## **Steve Rice**

From:

RN W [rnw8681@gmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, April 24, 2023 10:03 AM

To:

Steve Rice

Subject:

Re: Response received - Response ID: ANON-GEDX-ATG7-U

Attachments:

Plan Change 9 - Further Submission Form - T167.pdf;

Evidence\_Summary\_Opposing\_Notable\_Tree\_Classification\_96 ForestLake.pdf


## Hi Steve,

Attached is the evidence summary that we would like to file & upload, directly relating to the notable tree submissions affecting 96, Forest Lake road is attached below.

[File Name - Evidence\_Summary\_Opposing\_Notable\_Tree Classification 96 ForestLake.pdf]

Submission confirmation ID provided by HCC last year for this further submission was - ANON-GEDX-ATG7-U

Original further submission is also attached. Plus a screen shot from the geo mapping tool from HCC (below)



Best Regards, Rn & CN

On Thu, 17 Nov 2022 at 16:41, Site Administrator

<a href="mailton.govt.nz@mail1.citizenspace.com">haveyoursay.hamilton.govt.nz@mail1.citizenspace.com</a> wrote:

Thank you for your submission to Further submissions to Plan Change 9 - Historic Heritage and Natural Environment.

Following the close of further submissions, a report will be prepared on the proposal and a hearing date will be set and notified to all submitters and 'further submitters' who wish to be heard. All hearings are open to the public and will be conducted by a panel of accredited commissioners. If you have indicated you wish to speak at the hearing you will be given a chance to explain your submission and may be questioned about it.

After the hearing the commissioners will make their decisions. As a submitter you will be sent a copy of the decision and the reasons for it. Decisions will also be publicly notified. As a submitter, you will have right of appeal to the Environment Court.

If you have any questions regarding your submission or the plan change process please contact the City Planning Unit on 838 6699 or email <a href="mailto:planchange@hcc.govt.nz">planchange@hcc.govt.nz</a>

Your response ID is ANON-GEDX-ATG7-U. Please have this ID available if you need to contact us about your response. If you would like to download a PDF copy of your responses, please click the link below, or copy and paste it into your browser's address bar.

## **Steve Rice**

To:

RN W

Subject:

RE: HCC PC9 New directions

From: RN W [mailto:rnw8681@gmail.com] Sent: Monday, April 24, 2023 9:49 AM

To: Steve Rice

Subject: Re: HCC PC9 New directions


Hi Steve,

Thanks for following that up with HCC for us. We clearly specified in the further submissions the reference numbers HCC cited themselves in an assessment document published by them (see below). The Submission form also included more than enough specific details about us for them to infer, which tree the further submission relates to

[HCC had a broken process related to these submissions where we had to really poke to find out where the submission were done, HCC staff themselves didn't have much of a clue, multiple people would give us contradicting guidelines]

There is definitely a currently active "notable tree" proposal related to a road reserve tree next to 96, Forest lake road, Hamilton (also below).

I will request HCC to indicate the specific reference they prefer so we can have that ready for the commissioner.



## THE SPECIFIC SUBMISSION(S) THAT THIS FURTHER SUBMISSION RELATES TO ARE:

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ORIGINAL SUBMITTER AND SUBMITTER NUMBER	ORIGINAL SUBMISSION POINT NUMBER	STATE WHETHER YOU SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THIS SPECIFIC PART OF THE ORIGINAL SUBMISSION	STATE THE REASONS FOR YOUR SUPPORT OR OPPOSITION	WHAT DECISION DO YOU SEEK FROM COUNCIL ON THE WHOLE OF PART OF THE ORIGINAL SUBMISSION? Give precise details, Sample - I seek that the whole for part [describe part] of the submission be either Allowed / Disallowed
Hamilton City Council Stem Review Ref. T167 uni ID: e6e48e93-35db- 486a-80e4-e349df7b7bf8	Appendix-11 -Notable- Trees-Further- Part-2	Support  X Oppose	Proposed "notable tree" is a major health & safety hazard putting multiple lives at fatal risks.  And so therefore we question the rationale behind prioritizing a supposedly "notable tree" over human lives.	We seek to have all references to tree identified as T167 removed as a notable tree & have it physically removed from the road reserve pertaingin to major health & safety risks the tree poses.

Best Regards, RN & CN