about the requirement to maintain the SNA covenants on a very steep river bank that is actually unsafe to work on.

However I can only assume that both of these points (that I had earlier raised) have been dismissed by The Commissioner.

Regards  
Tony Street