

HCC PC9 HEARING - SESSION 1

Day 1 - 22nd May 2023

David Hill (Chair), Ewan Wilson, Vicky Morrison-Shaw, D Serjeant

9.03am

D Hill

Wirihana Huaki

Vicky Morrison-Shaw

Karakia / Miha

response

D Hill

introductions and procedural

NOTABLE TREES

9.10am

HCC as proponent

Lachlan Muldowney

9.13am

D Hill

interim decisions

does Council have preference, no benefit of early decision, an extension

L Muldowney

9.21am

V Morrison-Shaw

L Muldowney

para 9, bio-diversity action plan

9.26am

D Serjeant

L Muldowney

why additional trees not on plans

9.27am

D Hill

1 or 2 propositions, private owner, tree to be added to register, scope issue if consider criteria need to test

L Muldowney

9.29am

V Morrison-Shaw

L Muldowney

Clearwater, people not anticipated, public land, private land scheduled

9.32am

V Morrison-Shaw

L Muldowney

change to label

9.39am

V Morrison Shaw

L Muldowney

protected route zone, is that method used in operative district plan
Kaianga Ora, drip line method

9.41am

D Hill

system with multiplier, operative date of plan, it will grow
drip line obvious, route line not obvious

L Muldowney

9.45am

V Morrison-Shaw

why not all from draft incorporated, what were the ones that did not

L Muldowney

9.55am

V Morrison-Shaw

what does that mean, accept as late primary submission, further submission
clarification, scope consideration, substantive

L Muldowney

10.00am

D Hill

when did submitter first notify Council of involvement, out of scope
rule out of scope, not appear
submitter not bringing an Arborist, inevitable, inappropriate

L Muldowney

10.04am

V Morrison-Shaw

landscape heritage, historic, area identified

L Muldowney

10.08am

V Morrison-Shaw

notable trees, indigenous, RMA hierarchy, section 6

L Muldowney

D Hill

private property, plan change orientated to public land, potential removal

L Muldowney

D Serjeant

public notice for PC9

L Muldowney

10.11am

V Morrison-Shaw

who is responsible for risk assessment, information we need before decision

L Muldowney

10.15am

D Hill

Foster Development, building cannot be demolished in current location, different from power line

L Muldowney

10.16am

E Wilson

property assertion power lines, where would cost fall

L Muldowney

10.19am

D Hill

route zone, nothing changed

L Muldowney

10.24am

V Morrison-Shaw

part of a group of trees

L Muldowney

10.25am

D Hill

any submitter covered, private tree, already in schedule

L Muldowney

10.28am

D Serjeant

sections 6, 7, notable trees, most exotic, trees natural features, legislative support

L Muldowney

10.33am

V Morrison-Shaw

apply to trees Mr Redcliffe recommended, look at section 6

D Serjeant

not able to be disturbed

L Muldowney

D Hill

some sort of arboreal assessment

L Muldowney

10.35am

MORNING BREAK

10.53am

RESUMED

L Muldowney

10.54am

Shaye Thomas - Legal

9.45am - (Remote)

Jon Redfern-Hardisty (Arborist)

11.03am

QUESTIONS

D Serjeant

confirm large majority of trees not native, based on recent exercise, public space not private

Jon Redfern-Hardisty

11.04am

D Hill

stem assessment undertaken, Councils apply different standards notable trees, 130 threshold

what does 130 mean, how many fell 130-150, 200

work being done to standardize threshold for notable tree

all Councils moving to stem system

attaining a score of 130, para 16-20, intervals 6 units apart

did not use notable valuation in assessment, 130s, trump card at that point

Jon Redfern-Hardisty

11.10am

E Wilson

expand on protected route zone, how calculations formulated

all species of trees calculated same way, same network

formulae matrix used determining significant trees, operative district plan

Jon Redfern-Hardisty

11.13am

D Hill why was that, why variant, 16dbH
12 multiply standard in other parts of world
Jon Redfern-Hardisty

11.14am

V Morrison-Shaw submitter raised power line conflict, Opoia Road, where power line conflict, conflict
not have as notable tree
plan change 9, remove any indigenous trees from schedule, higher test to remove them
Jon Redfern-Hardisty

