

# **RATING ACTION COMMENTARY**

# Fitch Affirms IIFL Finance at 'B+'; Removes Rating Watch Negative; Outlook Stable

Mon 04 Nov, 2024 - 3:22 AM ET

Fitch Ratings - Singapore - 04 Nov 2024: Fitch Ratings has affirmed India-based IIFL Finance Limited's Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) and medium-term note programme rating at 'B+', and removed the ratings from Rating Watch Negative. The Outlook on the IDR is Stable.

This follows the company's announcement that the Reserve Bank of India had lifted regulatory restrictions on IIFL Finance's gold-backed lending business in late September 2024, and the receipt of information indicating a gradual return of funding to support new disbursements in gold-backed loans and other products.

# **KEY RATING DRIVERS**

Gradual Business Stabilisation: The affirmation and Stable Outlook reflect our expectation that IIFL Finance's franchise will gradually stabilise now that the regulatory ban on new gold-backed lending has been lifted. Funding towards the gold-backed lending entity remains tentative, which could continue to restrain growth in the product. Nonetheless, funding volume for the housing finance and microfinance subsidiaries has been more resilient, which should support the credit profile of the consolidated group, even if it does not quickly regain its past scale and scope.

Lifted Sanctions, Improved Compliance: The removal of the regulatory embargo suggests that IIFL Finance has adequately addressed the Reserve Bank of India's concerns on its gold-backed lending practices. Risk and compliance standards are therefore likely to have improved. The company has also introduced new risk and compliance personnel, which may further strengthen controls and oversight.

Nonetheless, we believe corporate risk culture takes time to develop and overall risk and compliance standards may not yet be commensurate with that of the highest-rated local peers rated by Fitch.

Moderate Asset Quality Pressure: We expect ongoing weakness in the SME and microfinance sectors to exert further pressure on the group's asset quality. Higher impairments in the two segments led to an uptick in the reported gross non-performing asset ratio, to 2.4% in the second quarter of the financial year ending March 2025 (FY25) (FY24: 2.3%).

Ongoing Impairment Risk: IIFL Finance's moderate reported non-performing assets ratio partly reflects its practice of disposing of problem loans through bad debt sales. Nonetheless, the group retains exposure to the credit risk, as the sales are on deferred payment terms, with receivables linked to underlying recoveries and reported as investment assets. The problem asset ratio, including such receivables, remains elevated and poses impairment risk. The company incurred a INR5.9 billion provision on some problem-asset receivables in 2QFY25, equivalent to 1.3% of ending on-book loans.

**Profitability Hinges on Loan Stabilisation:** Profitability should gradually recover if lending volume strengthens. Pre-tax profit remained positive in 1HFY25, at around 1.0% of average assets on an annualised basis, despite a quarterly net loss in 2QFY25 on depressed lending volume and large problem-asset provisions. Sustained profitability in the housing finance and microfinance subsidiaries should underpin group profitability if the gold-backed lending business does not recover meaningfully.

**Capitalisation Supports Loss Absorption:** IIFL Finance's improved capitalisation amid a declining loan portfolio underpins its capacity to absorb unexpected losses. This helps to offset the impairment risk from weakening microfinance and SME asset quality as well as legacy problem assets.

The standalone Tier 1 regulatory capital ratio of 20.1% remained meaningfully above the 10% regulatory minimum, while moderate debt/equity of 2.9x at end-2QFY25 represented acceptable asset coverage of liabilities. Nonetheless, capital buffers could reduce if the company expands aggressively to recapture its diminished loan portfolio.

**Funding Remains Confidence Sensitive:** Funding volumes remain below pre-regulatory ban levels, despite some improvement in key business lines, particularly the housing finance and microfinance subsidiaries. Ambitious growth ahead of funding volumes would pressure the

liquidity buffer, as seen by the 2QFY25 decline in liquid assets as the company deployed funds to new lending.

Adequately Match Asset-Liability Maturities: IIFL Finance's positive asset-liability maturity position supports its ability to meet upcoming debt maturities and provides some surplus for new lending. We expect IIFL Finance to manage its liquidity position carefully as it ramps up loan disbursals.

# **RATING SENSITIVITIES**

# Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

- -- Further significant regulatory or operational events that weaken market confidence in the company
- -- Prolonged pressure on the liquidity buffer as the company resumes gold-back lending, such that available liquid assets continue to fall short of three months' upcoming debt repayments without a clear path to improvement
- -- Sustained weakened funding access, such that loans-under-management continue to decline over the next one to two years
- -- Persistent earnings pressure, such that Fitch projects pre-tax profit/average assets to remain below 1.5% (FY23: 4.3%) for a sustained period
- -- Excessive growth, particularly towards riskier loan profiles, or a significant deterioration in problem loan exposures

# Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

Positive action is unlikely in the near-term in light of the recent regulatory findings. In the longer term, positive action may be possible with the following:

- -- Significant progress in resolving the problem asset portfolio and tightened management of loan delinquencies, such that Fitch expects the problem asset portfolio to remain below 5% of gross loans and other credit exposures
- -- A liquidity buffer consistently in excess of three months' upcoming debt repayments, and projected liquidity inflows remaining sufficient to cover 12 months' upcoming debt maturities under Fitch's stress scenario

-- Fitch expecting the four-year average pre-tax profit/average assets to remain above 2%, with debt/tangible equity to remain below 6x

# **DEBT AND OTHER INSTRUMENT RATINGS: KEY RATING DRIVERS**

The US-dollar senior secured medium-term note programme is rated at the same level as IIFL Finance's Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR, in line with Fitch's rating criteria. The debt of Indian non-bank financial institutions (NBFIs) is usually secured and we believe non-payment of the senior secured debt would best reflect the entity's uncured failure. NBFIs can issue unsecured debt in the offshore market, but such debt is likely to form a small part of total funding and cannot be viewed as the NBFIs' primary financial obligations. There is no longer any debt outstanding under the programme.

#### **DEBT AND OTHER INSTRUMENT RATINGS: RATING SENSITIVITIES**

Any change in the Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR would lead to a corresponding change in the medium-term note programme rating.

# **ADJUSTMENTS**

The sector risk operating environment score has been assigned above the implied score due to the following adjustment reasons: economic performance (positive), size and structure of economy (positive).

The business profile score has been assigned below the implied score due to the following adjustment reason: business model (negative).

The asset quality score has been assigned below the implied score due to the following adjustment reason: non-loan exposures (negative).

The funding, liquidity and coverage score has been assigned above the implied score due to the following adjustment reason: funding flexibility (positive).

# Report of Issuer's Appeal

The issuer appealed the decision of the original rating committee. In accordance with Fitch's policies, the issuer's request was reviewed by an appeal review panel, which determined that an appeal committee was warranted due to the availability of new information.

Relative to the original rating committee, the appeal committee updated Fitch's assessment of the following key rating drivers: business profile outlook to stable, from negative, and funding, liquidity and coverage outlook to stable, from negative. The updates were of sufficient magnitude to affect the rating outcome.

The outcome of the appeal committee, as detailed within this rating action commentary, was different to the original rating committee outcome.

# REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

#### **ESG CONSIDERATIONS**

IIFL Finance has an ESG Relevance Score of '4' for Customer Welfare - Fair Messaging, Privacy & Data Security, due to the recent history of regulatory findings on the company's customer-related practices in gold loans, which may pose lingering reputation risk for IIFL Finance. This factor has a negative impact on the credit profile and is relevant to the rating in conjunction with other factors.

IIFL Finance has an ESG Relevance Score of '4' for Management Strategy, as we believe the company's operations and franchise remain sensitive to management's ability to maintain sound implementation of internal controls and return the business to adequate profitability now that sanctions have been lifted. This factor has a negative impact on the credit profile and is relevant to the rating in conjunction with other factors.

IIFL Finance has an ESG Relevance Score of '4' for Governance Structure, which is revised down from '5', as the lifting of regulatory restrictions imply that the incidences of non-compliance have been adequately addressed, and as such, the factor is no longer a key rating driver. Nonetheless, as the recent regulatory actions imply a record of gaps in the oversight structure and management of compliance risks that may continue to pose reputational risks for the company, the factor is scored at '4'. This factor has a negative impact on the credit profile and is relevant to the rating in conjunction with other factors.

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an

observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit

www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores

# **RATING ACTIONS**

ENTITY/DEBT \$	RATING \$	PRIOR \$
IIFL Finance Limited	LT IDR B+ Rating Outlook Stable Affirmed	B+ Rating Watch Negative
senior secured	LT B+ Affirmed	B+ Rating Watch Negative

# **VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS**

# FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

# **Elaine Koh**

Senior Director Primary Rating Analyst

+65 6796 7239

elaine.koh@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings Singapore Pte Ltd.

1 Wallich Street #19-01 Guoco Tower Singapore 078881

# Siddharth Goel

Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+91 22 4000 1760

siddharth.goel@fitchratings.com

# Jonathan Lee

**Managing Director** 

Committee Chairperson

+886 28175 7601

jonathan.lee@fitchratings.com

# **MEDIA CONTACTS**

#### Bindu Menon

Mumbai

+91 22 4000 1727

bindu.menon@fitchratings.com

# Vivian Kam

Hong Kong

+852 2263 9612

vivian.kam@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

# **PARTICIPATION STATUS**

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

# APPLICABLE CRITERIA

Country-Specific Treatment of Recovery Ratings Criteria (pub. 04 Mar 2023)

Non-Bank Financial Institutions Rating Criteria (pub. 18 Jan 2024) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

#### ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

**Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form** 

**Solicitation Status** 

**Endorsement Policy** 

# **ENDORSEMENT STATUS**

**IIFL Finance Limited** 

EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

# **UNSOLICITED ISSUERS**

#### **IIFL Finance Limited (Unsolicited)**

With Rated Entity or Related Third Party Participation Yes
With Access to Internal Documents Yes
With Access to Management Yes

# **DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES**

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link:

https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <a href="https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory">https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory</a>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing

third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. For more details on sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, please see Best- and Worst-Case Measures under the Rating Performance page on Fitch's website.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have

shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <a href="https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory">https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory</a>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dvO1, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

#### **READ LESS**

# **SOLICITATION STATUS**

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

# **UNSOLICITED ISSUERS**

ENTITY/SECURITY	ISIN/CUSIP	RATING TYPE	SOLICITATION STATUS
IIFL Finance Limited	-	Standalone Credit Profile	Unsolicited
IIFL Finance Limited USD 1billion MTN Programme	-	Long Term Rating	Unsolicited
IIFL Finance Limited	<u>-</u>	Long Term Issuer Default Rating	Unsolicited

# **ENDORSEMENT POLICY**

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.