11.17am

D Hill para 27, two rules, 20.3v not include 3m set-back, reference been removed
Jon Redfern-Hardisty

11.19am

D Serjeant implementation of rules, supplications to undertake work in red zone to remove trees, roots extensive in
coverage, development not proceed because of root zone
amend design, too many large roots
Jon Redfern-Hardisty

11.21am

D Hill earthworks, references, all rules, permitted activity, concerns outside drip line
Jon Redfern-Hardisty

11.22am

L Muldowney measurements of trunk, growth of root zone 10 years
Jon Redfern-Hardisty

D Hill

no young trees in classification
Jon Redfern-Hardisty

11.25am

Laura Galt (Planning)

11.35am

Shaye Thomas

L Galt responds to questions during morning session
V Morrison-Shaw did you say they were included in assessment
D Hill were all trees on private land assessed across Hamilton
L Galt
L Muldowney
L Galt

11.38am

D Hill some trees carry dual notification, SNAs
L Galt

11.38am

V Morrison-Shaw some trees, notable, trigger, sub-section 6, heritage values, evidence of that, stem assessment

L Galt

11.40am

D Serjeant

objective, section 20, fulfilled by refining scope looked at

L Galt

11.43am

V Morrison-Shaw

no change to protected root zone formula

L Galt

D Hill

draft, cross outs related, green is notified

L Galt

11.45am

V Morrison-Shaw

spacial extent, a greater or lesser root zone

D Hill

V Morrison-Shaw

changes more lenient

L Galt

D Hill

root protection zone mapped, advantaged or disadvantaged

V Morrison-Shaw

protective root zone now shown as part of plan

L Galt

11.48am

L Galt

L Muldowney

L Galt

(refers to PowerPoint)

11.52am

D Hill

what was issue with previous system, vigour of tree, problematic
why is it best practice

L Galt

L Muldowney

11.53am

V Morrison-Shaw

if consent granted to remove tree, further development, plan not updated

L Muldowney

D Hill

having a direction in the plan

11.54am

D Serjeant

Mr Robb's evidence, next to Galloway Park, 89 Fox Street

L Galt

E Wilson

Clarification, under title, identify protected root zone
in operative district plan, they would appear

L Muldowney

11.59am

V Morrison-Shaw

para 41, primary, Ms Soe not part of provisions

L Galt

12.00pm

s42A
Craig Sharman
D Hill

12.01pm **ADJOURNED**
1.00pm **RESUMED**

Submitters

CN and RN Warnakulasoria

1.06pm
D Hill have you seen Council's response to issue
Warnakulasoria

1.08pm
NO QUESTIONS

1.09pm
Phil Handford

1.19pm
D Hill
D Serjeant not hard to propagate

NO QUESTIONS

1.21pm
Cameron Gray

1.32pm
V Morrison-Shaw recommendation, further risk assessment, has it been done
C Gray

1.43pm
QUESTIONS
E Wilson were you aware the tree was protected when you bought the property
C Gray

1.45pm
EarthBrooke Properties
Dr Megan Balks

1.52am
NO QUESTIONS

1.55pm
CK Reddy (Chandra)

2.00pm

V Morrison-Shaw

C Reddy

only driveway on the property

2.03pm

QUESTIONS

E Wilson

C Reddy

tree physically in middle of driveway, legal title

2.04pm

V Morrison-Shaw

discussions with Council, traffic safety assessment

2.05pm

E Wilson

C Reddy

to access property, driving on neighbours property

D Hill

C Reddy

how common are London Planes in that area

2.07pm

Jason Mackenzie

2.13pm

QUESTIONS

D Hill

J Mackenzie

what could you do if it was not scheduled
root protection zone originally

2.16pm

D Serjeant

J Mackenzie

what was background for sub-division

2.18pm

D Hill

J Mackenzie

protection rules in operative district plan, rigorous trees

2.19pm

E Wilson

J Mackenzie

clarification, Limm report 2013, no reference to any scheduled tree

2.22pm

Adjourned